HOMEOMORPHISMS WITH POLYHEDRAL IRREGULAR SETS. I

BY

P. F. DUVALL, JR. AND L. S. HUSCH⁽¹⁾

ABSTRACT. Homeomorphisms on open manifolds with polyhedral irregular sets are studied. For high dimensions, necessary and sufficient conditions for certain codimension three irregular sets to be tame are given. Several examples of homeomorphisms with wild irregular sets are given.

1. Introduction. A homeomorphism b on a metric space (X, d) is *regular* (positively regular) at x if, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $d(x, y) < \delta$ implies that $d(b^i(x), b^i(y)) < \epsilon$ for each integer i ($i > 0$). Two homeomorphisms, b_0 and b_1 , on a space X are *topologically equivalent* if there exists a homeomorphism k of X onto itself such that $b_1 = k^{-1}b_0k$. B. V. Kerékjártó [22] showed that homeomorphisms on the 2-sphere which are regular except possibly on a finite number of points were topologically equivalent to fractional linear transformations of complex numbers. S. Kinoshita ([24], [25]), T. Homma and S. Kinoshita [15], and L. Husch ([18], [19], [20]) extended these investigations into higher dimensions. S. Kaul [21] investigated homeomorphisms which fail to be regular on compact zero dimensional sets.

Suppose M is an open manifold which is an open mapping cylinder neighborhood of some continuum $P \subseteq M$. By using the product structure of $M - P$, it is possible to define a homeomorphism b of M onto itself such that b is regular everywhere except on P and is positively regular everywhere. The metric on M is to be the metric induced from the metric of the one point compactification of M , $M \cup \{\infty\}$. Note, in addition, the extension of b to $M \cup \{\infty\}$ is not regular at ∞ ; we shall say " b is not regular at ∞ ".

In this paper we investigate homeomorphisms of open manifolds M which are regular except at ∞ and on a compact polyhedron P and explore to what extent we obtain a converse to the above construction. Our main question is whether P need be tamely embedded in M . The answer is no by Theorem 7.1. We define a property of continua, AFG, which provides a necessary and sufficient condition for certain b , M and P (Theorem 4.9) so that P be tamely embedded.

Received by the editors June 7, 1971 and, in revised form, February 3, 1972 and August 15, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 57E99.

(1) Research of the second author was partially supported by NSF Grant GP-33872.

Copyright © 1973, American Mathematical Society

In §2 we have the preliminaries and in §3 we define AFG and develop the theory of continua having AFG. §4 and §5 contain the proof of the necessity and sufficiency of AFG in taming polyhedral sets. In §6 we consider mapping cylinder neighborhoods and in §7 we have several examples related to extending this theory.

2. Preliminaries. If U is an open connected n -manifold with a finite number of ends [13], let $U_\infty = U \cup \{\infty\}$ be the one-point compactification of U . We note that, in general, the regularity of a homeomorphism depends upon the metric. However, if the space we are working with is compact, then regularity does not depend upon the metric. Hence when we consider open manifolds, we shall consider the metric induced from the one point compactification. We say that a homeomorphism is *not regular at ∞* if the induced homeomorphism b_∞ of U_∞ is not regular at ∞ . [If we do not assume that U has a finite number of ends, then we can consider the Freudenthal end point compactification U_* of U . If b is a homeomorphism of U , then there is induced a unique homeomorphism b_* of U_* . If b_* is regular except on $U_* - U$, then it follows from [21] that U has either one or two ends (see [11] for details). Hence there is no loss of generality in assuming that U has a finite number of ends and we shall only consider such U in this paper.]

Let U be a connected open n -manifold and let P be a k -dimensional continuum in U , $k + 2 \leq n$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let b be a homeomorphism of U onto itself such that b is regular on $U - P$ and is not regular on $P \cup \{\infty\}$. For each compact set $C \subseteq U - P$, either $\lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} b^i(C) = \infty$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} b^i(C)$ is a nonempty subset of P or $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} b^i(C) = \infty$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} b^i(C)$ is a nonempty subset of P .*

Proof. Let U_0 be the decomposition space obtained from U_∞ by collapsing P to a point and let $\pi: U_\infty \rightarrow U_0$ be the natural projection. Define $b_0: U_0 \rightarrow U_0$ by $b_0(\pi(x)) = \pi(b(x))$ for each $x \in U$ and $b_0(\pi(\infty)) = \pi(\infty)$. Note that b_0 is a homeomorphism of U_0 onto itself. It is easily seen that b_0 is regular on $U_0 - \{\pi(P), \pi(\infty)\}$. Since $b_0(\pi(P)) = \pi(P)$ and $b_0(\pi(\infty)) = \pi(\infty)$, b_0 fails to be regular at $\pi(P)$ and $\pi(\infty)$ [16]. Hence, by [24], for each compact set $C \subseteq U_0 - \{\pi(P), \pi(\infty)\}$, either $\lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} b_0^i(C) = \pi(\infty)$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} b_0^i(C) = \pi(P)$ or $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} b_0^i(C) = \pi(\infty)$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} b_0^i(C) = \pi(P)$. The result now follows easily.

Corollary 2.2. *If for each compactum $C \subseteq U - P$, $\lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} b^i(C) = \infty$, then for each compactum $C \subseteq U$, $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} b^i(C) \subseteq P$.*

It follows from the proof of Proposition 2.1 and [16] that if we assume that P has a finite number of components then P is connected.

For each $x \in U$, let $O(x) = \text{cl}\{b^i(x)\}_{i=0}^\infty$ and $K(x) = \bigcap_{i=0}^\infty O(b^i(x))$. Suppose $y, z \in O(x)$, $y = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} b^{i_n}(x)$, $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} b^{j_n}(x)$; define $y \cdot z = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} b^{i_n + j_n}(x)$. The following was shown in [10].

Proposition 2.3. *If h is positively regular on U , then*

- (i) $O(x)$ is a topological semigroup with the multiplication defined as above;
- (ii) $K(x)$ is an ideal in $O(x)$ and hence is a topological group;
- (iii) if $y \in K(x)$, then $O(y) = K(x)$.

Corollary 2.4. *If h is positively regular on U and $h|P$ is the identity, then for each $x \in U$, $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} b^i(x)$ exists and the function $f(x) = \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} b^i(x)$ is a retraction of U to P .*

Proof. Suppose $x \in U$ and let $z \in K(x)$. Hence $z = \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} b^{in}(x)$; since $h(z) = z$, by 2.3 (iii), $K(x) = \{z\}$. It follows that $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} b^i(x)$ exists. By Proposition 2.1 and positive regularity, $f(x) \in P$ for each $x \in U$. The continuity of f follows from positive regularity.

Proposition 2.5. *Let U be a connected open n -manifold and let P be a k -dimensional continuum in U , $k + 2 \leq n$. Let h be a homeomorphism of U onto itself which is regular except on $P \cup \{\infty\}$, $h|P$ is the identity and h is positively regular everywhere. Then*

- (i) P is an absolute neighborhood retract;
- (ii) the inclusion $P \subseteq U$ is a homotopy equivalence;
- (iii) the natural projection p of $U - P$ onto the orbit space $\hat{U}$ of $h|U - P$ is a covering map;
- (iv) $\hat{U}$ is a closed n -manifold;
- (v) f induces a map $\hat{f}: \hat{U} \rightarrow P$ such that $\hat{f}p = f$.

Proof. (i) Follows from Proposition 2.4.

To show (ii), by [35, p. 405], it suffices to show that the induced map $f_{\#}: \pi_i(U) \rightarrow \pi_i(P)$ is one-to-one. Let λ be a mapping of the i -sphere S^i into U such that $f\lambda$ is inessential. There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $d(g(x), f\lambda(x)) < \epsilon$ for all $x \in S^i$, then g and $f\lambda$ are homotopic. By Corollary 2.2, there exists j such that $d(h^j\lambda(x), f\lambda(x)) < \epsilon$ for all $x \in S^i$. Hence λ is inessential.

(iii) and (iv) follow from [23] and [24]. We leave (v) for the reader.

We shall assume familiarity with either [17] or [40]. Let $f: N^n \rightarrow M^m$ be an embedding of a manifold into a manifold; f is *locally flat* if for each $x \in N^n$, there exists a neighborhood U of $f(x)$ in M^m such that the pair $(U, U \cap f(N^n))$ is homeomorphic to either (R^m, R^n) or (R^m, R_+^n) where R^i is Euclidean i -space and R_+^i is Euclidean half- i -space with usual inclusions. An embedding $f: P \rightarrow M$ of a polyhedron P into a manifold M is *locally flat* if for some triangulation K of P , f restricted to each open simplex in K is locally flat. $f: P \rightarrow M$ is *locally tame* at $x \in P$ if there is a neighborhood U of p in M and a homeomorphism k of $\text{cl}(U)$ onto a subpolyhedron in M such that $k(\text{cl}(U \cap f(P)))$ is a subpolyhedron. f is *locally tame* if it is *locally tame* at each $x \in P$.

3. Local homotopy properties for embedded continua. In this section, we study the 1-dimensional homology and homotopy of the local neighborhood system of a continuum embedded in an ENR, Euclidean neighborhood retract—i.e. a retract of some open subset of some finite dimensional Euclidean space. The notion of AFG defined below was suggested by the studies of UV properties in [3], [28], [29] and 1-ALG in [14]; some of our proofs are similar to those in the above papers.

Definition 3.1. Suppose that A is a continuum embedded in the ENR X . We say that A has property AFG if there is a neighborhood W of A such that if U is any neighborhood of A , $U \subseteq W$, there is a neighborhood V of A such that $V \subseteq U$ and, whenever $f: S^1 \rightarrow V$ is a map such that $f_*: H_1(S^1) \rightarrow H_1(U)$ is the zero map, then f extends to a map of the disk into U .

We first show that AFG is a topological property. The following lemma is a result of standard local homotopy properties of ENR's.

Lemma 3.2. Let X be an ENR, $A \subseteq X$, a continuum. Let W be a neighborhood of A and $f: W \rightarrow X$ a map such that $f|_A \sim 1_A$ (" $\sim$ " means "is homotopic to"). Then for each neighborhood U of A there is a neighborhood V of A such that $f: V \rightarrow U$ is homotopic to the inclusion $V \subseteq U$.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that A and B are continua embedded in the ENR's X and Y respectively, and suppose that A dominates B . If A has the property AFG, then B has the property AFG.

Proof. Let $\rho: A \rightarrow B$ and $\eta: B \rightarrow A$ be maps such that $\rho\eta \sim 1_B$. By the neighborhood extension properties of ENR's [4], we may extend η to $\eta^*: W_0 \rightarrow X$, where W_0 is a neighborhood of B . Let W be the neighborhood of A promised by AFG and let W_1 be $\eta^{*-1}(W)$. Extend ρ to $\rho^*: W_2 \rightarrow W_1$, where W_2 is a neighborhood of A in W . Let U be a neighborhood, $B \subseteq U \subseteq W_1$, and let $U_1 = \rho^{*-1}(U)$. Let $V_1 \subseteq U_1$ be a neighborhood of A promised by AFG, and let $V_0 \subseteq U$ be a neighborhood of B promised by 3.2 for U and $\rho^*\eta^*$. Finally, let $V_2 = V_1 \cap \rho^{*-1}(V_0)$ and let $V = V_0 \cap \eta^{*-1}(V_2)$. Suppose that $f: S^1 \rightarrow V$ is a map such that $f_*: H_1(S^1) \rightarrow H_1(U)$ is the zero map. It follows that η^*f extends to a map of the disk into U_1 so $\rho^*\eta^*f$ extends to a map of the disk into U . But $\rho^*\eta^*f \sim f$ in U and the proof is complete.

Corollary 3.4. For continua, the AFG property depends only on homotopy type.

Proposition 3.5. If A has the homotopy type of a finite complex, A has property AFG if and only if $\pi_1(A)$ is abelian.

Proof. By 3.3, we may assume that A is a subcomplex of some Euclidean space. If $\pi_1(A)$ is abelian, let W be the interior of a regular neighborhood of A . If $U \subseteq W$ is any neighborhood of A , let V be the interior of a regular neighborhood of A , $V \subseteq U \subseteq W$. If $f: S^1 \rightarrow V$ is null homologous in U , it is null homologous and thus null homotopic in W , since $\pi_1(W) \cong \pi_1(A) \cong H_1(W)$.

If $\pi_1(A)$ is not abelian, let $f: S^1 \rightarrow A$ represent a nontrivial commutator in $\pi_1(A)$. Then f is null homologous but not null homotopic in every regular neighborhood of A , so that A cannot have property AFG.

Remark. 3.5 is not true for arbitrary A . For example, if A is the $\sin x^{-1}$ circle with a simple closed curve attached at a point, $\pi_1(A) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. But A does not have AFG.

Suppose that A is a continuum in the ENR X , then the collection $\{U \mid U \text{ is a neighborhood of } A\}$ is directed by inclusion, and we have the corresponding inverse system $\{H_1(U); i_*\}$ where the $H_1(U)$ are the singular homology groups with $\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients and the bonding maps are induced by inclusion. We let $\check{H}_1(A)$ be the inverse limit of this system. Similarly, choosing a base point $* \in A$, we define $\check{\pi}_1(A, *)$ to be the inverse limit of groups $\pi_1(U, *)$.

Proposition 3.6. *If X is an ENR, and $A \subseteq X$ is a continuum with AFG, then $\check{\pi}_1(A, *)$ is abelian.*

Proof. Let $A \subseteq \dots \subseteq U_{n+1} \subseteq U_n \subseteq \dots$ be a cofinal sequence of neighborhoods of A in X . The Hurewicz map $b_n: \pi_1(U_n, *) \rightarrow H_1(U_n)$ gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \check{\pi}_1(A, *) & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & \pi_1(U_n, *) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \pi_1(U_{n-1}, *) \rightarrow \\ \downarrow \bar{b} & & & & \downarrow b_n & & \downarrow b_{n-1} \\ \check{H}_1(A) & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & H_1(U_n) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_1(U_{n-1}) \rightarrow, \end{array}$$

where $\bar{b}$ is the inverse limit of the b_n . If we choose the U_n so that $U_1 = W$ and U_{n+1} is the V guaranteed for U_n in the definition of AFG, it follows that $b_n/\text{image}(i_*)$ is monic, which implies that $\bar{b}$ is monic, so that $\check{\pi}(A, *)$ embeds in the abelian group $\check{H}_1(A)$.

Remark. 3.6 is false if $\check{\pi}_1$ is replaced by π_1 . Let A_0 be the $\sin x^{-1}$ curve union an isolated point in R^2 , and let $A \subseteq R^3$ be the suspension of A_0 . Then A is AFG, but $\pi_1(A)$ is free on two generators.

Recall that an inverse limit system is said to be *essentially constant* if it has a cofinal subsequence $\dots \rightarrow G_3 \xrightarrow{f_2} G_2 \xrightarrow{f_1} G_1$ such that $f_i: \text{image}(f_{i+1}) \rightarrow \text{image}(f_i)$ is an isomorphism for each i . For such a system, the projection of the

inverse limit onto $\text{image}(f_i)$ is an isomorphism for each i . We will need the following proposition in the next section.

Proposition 3.7. *Suppose that A is AFG, A is embedded in the ENR X , $\{U_\alpha\}$ is the collection of neighborhoods of A , and $* \in A$. If $\{H_i(U_\alpha)\}$ is essentially constant, then $\{\pi_1(U_\alpha, *)\}$ is essentially constant, and $\bar{h}: \check{\pi}_1(A, *) \rightarrow \check{H}_1(A)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $U_1 \supseteq U_2 \supseteq \dots$ be a cofinal sequence of neighborhoods of A guaranteed by the definition of essentially constant for $\{H_1(U_\alpha)\}$. We may assume that the U_i 's are chosen as in the proof of 3.6. Then we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{j_{n+1}} & \pi_1(U_n, *) & \xrightarrow{j_n} & \pi_1(U_{n-1}, *) & \xrightarrow{j_{n-1}} & \dots \\ & & \downarrow b_n & & \downarrow b_{n-1} & & \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{i_{n+1}} & H_1(U_n) & \xrightarrow{i_n} & H_1(U_{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{i_{n-1}} & \dots \end{array}$$

where b_n is the Hurewicz map and i_n, j_n are induced by inclusion. As before, $b_n|_{\text{image}(j_{n+1})}$ is monic, and since $b_n j_{n+1} = i_{n+1} b_{n+1}$ and b_{n+1} is onto, $b_n(\text{image } j_{n+1}) = \text{image } i_{n+1}$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & \text{image}(j_{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{j_n} & \text{image}(j_n) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & \downarrow b_n & & \downarrow b_{n-1} & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \text{image}(i_{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{i_n} & \text{image}(i_n) & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

where b_n, b_{n-1}, i_n are isomorphisms. The proposition follows.

Proposition 3.8. *With the same notation as above, if A is an ENR, then $\{\pi_1(U_\alpha, *)\}$ is essentially constant and $\check{\pi}_1(A, *) \simeq \pi_1(A, *)$.*

Proof. As before choose a cofinal sequence $U_1 \supseteq U_2 \supseteq \dots$. By standard properties of ENR's we may choose the U_i 's so that there is a retraction $\rho: U_1 \rightarrow A$ and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & U_n \\ & \swarrow \rho & \nearrow \subseteq \\ & U_{n+1} & \end{array}$$

is homotopy commutative. Thus we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \pi_1(A, *) & & \\
 & \nearrow \rho_* & & \nwarrow \rho_* & \\
 & i_* & & i_* & \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(U_{n+1}, *) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & \pi_1(U_n, *) & \longrightarrow \dots
 \end{array}$$

where ρ_* is induced by ρ and i_*, j_* are induced by inclusion, $\rho_* i_* = 1$, $i_* \rho_* = j_*$. A straightforward diagram chase completes the proof.

4. Sufficiency of AFG. Let U be an open connected n -manifold, let b be a homeomorphism of U onto itself such that b is regular except at ∞ and, on a compact polyhedron P of dimension $k \leq n-3$, b is positively regular everywhere and $b|P$ is the identity. Let $f: U \rightarrow P$ be the retraction given in Corollary 2.4, let $q: U - P \rightarrow X$ be the natural projection onto the orbit space of $b|U - P$ and let $\hat{f}: X \rightarrow P$ be the map induced from f .

If G is an Abelian group and K is a group of operators of G generated by k , let

$$G_K = G/\{(1-k)g \mid g \in G\}, \quad G^K = \{g \in G \mid k(g) = g\}.$$

Proposition 4.1. *If $p \in P$, let $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a neighborhood basis at p of contractible open sets in P such that $U_{i+1} \subseteq U_i$ for all i . Then $\{H_1(\hat{f}^{-1}U_i)\}$ is essentially constant and $\check{H}_1(\hat{f}^{-1}p)$ is isomorphic to the integers.*

Proof. Let Z be the group generated by the map induced by $b|f^{-1}U_i - U_i$ on $H_1(f^{-1}U_i - U_i)$. From Serre [32] we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_1(f^{-1}U_i - U_i)_Z \rightarrow H_1(\hat{f}^{-1}U_i) \rightarrow H_0(f^{-1}U_i - U_i)^Z \rightarrow 0$$

It is easily seen that the inclusion maps induce a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 0 \rightarrow H_1(f^{-1}U_i - U_i)_Z & \rightarrow & H_1(\hat{f}^{-1}U_i) & \rightarrow & H_0(f^{-1}U_i - U_i)^Z \rightarrow 0 \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 \rightarrow H_1(f^{-1}U_{i+1} - U_{i+1})_Z & \rightarrow & H_1(\hat{f}^{-1}U_{i+1}) & \rightarrow & H_0(f^{-1}U_{i+1} - U_{i+1})^Z \rightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

Since $f^{-1}U_i$ is contractible, $H_1(f^{-1}U_i - U_i) \cong H_2(f^{-1}U_i, f^{-1}U_i - U_i)$. By [35, p. 342], $H_2(f^{-1}U_i, f^{-1}U_i - U_i) \cong \bar{H}_c^{n-2}(U_i)$ and, by [35, p. 340], $\bar{H}_c^{n-2}(U_i) \cong H_c^{n-2}(U_i) \cong 0$. Hence $H_1(f^{-1}U_i - U_i) \cong 0$ and $H_1(f^{-1}U_i - U_i)_Z \cong 0$.

Note that if M is a connected orientable manifold and b is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of M onto itself, b induces the identity map on $H_0(M)$; hence

$$H_0(f^{-1}U_i - U_i)^Z \cong H_0(f^{-1}U_i - U_i).$$

From the above diagram it follows that inclusion induces an isomorphism $H_1(\hat{f}^{-1}U_{i+1}) \cong H_1(\hat{f}^{-1}U_i)$.

Proposition 4.2. *For each i , the inclusion induces one-to-one homomorphisms $H_1(\hat{f}^{-1}U_i) \rightarrow H_1(X)$.*

Proof. The result follows from the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow H_1(f^{-1}U_i - U_i)_Z & \rightarrow & H_1(\hat{f}^{-1}U_i) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_0(f^{-1}U_i - U_i)^Z & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \\ 0 \rightarrow H_1(U - P)_Z & \longrightarrow & H_1(X) & \longrightarrow & H_0(U - P)^Z & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose $\hat{f}^{-1}(p)$ has AFG for some $p \in P$; then there exists a neighborhood basis at p of contractible open sets $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in P such that $U_{i+1} \subseteq U_i$ for all i and, if σ is a loop in $\hat{f}^{-1}U_{i+1}$ such that σ is homologous to zero in $\hat{f}^{-1}U_i$, then σ is homotopically trivial in $\hat{f}^{-1}U_i$.*

Proof. Let $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a neighborhood basis at p of contractible open sets in P such that $U_{i+1} \subseteq U_i$ for all i . Given U_i there exists an open set V such that $\hat{f}^{-1}(p) \subseteq V \subseteq \hat{f}^{-1}U_i$ and if σ is a loop in V such that σ is homologous to zero in $\hat{f}^{-1}U_i$, then σ is homotopically trivial in $\hat{f}^{-1}U_i$. It follows from usual compactness arguments that there exists j such that $\hat{f}^{-1}U_j \subseteq V$. The desired sequence is a subsequence of $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$.

Proposition 4.4. *Suppose $\hat{f}^{-1}(p)$ has AFG for some $p \in P$ and let $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be as in Proposition 4.3. Then for each i , the inclusion map induces the zero homomorphism $\pi_1(f^{-1}U_{i+1} - U_{i+1}) \rightarrow \pi_1(f^{-1}U_i - U_i)$.*

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(f^{-1}U_{i+1} - U_{i+1}) & \xrightarrow{k_i} & \pi_1(f^{-1}U_i - U_i) \\ \downarrow q_{i+1} & & \downarrow q_i \\ \pi_1(\hat{f}^{-1}U_{i+1}) & \xrightarrow{\hat{k}_i} & \pi_1(\hat{f}^{-1}U_i) \\ \downarrow H_{i+1} & & \downarrow H_i \\ H_1(\hat{f}^{-1}U_{i+1}) & \xrightarrow{K_i} & H_1(\hat{f}^{-1}U_i) \end{array}$$

where $k_i, \hat{k}_i, K_i$ are induced by inclusions, $q_i = q|f^{-1}U_i - U_i$, and H_i are the Hurewicz homomorphisms.

Suppose $\sigma \in \pi_1(f^{-1}U_{i+1} - U_{i+1})$; since $H_1(f^{-1}U_i - U_i) = 0$, $H_1 q_i k_i(\sigma) = 0$. $H_1 \hat{k}_i q_{i+1}(\sigma) = 0$ implies, by the previous proposition, that $\hat{k}_i q_{i+1}(\sigma) = 0$. Since q_i is one-to-one, $k_i(\sigma)$ is homotopically trivial.

Proposition 4.5. *Suppose $B_1 \subseteq B_2$ are open subsets of P ; then f_* : $\pi_1(f^{-1}(B_2) - B_2, f^{-1}(B_1) - B_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(B_2, B_1)$ is a bijection.*

Proof. Suppose $\lambda: [0, 1] \rightarrow B_2$ represents an element of $\pi_1(B_2, B_1)$; $\lambda(\{0, 1\}) \subseteq B_1$. Cover $\lambda([0, 1])$ by a finite number of contractible open sets $V_1, \dots, V_r$ such that each $V_i \subseteq B_2$. Let K be a simplicial complex such that $|K| = [0, 1]$ and $\sigma \in K$ implies $\lambda(\sigma) \subseteq V_i$ for some i .

If σ is a 0-simplex, let $\lambda'(\sigma)$ be any point in $f^{-1}(\lambda(\sigma)) - \lambda(\sigma)$. If σ is a 1-simplex and $\text{bdry } \sigma = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$, define $\lambda': \sigma \rightarrow f^{-1}V_i - V_i$ to be any path from $\lambda'(\sigma_1)$ to $\lambda'(\sigma_2)$ where $\lambda(\sigma) \subseteq V_i$. Clearly $p\lambda'$ and λ represent the same elements of $\pi_1(B_2, B_1)$. Hence f_* is onto.

Suppose $\lambda_i: [0, 1] \rightarrow f^{-1}(B_2) - B_2$ such that $\lambda_i(\{0, 1\}) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_1) - B_1$, $i = 0, 1$, and suppose there exists $\Lambda: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow B_2$ such that $\Lambda(x, i) = qp_i(x)$ and $\Lambda(i, t) \in B_1$, $i = 0, 1$; $x, t \in [0, 1]$. Cover $\Lambda([0, 1]^2)$ by a finite number of contractible open sets $V_1, \dots, V_r, \dots, V_s$ such that $V_1, \dots, V_r$ cover $\Lambda(\{0, 1\} \times [0, 1])$ and $V_i \subseteq B_1$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, and $V_i \subseteq B_2$, $i = 1, \dots, s$. We may assume that $V_i \subseteq V'_i \subseteq B_2$ where V'_i is contractible and each loop in $f^{-1}V_i - V_i$ is homotopically trivial in $f^{-1}V'_i - V'_i$.

Let K be a simplicial complex such that $|K| = [0, 1]^2$, K contains two subcomplexes L_0, L_1 such that $|L_i| = [0, 1] \times \{i\}$, $i = 0, 1$, and $\sigma \in K$ implies $\Lambda(\sigma) \subseteq V_i$ for some i . If σ is a 0-simplex in $K - (L_1 \cup L_2)$, choose $\Lambda'(\sigma) \in f^{-1}(\Lambda(\sigma)) - \Lambda(\sigma)$; if σ is a 0-simplex in L_i , choose $\Lambda'(\sigma) = \lambda_i(\sigma)$. If σ is a 1-simplex in $K - (L_1 \cup L_2)$, let W be the component which contains $\Lambda(\sigma)$ of the intersection of all V_i 's which contain $\Lambda(\sigma)$. Define $\Lambda'(\sigma)$ to be any path in $f^{-1}W - W$ which agrees with $\Lambda'(\text{bdry } \sigma)$. Note that if $\sigma \in \{0, 1\} \times [0, 1]$, $\Lambda'(\sigma) \subseteq f^{-1}B_1 - B_1$. If σ is a 1-simplex in L_i , let $\Lambda'(\sigma) = \lambda_i(\sigma)$. Let σ be a 2-simplex in K and suppose $\Lambda(\sigma) \subseteq V_i$. Hence $\Lambda'(\text{bdry } \sigma) \subseteq f^{-1}V_i - V_i$ and by hypothesis $\Lambda'(\text{bdry } \sigma)$ is homotopically trivial in $f^{-1}V'_i - V'_i$. Hence we can extend Λ' to σ . Therefore λ_1 and λ_2 represent the same element of $\pi_1(f^{-1}B_2 - B_2, f^{-1}B_1 - B_1)$ and f_* is one-to-one.

Theorem 4.6. *If $\hat{f}$ is AFG (i.e. $\hat{f}^{-1}(p)$ has AFG for all $p \in P$) and B is an open connected subset of P , then there exists for each $p \in B$ an exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}_1 \hat{f}^{-1}(p) \rightarrow \pi_1(\hat{f}^{-1}(B)) \xrightarrow{\hat{f}_*} \pi_1 B \rightarrow 1.$$

Proof. Let $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be as in Proposition 4.3 except that $U_1 \subseteq B$. From the homotopy sequence of the pair $(\hat{f}^{-1}B, \hat{f}^{-1}U_i)$, we get an exact sequence

$$\pi_1 \hat{f}^{-1}U_i \xrightarrow{l_i} \pi_1 \hat{f}^{-1}B \xrightarrow{\alpha} \pi_1(\hat{f}^{-1}B, \hat{f}^{-1}U_i) \rightarrow 1.$$

By Proposition 4.1, $\{H_1(\hat{f}^{-1}U_i)\}$ is essentially constant; by Proposition 3.7, $\{\pi_1(\hat{f}^{-1}U_i)\}$ is essentially constant and hence, for $i > 1$, $\text{image } l_i = \text{image } j: \pi_1(\hat{f}^{-1}(p)) \rightarrow \pi_1 \hat{f}^{-1}(B)$.

By Proposition 4.2, inclusion induces a one-to-one map $\check{H}_1 \hat{f}^{-1}(p) \rightarrow H_1 \hat{f}^{-1}(B)$. Hence by Proposition 3.7, j is one-to-one.

We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1 \hat{f}^{-1}B & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \pi_1(\hat{f}^{-1}B, \hat{f}^{-1}U_i) \\ \downarrow \hat{f}_* & & \downarrow \hat{f}_* \\ \pi_1 B & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & \pi_1(B, U_i) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \swarrow q_* \\ \searrow f_* \end{array} \pi_1(f^{-1}B - B, f^{-1}U_i - U_i)$$

Since U_i is contractible, the map α' , induced by inclusion, is an isomorphism. By Proposition 4.5, f_* is an isomorphism and, from [35], q_* is an isomorphism; hence $f_*|_{\pi_1(\hat{f}^{-1}B, \hat{f}^{-1}U_i)}$ is an isomorphism. It follows that the kernel of $f_*|_{\pi_1 \hat{f}^{-1}B} = \text{kernel of } \alpha \text{ and } \hat{f}_*|_{\pi_1 \hat{f}^{-1}B} \text{ is onto.}$

Theorem 4.7. *If $\hat{f}$ is AFG, $p \in P$, B is the open star of p in some triangulation of P such that each component of $B - \{p\}$ is simply connected, then $f^{-1}B$ is homeomorphic to the interior of a compact manifold provided $n \geq 6$.*

Proof. Let us first consider the case when $B - \{p\}$ is connected. Let $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty B_i$, where B_i is the closed star of p in some triangulation (compatible with the triangulation in the hypothesis) of P , $B_i \subseteq \text{int } B_{i+1}$. By Proposition 3.7, $\check{\pi}_1 \hat{f}^{-1}(p) \rightarrow \check{H}_1 \hat{f}^{-1}(p)$ is an isomorphism and, from Proposition 4.1, $\check{\pi}_1 \hat{f}^{-1}(p)$ is isomorphic to the integers. By Theorem 4.6, for each i , $\pi_1 \hat{f}^{-1}(B - B_i)$ is isomorphic to the integers and the inclusion maps induce isomorphisms

$$\check{\pi}_1 \hat{f}^{-1}(B) \cong \pi_1 \hat{f}^{-1}(B - B_1) \cong \pi_1 \hat{f}^{-1}(B - B_2) \cong \dots$$

Note that $\hat{f}^{-1}(B)$ has one end ϵ and, in the terminology of Siebenmann, f_1 is stable at ϵ [33] (essentially constant at ϵ [34]) and $\pi_1(\epsilon) \cong \text{integers}$.

We will now show that the end ϵ is tame [33]; i.e. $\hat{f}^{-1}(B - B_i)$ is dominated by a finite complex [33, p. 23]. By [35, p. 342],

$$H_j(f^{-1}(B - B_i), f^{-1}(B - B_i) - (B - B_i)) \cong \bar{H}_c^{n-j}(B - B_i).$$

By [35, p. 322], $\bar{H}_c^{n-j}(B - B_i) \cong \check{H}^q((B - B_i)^+)$, where $(B - B_i)^+$ is the one-point compactification of $B - B_i$. But $B - B_i$ is homeomorphic to the product of a

compact polyhedron with the real numbers. Hence $\tilde{H}^q((B - B_i)^+)$ is finitely generated and hence the homology of the pair $(f^{-1}(B - B_i), f^{-1}(B - B_i) - (B - B_i))$ is finitely generated, and since the homology of $f^{-1}(B - B_i)$ is finitely generated, the homology of $f^{-1}(B - B_i) - (B - B_i)$ is finitely generated.

Since $q|f^{-1}(B - B_i) - (B - B_i)$ is an infinite cyclic covering space and $\pi_1 \hat{f}^{-1}(B - B_i) \cong \text{integers}$, it follows that $f^{-1}(B - B_i) - (B - B_i)$ is simply connected. Hence $\hat{f}^{-1}(B - B_i)$ satisfies condition NF k of Wall [37, p. 61] for all $k \geq 2$ and, by Theorem B of [37], satisfies condition F k for all $k \geq 2$. By Theorem E of [37], $\hat{f}^{-1}(B - B_i)$ satisfies condition D k for some k (for example, $k \geq 2n + 2$). Hence by Theorem F of [37], $f^{-1}(B - B_i)$ is dominated by a finite complex (in fact, has the homotopy type of a finite complex).

The theorem now follows from [33] (although the results in [33] are stated for differentiable manifold, they are also valid for topological manifolds, see [38]).

If $B - \{p\}$ is not connected, we consider each end of B separately.

Corollary 4.8. *If $\hat{f}$ is AFG and P is a manifold of dimension $k \neq 2$ (not necessarily triangulable), then for each open k -cell B in P , $\hat{f}^{-1}(B)$ is homeomorphic to the interior of a compact manifold provided $n \geq 6$.*

Theorem 4.9. *Let U be an open connected n -manifold, $n \geq 6$, let h be a homeomorphism of U onto itself such that h is regular except at ∞ and on a compact polyhedron P of dimension $k \leq n - 3$, h is positively regular on all of U and $h|P$ is the identity. If the components of the link of each point in P in some triangulation of P are simply connected and if $\hat{f}$, the map induced on the orbit space of $h|U - P$ to P , is AFG, then P is locally flat in U .*

[Note that this theorem is not as general as the one stated in [41]; we had found an error in an earlier version of this paper since [41] appeared.]

Proof. We will show that $U - P$ is 1-LC at each point of P , i.e. if $p \in P$ and V_1 is an open subset of U containing p , then there exists an open subset V_2 of U such that $p \in V_2$ and each mapping of S^1 into $V_2 - P$ is null-homotopic in $V_1 - P$. Let V_1 be given. Let B be the open star of p in some triangulation of P such that $B \subseteq V_1$. By Corollary 4.8, $\hat{f}^{-1}B$ is homeomorphic to the interior of a compact manifold. Let B_0 be a codimension zero compact submanifold (with locally flat boundary) of $\hat{f}^{-1}B$ which is a deformation retract of $\hat{f}^{-1}B$. From obstruction theory it follows that there exists a map $g: B_0 \rightarrow S^1$ such that g induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups. Let $s \in S^1$ and homotope g to a map g' such that g' is transverse regular with respect to s [38, p. 245]. Hence $(g')^{-1}(s)$ is a codimension one submanifold of B_0 . Since $\pi_1 \text{bdry } B_0 \rightarrow \pi_1 B_0$ is an isomorphism, $(g')^{-1}(s) \cap \text{bdry } B_0$ can be assumed to

be a submanifold. Pick a component B_1 of $(g')^{-1}(s)$ such that each component B_2 of $q^{-1}B_1$ separates $q^{-1}B_0$. We can perform surgery on B_2 so that we can assume that $\pi_1 B_2$ is trivial and hence each component of $q^{-1}B_0 - B_2$ is simply connected ([38], [5]). Let B_3 be the closure (in U) of the component of $q^{-1}B_0 - B_2$ whose closure intersects P . There exists by Proposition 2.1 an integer k such that $b^k(B_3) \subseteq V_1$. Let $V_2 = \text{int } b^k(B_3)$ and note that $V_2 - P$ is simply connected and hence $U - P$ is 1-LC at each point of P .

Let K be a triangulation of P such that each simplex in K lies in an open n -cell in U . By [8] (see Theorem 3 and "added in proof"), each simplex of K can be tamed in its open n -cell and hence is locally flat in U .

Corollary 4.10. *If $\hat{f}$ is AFG, $n \geq 6$, and U is a PL-manifold, then U is homeomorphic to an open mapping cylinder neighborhood of P .*

Proof. By [8], there exists a homeomorphism e of U onto itself such that $e(P)$ is a subpolyhedron of U . Let N be a regular neighborhood of $e(P)$ in U ; there exists $r > 0$ such that $eb^r e^{-1}(N) \subseteq \text{int } N$. By [36] and [40], $N - eb^r e^{-1}(N)$ is homeomorphic to $\text{bdry } N \times [0, 1)$; hence $U - P$ is homeomorphic to $\text{bdry } N \times (0, 1)$ and the conclusion follows from the fact that a regular neighborhood is a closed mapping cylinder neighborhood.

Corollary 4.11. *Let U be an open connected n -manifold, $n \geq 6$, let b be a homeomorphism of U onto itself such that b is regular except at ∞ and on a closed subset P which is a polyhedron of dimension $k \leq n - 3$, b is positively regular on U and $b|P = \text{identity}$. If the components of the link of each point in P in some triangulation of P are simply connected and if $\hat{f}$, the map induced on the orbit space of $b|U - P$, is a proper AFG map, then P is locally flat in U .*

Corollary 4.12. *Let b be a homeomorphism of S^n , $n \geq 6$, such that b is regular except on Σ , a k -sphere, $k \leq n - 3$, b is positively regular except at some $a \in \Sigma$, and $b| \Sigma$ is the identity. If $k \neq 2$ and the map induced on the orbit space of $b|S^n - \Sigma$ is AFG, then Σ is locally flat in U and hence flat.*

Corollary 4.13. *Let U be an open connected n -manifold, $n \geq 6$, let b be a homeomorphism of U onto itself such that b is regular except at ∞ and on a compact manifold P of dimension $k \leq n - 3$, $k \neq 2$ (P is not necessarily triangulable), b is positively regular on U and $b|P = \text{identity}$. If $\hat{f}$, the map induced on the orbit space of $b|U - P$, is AFG, then P is locally flat in U .*

5. Necessity of AFG.

Theorem 5.1. *Let U be an open connected n -manifold, let b be a homeomorphism of U onto itself such that b is regular except at ∞ and on a compact locally flat polyhedron P of dimension $k \leq n - 3$, $n \geq 6$, b is positively regular*

on U and $b|_P$ is the identity. Then $\hat{f}$, the map induced on the orbit space on $b|_{U-P}$ to P , is AFG.

Proof. Let $p \in P$ and let N_0 be the open star of p in P for some triangulation K of P . Consider $N = f^{-1}(N_0)$. We claim that N is homeomorphic to Euclidean n -space. For let C be a compactum in N and let N_1 be an open n -cell in N which contains p . There exists a subdivision of K such that the closed star N_2 of p with respect to this subdivision contains $f(C)$ in its interior. Since P is locally flat, N_2 is locally flat and hence $N - N_2$ is 1-LC at each point of N_2 . From [8], it follows that the hypotheses of Newman's engulfing theorem [31] are satisfied and hence N_2 can be engulfed by N_1 . Therefore there exists an open n -cell N_3 in N which contains N_1 . By Proposition 2.1, there exists r such that $b^r(C) \subseteq N_3$ and hence $C \subseteq b^{-r}(N_3)$. By [6], N is homeomorphic to Euclidean n -space.

Since $N \cap P$ is locally flat in N , $\pi_1(N - (N \cap P))$ is trivial and $\pi_1(\hat{f}^{-1}(N_0))$ is isomorphic to the integers.

Let V be an open subset of $\hat{f}^{-1}(N)$ which contains $\hat{f}^{-1}(p)$. Let N' be the open star of p in P for some subdivision of K such that $\hat{f}^{-1}(N') \subseteq V$. It is easily seen that the inclusion induces an isomorphism $\pi_1(\hat{f}^{-1}(N')) \rightarrow \pi_1(\hat{f}^{-1}(N))$ and, since these groups are Abelian, $\hat{f}$ is AFG at p .

6. Mapping cylinder neighborhoods. For those familiar with uv and UV properties of continua [3], [28], [29], we note that for a continuum, uv^1 and AFG is equivalent to UV^1 . Hence from Proposition 4.1, Theorem 5.1, [8] and [27], we have the following.

Theorem 6.1. *Let g be a proper onto map from a locally compact metric space Y to a polyhedron P of dimension k . If the open mapping cylinder of g is an n -manifold, $n \geq 6$, $n \geq k + 3$, then P is locally flat in the open mapping cylinder of g if and only if g is AFG.*

7. Some examples. In this section, we construct some examples of homeomorphisms with wildly embedded irregular sets.

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a neighborhood of a subset A of a space X ; $\mathcal{U}$ is an *iterative neighborhood* of A if there exists a homeomorphism b of $\mathcal{U} - A$ onto itself such that the natural projection of $\mathcal{U} - A$ onto the orbit space O is a covering map and O is Hausdorff.

We recall an example due to Whitehead [39]. Let $T'_0 \subseteq T_0$ be solid tori contained in the 3-sphere as shown in Diagram 1. There exists a homeomorphism $k_0: S^3 \rightarrow S^3$ such that $k_0(T_0) = T'_0$. Let $\mathcal{U}_0 = S^3$, $A_0 = \bigcap_{i>0} k_0^i(T_0)$ and $B_0 = \mathcal{U}_0 - \bigcup_{i<0} k_0^i(T_0)$.

Define inductively $\mathcal{U}_n$ to be the suspension of the decomposition space

Diagram 1

$\mathbb{U}_{n-1}/\{A_{n-1}, B_{n-1}\}$ obtained from $\mathbb{U}_{n-1}$ by shrinking A_{n-1} and B_{n-1} to distinct points. Let $q_n: (\mathbb{U}_{n-1}/\{A_{n-1}, B_{n-1}\}) \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_n$ be the natural projection, $A_n = q_n(a_{n-1} \times [-1, 1])$, and $B_n = q_n(b_{n-1} \times 0)$ where a_{n-1} and b_{n-1} are the points in $\mathbb{U}_{n-1}/\{A_{n-1}, B_{n-1}\}$ which correspond to A_{n-1} and B_{n-1} , respectively. (Note that B_n is a point for $n > 0$.) Let

$$T_n = q_n(T_{n-1} \times [-1, 1]) \cup (\mathbb{U}_{n-1}/\{A_{n-1}, B_{n-1}\}) \times ([-1, -1/2] \cup [1/2, 1])$$

and

$$T_n = q_n(T'_{n-1} \times [-1, 1]) \cup (\mathbb{U}_{n-1}/\{A_{n-1}, B_{n-1}\}) \times ([-1, -2/3] \cup [2/3, 1]).$$

There is a homeomorphism k_n of $\mathbb{U}_n$ onto itself such that $k_n(T_n) = T'_n$, $A_n = \bigcap_{i>0} k_n^i(T_n)$, and $B_n = \mathbb{U}_n - \bigcup_{i<0} k_n^i(T_n)$. We have the following facts.

- (i) $\mathbb{U}_n - B_n$ is an iterative neighborhood of A_n in $\mathbb{U}_n$.
- (ii) $\mathbb{U}_n$ is an $(n+3)$ -sphere and $(\mathbb{U}_n - B_n)/A_n \times R$ is homeomorphic to R^{n+4} by [30] and [2] if $n = 1$ and by [1] if $n > 1$.
- (iii) $\pi_1(\mathbb{U}_n - A_n)$ is infinitely generated and hence A_n , $n > 0$, is a wildly embedded arc in $\mathbb{U}_n$ [7].
- (iv) $a_n \times [-1, 1]$ is a cellular wildly embedded arc in $(\mathbb{U}_n - B_n)/A_n \times R$ [7].

Theorem 7.1. *For each $n \geq 4$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-3$, there exists a homeomorphism h of the n -sphere S^n onto itself, a k -cell D wildly embedded in S^n , $x \in S^n - D$ such that h is regular except on $D \cup \{x\}$, positively regular except on $\{x\}$ and negatively regular except on D . Moreover, D is cellular in S^n and if h is orientation-preserving and $n \geq 6$, then $h|S^n - D$ can be embedded in a flow.*

Proof. Let B be the unit k -cell with center at the origin in R^k ; each point in R^k , except the origin, can be expressed uniquely in the form (ϕ, t) where $\phi \in \text{bdry } B$, and $t > 0$. Consider $R^n = (\mathbb{U}_{n-k-1} - B_{n-k-1})/A_{n-k-1} \times R^k$ and let $D = \{a_{n-k-1}\} \times B$.

Define $h: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
b([x], \phi, t) &= ([b_{n-k-1}(x)], \phi, \tfrac{1}{2}(t-1)), & t \geq 1, \\
&= ([b_{n-k-1}(x)], \phi, t), & t \leq 1, \\
b(a_{n-k-1}, \phi, t) &= (a_{n-k-1}, \phi, \tfrac{1}{2}(t-1)), & t \geq 1, \\
&= (a_{n-k-1}, \phi, t), & t \leq 1,
\end{aligned}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{U}_{n-k-1} - (A_{n-k-1} \cup B_{n-k-1})$, $[x]$ is the corresponding point in $(\mathbb{U}_{n-k-1} - B_{n-k-1})/A_{n-k-1}$, $(\phi, t) \in R^k$ and b_{n-k-1} is the homeomorphism which is given in the definition of iterative neighborhood. b has a unique extension to the n -sphere $S^n = R^n \cup \{\infty\}$.

b is the desired homeomorphism. To see that $b/S^n - D$ can be embedded in a flow, we refer the reader to [18].

As another application of the iterative neighborhood construction, we have

Theorem 7.2. *For each $n \geq 5$, there exists a closed connected n -manifold M , disjoint closed connected submanifolds, N_1 and N_2 , of M , and a homeomorphism b of M onto itself such that b is regular except on $N_1 \cup N_2$ and $M - (N_1 \cup N_2)$ does not have the homotopy type of a finite complex.*

Proof. Let $M = S^2 \times (\mathbb{U}_{n-2}/\{A_{n-2}, B_{n-2}\})$, $N_1 = S^2 \times [B_{n-2}]$ and $N_2 = S^2 \times [A_{n-2}]$. Define $b: M \rightarrow M$ by

$$b(s, [x]) = (s, [k_{n-2}(x)]), \quad b(s, a_{n-2}) = (s, a_{n-2}), \quad b(s, b_{n-2}) = (s, b_{n-2})$$

for $s \in S^2$ and $x \in \mathbb{U}_{n-2} - (A_{n-2} \cup B_{n-2})$. b is the desired homeomorphism and note that $\pi_1(M - (N_1 \cup N_2))$ is infinitely generated.

There are also homeomorphisms of S^3 with wild irregular sets. In the next example, we modify a construction due to Kinoshita [25] and simplified in [26].

Theorem 7.3. *There is a homeomorphism b on the 3-sphere S^3 and an arc A in S^3 such that b is regular on $S - A$, not regular on A and A is not tamely embedded in S^3 .*

Proof. (We express our gratitude to Professor C. Sikkema for correcting an error in an earlier example.) Let $f: S^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a map such that $f^{-1}(0)$ is a disk, $f^{-1}(1)$ is a point and $f^{-1}(c)$, $c \in (0, 1)$, is a simple closed curve. Let M be the mapping cylinder of f and let $\pi: (S^2 \times [0, 1] \cup [0, 1]) \rightarrow M$ ($\pi(s, 0) = \pi(f(s))$) be the natural projection. Note that $\text{int } M$ is homeomorphic to E^3 and $\pi([0, 1])$ is locally tame at each point.

Let $k: E^1 \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be an order-preserving homeomorphism and let $\lambda: E^1 \rightarrow E^1$ be defined by $\lambda(x) = x - 1$. Define $t: \text{int } M \rightarrow \text{int } M$ by

$$t(\pi(s, y)) = \pi(s, k\lambda k^{-1}(y)) \quad (s, y) \in S^2 \times (0, 1),$$

$$t(\pi(y)) = \pi(y) \quad y \in [0, 1].$$

Note that t is a homeomorphism of $\text{int } M$ onto itself.

Let $\phi: [0, 1] \rightarrow f^{-1}(0) \times [k(0), k(1)]$ be an embedding such that $\phi([0, 1]) \cap S^2 \times k(i)$, $i = 0, 1$, $t(\pi(\phi(1))) = \pi(\phi(0))$, and $\phi([0, 1])$ fails to be locally tame only at $\phi(1)$. If $M_\infty = \text{int } M \cup \{\infty\}$ is the one-point compactification of $\text{int } M$, let $\alpha = \{\pi(0), \infty\} \cup \bigcup_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} t^i \pi \phi([0, 1])$. By [9], α is cellular in M_∞ . Hence there is a map g of M_∞ onto itself such that $g(\alpha) = \pi(0)$ and $g|_{M_\infty - \alpha}$ is a homeomorphism.

Define $b: M_\infty \rightarrow M_\infty$ by $b(x) = gt'g^{-1}(x)$ where $t'(x) = x$ for $x \in \text{int } M$ and $t'(\infty) = \infty$. Let $A = g\pi([0, 1])$. Since $g^{-1}(A) = \pi([0, 1]) \cup \alpha$, A fails to be locally tame at $g\pi(0)$ [25]. b is the desired homeomorphism and A is the desired arc.

Corollary 7.4. *For each $n \geq 3$, there is a wild $(n-2)$ -cell D_{n-2} in S^n and a homeomorphism b_n of S^n such that b_n is regular on $S^n - D_{n-2}$ but not regular on D_{n-2} .*

Proof. The arc A in Theorem 7.3 can be chosen so that $\Sigma^k A$ is wild in S^{k+2} at the points corresponding to the suspension of $g\pi(0)$. Let $D_1 = A$, b_3 the homeomorphism in Theorem 7.3, and $p_3 = g\pi(1)$. Having chosen b_{n-1} , D_{n-3} , and p_{n-1} , let $\bar{b}_n$ = suspension of b_{n-1} and let $\bar{D}_{n-2}$ be ΣD_{n-3} , then $\bar{b}_n$ satisfies our conditions except that it is regular at the suspension points. To correct this, let $B = \Sigma P_{n-1}$ and let $\rho: S^n \rightarrow S^n/B$ be the quotient map. Let $b_n = \rho b_{n-1} \rho^{-1}$, $D_{n-2} = \rho(\bar{D}_{n-2})$, and $p_n = \rho(p_{n-1})$. Then b_n and D_{n-2} satisfy the necessary conditions.

Theorem 7.5. *If A is a tame arc in E^3 , then there exist infinitely many distinct topological types of homeomorphisms on E which are regular and properly discontinuous on $E - A$, are not regular on $A \cup \{\infty\}$ and are positively regular on E^3 .*

Proof. Suppose b is a homeomorphism of E^3 and A is a tame arc in E^3 such that b is regular on $E^3 - A$, not regular on A , positively regular on E^3 and $b|_A$ is the identity. Note that $f^{-1}(\text{bdry } A)$ is an invariant of the topological type of b , where $f = \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} b^i$; i.e. if $k = \lambda b \lambda^{-1}$ and $g = \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} k^i$, then $g^{-1}(\text{bdry } A)$ is homeomorphic to $f^{-1}(\text{bdry } A)$. It is easy to define a homeomorphism b_n of E^3 onto itself, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, which has the desired properties listed in Theorem 7.5 and such that the components of $f_n^{-1}(\text{bdry } A)$, $f = \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} b_n^i$, are homeomorphic to $[0, +\infty) \cup \bigcup_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} D_i$ where C_i is the cone over n points, $C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, $C_i \cap [0, +\infty) = \{x \mid x = i \text{ if } i > 0 \text{ and } x = 1/(2-i) \text{ if } i \leq 0\}$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} \text{diam } C_i = 0$.

Theorem 7.6. *There is a homeomorphism h of $S^1 \times R^2$ onto itself which is regular on $S^1 \times (R^2 - \{0\})$, is positively regular everywhere, and such that $\varinjlim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} b^i(x) = S^1 \times \{0\}$ for each $x \in S^1 \times E^2$.*

Proof. Let $k: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be rotation through an irrational multiple of π radians, and let $b(x, t) = (k(x), t/2)$. It is easily seen that b satisfies the desired conditions.

Theorem 7.7. *There is a homeomorphism h of S^3 onto itself which is regular except on a 1-sphere Σ , is positively regular except at point $s \in \Sigma$ and $h|_{\Sigma}$ is the identity and Σ is not locally flat in S^3 .*

Proof. This example can be obtained from the example in Theorem 7.3 by shrinking a locally tame invariant arc whose boundary is $\{\infty, g\pi(1)\}$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. J. Andrews and M. L. Curtis, *n-space modulo an arc*, Ann. of Math. (2) 75 (1962), 1–7. MR 25 #2590.
2. J. J. Andrews and L. Rubin, *Some spaces whose product with E^1 is E^4* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1965), 675–677. MR 31 #726.
3. S. Armentrout, *UV properties of compact sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (1969), 487–498. MR 42 #8451.
4. K. Borsuk, *Theory of retracts*, Monografie Mat., Tom 44, PWN, Warsaw, 1967. MR 35 #7306.
5. W. Browder, *Structures on $M \times R$* , Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 61 (1965), 337–345. MR 30 #5321.
6. M. Brown, *The monotone union of open n -cells is an n -cell*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1961), 812–814. MR 23 #A4129.
7. ———, *Wild cells and spheres in higher dimensions*, Michigan Math. J. 14 (1967), 219–224. MR 36 #4533.
8. J. L. Bryant and C. L. Seebeck III, *Locally nice embeddings in codimension three*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) 21 (1970), 265–272.
9. P. H. Doyle and J. Neufeld, *A sufficient condition that an arc in S^n be cellular*, Pacific J. Math. 14 (1964), 501–503; correction, ibid. 15 (1965), 1474. MR 28 #5429; MR 32 #3051.
10. P. F. Duvall, Jr. and L. S. Husch, *Analysis on topological manifolds*, Fund. Math. 77 (1972), 75–90.
11. ———, *Regular properly discontinuous Z^n -actions on open manifolds*, Illinois J. Math. (to appear).
12. S. Eilenberg and N. E. Steenrod, *Foundations of algebraic topology*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1952. MR 14, 398.
13. H. Freudenthal, *Neuaufbau der Endentheories*, Ann. of Math. (2) 43 (1942), 261–279. MR 3, 315.
14. O. G. Harrold, Jr., *Euclidean domains with uniformly Abelian local fundamental groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 67 (1949), 120–129. MR 11, 381.
15. T. Homma and S. Kinoshita, *On a topological characterization of the dilatation in E^3* , Osaka Math. J. 6 (1954), 135–143. MR 16, 160.
16. ———, *On homeomorphisms which are regular except for a finite number of points*, Osaka Math. J. 7 (1955), 29–38. MR 16, 1140.
17. J. F. P. Hudson, *Piecewise linear topology*, Benjamin, New York, 1969. MR 40 #2094.

18. L. S. Husch, *A homotopy theoretic characterization of the translation in E^n* , *Compositio Math.* 24 (1972), 55–61.
19. ———, *Topological characterization of the dilation and the translation in Frechet spaces*, *Math. Ann.* 190 (1970/71), 1–5. MR 43 #8068.
20. ———, *A topological characterization of the dilation in E^n* , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 28 (1971), 234–236. MR 44 #1051.
21. S. K. Kaul, *On almost regular homeomorphisms*, *Canad. J. Math.* 20 (1968), 1–6. MR 36 #5908.
22. B. V. Kerékjártó, *Topologische charakterisierungen der linearen Abbildungen*, *Acta Litt. Acad. Sci. Szeged* 6 (1934), 235–262.
23. S. Kinoshita, *Notes on covering transformation groups*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 19 (1968), 421–424. MR 36 #5921.
24. ———, *On quasi translations in 3-space*, *Topology of 3-Manifolds and Related Topics* (Proc. Univ. of Georgia Inst., 1961), Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1962, pp. 223–226. MR 25 #3116.
25. ———, *On quasi-translations in 3-space*, *Fund. Math.* 56 (1964), 69–79. MR 30 #1502.
26. S. Kinoshita and C. D. Sikkema, *On arc and ball pairs and quasi-translations of the 3-sphere*, *Michigan Math. J.* 17 (1970), 165–173. MR 41 #4511.
27. R. C. Lacher, *Cell-like mappings. II*, *Pacific J. Math.* 35 (1970), 649–660. MR 43 #6936.
28. ———, *Cell-like spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 20 (1969), 598–602. MR 38 #2754.
29. R. C. Lacher and D. R. McMillan, Jr., *Partially acyclic mappings between manifolds*, *Amer. J. Math.* 94 (1972), 246–266.
30. D. R. McMillan, Jr., *Cartesian products of contractible open manifolds*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 67 (1961), 510–514. MR 24 #A1132.
31. M. H. A. Newman, *The engulfing theorem for topological manifolds*, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 84 (1966), 555–571. MR 34 #3557.
32. J.-P. Serre, *Homologie singulière des espaces fibrés. Applications*, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 54 (1951), 425–505. MR 13, 574.
33. L. C. Siebenmann, *The obstruction to finding a boundary for an open manifold of dimension greater than five*, Thesis, Princeton University, Princeton, N. J., 1965.
34. ———, *On detecting open collars*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 142 (1969), 201–227. MR 39 #7605.
35. E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966. MR 35 #1007.
36. J. Stallings, *On infinite processes leading to differentiability in the complement of a point*, *Differential and Combinatorial Topology* (A Sympos. in Honor of Marston Morse), Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1965, pp. 245–254. MR 31 #5213.
37. C. T. C. Wall, *Finiteness conditions for CW-complexes*, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 81 (1965), 56–69. MR 30 #1515.
38. ———, *Surgery on compact manifolds*, Academic Press, New York, 1970, pp. 244–245.
39. J. H. C. Whitehead, *A certain open manifold whose group is unity*, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* 6 (1935), 364–366.
40. E. C. Zeeman, *Seminar on combinatorial topology*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci., Paris, 1963.
41. P. F. Duvall, Jr. and L. S. Husch, *Taming irregular sets of homeomorphisms*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 78 (1972), 77–79.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE AND STATE UNIVERSITY, BLACKSBURG, VIRGINIA 24601

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA 74074 (Current address of P. F. Duvall, Jr.)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE, KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE 37916 (Current address of L. S. Husch)