

FREE ACTIONS ON $S^n \times S^n$ ⁽¹⁾

BY
GENE LEWIS

0. **Introduction.** Conner [4], Heller [5], Mann [8], Mann and Su [9], and Su [12] have investigated free actions on a product of spheres. The best result is due to Heller [5]:

PROPOSITION O. *Let $n, m \geq 1$. Then $Z_p \oplus Z_p \oplus Z_p$ cannot act freely on $S^n \times S^m$.*

To my knowledge, no one has considered actions of nonabelian groups. I will prove:

THEOREM A. *Let n be odd, p an odd prime, $p \nmid n+1$. Then any p -group acting freely on $S^n \times S^n$ is abelian.*

THEOREM B. *Let $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then any 2-group acting freely, preserving orientation, on $S^n \times S^n$, is abelian.*

The method of proof is via a Gysinoid sequence (§2), which holds whenever a finite group acts freely on a manifold M with three nonzero homology groups. The most useful cases are (1) $M = S^n \times S^n$ and (2) $M =$ a surface.

We give a short proof of Mazur's theorem that $H^i(G, \mathbf{Z}) \neq 0$ for infinitely many $i > 0$, and of the fact that Z_p^3 cannot act freely on $S^n \times S^n$, preserving orientation. Finally, we discuss the *Conjecture*: If G acts freely on $S^n \times S^n$, $Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \subset G$, then $Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \cap Z(G) \neq 1$. We prove this for some special cases.

1. **Topological preliminaries.** The following discussion is necessary in order to prove Theorems A and B for arbitrary continuous actions.

In E^{n+1} , let $e_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, e_n = (0, 0, \dots, 1)$ be a standard basis, $\Delta^n =$ convex hull of $\{e_0, \dots, e_n\} =$ standard n -simplex. If Y is a topological space (assumed arcwise connected), and F is a system of local coefficients, *a la* Steenrod, on Y , we define $H^*(Y, F) =$ singular cohomology of Y with local coefficients F , as follows:

Let $\Sigma_i(Y) =$ all i -simplices of Y . $\Gamma_i^*(Y, F) = \{f: \Sigma_i(Y) \rightarrow F \mid f(\sigma) \in F_{\sigma(0)}, \text{ for all } \sigma \in \Sigma_i(Y)\}$. Define $\delta: \Gamma_i^*(Y, F) \rightarrow \Gamma_{i+1}^*(Y, F)$ by

$$\delta f(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i f(\sigma^i) + \alpha^* f(\sigma^0),$$

Received by the editors October 21, 1966.

(¹) This work was done when the author was an NSF Graduate Fellow.

where $\sigma^i = i$ th face of $\sigma \in \Sigma_{i+1}(Y)$, and $\alpha = \sigma|(e_0e_1)$. [The point is that $\sigma^i(e_0) = \sigma(e_0)$ for $0 < i \leq n$, but $\sigma^0(e_0) = \sigma(e_1)$.] Then $\delta^2 = 0$, and we set $H_s^*(Y, F) = H^*(\Gamma_s(Y, F))$.

Let $A = G$ -module, $Y =$ a topological space on which G acts freely. Then $Y \xrightarrow{\pi} Y/G$ is a finite covering space. We form $\tilde{A} = Y \times_G A = Y \times A / \sim$, where $(y, a) \sim (gy, ga)$. π induces $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{A} \rightarrow Y/G$. *Claim:* \tilde{A} is a local coefficient system over Y/G . For if $x_0, x_1 \in Y/G$, α a path from x_0 to x_1 , choose $y_1 \in Y$ such that $\pi(y_1) = x_1$. By properties of covering spaces, there exists a unique $\tilde{\alpha}: [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$, with $\tilde{\alpha}(1) = y_1$, $\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$. Set $y_0 = \tilde{\alpha}(0)$. This is uniquely determined by the homotopy class of α rel $\{x_0, x_1\}$. If $u \in \tilde{A}_{x_1}$, $u = [(y_1, a)]$, set $\alpha^*u = [(y_0, a)]$. Taking gy_1 in place of y_1 yields $g\tilde{\alpha}$ in place of $\tilde{\alpha}$, by uniqueness, hence gives gy_0 for y_0 . Thus $\alpha^*: \tilde{A}_{x_1} \rightarrow \tilde{A}_{x_0}$ is well defined, and clearly $(\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2)^* = \alpha_2^* \circ \alpha_1^*$. Thus \tilde{A} is a local coefficient system. The following is well known:

LEMMA 1.1. $\text{Hom}_G(S(Y), A) \approx \Gamma_s(Y/G, \tilde{A})$, as chain complexes.

Proof. We will define reciprocal isomorphisms ϕ (left to right), and ψ (right to left). If $f \in \text{Hom}_G(S_i(Y), A)$, let $\bar{\sigma} \in \Sigma_i(Y/G)$. Given y , such that $\pi(y) = \bar{\sigma}(0)$, there exists a unique lifting $\sigma_y: \Delta^1 \rightarrow Y$ such that $\sigma_y(0) = y$. By uniqueness, $g\sigma_y = \sigma_{gy}$. Thus the pair $(y, f(\sigma_y))$ defines an element of $\tilde{A}_{\bar{\sigma}(0)}$, independent of choice of y .

Set $\phi(f)(\bar{\sigma}) = [(y, f(\sigma_y))]$. Given $\gamma \in \Gamma_s^i(Y/G, \tilde{A})$, we wish to define a G -morphism $\psi(\gamma): S_i(Y) \rightarrow A$. As $S_i(Y)$ is G -free, it suffices to define $\psi(\gamma)$ on $\Sigma_i(Y)$. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_i(Y)$, $\bar{\sigma} = \pi(\sigma)$. Then define $\psi(\gamma)(\sigma)$ by $\gamma(\bar{\sigma}) = [(\sigma(0), \psi(\gamma)(\sigma))]$. $\psi(\gamma)$ is clearly a G -morphism. $\phi\psi = 1, \psi\phi = 1$ are obvious. We leave to the reader the simple-minded task of checking that ψ and ϕ are chain maps. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 1.2. Let X be an arcwise connected, paracompact, topological n -manifold. If F is any system of local coefficients on X , then $H_s^i(X, F) = 0$, for $i > n$.

Proof. Such a well-known result needs no proof.

LEMMA 1.3 (HELLER). Let Y be an n -manifold, G a finite group acting freely on Y . $S(Y) =$ singular complex of Y , and $B_n(Y) = \text{Im} \{\partial: S_{n+1}(Y) \rightarrow S_n(Y)\}$. Then B_n is G -projective.

Proof. B_n is G -projective if and only if for every G -module A , $\text{Ext}^i(B_n, A) = 0$, $i > 0$. $\text{Ext}_G^i(B_n, A) \approx H^{n+1+i}(\text{Hom}_G(S(Y), A))$, since $\dots \rightarrow S_{n+2} \rightarrow S_{n+1} \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow 0$ is a free G -resolution of B_n . By Lemma 1.1, this is \approx to $H_s^{n+1+i}(Y/G, \tilde{A})$, and by Lemma 1.2, the latter is zero. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 1.4. Under the hypotheses of Lemma 1.3, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & Z & \xrightarrow{\text{mono}} & S_n/B_n & \longrightarrow & S_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots \\
 & & & & & & \xrightarrow{\text{epi}} & Z & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

exact at S_0 , with S_n/B_n G -cohomologically trivial.

Application:

PROPOSITION 1.5 (MAZUR). *If G is a finite group, then $H^i(G, \mathbf{Z}) \neq 0$, for infinitely many $i > 0$.*

Proof. Imbed $G \subset U(n)$, as a subgroup, suitable n . G acts freely on $U(n)$ by left multiplication. As $\pi_0(U(n))=0$, each $g \in G$ has $L_g \simeq 1$. Hence G acts trivially on $H^*(U(n), \mathbf{Z}) = E(u_1) \otimes E(u_3) \otimes \cdots \otimes E(u_{2n-1})$ (torsion free). By Corollary 1.4, $U(n)$ has a finite G -complex

$$C: 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow C_{n^2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

with all C_i cohomologically trivial. The cohomology of $C^* = \text{Hom}(C, \mathbf{Z})$ are either 0, or finite sums of \mathbf{Z} , trivial G -action. The result follows by splicing C^* into short exact sequences, and applying Tate cohomology and dimension-shifting. Q.E.D.

2. **The exact sequence, and applications.** Let Y be an n -manifold, G a finite group acting freely on Y . Suppose Y has precisely three nonzero homology groups, in dimensions 0, l , and n : $H_0(Y) = \mathbf{Z}$, $H_l(Y) \neq 0$, $H_n(Y) = \mathbf{Z}'$. [Note that these are G -modules. If G has no subgroup of index 2, $\mathbf{Z}' = \mathbf{Z}$ with trivial action. In any case, G acts trivially on $H_0(Y)$.]

PROPOSITION 2.1. *The sequence (2.2) is exact ($i \in \mathbf{Z}$):*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \hat{H}^{i+n-1}(G, \mathbf{Z}') \rightarrow \hat{H}^{i-1-1}(G, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \hat{H}^i(G, H_l(Y)) \\ \rightarrow \hat{H}^{i+1+n-1}(G, \mathbf{Z}') \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Corollary 1.4, Y has a finite G -complex C :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}' \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_l \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0,$$

exact except at C_l , where the homology is $H_l(Y)$. Each C_i is cohomologically trivial. Splice

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}' \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_{l+1} \rightarrow B_l \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{exact,}$$

$$(**) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_l \rightarrow C_l \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{exact.}$$

$0 \rightarrow B_l \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_l \rightarrow H_l(Y) \rightarrow 0$. The long exact sequence implies

$$(\star) \quad \cdots \rightarrow \hat{H}^i(G, B_l) \rightarrow \hat{H}^i(G, \mathbf{Z}_l) \rightarrow \hat{H}^i(G, H_l(Y)) \rightarrow \hat{H}^{i+1}(G, B_l) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

(*), (**) imply $\hat{H}^i(G, B_l) \approx \hat{H}^{i+n-1}(G, \mathbf{Z}')$, $\hat{H}^i(G, \mathbf{Z}_l) \approx \hat{H}^{i-1-1}(G, \mathbf{Z})$. Substituting these values in (\star) gives the result. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 2.3. *In the above situation, if G preserves orientation, and if $H_l(Y)$ is cohomologically trivial, then G is periodic of period $n+1$.*

Proof. For then $\mathbf{Z}' = \mathbf{Z}$, and $\hat{H}^{n+1}(G, \mathbf{Z}) \approx \hat{H}^0(G, \mathbf{Z}) \approx \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}$, $g = |G|$. By [3, Chapter XII, §11], done. (This implies n is odd, $\Rightarrow n=3$.)

REMARK 1. Poincaré duality and universal coefficient theorem imply either $n=2l$ or $n=3, l=1$.

REMARK 2. If Y is a cell complex and if G acts freely, cellularly, then the cells afford a finite G -complex for Y . Hence the argument goes through and Proposition 2.1 holds in this case also.

Case 1. Y = a closed compact surface. $n=2, l=1$. Therefore (2.2) is

$$\dots \rightarrow \hat{H}^i(G, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \hat{H}^{i-3}(G, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \hat{H}^{i-1}(G, H_1(Y)) \rightarrow \hat{H}^{i+1}(G, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Suppose G preserves orientation. Then $\mathbf{Z}' = \mathbf{Z}$. Set $i=1$. As

$$H^2(G, \mathbf{Z}) \approx \text{Hom}(G/[G, G], \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) = (G/[G, G])^\wedge \ (\approx G/[G, G]),$$

and $H^1(G, \mathbf{Z})=0, \hat{H}^{-i}(G, \mathbf{Z}) \approx \hat{H}^i(G, \mathbf{Z})$, we get $\hat{H}^{-2}(G, \mathbf{Z}) \approx H_1(G, \mathbf{Z}) \approx G/[G, G]$,

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow G/[G, G] \rightarrow \hat{H}^0(G, H_1(Y)) \rightarrow (G/[G, G])^\wedge \rightarrow 0.$$

Sad to say, this striking result seems almost useless. The sequence is not split in general. If $Y=S^1 \times S^1$ = torus, then $H_1(Y) = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ and using the Lefschetz formula it is easy to see that G acts trivially on $\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ (see below). Thus

$$\hat{H}^0(G, \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}) \approx \mathbf{Z}_g \oplus \mathbf{Z}_g, \quad g = |G|.$$

This implies $|G/[G, G]| = g$, implying $[G, G] = 1$, i.e. G is abelian. Thus our sequence (2.4) is just $0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_g \oplus \mathbf{Z}_g \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$. Hence G = sum of two cyclic groups. If $G = \mathbf{Z}_n \oplus \mathbf{Z}_n$ with obvious action on $S^1 \times S^1$, then $g = n^2$ and $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_n \oplus \mathbf{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{n^2} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n^2} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_n \oplus \mathbf{Z}_n \rightarrow 0$ is not split. We have proved:

PROPOSITION 2.5. *If G acts freely, preserving orientation, on the torus, then G is the sum of two cyclic groups.*

REMARK 1. This is an easy exercise in covering spaces. That is the way L. E. J. Brouwer first proved it in 1919 [2].

REMARK 2. It is simple to prove that any finite group acts freely on a 2-sphere with handles Y (see [1]). In case G preserves orientation, if r = the number of handles, then $H_1(Y) \approx \mathbf{Z}^{2r}, r \equiv 1 (g)$, by Hurwitz formula, and $\text{tr } g = 2$ for all $g \in G - \{1\}$, by Lefschetz formula.

REMARK 3. Using the Swan spectral sequence [13], one can explicitate the maps in (2.2) via the multiplication structure. Thus, in the case of a surface, the map $\hat{H}^i(G, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \hat{H}^{i-3}(G, \mathbf{Z})$ is cup product with a class $\sigma \in \hat{H}^{-3}(G, \mathbf{Z})$. As we have no application for the product structure, we omit details.

REMARK 4. In case of a surface, (2.2) was noted (independently) by Kawada and Tate [6].

Case 2. $Y = S^n \times S^n$. (i) Suppose n odd. *Claim: G acts trivially on $H_n(Y) = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ (if G preserves orientation).* For, if $g \in G, g \neq 1, 0 = \text{tr}_0 g - \text{tr}_n g + \text{tr}_{2n} g = 1 - \text{tr}_n g + 1$, by Lefschetz formula, implying $\text{tr}_n g = 2. g^r = 1$, some r implies $\det g = \pm 1$. Suppose $\det g = 1$. Then g has characteristic polynomial $g^2 - 2g + 1 = (g - 1)^2 = 0$, implying

g has a nonzero fixed point, $\phi = (a, b)$, say. We assume g.c.d. $(a, b) = 1$. If $ac + bd = 1$, then $\psi = (c, d)$ is a complement for ϕ . In the $\{\psi, \phi\}$ basis,

$$g \sim \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\text{tr } g = 2 \Rightarrow \alpha = 1$. $g^r = 1 \Rightarrow r\beta = 0 \Rightarrow \beta = 0$. That is,

$$g \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e. g acts trivially.

Thus $\det g = 1$ implies g acts trivially. If $\det g = -1$, then $\det g^2 = 1 \Rightarrow g^2 = 1$ (as transformation). The characteristic polynomial is $g^2 - 2g - 1 = 0$, $g^2 = 1 \Rightarrow g = 0$. Contradiction. Hence $\det g = 1$ always, so G acts trivially as asserted.

(2.2) now becomes

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow H^i(G, Z \oplus Z) &\rightarrow H^{i+n+1}(G, Z) \rightarrow H^{i-n}(G, Z) \\ &\rightarrow H^{i+1}(G, Z \oplus Z) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 2.7. *Suppose n odd, and G acts freely on $S^n \times S^n$, p.o. (preserving orientation). Then*

$$0 \rightarrow H^n(G, Z) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(G, Z) \rightarrow Z_g \oplus Z_g \rightarrow H^{n+1}(G, Z) \rightarrow H^n(G, Z) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. (Set $i=0$ in (2.6). We have identified $H^{-m}(G, Z) \approx H^m(G, Z)$.)

PROPOSITION 2.8 (CONNER). $Z_p \oplus Z_p \oplus Z_p$ cannot act freely, p.o. on $S^n \times S^n$.

Proof. We will see below that $1, Z_2, Z_4, Z_2 \oplus Z_2$ are the only groups acting freely on $S^n \times S^n$, for n even. If n odd, apply Corollary 2.7. $g = p^3$. We know that $pH^*(Z_p \oplus Z_p \oplus Z_p, Z) = 0$ in positive dimensions implies we have $Z_p^a \rightarrow Z_p^3 \oplus Z_p^3 \rightarrow Z_p^b$, exact, some a, b . Contradiction. Q.E.D.

THEOREM A. *If n odd, p odd prime, $p \nmid n+1$ and if G is a p -group acting freely on $S^n \times S^n$, then G is abelian.*

Proof. It suffices to show that G contains no minimal nonabelian p -groups. Rédei [11] found these to be of two sorts (p odd).

Type 1. $G = (A, B: A^{p^u} = B^{p^v} = 1, B^A = B^{1+p^{v-1}})$, $u \geq 1, v \geq 2$.

Type 2. $G = (A, B: A^{p^u} = B^{p^v} = C^p = 1, AC = CA, BC = CB, B^A = BC)$, $u \geq v \geq 1$.

A group G of Type 1 is a split extension: $1 \rightarrow Z_{p^v} \rightarrow G \rightarrow Z_{p^u} \rightarrow 1$ (*). Apply [7, Proposition 5.1], with $r = p^v, s = p^u, t = 1 + p^{v-1}, a = (n+1)/2$. Corollary 2.7 implies $\alpha_{n+1} = g\alpha_n$. Here $g = p^{u+v}, n+1 = 2a, n = 2a - 1$. By [7, Proposition 5.1]

$$H^{2a}(G, Z) \approx Z_s \oplus \sum_{1 \leq i < a} Z_{q_i} \oplus Z_{h_a}, \quad H^{2a-1}(G, Z) \approx \sum_{1 \leq i \leq a-1} Z_{q_i},$$

where $h_i = (t^i - 1, r)$, $k_i = (\sum_{j=0}^{s-1} t^{ij}, r)$, $q_i = h_i k_i / r$. Thus $\alpha_{2a} = sh_a \alpha_{2a-1} \Rightarrow sh_a = p^{u+v}$, or $h_a = p^v$. But calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} h_i &= p^{v-1} \quad p \nmid i, \\ &= p^v \quad p \mid i. \end{aligned}$$

As $p \nmid a$, we have a contradiction. Hence no Type 1 group can act freely on $S^n \times S^n$ if $p \nmid n+1$.

If G is a Type 2 group acting freely on $S^n \times S^n$, by Proposition 2.8, $Z_p \oplus Z_p \oplus Z_p \not\subset G$. If $u > 1$, then $A^{p^k-1} \neq 1 \in Z(G)$, so that the group $\langle C, B, A^{p^k-1} \rangle$ is abelian and contains a subgroup $\approx Z_p \oplus Z_p \oplus Z_p$. Contradiction. Hence $u=1, \Rightarrow v=1 \Rightarrow G$ is the group of order p^3 treated in [7, Section 6]. By [7, Corollary 6.27] (with $n+1$ in place of n), we see $pH^{n+1}(G, Z) = 0$. $g = p^3$, so Corollary 2.7 implies $Z_p^a \rightarrow Z_p^3 \oplus Z_p^3 \rightarrow Z_p^a$ exact. Contradiction. Thus no Type 2 group can act freely on $S^n \times S^n$ if $p \nmid n+1$. This proves Theorem A. Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Suppose n odd, G acting freely, p.o., on $S^n \times S^n$. If G is periodic, then period $(G) \mid n+1$.*

Proof. Corollary 2.7 implies that $\alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_n g$. As $\alpha_n = 1$, this implies $\alpha_{n+1} = g$. With $i=n$ in (2.6) we get: $0 \rightarrow H^0(G, Z) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(G, Z) \oplus H^{n+1}(G, Z)$. As $H^0(G, Z) \approx Z_g$, this means that $H^{n+1}(G, Z) \approx Z_g \Rightarrow G$ is periodic, and $pd(G) \mid n+1$ (by [3, Chapter XII, Proposition 11.1]).

THEOREM B. *Let $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then a 2-group acting freely, p.o. on $S^n \times S^n$ is abelian.*

Proof. Rédei found three kinds of minimal nonabelian 2-groups: Type 1, Type 2 (as above) and the quaternion group Q of order 8. Let G be our 2-group. If $Q \subset G$, then as period $Q=4$, Proposition 2.9 implies $4 \mid n+1$, or $n \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. Contradiction. Hence $Q \not\subset G$. A 2-group of Type 2, not containing $Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \oplus Z_2$, must be dihedral of order 8, D . A glance at Even's result [7, (4.0)], shows that $2H^{n+1}(D, Z) = 0$, so Corollary 2.7 again gives a contradiction, implying $D \not\subset G$. If a Type 1 group $\supset G$, then as before, $h_a = 2^v$. $a = (n+1)/2$. But $4 \nmid n+1$ implies a is odd $\Rightarrow h_a = 2^{v-1}$. Contradiction. Hence G must be abelian. Q.E.D.

It is well known that if G acts freely on S^n , n odd (necessarily p.o., by Lefschetz formula), then G is periodic, and $pd(G) \mid n+1$. This shows that if G acts freely on S^n, S^m such that g.c.d. $(n+1, m+1) = 2$, then $pd(G) = 2$ implies G cyclic, [since $\hat{H}^0(G, Z) \approx \hat{H}^2(G, Z)$ says $Z_g \cong G/[G, G] \Rightarrow [G, G] = 1, Z_g \cong G$].

CONJECTURE 1. If G acts freely, p.o., on $S^n \times S^n$, and $S^m \times S^m$, with $(n+1, m+1) = 2$, then G is abelian (therefore the sum of two cyclic groups, by Proposition 2.8).

I have only been able to prove the anemic

PROPOSITION 2.10. *If G acts freely, p.o. on $S^n \times S^n$ and $S^{n+2} \times S^{n+2}$, (n odd), then G is abelian, hence the sum of two cyclic groups.*

Proof. The jumps in (2.6) are $\dots + (n+1), +(n+1), -(2n+1), +(n+1), +(n+1)\dots$. Writing indices in place of the groups in our sequences, we get $1, n+2, -n+1, (2)^2, n+3, -n+2, (3)^2, n+4, -n+3, \dots, -3, (n-2)^2, 2n-1, -2, (n-1)^2, 2n, -1$. Recall $\alpha_1 = \alpha_{-1} = 1$. Thus for $n=3$, get $(\cdot) \alpha_6 = \alpha_2 \alpha_5$. For $n \geq 5$

$$(i) \quad \alpha_2 \alpha_4 \cdots \alpha_{n-1} \alpha_{n+2} \cdots \alpha_{2n-1} = \alpha_3 \alpha_5 \cdots \alpha_{n-2} \alpha_{n+3} \cdots \alpha_{2n}.$$

Corollary 2.7 implies $(\cdot) \alpha_{n+1} = g \alpha_n, -1, (n)^2, 2n+1, 0, (n+1)^2, 2n+2, 1$ gives $(\cdot) \alpha_{2n+2} = g \alpha_{2n+1}$. (i) for n and $n+2$ yields

$$\frac{\alpha_2 \alpha_4 \cdots \alpha_{n+1} \alpha_{n+3} \cdots \alpha_{2n+1} \alpha_{2n+3}}{\alpha_2 \alpha_4 \cdots \alpha_{n-1} \alpha_{n+2} \cdots \alpha_{2n-1}} = \frac{\alpha_3 \cdots \alpha_n \alpha_{n+5} \cdots \alpha_{2n+4}}{\alpha_3 \cdots \alpha_{n-2} \alpha_{n+3} \cdots \alpha_{2n}} \quad (n \geq 3)$$

implying

$$(*) \quad \frac{\alpha_{n+1} \alpha_{2n+1} \alpha_{2n+3}}{\alpha_{n+2}} = \frac{\alpha_n \alpha_{2n+2} \alpha_{2n+4}}{\alpha_{n+3}}$$

For $n+2$, equation (\cdot) implies $\alpha_{n+3} = g \alpha_{n+2}$. Therefore $g^2 \alpha_{2n+1} \alpha_{2n+3} = \alpha_{2n+2} \alpha_{2n+4}$, implying $g \alpha_{2n+3} = \alpha_{2n+4}$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^{n+2}(G, Z \oplus Z) \rightarrow H^{2n+3}(G, Z) \rightarrow H^2(G, Z) \\ \rightarrow H^{n+3}(G, Z \oplus Z) \rightarrow H^{2n+4}(G, Z), \end{aligned}$$

$\alpha_{n+2} \alpha_2 |Y| = \alpha_{2n+3} \alpha_{n+3}^2 = \alpha_{2n+3} g^2 \alpha_n^2$, or $\alpha_2 |Y| = g^2 \alpha_{2n+3} = g \alpha_{2n+4}$, or $\alpha_2/g = \alpha_{2n+4}/|Y| \geq 1$. Hence $\alpha_2 \geq g$. But $\alpha_2 = |G/[G, G]| \leq g \rightarrow \alpha_2 = g \rightarrow G$ abelian, as desired. Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 2.11. *If G acts freely on S^n , n odd, and $g.c.d. (g, n+1) = 1$ or 2 , then G is abelian.*

Proof. We know G periodic, $pd(G) \mid n+1$, $G_p =$ cyclic, (p odd), cyclic or generalized quaternion, ($p=2$) [3, Chapter XII].

Case 1. $g = |G|$ is odd: By Swan's formula for p -periods [7, Theorem 3.1], as now $(g, n+1) = 1$, and $pd(G) = \text{l.c.m. } 2|N(G_p) : C(G_p)| \mid n+1$, we must have $N(G_p) = C(G_p)$, for all prime $p|g$. G is a Z -group, therefore by [15] is of form $1 \rightarrow Z_r \rightarrow G \rightarrow Z_s \rightarrow 1$ (r, s) = 1, and therefore, split, by Schur-Zassenhaus. If $p|r$, then $(Z_r)_p = G_p$ is characteristic in Z_r , and hence normal in G . That is, $N(G_p) = G$ implies $G_p \subset Z(G)$, so G is cyclic.

Case 2. g even: Here $(g, n+1) = 2$ (by hypothesis). As generalized quaternion groups have period 4, [3, Chapter XII, Section 11] we must have $G_2 =$ cyclic. So G is a Z -group such that every subgroup of odd order is cyclic.

Much as in Case 1, it follows that G is cyclic (use Milnor's Theorem: If a group acts freely on S^n , then every involution lies in the center [10]). Q.E.D.

REMARK. Milnor's Theorem cannot be dispensed with. For if, say, Σ_3 acted freely on S^3 , the hypotheses of Proposition 2.11 would hold: $(6, 4)=2$.

PROPOSITION 2.12. *If n is even, >0 , only 1 and Z_2 act freely, p.o. on $S^n \times S^n$; only 1, $Z_2, Z_2 \oplus Z_2, Z_4$ act freely on $S^n \times S^n$.*

Proof. Suppose G acts freely, p.o. If $g \in G - \{1\}$, then $\text{tr}_0 g + \text{tr}_n g + \text{tr}_{2n} g = 2 + \text{tr}_n g = 0$ or $\text{tr}_n g = -2$, on $H_n(S^n \times S^n) = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$. If $g^p = 1, p$ odd, then $\det g = 1 \Rightarrow$ characteristic polynomial is $g^2 + 2g + 1 = (g+1)^2 = 0 \Rightarrow g\phi = -\phi$, some $\phi \neq 0, g^p = 1 \Rightarrow$ contradiction. Hence G is a 2-group. If $g \in G$ has order 4, $\det g = \pm 1 \Rightarrow \det g^2 = 1$. As above, this gives $(g^2 + 1)^2 = 0$, or $g^4 + 2g^2 + 1 = 2 + 2g^2 = 0$, or $g^2 = -1$. The characteristic polynomial of g is $g^2 + 2g + \det g = -1 + \det g + 2g = 0$. $\det g = 1 \Rightarrow g = 0$, no go. If $\det g = -1$, then $g = 1$, contradicting $g^2 = -1$. Hence G has only elements of order 2. Therefore G is abelian elementary. $Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \notin G$, implying $G = 1, Z_2$ or $Z_2 \oplus Z_2$. Suppose $G = Z_2 \oplus Z_2 = \{1, x, y, xy\}$. $\text{tr } x = \text{tr } y = \text{tr } xy = -2$. If $N = 1 + x + y + xy$ were zero, taking traces implies $2 - 2 - 2 - 2 = 0$. Contradiction. Hence $\psi = N\psi_0 \neq 0$, some ψ_0 . Clearly $x\psi = \psi$. Now $x^2 + 2x \pm 1 = 0$ and $x^2 = 1$, hence $x = 0$ or $x = -1$. The first is nonsense, and the second implies $-\psi = \psi \Rightarrow \psi = 0$. Contradiction! Hence only $G = 1$ or Z_2 is permissible. Thus if G reverses orientation, only 1, $Z_2, Z_4, Z_2 \oplus Z_2$ are permissible. Q.E.D.

Note. It is easy to see that each of these can occur.

PROPOSITION 2.13. *Let $H \triangleleft G, V = \text{fix-point free complex representation of } H$. Then $CG \otimes_{CH} V, \text{ a } G\text{-representation, is again } H\text{-free.}$*

Proof. Trivial.

Using Proposition 2.13, we can construct free actions on $S^{2n-1} \times S^m$ as follows: Let $1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow K \rightarrow 1$, exact, and suppose H acts freely on C^a . Then H acts freely on $CG \otimes_H C^a \approx C^{la}, l = |G:H|$. We may suppose the action of G is unitary. Therefore G acts on S^{2al-1} such that H acts freely. If now K acts freely on S^m , so G acts freely on $S^{2al-1} \times S^m$ via $g(z, w) = (gz, \bar{g}w)$. This procedure immediately gives many free actions of nonabelian groups on $S^n \times S^n, n$ odd. In particular, any metacyclic group so acts (suitable n). We call these *semiproduct actions*.

EXAMPLE. G of Type I: $1 \rightarrow Z_{p^2} \rightarrow G \rightarrow Z_p \rightarrow 1$ acts freely on $S^{2p-1} \times S^{2p-1}$. Therefore, the condition of Theorem A is *necessary*.

A nonsemiproduct action of Z_r on $S^{2n-1} \times S^{2n-1}$, which is fix-point free, is as follows: Consider $S^{2n-1} \subset C^n$, the unit sphere. Let $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/r}$. If $z, w \in S^{2n-1}$, set $T_w(z) = z + (\zeta - 1)(z, w)w$. T_w is unitary for fixed w . Indeed, $T_w = \text{identity on } Cw, T_w = \text{multiplication by } \zeta \text{ on } Cw$. Thus, $T_w^r = 1$. Moreover, $T_{\zeta w} = T_w$.

Define $T: S^{2n-1} \times S^{2n-1} \rightarrow S^{2n-1} \times S^{2n-1}$ by $T(z, w) = (T_w(z), \zeta w)$. Clearly $T^n = 1$, and T is fix-point free. If $S(z, w) = (\zeta z, w)$, then $ST = TS, S^r = 1$, and $\langle S, T \rangle \approx Z_r \oplus Z_r$, acts freely on $S^{2n-1} \times S^{2n-1}$.

In conclusion, we discuss the following

CONJECTURE II: If G acts freely on $S^n \times S^n$, and $Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \subset Z(G)$, then $(Z_2 \oplus Z_2) \cap Z(G) \neq 1$. This has several points in its favor.

(1) It holds for any extension $G: 1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} K \rightarrow 1$, where H acts freely on S^a , K acts freely on S^b . For let $L = Z_2 \oplus Z_2 = \{1, x, y, xy\}$. H acts freely on S^a implies $L \not\subset H$. If $L \cap H = 1$, then $L \approx \pi(L) \subset K$. Contradiction. Therefore $H \cap L = \{1, x\}$, say. By Milnor's Theorem $x \in Z(H)$ and is the only involution in H . As $\{1, x\}$ is characteristic in H , it is normal in G , hence $x \in Z(G)$. So Conjecture II holds in this case. In particular, it holds for semiproduct actions. A weaker statement, II', implies II:

(2) II': If $L = \{1, x, y, xy\} \subset G$, then $G = C(x) \cup C(y) \cup C(xy)$. Indeed, suppose II' holds. If $L \cap Z(G) = 1$, we can fix $g, h \in G$ such that $gx = xg$, $gy \neq yg$, $hx \neq xh$, $hy = yh$ ($\Rightarrow gh \neq 1$). Consider gh . If $ghx = xgh$, then $hx = xh$; if $ghy = ygh$, then $hy = gh$; contradictions. Hence $ghxy = xygh$, or $gyhx = ygxh$, implying $g^{-1}ygy = xhxh^{-1} = u \neq 1$. Clearly $xu = ux$, $yu = uy$. And $u \notin L$ is evident. $xu = ux$ says that $x(xhxh^{-1}) = xhxh^{-1}x$, or $hxx^{-1}x = xhxh^{-1}$, implying $u^2 = 1$. Thus $L \oplus \langle u \rangle \approx Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \subset G$. Contradiction. Thus $L \cap Z(G) \neq 1$, i.e. II' implies II.

(3) II holds if G is an extension $1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow Z_2 \rightarrow 1$, such that G_0 is abelian. (Exercise.) Thus in particular, II holds for $S^1 \times S^1$.

(4) II holds if G is a 2-group. (Exercise.)

(5) II implies Milnor's Theorem. For if G acts freely on S^n , $u \in G$, involution, then $Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \subset G \oplus G$ acting freely on $S^n \times S^n$. And $Z(G \oplus G) = Z(G) \oplus Z(G)$. Therefore II implies $Z_2 \subset Z(G)$.

REMARK. The group $G = (a, b: a^8 = b^2 = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^3)$ acts freely on $S^3 \times S^3$. $L = \langle a^4, b \rangle \approx Z_2 \oplus Z_2$ is contained in G , but $L \not\subset Z(G)$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. D. Anderson, *Zero-dimensional compact groups of homeomorphisms*, Pacific J. Math. 7 (1957), 797-810.
2. L. E. J. Brouwer, *Énumération des groupes finis de transformations topologiques du tore*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 168 (1919), 845.
3. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956.
4. P. E. Conner, *On the action of a finite group on $S^n \times S^n$* , Ann. of Math. (2) 66 (1957), 586-588.
5. A. Heller, *A note on spaces with operators*, Illinois J. Math. 3 (1959), 98-100.
6. Y. Kawada and J. Tate, *On the Galois cohomology of unramified extensions of function fields in one variable*, Amer. J. Math. 77 (1955), 197-217.
7. G. Lewis, *The integral cohomology rings of groups of order p^3* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (1968), 501-529.
8. L. N. Mann, *Actions of elementary p -groups on $S^n \times S^m$* , Michigan Math. J. 11 (1964), 47-51.
9. L. N. Mann and J. C. Su, *Actions of elementary p -groups on manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 106 (1963), 115-126.
10. J. Milnor, *Groups which act on S^n without fixed points*, Amer. J. Math. 79 (1957), 623-630.

11. L. Rédei, *Das schiefe Produkt in der Gruppentheorie*, Comment. Math. Helv. **20** (1947), 225–264.
12. J. C. Su, *Periodic transformations on the product of two spheres*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1964), 369–380.
13. R. G. Swan, *A new method in fixed point theory*, Comment. Math. Helv. **34** (1960), 1–16.
14. ———, *The p -period of a finite group*, Illinois J. Math. **4** (1960), 341–346.
15. H. Zassenhaus, *The theory of groups*, Chelsea, New York, 1949.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA