

REGULAR REPRESENTATIONS OF DIRICHLET SPACES

BY
MASATOSHI FUKUSHIMA

Abstract. We construct a regular and a strongly regular Dirichlet space which are equivalent to a given Dirichlet space in the sense that their associated function algebras are isomorphic and isometric. There is an appropriate strong Markov process called a Ray process on the underlying space of each strongly regular Dirichlet space.

1. Introduction. A. Beurling and J. Deny [1] introduced the notion of Dirichlet spaces and developed the general theory of kernel-free potentials. Recently the author [6] adopted Dirichlet spaces relative to L^2 -spaces (we will call them L^2 -Dirichlet spaces or D -spaces as an abbreviation) to describe boundary conditions for multidimensional Brownian motions.

A D -space is a certain space of functions that are defined on an underlying measure space (X, m) . When (X, m) is fixed, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all symmetric sub-Markov resolvent operators on $L^2(X; m)$ and the set of all D -spaces. In particular, any sub-Markov resolvent kernel on X which is symmetric with respect to m generates a D -space. The present paper and the subsequent one [9] concern the problem of whether conversely any D -space guarantees the existence of a suitable strong Markov process or not.

The present paper aims at constructing a regular and a strongly regular D -space which are equivalent to a given D -space. A D -space is called regular if it densely contains sufficiently many continuous functions vanishing at infinity on its underlying space. There corresponds a potential theory of a type of Beurling-Deny to each regular D -space. A strongly regular D -space is a regular one which is generated by a Ray resolvent kernel. According to D. Ray [15], there is a right continuous strong Markov process on the underlying space of each strongly regular D -space.

Suppose that we are given a D -space with underlying space (X, m) . Theorem 2 in §5 states that there exists then a regular D -space with some modified underlying space (X', m') in such a way that these two D -spaces are equivalent to each other as function spaces. The latter D -space will be called a *regular representation* of the given one. The regular representation will be carried out depending on a sub-algebra L of $L^\infty(X; m)$ satisfying a certain condition denoted by (C). Actually we will take as X' the space of all regular maximal ideals of L .

Received by the editors February 15, 1970.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 6060; Secondary 4655.

Key words and phrases. D -space, underlying space, L^2 -resolvent, regular D -space, Ray resolvent, strongly regular D -space, Ray process, regular representation, continuous embedding.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

There are generally many possibilities to find L satisfying (C). In §6, a special L possessing an additional property denoted by (R) will be constructed by making use of the method of F. Knight [11] and H. Kunita and T. Watanabe [12]. We can regard the condition (R) as a generalization of Ray's hypothesis for a sub-Markov resolvent [15]. Theorem 3 in §6 asserts that the regular representation with respect to such an L turns out to be a strongly regular D -space.

§3 consists of typical examples of D -spaces related to the multidimensional Brownian motion. Those D -spaces except for the last example took the fundamental roles in the investigations of boundary problems by J. L. Doob [4] and by the author [5], [6]. The last example is a rather sophisticated one of regular D -spaces⁽¹⁾. Much stress on the roles of regular ones will be laid in [9].

The appendix is referred to only in §3.

2. Basic properties of D -spaces.

DEFINITION 2.1. We call $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ an L^2 -Dirichlet space (or a D -space, for short) if the following conditions are satisfied.

(D.1) X is a locally compact, Hausdorff, and separable space. m is a Radon measure on X .

(D.2) \mathcal{F} is a linear subspace of the real $L^2(X) = L^2(X; m)$, two functions of \mathcal{F} being identified if they coincide m -a.e. on X . \mathcal{E} is a symmetric nonnegative definite bilinear form on \mathcal{F} and, for each $\alpha > 0$, \mathcal{F} is a real Hilbert space with respect to the inner product

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{E}^\alpha(u, v) = \mathcal{E}(u, v) + \alpha(u, v)_X, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{F},$$

where $(u, v)_X$ denotes the inner product of $L^2(X)$.

(D.3) Every normal contraction operates on $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$: if $u \in \mathcal{F}$ and a m -measurable function v satisfies inequalities

$$|v(x)| \leq |u(x)|, \quad |v(x) - v(y)| \leq |u(x) - u(y)|$$

m -a.e. on X , then $v \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{E}(v, v) \leq \mathcal{E}(u, u)$.

The present definition of D -space was given in [6]. (X, m) is called the *underlying space* of the D -space. According to §2 of [6], let us state a theorem about a one-to-one correspondence between D -spaces and L^2 -resolvents.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let (X, m) satisfy condition (D.1). A system $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$ of linear, bounded and symmetric operators on $L^2(X)$ is called an L^2 -resolvent if it has the following properties.

(G.1) Sub-Markov property: if $u \in L^2(X)$ and $0 \leq u \leq 1$ m -a.e. then $0 \leq \alpha G_\alpha u \leq 1$ m -a.e., for any $\alpha > 0$.

(G.2) Resolvent equation: $G_\alpha - G_\beta + (\alpha - \beta)G_\alpha G_\beta = 0$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$.

⁽¹⁾ N. Ikeda suggested to the author the last example of §3 and theorem of the appendix.

THEOREM 1. Let us fix (X, m) satisfying condition (D.1). For a given D -space $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ with underlying space (X, m) , there exists a unique L^2 -resolvent $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$ on $L^2(X)$ satisfying the equation

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{E}^\alpha(G_\alpha u, v) = (u, v)_X$$

for any $v \in \mathcal{F}$, where $\alpha > 0$ and $u \in L^2(X)$ are arbitrarily fixed. Conversely, for a given L^2 -resolvent $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$ on $L^2(X)$, a D -space is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{F} = \left\{ u \in L^2(X); \lim_{\beta \rightarrow +\infty} \beta(u - \beta G_\beta u, u)_X < +\infty \right\},$$

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{E}(u, v) = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow +\infty} \beta(u - \beta G_\beta u, v)_X, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{F}.$$

The correspondence defined by (2.2) and that defined by (2.3) and (2.4) are reciprocal to each other.

REMARK 2.1. (i) The proof of Theorem 1 was sketched in §2 of [6]. The essential ideas for the proof can be found in Beurling-Deny [1] and Deny [2]. So far as this theorem and the next lemma are concerned, condition (D.1) for (X, m) can be much weakened. These have been proved in [7] without the separability assumption for X (see also [8]). T. Shiga and T. Watanabe [16] gave a detailed proof of Theorem 1 under the assumption that, instead of (D.1), the underlying space (X, m) is merely a σ -finite measure space.

(ii) Condition (D.3) in the definition of D -space can be replaced with the following apparently weaker but equivalent condition (D.3)' [16].

(D.3)' Every unit contraction operates on $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$: if $u \in \mathcal{F}$ then $v = (0 \vee u) \wedge 1$ is also in \mathcal{F} and $\mathcal{E}(v, v) \leq \mathcal{E}(u, u)$. Here, the lattice operations \vee and \wedge for functions on X are defined by $(u_1 \vee u_2)(x) = \max(u_1(x), u_2(x))$ and $u_1 \wedge u_2 = -((-u_1) \vee (-u_2))$.

The next lemma states the basic properties of D -spaces which we need in the later discussions. Notice that, for a D -space, \mathcal{E}^α and \mathcal{E}^β define equivalent metrics on \mathcal{F} for any $\alpha, \beta > 0$.

LEMMA 2.1. Let $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ be a D -space and $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$ be its associated L^2 -resolvent. Fix an $\alpha_0 > 0$.

(i) If S is a dense subset of $L^2(X)$, then, for any $\alpha > 0$, $G_\alpha(S)$ is dense in \mathcal{F} with respect to metric \mathcal{E}^{α_0} .

(ii) For $u, v \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{E}^\alpha(u, v) = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow +\infty} \beta(u - \beta G_{\beta+\alpha} u, v)_X.$$

(iii) For any $u \in \mathcal{F}$, $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow +\infty} \beta G_\beta u = u$ strongly in norm \mathcal{E}^{α_0} and hence strongly in $L^2(X)$ sense.

(iv) \mathcal{F} is a function lattice: if $u, v \in \mathcal{F}$, then $u \vee v, u \wedge v \in \mathcal{F}$. Further $u \wedge 1 \in \mathcal{F}$ for $u \in \mathcal{F}$.

(v) If u and v are both in \mathcal{F} and m -essentially bounded, then the product $u \cdot v$ is also in \mathcal{F} .

(vi) For $u \in \mathcal{F}$, put $u_n = ((-n) \vee u) \wedge n$.

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_n = u$ strongly in norm \mathcal{E}^{α_0} .

Proof. (i) is a consequence of the equation (2.2).

(ii) is a consequence of Lemma 1 of [8].

(iii) For $\beta > \alpha_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0}(\beta G_\beta u - u, \beta G_\beta u - u) &\leq \mathcal{E}^\beta(\beta G_\beta u - u, \beta G_\beta u - u) \\ &= \beta^2(\beta G_\beta u, u)_X - 2\beta(u, u)_X + \mathcal{E}^\beta(u, u) \\ &= -\beta(u - \beta G_\beta u, u)_X + \mathcal{E}^\beta(u, u) \rightarrow 0, \quad \beta \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Since $|u|$ and $u \wedge 1$ are normal contractions of u , they are in \mathcal{F} if u is. Note that

$$u \vee v = \frac{1}{2}((u+v) + |u-v|), \quad u \wedge v = \frac{1}{2}((u+v) - |u-v|).$$

(v) If $u \in \mathcal{F}$ and $|u| \leq M$ m -a.e. for some constant M , then u^2 is a normal contraction of $2Mu$ and hence $u^2 \in \mathcal{F}$. Note that $u \cdot v = \frac{1}{2}((u+v)^2 - (u-v)^2)$.

(vi) By Lemma 2.1 of [6], $\mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0}(u_n, u_n)$ increases to $\mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0}(u, u)$ as n tends to infinity. On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0}(u_n, G_{\alpha_0} w) = (u_n, w)_X \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} (u, w)_X = \mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0}(u, G_{\alpha_0} w)$$

for any $w \in L^2(X)$. These facts combined with the first statement of this lemma imply that u_n converges to u weakly and after all strongly with respect to the inner product \mathcal{E}^{α_0} .

We will now give definitions and remarks concerning regularity of D -spaces. For a locally compact space X , denote by $C(X)$ (resp. $C_0(X)$) the space of all continuous functions vanishing at infinity (resp. with compact supports). $C^+(X)$ (resp. $C_0^+(X)$) will denote the set of all nonnegative elements of $C(X)$ (resp. $C_0(X)$). We say a measure m on X to be *everywhere dense* if $m(E)$ is not zero for any non-empty open set $E \subset X$.

DEFINITION 2.3. A D -space $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ is called *regular* if m is everywhere dense and $\mathcal{F} \cap C(X)$ is dense both in \mathcal{F} with norm \mathcal{E}^{α_0} and in $C(X)$ with uniform norm. Here, $\alpha_0 > 0$ is arbitrarily fixed.

Next, consider (X, m) satisfying condition (D.1). For a sub-Markov resolvent kernel⁽²⁾ $\{G_\alpha(x, E), \alpha > 0\}$ on X , we set

$$(2.6) \quad G_\alpha u(x) = \int_X G_\alpha(x, dy) u(y), \quad u \in C(X).$$

⁽²⁾ $G_\alpha(x, E)$ is called a kernel on X if, for a fixed $x \in E$, $G_\alpha(x, \cdot)$ is a Borel measure on X and, for a fixed Borel set $E \subset X$, $G_\alpha(\cdot, E)$ is a measurable function on X .

DEFINITION 2.4. (i) A sub-Markov resolvent kernel $\{G_\alpha(x, E), \alpha > 0\}$ on X is called *m-symmetric* if

$$\int_x G_\alpha u(x) \cdot v(x) m(dx) = \int_x u(x) \cdot G_\alpha v(x) m(dx) \leq +\infty$$

for any $u, v \in C^+(X)$. (ii) A sub-Markov resolvent kernel $\{G_\alpha(x, E), \alpha > 0\}$ on X is called a *Ray resolvent* if it satisfies the following conditions.

(R.a) $G_\alpha(C(X)) \subset C(X)$ for any $\alpha > 0$.

(R.b) There exists a countable subcollection C_1 of $C^+(X)$ such that (a) C_1 separates points of X , and, for any $x \in X$, there exists a $u \in C_1$ whose value at x is not zero, (b) for some $\alpha_0 > 0$, every function $u \in C_1$ satisfies the inequality $\beta G_{\alpha_0 + \beta} u \leq u$, $\beta > 0$.

Consider any *m-symmetric* sub-Markov resolvent kernel $\{G_\alpha(x, E), \alpha > 0\}$ on X . It satisfies the inequality $(\alpha G_\alpha u, \alpha G_\alpha u)_X \leq (u, u)_X$ for all $u \in L^2(X; m) \cap C(X)$ [16]. Therefore it determines a unique L^2 -resolvent. The Dirichlet space associated with this L^2 -resolvent will be said *to be generated by the resolvent kernel* $\{G_\alpha(x, E), \alpha > 0\}$.

We will say the set C_1 appearing in the definition of Ray resolvent *to be attached to the given Ray resolvent*.

DEFINITION 2.5. A D -space $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ is called *strongly regular* if m is everywhere dense on X , $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ is generated by an *m-symmetric* Ray resolvent on X and $\mathcal{F} \cap C(X)$ contains the set C_1 attached to this Ray resolvent.

REMARK 2.2. (i) A strongly regular D -space is regular. To see this, let $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ be a strongly regular D -space and $\{G_\alpha(x, E), \alpha > 0\}$ be its associated Ray resolvent. $\mathcal{F} \cap C(X)$ contains $G_\alpha(L^2(X) \cap C(X))$, which is dense in $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0})$ by virtue of Lemma 2.1(i). Owing to the fifth statement of the lemma, $\mathcal{F} \cap C(X)$ is a function algebra. Since it contains the set C_1 attached to $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$, it is dense in $C(X)$ by Stone-Weierstrass theorem.

(ii) Consider a Ray resolvent $\{G_\alpha(x, E), \alpha > 0\}$ on a locally compact Hausdorff separable space X . Let $\bar{X} = X \cup \{\infty\}$ be the one point compactification of X if X is not compact. If X is compact, let $\{\infty\}$ be an isolated point. Define a new kernel $\{\bar{G}_\alpha(x, E), \alpha > 0\}$ on \bar{X} by $\bar{G}_\alpha(x, E) = G_\alpha(x, E \cap X) + ((1 - \alpha G_\alpha(x, X))/\alpha) \delta_{\{\infty\}}(E)$, $x \in X$, $\bar{G}_\alpha(\{\infty\}, E) = (1/\alpha) \delta_{\{\infty\}}(E)$. Then $\{\bar{G}_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$ is a conservative Ray resolvent on the compactum \bar{X} . By Ray's theory [15], [12], this defines on \bar{X} a right continuous conservative strong Markov process for which the point $\{\infty\}$ is a trap. Thus, we obtain a right continuous strong Markov process $(X_t, \zeta, P_x, x \in X)$ on X such that

$$(2.7) \quad G_\alpha(x, E) = E_x \left(\int_0^\zeta e^{-\alpha t} \chi_E(X_t) dt \right),$$

χ_E being the indicator function of the Borel set E . We will call the process on X so obtained the *Ray process* associated with the Ray resolvent $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$ on X .

There is a Ray process on the underlying space of any strongly regular D -space.

3. **Examples.** Denote by D a domain of Euclidean N -space R^N ($N \geq 1$).

EXAMPLE 1. Let us put

$$\mathcal{E}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D) = \{u; u \in L^2(D), \partial u / \partial x_i \in L^2(D), i = 1, 2, \dots, N\},$$

$$(u, v)_{D,1} = \frac{1}{2} \int_D \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx.$$

Here, derivatives are taken in Schwartz distribution sense and dx denotes the Lebesgue measure on R^N .

$(D, dx, \mathcal{E}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D), (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1})$ is a D -space in our sense. Condition (D.3)' for this space can be verified easily (see Proposition A.1 of [16] or Théorème 3.1 of [3]). This space is not regular except when it coincides with $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D)$ of the next example. Denote by \bar{D} the closure of D in R^N . Let $C^\infty(\bar{D})$ be the space of restrictions to \bar{D} of functions which are infinitely differentiable on R^N . If $\partial D = \bar{D} - D$ is a closed hypersurface of class C^1 , then $\mathcal{E}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D) \cap C^\infty(\bar{D})$ is dense in $\mathcal{E}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D)$ [14]. Therefore, in this case, $(\bar{D}, dx, \mathcal{E}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D), (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1})^{(3)}$ is a regular D -space. When D is bounded, the space $(\mathcal{E}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D), (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1})$ is generated by the continuous resolvent density constructed in [5] and in §8(I) of [6].

EXAMPLE 2. Denote by $C_0^\infty(D)$ the space of infinitely differentiable functions on D with compact supports. Let $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D)$ be the closure of $C_0^\infty(D)$ in

$$(\mathcal{E}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D), (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1} + (\cdot, \cdot)_D).$$

$(D, dx, \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D), (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1})$ is a regular D -space. Since $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D)$ coincides with the completion of $\mathcal{E}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D) \cap C_0(D)$ with respect to metric $(\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1} + (\cdot, \cdot)_D$, we can apply Corollary 3 of Appendix to show that it is a regular D -space. It is generated by a continuous resolvent density of the absorbing barrier Brownian motion on D [6]. It is strongly regular when each point of the boundary ∂D is regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem for D .

EXAMPLE 3. Let M be the Martin boundary of the domain D and μ be the harmonic measure on M with respect to a reference point x_0 of D . J. L. Doob [4] introduced the space H_h' of measurable functions φ on M for which the integral

$$D_M(\varphi, \varphi) = \frac{q}{4} \int_M \int_M (\varphi(\xi) - \varphi(\eta))^2 \theta(\xi, \eta) \mu(d\xi) \mu(d\eta)$$

is finite. Here, $\theta(\xi, \eta)$ is Naim's kernel on M and q is 2π if $N=2$ or the product of $N-2$ and the unit ball boundary area if $N>2$. It was proved in [4] that $H_h' \subset L^2(M; \mu)$. We can easily see that $(M, \mu, H_h', D_M(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a D -space. This is regular when D is a disk (§18 of [4]). Let H_h be the space of all harmonic functions on D with finite integrals $(u, u)_{D,1}$. Then, $(H_h', D_M(\cdot, \cdot))$ is the trace on M of the space $(H_h, (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1})$ in the following sense: each function u of H_h has a fine boundary

⁽³⁾ We regard here $\mathcal{E}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D)$ as a subspace of $L^2(\bar{D}) (=L^2(D))$. See Remark 5.2.

limit function γu in H'_h and γ define a unitary map from $(H_h, (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1})$ onto (H'_h, D_M) . This is the reason why functions of H'_h were called in [4] BLD boundary functions.

A modification of the space (H'_h, D_M) was introduced in [6] in order to describe the space of all α -harmonic functions of $\mathcal{E}^{1,2}_L(D)$. Suppose that D is bounded. Let $U_\alpha(\xi, \eta)$ and $U(\xi, \eta)$ be Feller kernels on M . $U(\xi, \eta)$ is equal to $(q/2) \cdot \theta(\xi, \eta)$ μ -a.e. Denote by μ' the measure $U_1 1 \cdot \mu$ on M and put $H_M = H'_h \cap L^2(M; \mu')$. Then, (M, μ', H_M, D_M) is a D -space. By virtue of Lemma 3.1 and equality (3.21) of [6], it is clear that $(M, \mu', H_M, D_M^{(\alpha)})$ is also a D -space for each $\alpha > 0$, where

$$D_M^{(\alpha)}(\varphi, \psi) = D_M(\varphi, \psi) + \int_M \int_M \varphi(\xi) U_\alpha(\xi, \eta) \psi(\eta) \mu(d\xi) \mu(d\eta).$$

Let \mathcal{H}_α be the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_L(D)$ in the Hilbert space

$$(\mathcal{E}^{1,2}_L(D), (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1} + \alpha(\cdot, \cdot)_D).$$

The space $(H_M, D_M^{(\alpha)})$ is nothing but the trace on M of the space

$$(\mathcal{H}_\alpha, (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1} + \alpha(\cdot, \cdot)_D) \quad (*).$$

EXAMPLE 4⁽⁵⁾. Assume that D is bounded. Let $\Delta = \bigcup_{p \in P} E_p$ be a measurable partition of the Martin boundary M . Then, Δ defines a Dirichlet subspace $(\mathcal{F}_M^\Delta, D_M)$ of (H_M, D_M) by $\mathcal{F}_M^\Delta = \{\varphi \in H_M; \text{there exists a set } E_\varphi \text{ such that } \mu'(E_\varphi) = 0 \text{ and } \varphi \text{ is a constant on } E_p - E_\varphi \text{ for each } p \in P\}$. Even when D is a unit disk, $(M, \mu', \mathcal{F}_M^\Delta, D_M)$ is no longer regular except for a trivial case that \mathcal{F}_M^Δ is equal to H_M .

EXAMPLE 5. Consider the whole plane R^2 and put

$$\mathcal{E}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) dx dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial u(x, 0)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v(x, 0)}{\partial x} dx,$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \{u \in C_0(R^2); u(x, y) \text{ is absolutely continuous in each variable } x \text{ and } y$$

$$\text{and } \mathcal{E}(u, u) < +\infty\}.$$

For this space $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$, let us check the conditions of Theorem of Appendix. (\mathcal{A} .1) and (\mathcal{A} .2) are evident. To see (\mathcal{A} .3), assume that a sequence $u_n \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $(u_n, u_n)_{R^2} \rightarrow 0$ and $\mathcal{E}(u_n - u_m, u_n - u_m) \rightarrow 0$. We have to prove $\mathcal{E}(u_n, u_n) \rightarrow 0$. Since u_n converges to zero in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_L(R^2)$ with metric $(\cdot, \cdot)_{R^2,1} + (\cdot, \cdot)_{R^2}$, we can select a subsequence u_{n_k} such that $u_{n_k}(x, y)$ converges to zero for every (x, y) except on a 2-dimensional Brownian polar set⁽⁶⁾ [3]. Especially, $u_{n_k}(x, 0)$ converges to zero for every x except on a set of linear Lebesgue measure zero.

Now it is easy to see that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\partial u_n(x, 0)/\partial x)^2 dx \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\mathcal{E}(u_n, u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as was to be proved.

(*) Theorem 3.4 of [6].

(5) See footnote 27 of [6].

(6) The subsequent paper [9] will provide general discussions of this point.

By means of Theorem of Appendix we get a D -space $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ on R^2 , $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}^\alpha)$ being the completion of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}^\alpha)$ for each $\alpha > 0$. This D -space is regular because $C_0^\infty(R^2) \subset \mathcal{A}$ (Corollary 1 of Appendix).

4. Equivalence of D -spaces. Consider a D -space $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$. For $u \in L^\infty(X)$ ($=L^\infty(X; m)$), put $\|u\|_\infty = m\text{-ess sup}_{x \in X} |u(x)|$. Let L be a closed subalgebra of $(L^\infty(X), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$. It is well known that L is then a function lattice and that $u \in L$ implies $u \wedge 1 \in L$. Therefore, by making use of Lemma 2.1(iv) and (v), we get the next lemma.

LEMMA 4.1. $\mathcal{F} \cap L$ is a function algebra and a function lattice. Further, $u \in \mathcal{F} \cap L$ implies $u \wedge 1 \in \mathcal{F} \cap L$.

Now we are in a position to define an equivalence relation in the set of all D -spaces.

DEFINITION 4.1. Two D -spaces $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ and $(X', m', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$ are called *equivalent* if there is an algebraic isomorphism Φ from $\mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty(X)$ onto $\mathcal{F}' \cap L^\infty(X')$ and Φ preserves three kinds of metrics: $\|u\|_\infty = \|\Phi u\|'_\infty$, $\mathcal{E}(u, u) = \mathcal{E}'(\Phi u, \Phi u)$ and $(u, u)_X = (\Phi u, \Phi u)_{X'}$ for $u \in \mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty(X)$.

This definition of equivalence is the same as that of [8] where the definition is given in terms of the associated D -rings.

It is not difficult to see that the mapping Φ of Definition 4.1 turns out to be a lattice isomorphism and further Φ can be extended to a unitary map Φ_1 from $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ onto $(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$ and a unitary map Φ_2 from $L_0^2(X)$ onto $L_0^2(X')$. Here, $L_0^2(X)$ (resp. $L_0^2(X')$) is the closure of \mathcal{F} (resp. \mathcal{F}') in the metric space $L^2(X)$ (resp. $L^2(X')$). We can use Lemma 2.1(vi) to define the extension Φ_1 . The L^2 -resolvents $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$ associated with equivalent D -spaces are mutually related by $G'_\alpha u' = \Phi_2 G_\alpha \Phi_2^{-1} u'$, $u' \in L_0^2(X')$, $\alpha > 0$. This relation is proved in [8].

Before proceeding to the next sections, we will summarize here some facts related to Gelfand representations of subalgebras of L^∞ . Let (X, m) be as above and L be a closed subalgebra of the real Banach algebra $(L^\infty(X; m), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$. A nonzero algebraic homomorphism χ from L into real numbers is called a (real) *character* on L . Denote by \mathcal{M} the set of all characters on L . An algebraic homomorphism Φ from L into real functions on \mathcal{M} can be defined by

$$(4.1) \quad \Phi u(\chi) = \chi(u), \quad u \in L, \quad \chi \in \mathcal{M}.$$

We define a neighborhood of $\chi \in \mathcal{M}$ by

$$(4.2) \quad N(\chi; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n; \varepsilon) = \{\chi' \in \mathcal{M}; |\Phi u_k(\chi') - \Phi u_k(\chi)| < \varepsilon, k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

with any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in L$. The set \mathcal{M} endowed with topology (4.2) will be called the *character space* of L .

LEMMA 4.2. (i) *The character space \mathcal{M} of L is a locally compact Hausdorff space. If the algebra L is countably generated, then \mathcal{M} is separable. \mathcal{M} is compact if and only if $1 \in L$.*

(ii) The map Φ of (4.1) is an algebraic isomorphism and isometry from $(L, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ onto $C(\mathcal{M})$, $C(\mathcal{M})$ being associated with the uniform norm.

(iii) Suppose that m is everywhere dense $L \subset C_b(X)$ (the space of continuous bounded functions on X) and, for any $x \in X$, there is a $u \in L$ with $u(x) \neq 0$. There exists then a continuous mapping q from X onto a dense subset of \mathcal{M} characterized by

$$(4.3) \quad \Phi u(qx) = u(x), \quad x \in X, \quad u \in L.$$

Proof. Consider the space $A = L + (-1)^{1/2}L$ with uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. This is a complex Banach algebra closed under the operation of taking complex conjugate function. If $u \in A$, then

$$\frac{|u|^2}{1+|u|^2} = \frac{1}{1+a^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |u|^2 \left(\frac{a^2 - |u|^2}{1+a^2} \right)^k \in L,$$

where $a = \|u\|_\infty$. Therefore, A is a symmetric algebra and the character space \mathcal{M} of L can be identified with the space of regular maximal ideals of A (Loomis [13, subsections 23A and 26C]). Now statements (i) and (ii) of our lemma are known facts. The statement (iii) is evident but we give its proof here for later conveniences. Fix an $x \in X$. A map $u \rightarrow u(x)$ is clearly a character on L which we denote by qx . q is continuous at $x \in X$ because any neighborhood $N(\chi; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n; \varepsilon)$ of $\chi = qx$ includes the set $q(U(x))$, where $U(x)$ is an open neighborhood of x defined by $U(x) = \{x' \in X; |u_k(x') - u_k(x)| < \varepsilon, k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Suppose that $q(X)$ is not dense in \mathcal{M} . There is then a nonvanishing $v \in C(\mathcal{M})$ such that $v = 0$ on $q(X)$. By (ii) and (4.3), we have $\|v\|_\infty = \|\Phi^{-1}v\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in X} |\Phi^{-1}v(x)| = \sup_{x \in X} |v(qx)| = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Finally we will state the following lemma according to 26J of [13].

LEMMA 4.3. Suppose that \tilde{L} is a dense ideal of L and every function in \tilde{L} can be expressed as a difference of nonnegative functions in \tilde{L} . Then, for any positive linear functional l on \tilde{L} , there exists a unique Radon measure μ on \mathcal{M} such that

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(\tilde{L}) &\subset L^1(\mathcal{M}; \mu), \\ l(u \cdot v) &= \int_{\mathcal{M}} \Phi u(\chi) \Phi v(\chi) \mu(d\chi), \quad u \in \tilde{L}, \quad v \in L. \end{aligned}$$

5. Regular representations. Suppose that we are given a D -space $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$. A closed subalgebra L of $L^\infty(X; m)$ will be said to satisfy condition (C) if it enjoys the following three properties.

(C.1) L is a countably generated closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(X; m)$.

(C.2) $\mathcal{F} \cap L$ is dense both in $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0})$ and in $(L, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$, α_0 being a fixed positive number.

(C.3) $L^1(X; m) \cap L$ is dense in $(L, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$.

THEOREM 2. (i) There exists at least one L satisfying the condition (C). (ii) Let an L satisfying condition (C) be fixed and X' be its character space. X' is compact if

and only if $1 \in L$. There exists a regular D -space whose underlying space is X' and which is equivalent to the given D -space.

The regular D -space of Theorem 2(ii) will be called a *regular representation of the given D -space with respect to the algebra L* .

Proof of Theorem 2(i). We can find a countable subset D_0 of $C_0(X)$ such that each function in $C_0(X)$ can be uniformly approximated by a sequence of functions in D_0 whose supports are included in a suitable common compactum. D_0 is dense in $L^2(X; m)$. Let $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$ be the L^2 -resolvent associated with the given $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$. Then, $G_{\alpha_0}(D_0) \subset \mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty(X; m)$ and $G_{\alpha_0}(D_0)$ is dense in $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0})$ by Lemma 2.1(i). We define L as the closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(X; m)$ generated by $G_{\alpha_0}(D_0)$. It is clear that this L satisfies conditions (C.1) and (C.2). As for (C.3), observe that

$$G_{\alpha_0}(D_0) \subset L^1(X; m) \cap L$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |G_{\alpha_0} u| dm &\leq \int_X G_{\alpha_0} |u| dm = \sup_{0 \leq v \leq 1, v \in C_0(X)} (v, G_{\alpha_0} |u|)_X \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \int_X |u| dm < +\infty, \quad u \in D_0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 2(ii). Let L be a space satisfying condition (C) and X' be its character space. By (C.1) and Lemma 4.2(i), X' is a locally compact Hausdorff and separable space. X' is compact if and only if $1 \in L$. The map Φ of (4.1) is giving an algebraic isomorphism and isometry from L onto $C(X')$. Φ is consequently a lattice isomorphism and it holds that $\Phi(u \wedge 1) = (\Phi u) \wedge 1$ for $u \in L$. Let us put

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{F} \cap L, \quad \mathcal{R}' = \Phi(\mathcal{R}).$$

Since \mathcal{R} is dense in L by (C.2), \mathcal{R}' is dense in $C(X')$. Further, by Lemma 4.1, \mathcal{R}' is a lattice and $u' \wedge 1 \in \mathcal{R}'$ whenever $u' \in \mathcal{R}'$.

Keeping these in mind, we are now to construct, step by step, a regular representation $(X', m', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$ by making use of the map Φ of (4.1).

(I) A measure m' on X' . There exists a unique Radon measure m' on X' which satisfies

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\Phi(L^1(X; m) \cap L) \subset L^1(X'; m'), \\ &\int_X u(x)v(x)m(dx) = \int_{X'} \Phi u(x')\Phi v(x')m'(dx'), \quad u \in L^1(X; m) \cap L, \quad v \in L. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, by virtue of (C.3), we can apply Lemma 4.3 to a dense ideal $\tilde{L} = L^1(X; m) \cap L$ and a positive linear functional

$$l(u) = \int_X u(x)m(dx), \quad u \in \tilde{L}.$$

Consider the spaces \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}' of (5.1). Since condition (C.2) implies that \mathcal{R} is dense in \mathcal{F} in L^2 -sense, we have

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{R} \subset L^2(X; m), \quad \bar{\mathcal{R}} = L_0^2(X; m),$$

where the closure is taken in L^2 -sense and $L_0^2(X; m)$ denotes \mathcal{F} . Next we will prove

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{R}' \subset L^2(X'; m'), \quad \bar{\mathcal{R}}' = L^2(X'; m').$$

For any $u \in \mathcal{R}$, $(\Phi u)^2 = \Phi(u^2) \in \Phi(L \cap L^1(X; m))$ and hence $\Phi u \in L^2(X'; m')$ according to (5.2). In order to show that \mathcal{R}' is dense in $L^2(X'; m')$, take a function u in $C_0^+(X')$. Since \mathcal{R}' is uniformly dense in $C(X')$ and is a lattice, we can find a $v \in \mathcal{R}'$ and $u_n \in \mathcal{R}'$ such that $0 \leq u_n \leq v$ and u_n converges to u uniformly on X' . Hence, u_n converges to u in $L^2(X'; m')$.

Finally let us show

$$(5.5) \quad \int_X u(x)v(x)m(dx) = \int_{X'} u'(x')v'(x')m'(dx'), \quad u, v \in \mathcal{R},$$

where $u' = \Phi u$ and $v' = \Phi v$. Take a nonnegative $v \in \mathcal{R}$. By condition (C.3) and the obvious fact that $L^1(X; m) \cap L$ is a lattice, we can select $v_n \in L^1(X; m) \cap L$ such as $0 \leq v_n \leq v$ m -a.e. and $\|v_n - v\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$. Since Φ is a lattice isomorphism and preserves the uniform norm, the same relations hold for v'_n and v' . Now (5.2) for $u = v = v_n$ leads us to

$$\int_X v(x)^2 m(dx) = \int_{X'} v'(x')^2 m'(dx')$$

which implies (5.5) because each element of \mathcal{R} is expressed as a difference of non-negative elements of \mathcal{R} and Φ is an algebraic isomorphism.

(II) *Extended map Φ on $L_0^2(X; m)$.* In view of (5.3), (5.4), and (5.5) of the preceding paragraph, the algebraic and lattice isomorphism Φ from \mathcal{R} to \mathcal{R}' can be uniquely extended to

(Φ .1) A unitary map Φ from $L_0^2(X; m)$ onto $L^2(X'; m')$.

Let us study the features of this extended map Φ . It has the following properties.

(Φ .2) $L_0^2(X; m)$ is a lattice and Φ is a lattice isomorphism. $\Phi(u \wedge 1) = (\Phi u) \wedge 1$ whenever $u \in L_0^2(X; m)$.

(Φ .3) Φ is an algebraic isomorphism from $L_0^2(X; m) \cap L^\infty(X; m)$ onto

$$L^2(X'; m') \cap L^\infty(X'; m').$$

Further it holds that

$$(5.6) \quad \|u\|_\infty = \|\Phi u\|'_\infty, \quad u \in L_0^2(X; m) \cap L^\infty(X; m).$$

To prove (Φ .2), take a $u \in L_0^2(X; m)$ and find a sequence $u_n \in \mathcal{R}$ which converges to u in L^2 -sense. Since $|u_n| \in \mathcal{R}$ converges to $|u|$ in L^2 -sense, $|u| \in L_0^2(X; m)$. Since Φ is a lattice isomorphism on \mathcal{R} and preserves L^2 -norm, we have $\Phi|u| = 1$.i.m. $\Phi|u_n| = 1$.i.m. $|\Phi u_n| = |\Phi u|$. Thus we have proved the first half of (Φ .2). The latter half is similarly proved.

The property $(\Phi.3)$ follows from $(\Phi.2)$. In fact, for $u \in L_0^2(X; m)$ with $\|u\|_\infty = a < +\infty$, we have $|\Phi u| = \Phi(|u|) = \Phi(|u| \wedge a) = |\Phi u| \wedge a$ which means $\|\Phi u\|_\infty \leq \|u\|_\infty$. In the same way, we have $\|u'\|_\infty \geq \|\Phi^{-1}u'\|_\infty$ for $u' \in L^2(X'; m') \cap L^\infty(X'; m')$. To see that Φ is an algebraic isomorphism, take a $u \in L_0^2(X; m) \cap L^\infty(X; m)$ and a sequence $u_n \in \mathcal{R}$ which converges to u in L^2 -sense. We may assume that $|u_n| \leq \|u\|_\infty$. Then u_n^2 (resp. $(\Phi u_n)^2$) converges to u^2 (resp. $(\Phi u)^2$) in L^2 -sense. Since Φ is an algebraic isomorphism on \mathcal{R} , $\Phi(u^2) = \text{l.i.m. } \Phi(u_n^2) = (\Phi u)^2$.

(III) *Induced D-space* $(X', m', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$. By means of the preceding map Φ on $L_0^2(X; m) \supset \mathcal{F}$, we define

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}' &= \Phi(\mathcal{F}), \\ \mathcal{E}'(u', v') &= \mathcal{E}(\Phi^{-1}u', \Phi^{-1}v'), \quad u', v' \in \mathcal{F}'. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $(X', m', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$ is a D -space.

Condition (D.1) for (X', m') has already been proved and (D.2) for $(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$ is obvious by the property $(\Phi.1)$ of Φ . Instead of proving (D.3), let us check an equivalent condition (D.3)' in Remark 2.1. Take $u' \in \mathcal{F}'$ and put $v' = (0 \vee u') \wedge 1$, $u = \Phi^{-1}u'$. Then we have $v' = 0 \vee \Phi u \wedge 1 = \Phi(0 \vee u \wedge 1)$ by $(\Phi.2)$. Since $v = 0 \vee u \wedge 1 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{E}(u, v) \leq \mathcal{E}(u, u)$, $v' \in \mathcal{F}'$ and $\mathcal{E}'(v', v') \leq \mathcal{E}'(u', u')$ proving (D.3)'.

(IV) $(X', m', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$ is equivalent to $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$. This is evident from $(\Phi.1)$, $(\Phi.3)$ and (5.7).

(V) $(X', m', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$ is regular. Φ preserves \mathcal{E}^{α_0} -norm and the uniform norm on $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{F} \cap L$. Hence by virtue of condition (C.2), $\mathcal{R}' = \Phi(\mathcal{R})$ is dense both in \mathcal{F}' and in $C(X')$. Since \mathcal{R} is the intersection of \mathcal{F} and the uniform closure of \mathcal{R} , the same relation holds for \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{F}' . Therefore

$$(5.8) \quad \mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{F}' \cap C(X').$$

On the other hand we have by (5.6),

$$(5.9) \quad \sup_{x' \in X'} |u'(x')| = m'\text{-ess sup}_{x' \in X'} |u'(x')|, \quad u' \in \mathcal{F}' \cap C(X').$$

Since $\mathcal{F}' \cap C(X')$ is dense in $C(X')$, (5.9) means that m' is everywhere dense on X' . The proof of (V) is complete.

The proof of Theorem 2 has ended.

The next remarks and lemma will state the meaning of Theorem 2 for special cases.

REMARK 5.1. Suppose that the given D -space $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ is regular. Since m is everywhere dense, $C(X)$ may be considered as a closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(X; m)$. Obviously $C(X)$ satisfies conditions (C.1) and (C.2). It also satisfies (C.3) because of $L^1(X; m) \cap C(X) \supset C_0(X)$. Therefore, we may consider the regular representation with respect to $C(X)$. However, as is well known, the character space of $C(X)$ coincides with X itself, and after all the regular representation goes back to the given regular D -space without any change.

LEMMA 5.1. Suppose that m is everywhere dense. Suppose further that an algebra L satisfies not only conditions (C.1), (C.2) and (C.3) but also the following.

(C.4) $L \subset C_0(X)$, L separates points of X and, at any $x \in X$, there is a $u \in L$ such that $u(x) \neq 0$.

Let $(X', m', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$ be the regular representation with respect to this L . Then,

(i) X is continuously embedded onto a dense subset of X' . By this embedding, any Borel set of X goes to a Borel set of X' and the restriction to X of any Borel set of X' is a Borel set of X (with respect to the original topology).

(ii) For any Borel subset A of X' , $m'(A) = m(A \cap X)$. Therefore, the space $(L^2(X'; m'), (\cdot, \cdot)_{X'})$ is identified with the space $(L^2(X; m), (\cdot, \cdot)_X)$.

(iii) By the above identification, $(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$ is equal to $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$.

Proof. By virtue of (C.4), the map q of (4.3) from X onto a dense subset of X' is not only continuous but also one-to-one. The rest of the lemma is obvious.

REMARK 5.2. Consider the situation of Example 1 of §3. If ∂D is of class C^1 , then the space $L = \{u \in C_0(D); u \text{ is continuously extendable to } \bar{D}\}$ satisfies conditions (C.1)~(C.4). $\{\bar{D}, dx, \mathcal{E}_{L^2}^1, (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1}\}$ is just the regular representation of $\{D, dx, \mathcal{E}_{L^2}^1, (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1}\}$ with respect to this L . In this case, D is homeomorphically embedded into \bar{D} . Coming back to the general case of Lemma 5.1, X is homeomorphically embedded onto a dense subset of X' if and only if for any $x_0 \in X$ and $Y \subset X$ such as $x_0 \notin \bar{Y}$, there exists $u \in L$ such that $u(x_0) = 1$ and $u(x) = 0$ on Y .

6. Strongly regular representations. Suppose that we are given a D -space $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$. Denote by $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$ its associated L^2 -resolvent.

LEMMA 6.1. (i) G_α makes the space $L^2(X; m) \cap L^\infty(X; m)$ invariant and

$$(6.1) \quad \|G_\alpha u\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|u\|_\infty, \quad u \in L^2 \cap L^\infty.$$

(ii) G_α makes the space $L^\infty(X; m) \cap L^1(X; m) (\subset L^2(X; m))$ invariant and

$$(6.2) \quad \int_X |G_\alpha u(x)| m(dx) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_X |u(x)| m(dx), \quad u \in L^\infty \cap L^1.$$

Inequality (6.2) for $u \in C_0(X)$ has already been proved in the proof of Theorem 2(i). The proof for $u \in L^\infty \cap L^1$ is the same. The rest of Lemma 6.1 is clear.

Owing to Lemma 6.1(i), G_α on $L^2 \cap L^\infty$ can be uniquely extended to a linear operator \bar{G}_α on $L_0^\infty(X; m)$ (the closure of $L^2 \cap L^\infty$ in L^∞). $\{\bar{G}_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$ is a *sub-Markov resolvent* on L_0^∞ , that is,

(\bar{G} .1) If $u \in L_0^\infty$ and $0 \leq u \leq 1$ m -a.e. then $0 \leq \bar{G}_\alpha u \leq 1$ m -a.e.

$$(\bar{G}.2) \quad \bar{G}_\alpha - \bar{G}_\beta + (\alpha - \beta) \bar{G}_\alpha \bar{G}_\beta = 0, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0.$$

A closed subalgebra L of $L_0^\infty(X; m)$ is said to satisfy *condition (R)* if it enjoys the following two properties.

(R.1) $\bar{G}_\alpha(L) \subset L$ for every $\alpha > 0$.

(R.2) L is generated by a countable subset L_0 of $\mathcal{F} \cap L$ such that each $u \in L_0$ is nonnegative and satisfies $\alpha \bar{G}_{\alpha+\alpha_0} u \leq u$, m -a.e., $\alpha > 0$.

THEOREM 3. (i) *There exists an L satisfying condition (R) as well as (C).* (ii) *Fix an L which satisfies (C) and (R). The regular representation of the given D -space with respect to this L turns out to be strongly regular.*

We need the next lemma for the proof of Theorem 3(i).

LEMMA 6.2. *Let S_0 be a set of countable nonnegative functions in $\mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty \cap L^1$. Then, there exists a set S possessing the following features.*

(S.1) $S \supset S_0$ and S is a countably generated subalgebra of $\mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty \cap L^1$. Each function of S is expressed as a difference of nonnegative functions of S .

(S.2) For any $\alpha > 0$, \bar{G}_α makes the space \bar{S} invariant, \bar{S} being the closure of S in L^∞ .

Proof. According to F. Knight [11, Lemma 1], we construct S as follows. Starting with S_0 , assume S_1, \dots, S_n are defined. Define S_{n+1} as an algebra generated by $\{S_n, G_{a_1}(S_n), \dots, G_{a_n}(S_n), G_{a_{n+1}}(S_n)\}$, where $\{a_k\}$ is the set of all positive rational numbers. Put $S = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty S_n$, which satisfies condition (S.1) by virtue of Lemma 6.1 and of the fact that $\mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty \cap L^1$ is an algebra (Lemma 4.1). It is easy to see that condition (S.2) is met.

Proof of Theorem 3(i). Let D_0^+ be a countable subset of $C_0^+(X)$ such that the set $D_0 = \{u = u_1 - u_2; u_i \in D_0^+, i = 1, 2\}$ has the property in the proof of Theorem 2(i). Put $S_0 = G_{\alpha_0}(D_0^+)$, which satisfies the following.

(S₀.1) S_0 is a countable set of nonnegative functions in $\mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty \cap L^1$.

(S₀.2) The set $\{u = u_1 - u_2; u_i \in S_0, i = 1, 2\}$ is dense in $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0})$.

(S₀.3) $\alpha G_{\alpha+\alpha_0} u \leq u$ m -a.e. for $u \in S_0$ and $\alpha > 0$.

For such an S_0 , let S be a set which satisfies conditions (S.1) and (S.2) of Lemma 6.2. By (S.1), there exists a set \tilde{S} of countable nonnegative functions in S whose linearization is just S . Let us put

$$(6.3) \quad L_0 = S_0 \cup G_{\alpha_0}(\tilde{S}),$$

$$(6.4) \quad L = \text{the closed subalgebra of } L^\infty \text{ generated by } L_0,$$

then the space L meets both conditions (C) and (R).

In order to check condition (C) of §5, denote by \mathcal{R}_0 the algebra generated by L_0 . By (S₀.1), (S.1) and Lemma 6.1, L_0 and hence \mathcal{R}_0 are included in $\mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty \cap L^1$. Notice that $\mathcal{R}_0 \subset \mathcal{F} \cap L$ and that L is the closure of \mathcal{R}_0 in L^∞ . Therefore both $\mathcal{F} \cap L$ and $L^1(X; m) \cap L$ are dense in L . Since \mathcal{R}_0 contains the set of (S₀.2), $\mathcal{F} \cap L$ is dense in $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0})$.

Coming to condition (R), it is clear that condition (R.2) is satisfied by L_0 of (6.3). Observe that L is the closed subalgebra of L^∞ generated by $S_0 \cup \bar{G}_{\alpha_0}(\tilde{S})$

By conditions (S.1) and (S.2), this means $L \subset \bar{S}$ and hence $\bar{G}_\alpha(L) \subset \bar{G}_\alpha(\bar{S}) = \bar{G}_{\alpha_0}(\bar{S}) \subset L$ proving property (R.1) for L .

Proof of Theorem 3(ii). Let us fix an L which satisfies conditions (C) and (R) and let $(X', m', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$ be the regular representation with respect to L according to Theorem 2(ii). We have to prove that $(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$ is generated by a Ray resolvent kernel on X' and $\mathcal{F}' \cap C(X')$ contains a set C'_1 attached to the Ray resolvent (Definition 2.5).

A Ray resolvent can be constructed by Φ of (4.1) which is an algebraic isomorph and isometry from L onto $C(X')$. Φ is a lattice isomorph and satisfies $\Phi(u \wedge 1) = (\Phi u) \wedge 1$ for $u \in L$. Indeed,

$$(6.5) \quad \bar{G}'_\alpha u' = \Phi \bar{G}_\alpha \Phi^{-1} u', \quad u' \in C(X'), \quad \alpha > 0,$$

$$(6.6) \quad C'_1 = \Phi(L_0)$$

define a Ray resolvent operator $\{\bar{G}'_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$ on $C(X')$ and a set C'_1 attached to it.

\bar{G}'_α is a sub-Markov resolvent on $C(X')$ on account of (R.1) for L and $(\bar{G}.1)$, $(\bar{G}.2)$ for \bar{G}_α on L_0^∞ . (R.2) implies that C'_1 generates the closed algebra $C(X')$ and so that C'_1 separates points of X' and, for any $x' \in X'$, there exists $u' \in C'_1$ nonvanishing at x' . The inequalities $u' \geq 0$, $\alpha \bar{G}'_{\alpha+\alpha_0} u' \leq u'$ for $u' \in C'_1$ are obvious from (R.2).

We see that C'_1 is included in $\mathcal{F}' \cap C(X')$ because of (5.8) and (R.2).

Finally, let us prove that $\{\bar{G}'_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$ generates the space $(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$. It suffices to show

$$(6.7) \quad \bar{G}'_\alpha u = G'_\alpha u, \quad m'\text{-a.e.}, \quad u \in L^2(X'; m') \cap C(X'),$$

where $\{G'_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$ is the L^2 -resolvent associated with $(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$.

Observe that G'_α is related to the L^2 -resolvent G_α associated with $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ as follows.

$$(6.8) \quad G'_\alpha u' = \Phi_2 G_\alpha \Phi_2^{-1} u', \quad u' \in L^2(X'; m').$$

Here, Φ_2 denotes the unitary map from $L_0^2(X; m)$ onto $L^2(X'; m')$ as appeared in step (II) of the proof of Theorem 2(ii). We have indeed by (5.7), $\mathcal{E}'^\alpha(G'_\alpha u', v') = (u', v')_{X'} = (\Phi_2^{-1} u', \Phi_2^{-1} v')_X = \mathcal{E}^\alpha(G_\alpha \Phi_2^{-1} u', \Phi_2^{-1} v') = \mathcal{E}'^\alpha(\Phi_2 G_\alpha \Phi_2^{-1} u', v')$ for any $v' \in \mathcal{F}'$.

Since Φ and Φ_2 coincide on $\mathcal{F} \cap L$ and \bar{G}_α is equal to G_α on $\mathcal{F} \cap L$, (6.5) and (6.8) lead us to the equality (6.7) for $u' \in \mathcal{F}' \cap C(X')$. However $\mathcal{F}' \cap C(X')$ is dense in $C(X')$. Therefore, taking sub-Markovity of \bar{G}'_α and G'_α into account, we get (6.7) for $u' \in L^2(X'; m') \cap C(X')$.

The proof of Theorem 3 is complete.

The next lemma expresses the meaning of Theorem 3 for a special case.

LEMMA 6.1. *Suppose that m is everywhere dense. Suppose further that the next condition is satisfied.*

(G.3) $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ is generated by a symmetric resolvent kernel $\{\tilde{G}_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$ on X such that \tilde{G}_α transforms $C_b(X)$ into $C_b(X)$ and $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha \tilde{G}_\alpha u(x) = u(x)$ for any $x \in X$, $u \in C_b(X)$.

(i) There exists then an algebra L which satisfies not only (C) and (R) but also the additional condition (C.4) of Lemma 5.1.

(ii) Let $(X', m', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$ be the regular representation with respect to such an L . Then, this is strongly regular and X is embedded onto a dense subset of X' in such a way as Lemma 5.1. The associated Ray resolvent kernel \bar{G}'_α on X' is an extension of \tilde{G}_α of (G.3) in the following sense. For any Borel set A of X ,

$$(6.9) \quad \bar{G}'_\alpha(x, A) = \tilde{G}_\alpha(x, A), \quad x \in X.$$

Proof. (i) By replacing L^2 -resolvent $\{G_\alpha\}$ with the smooth resolvent $\{\tilde{G}_\alpha\}$ of (G.3), we can repeat the arguments of the proof of Theorem 3(i) to get an L in $C_b(X)$. Moreover, $S_0 (\subset L)$ separates points of X . In fact, assume that $\tilde{G}_{\alpha_0} u(x) = \tilde{G}_{\alpha_0} u(y)$ for every $u \in D_0^+$. Then, it is valid for $u \in C_b(X)$. Hence $\alpha \tilde{G}_\alpha u(x) = \alpha \tilde{G}_\alpha u(y)$ for all $\alpha > 0$ and $u \in C_b(X)$. By letting α tend to infinity, we have $u(x) = u(y)$, $u \in C_b(X)$, which means $x = y$. In the same way, we see the existence of some function of S_0 nonvanishing at any preassigned point of X .

(ii) The identity (6.8) is equivalent to

$$(6.10) \quad \bar{G}'_\alpha u'(x) = \tilde{G}_\alpha u(x), \quad u' \in C(X'), \quad x \in X,$$

where $u = u'|_X$ the restriction of u' to X . The right-hand side of (6.10) makes sense because $u \in C_b(X)$. Since (4.3) implies $u'|_X = \Phi^{-1}u'$ for any $u' \in C(X')$, we have

$$\bar{G}'_\alpha u'|_X = \Phi^{-1} \bar{G}'_\alpha u' = \Phi^{-1} \Phi \bar{G}'_\alpha \Phi^{-1} u' = \bar{G}_\alpha u, \quad u' \in C(X'), \quad \text{by (6.5).}$$

However, \bar{G}_α and \tilde{G}_α are identical on L for they are on $L^2(X; m) \cap C(X)$.

REMARK 6.1. We may consider that Theorem 3 treats the problem of finding strong Markov processes for a given resolvent operator. Theorem 3 solves this problem demanding that the construction procedure does not change the structure of certain associated function spaces. If we take off such a demand, we have much more possibilities of getting strong Markov processes. The proof of Theorem 3 indicates the following.

Suppose that we are given a sub-Markov resolvent operator $\{\bar{G}_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$ on a closed subalgebra A of $B(X)$ or $L^\infty(X; m)$. Here, $B(X)$ denotes the space of bounded functions with uniform norm. No kind of assumption of symmetry is imposed on \bar{G}_α .

(I) If we are given a closed subalgebra L of A which satisfies condition (R)⁽⁷⁾, then (6.5) defines a Ray resolvent (and consequently a strong Markov process of Ray in the sense of Remark 2.2(ii)) on the very character space X' of L .

⁽⁷⁾ Here the term of condition (R) is used under a trivial modification that we do not require L_0 of (R.2) to be a subset of \mathcal{F} .

(II) Let D_0^+ be any countable subcollection of A^+ . Then D_0^+ generates an L satisfying condition (R) quite in the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 3.

Our method to get L which satisfies (R) is due to H. Kunita and T. Watanabe [12]. The above mentioned facts tell the generality of their method and the scope of the Ray process.

REMARK 6.2. Consider a bounded domain D of R^N . The D -space of Example 1 of §3 meets the condition (G.3) of Lemma 6.1. According to Lemma 6.1, we get its strongly regular representation accompanied by a Ray process on an extension D' of D . On the other hand, we adopted in [5] the compactification D^* of D with respect to $G_1(D_0^+)$ to serve as a state space of an extended strong Markov process—a reflecting Brownian motion. This process is not necessarily a Ray's one in the strict sense of the word. However, it turns out that $(D^*, dx, \mathcal{E}_{L^2}^1, (,)_{D,1})$ is a regular representation of the given D -space, for the algebra generated by $G_1(D_0^+)$ and 1 is obviously dense both in $C(D^*)$ and in $\mathcal{E}_{L^2}^1$.

The situation is quite the same for the D -space generated by each resolvent density of class G in [6].

Appendix. *Construction of D -spaces by means of completion.* Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff and separable space and m be a Radon measure on X . A pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$ is said to satisfy condition (A) if it enjoys the next three conditions.

(A.1) \mathcal{A} is a linear subspace of $L^2(X; m)$ and \mathcal{E} is a positive definite symmetric bilinear form on \mathcal{A} .

(A.2) If $u \in \mathcal{A}$, then $v = (0 \vee u) \wedge 1 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{E}(v, v) \leq \mathcal{E}(u, u)$.

(A.3) If $u_n \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $(u_n, u_n)_X \rightarrow 0$ and $\mathcal{E}(u_n - u_m, u_n - u_m) \rightarrow 0$, then

$$\mathcal{E}(u_n, u_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Condition (A.1) means that \mathcal{A} is a real pre-Hilbert space with respect to inner product $\mathcal{E}^\alpha(u, v) = \mathcal{E}(u, v) + \alpha(u, v)_X$, $u, v \in \mathcal{A}$, for each $\alpha > 0$.

THEOREM. Suppose that a pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$ satisfies condition (A). Let \mathcal{F} be the completion of \mathcal{A} with respect to a metric \mathcal{E}^{α_0} for a fixed $\alpha_0 > 0$. Then, $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ is a D -space.

Proof. (A.1) and (A.3) imply that \mathcal{F} is a linear subspace of $L^2(X; m)$ and that $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ satisfies the condition (D.2) of Definition 2.1. Therefore, for each $\alpha > 0$ and $u \in L^2(X; m)$, there exists $G_\alpha u \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{E}^\alpha(G_\alpha u, v) = (u, v)_X$ holds for any $v \in \mathcal{F}$. It suffices for us to show that $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$ is an L^2 -resolvent, because then $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ coincides with the D -space generated by $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$. Obviously $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$ satisfies the resolvent equation. To see its sub-Markov property, let us assume that $u \in L^2(X; m)$ and $0 \leq u \leq 1$ m -a.e.

If we put $\Phi(v) = \mathcal{E}(v, v) + \alpha(v - (1/\alpha)u, v - (1/\alpha)u)_X$ for $v \in \mathcal{F}$, then we have $\Phi(v) = \Phi(G_\alpha u) + \mathcal{E}^\alpha(G_\alpha u - v, G_\alpha u - v)$, which means that $G_\alpha u$ is a unique element of \mathcal{F} minimizing the quadratic form Φ on \mathcal{F} . Further we see that $v_n \in \mathcal{F}$ converges

to $G_\alpha u$ in \mathcal{E}^α -norm if and only if v_n is a minimizing sequence for Φ : $\Phi(v_n) \rightarrow \Phi(G_\alpha u)$.

Since \mathcal{A} is dense in \mathcal{F} in \mathcal{E}^α -norm, there exist $v_n \in \mathcal{A}$ which converges to $G_\alpha u$ in \mathcal{E}^α -norm. Put $w_n = (0 \vee v_n) \wedge (1/\alpha)$. By condition $(\mathcal{A}2)$, $w_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{E}(w_n, w_n) \leq \mathcal{E}(v_n, v_n)$. Now it is easy to see that $\Phi(G_\alpha u) \leq \Phi(w_n) \leq \Phi(v_n)$ for each n . However, v_n is a minimizing sequence for Φ and so that w_n is. Hence, w_n converges to $G_\alpha u$ in \mathcal{E}^α -norm and consequently a subsequence of w_n converges to $G_\alpha u$ m -a.e. Thus we get $0 \leq G_\alpha u \leq 1/\alpha$ m -a.e.

COROLLARY 1. *In addition to the condition in Theorem, we assume that m is everywhere dense on X and that \mathcal{A} is a dense subset of $C(X)$. Then $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ of the theorem is a regular D -space.*

COROLLARY 2. *Suppose that we are given a D -space $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$. Let \mathcal{A} be a subspace of \mathcal{F} such that $(0 \vee u) \wedge 1 \in \mathcal{A}$ whenever $u \in \mathcal{A}$. Denote by \mathcal{F}_0 the completion of \mathcal{A} with respect to \mathcal{E}^{α_0} -norm. Then, $(X, m, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{E})$ is a D -space.*

COROLLARY 3. *Suppose that we are given a D -space $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ with everywhere dense m . We assume that $\mathcal{F} \cap C_0(X)$ is dense in $C_0(X)$. Denote by \mathcal{F}_0 the completion of $\mathcal{F} \cap C_0(X)$ with respect to \mathcal{E}^{α_0} -norm. Then, $(X, m, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{E})$ is a regular D -space.*

REFERENCES

1. A. Beurling and J. Deny, *Dirichlet spaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **45** (1959), 208–215. MR **21** #5098.
2. J. Deny, *Principe complet du maximum et contractions*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **15** (1965), fasc. 1, 259–272. MR **32** #5913.
3. J. Deny and J. L. Lions, *Les espaces du type de Beppo Levi*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **5** (1953/54), 305–370. MR **17**, 646.
4. J. L. Doob, *Boundary properties of functions with finite Dirichlet integrals*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **12** (1962), 573–621. MR **30** #3992.
5. M. Fukushima, *A construction of reflecting barrier Brownian motions for bounded domains*, Osaka J. Math. **4** (1967), 183–215. MR **37** #6999.
6. ———, *On boundary conditions for multi-dimensional Brownian motions with symmetric resolvent densities*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **21** (1969), 58–93. MR **38** #5291.
7. ———, *Dirichlet spaces and their representations*, Seminar on Probability **31** (1969). (Japanese)
8. ———, *On Dirichlet spaces and Dirichlet rings*, Proc. Japan Acad. **45** (1969), 433–436.
9. ———, *Dirichlet spaces and strong Markov processes* (to appear).
10. I. M. Gel'fand, D. A. Raikov and G. E. Šilov, *Commutative normed rings*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1960; English transl., Chelsea, New York, 1964. MR **23** #A1242; MR **34** #4940.
11. F. Knight, *Note on regularization of Markov processes*, Illinois J. Math. **9** (1965), 548–552. MR **31** #1713.
12. H. Kunita and T. Watanabe, *Some theorems concerning resolvents over locally compact spaces*, Proc. Fifth Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Prob. (Berkeley, Calif., 1965/66), vol. II: Contributions to Probability Theory, part 2, Univ. of California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1967, pp. 131–164. MR **35** #4999.

13. L. H. Loomis, *An introduction to abstract harmonic analysis*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1953. MR **14**, 883.
14. S. Mizohata, *The theory of partial differential equations*, Contemporary Math., no. 9, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1965. (Japanese) MR **38** #396.
15. D. Ray, *Resolvents, transition functions, and strongly Markovian processes*, Ann. of Math. (2) **70** (1959), 43–72. MR **21** #6027.
16. T. Shiga and T. Watanabe, *On Markov chains similar to the reflecting barrier Brownian motion*, Osaka J. Math. **5** (1968), 1–33. MR **39** #7676.

TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION,

TOKYO, JAPAN

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS,

URBANA, ILLINOIS 61801