SCHUR MULTIPLIERS OF FINITE SIMPLE GROUPS OF LIE TYPE

BY

ROBERT L. GRIESS, JR.

ABSTRACT. This paper presents results on Schur multipliers of finite groups of Lie type. Specifically, let p denote the characteristic of the finite field over which such a group is defined. We determine the p -part of the multiplier of the Chevalley groups $G_2(4)$, $G_2(3)$ and $F_4(2)$; the Steinberg variations; the Ree groups of type F_4 and the Tits simple group ${}^2F_4(2)'$.

Introduction. For a general account of work on the Schur multipliers of the known finite simple groups, the reader is referred to [8]. The gaps in the multiplier situation as discussed in [8] have since been filled (specifically, $m(.1) = 2$, $m(.2) = 1$, $m(M(24)') = 1$) and these results will appear in a paper dealing with multipliers of sporadic simple groups [9].

In this paper we prove the following theorems about multipliers of groups of Lie type. Theorem 1 will follow from the theorems of Chapter I, the statements of which contain detailed information about generators and relations for the (unique) covering groups of these groups. The results of Chapter II establish Theorems 2 and 3, and the results of Chapter III establish Theorems 4 and 5.

Theorem 1. $m_2(G_2(4)) = 2$, $m_3(G_2(3)) = 3$, $m_2(F_4(2)) = 2$.

Theorem 2. $M({}^2A_n(q)) \cong Z(\text{SU}(3, q))$; i.e., $m(\text{SU}(3, q)) = 1$.

Theorem 3. Let G be a Steinberg variation defined over a finite field of characteristic p , i.e., $G = {}^2A_n(q)$, $n \geq 2$, ${}^2D_n(q)$, $n \geq 4$, ${}^3D_4(q)$ or ${}^2E_6(q)$, where q is a power of p . Then $M_p(G) = 1$ except for

$$\begin{aligned} M_2({}^2A_3(2)) &\cong Z_2, & M_3({}^2A_3(3)) &\cong Z_3 \times Z_3, \\ M_2({}^2A_5(2)) &\cong Z_2 \times Z_2, & M_2({}^2E_6(2)) &\cong Z_2 \times Z_2. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4. If G is a Ree group of type F_4 , then $m(G) = 1$.

Theorem 5. The Tits simple group ${}^2F_4(2)'$ has trivial multiplier.

Received by the editors December 30, 1971.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 20C25, 20D05, 20G40; Secondary 20G05, 20F25.

Key words and phrases. Schur multiplier, covering group, finite group of Lie type, simple group, stable cocycle class.

Copyright © 1973, American Mathematical Society

Most group theoretic notation used here is fairly standard; see [7] or [10]. Notation for groups of Lie type used here is that of [7, p. 491], and [4]. Other notation for classical groups is that found in [10]. Some notation special to this paper is the following:

$M(G)$	the multiplier of the group G ,
$m(G)$	the order of $M(G)$,
$M_p(G)$	the Sylow p -subgroup of $M(G)$, p a prime,
$m_p(G)$	the order of $M_p(G)$,
$m_{p'}(G)$	$m(G)/m_p(G)$.

Also, for group elements x, y we define $x^y = y^{-1}xy$ and $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$.

Assumed results. Most of these may be found in [5] or [8]; if not, a source is noted. Fundamental results about multipliers and covering groups are nicely presented in [10].

(1) (*Gaschütz's Theorem*) If G is a finite group, H a subgroup, M a finite G -module and $(|M|, |G:H|) = 1$, then an extension of G by M splits if the restriction to H is a split extension.

(2) ("*Transfer Lemma*") If P is a Sylow p -subgroup of G , x a p -element in $Z(G)$, then $x \notin P'$ implies $x \notin G'$.

(3) (*Fitting's Lemma*) If A is a group of automorphisms of the finite abelian group M and $(|M|, |A|) = 1$, then $M = C_M(A) \times [M, A]$.

(4) An automorphism of order prime to p on P , a p -group, is nontrivial if and only if the induced automorphism of $P/\Phi(P)$ is nontrivial.

(5) The terms G_i of the lower central series of G satisfy $[G_i, G_j] \leq G_{i+j}$.

(6) (*Cartan-Eilenberg*) For $H \leq G$, the restriction map $H^n(G, M) \rightarrow H^n(H, M)$, where $p \nmid |G:H|$, induces a monomorphism of the p -primary parts of the cohomology groups. The image is the set of stable elements of $H^n(H, M)$ with respect to G ([3, Chapter XII]).

(7) If a Sylow p -subgroup P of G is elementary abelian of order p^2 , then $p \nmid m(G)$ if the normalizer of P effects a transformation on P of determinant not 1. (This follows from [8, p. 644].)

(8) All covering groups of a perfect group are isomorphic [10].

(9) An automorphism α of a perfect group can be lifted to an automorphism of the covering group.

Proof. (*Alperin*) Let $1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{\pi} G \rightarrow 1$ be a free presentation of the perfect group G . Say the free generators x_i of F map to g_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, a set of generators for G . Suppose $g_i^\alpha = h_i$. Write h_i as a word $w_i(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ in the g_j . Define an endomorphism $\beta: F \rightarrow F$ by $x_i^\beta = w_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Then $\beta\pi = \pi\alpha$. Now, β maps R into itself because if a word $v = v(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ lies in R , $v^\beta = v(x_1^\beta, \dots, x_n^\beta)$

goes under π to $v(x_1^{\beta\pi}, \dots, x_n^{\beta\pi}) = v(x_1^{\pi\alpha}, \dots, x_n^{\pi\alpha}) = v(x_1^\pi, \dots, x_n^\pi)^\alpha = 1$ because $v \in R$ means $v^\pi = 1$.

Since $G = G'$, $RF' = F$. β leaves invariant each vertex of the diagram below.

A covering group of G is obtained by taking F/S , where $S/[R, F]$ is a complement to $R \cap F'/[R, F]$ in $R/[R, F]$. In our case, taking incidence implies $F/S \cong F'/[R, F]$ and β induces α on $F'/R \cap F' \cong F/R$. We claim the endomorphism β^* induced by β is an automorphism of $E = F'/[R, F]$. Clearly the product of the image of β^* on E with $R \cap F'/[R, F]$ is E . But since $R \cap F'/[R, F]$ is central, it lies in the Frattini subgroup of E . Hence β^* is onto and so an isomorphism.

(10) If $K/A \cong G$, $A \leq Z(K) \cap K'$, then there is a covering group H of G with quotient isomorphic to K [10].

(11) If $H \triangleleft G$, $H = H'$, and $m_p(G/H) = 1$, then $m_p(H) = 1$ implies $m_p(G) = 1$.

Proof. Take $\tilde{G}$, a central extension of G by a p -group A . Then $\tilde{H} = \tilde{H}' \times A \cong H \times A$ and each factor is normal in G . Let $G^* = \tilde{G}/\tilde{H}'$, a central extension of G/H . If $A^* = A\tilde{H}'/\tilde{H}'$, $m_p(G/H) = 1$ implies $A^* \cap G^{*'} = 1$. As $\tilde{G}$ is arbitrary, we get $m_p(G) = 1$ by (10).

(12) If $K/A = G$, $A \leq Z(K) \cap K'$ and the ordinary representations of K over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$ lift the projective representations of G over k , then $A \cong M(G)/M_p(G)$ (e.g., 3.2 of [18]).

(13) $[xy, z] = [x, z]^y[y, z]$, $[x, yz] = [x, z][x, y]^z$.

(14) Let A, B be subgroups of G . Suppose $[A, B]$ centralizes A and B . Then $[aa', b] = [a, b][a', b]$ and $[a, bb'] = [a, b'][a, b]$, $a, a' \in A$, $b, b' \in B$. (We say here that $[,]$ is "biadditive" or "bimultiplicative".)

CHAPTER I. SOME CHEVALLEY GROUPS

Groups of type G_2 . We begin with a discussion of groups of type G_2 defined over any finite field K . Eventually, we will specialize to $K = \text{GF}(4)$ and $K = \text{GF}(3)$.

Suppose Σ is a root system of type G_2 . We may depict Σ as a subset of the plane with a real inner product $(,)$.

Here, the fundamental roots are a and b , and the positive roots Σ^+ listed in the usual ordering are $\{a, b, a+b, 2a+b, 3a+b, 3a+2b\}$.

The group $G_2(K)$, defined over the field K , is generated by the elements $x_r(t)$, $r \in \Sigma$, $t \in K$. Among the important relations satisfied by these elements are

(A) *Additivity*. $x_r(t)x_r(u) = x_r(t+u)$, $t, u \in K$, all $r \in \Sigma$.

(B) *Chevalley commutator relations*. $[x_r(t), x_s(u)] = \prod x_{ir+js}(c_{ijrs}t^i u^j)$, $t, u \in K$, all $r, s \in \Sigma$, $r \neq -s$. The c_{ijrs} are certain integers independent of t and u , and the product extends over all i, j such that $ir+js$ is a root, the terms being arranged lexicographically.

We list the commutator relations for $r, s \in \Sigma^+$.

$$[x_a(t), x_b(u)] = x_{a+b}(-tu)x_{2a+b}(-t^2u)x_{3a+b}(t^3u)x_{3a+2b}(-2t^3u^2),$$

$$[x_a(t), x_{a+b}(u)] = x_{2a+b}(-2tu)x_{3a+b}(3t^2u)x_{3a+2b}(3tu^2),$$

$$[x_a(t), x_{2a+b}(u)] = x_{3a+b}(3tu),$$

$$[x_b(t), x_{3a+b}(u)] = x_{3a+2b}(tu),$$

$$[x_{a+b}(t), x_{2a+b}(u)] = x_{3a+2b}(3tu).$$

All other commutators $[x_r(t), x_s(u)]$, $r, s \in \Sigma^+$, $t, u \in K$, are trivial. These formulas are derived from [12, p. 443].

Let $K = \text{GF}(q)$, where q is a power of the prime p . If we let $U = \langle x_r(t) \mid r \in \Sigma^+, t \in K \rangle$, then U is a Sylow p -subgroup of $G = G_2(q)$. Also, $N_G(U) = UH$, where H is a Cartan subgroup. We have $H = \langle h_a(\omega) \rangle \times \langle h_b(\omega) \rangle$, where ω generates $K^\times$ and each factor is isomorphic to Z_{q-1} . In fact, $h_r(u)h_r(v) = h_r(uv)$, for $u, v \in K^\times$, $r = a, b$. For each $r \in \Sigma$, H normalizes $X_r = \langle x_r(t) \mid t \in K \rangle$, and $x_r(t)^{h_s(u)} = x_r(tu^c)$, where c is the Cartan integer $c = c(r, s) = 2(r, s)/(s, s)$.

Notice that certain terms in the relations of type (B) drop out in characteristic 2 or 3. As usual, we let U_i be the i th member of the descending central series of U . When $K = \text{GF}(4)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= U, & U_2 &= \langle X_{a+b}, X_{2a+b}, X_{3a+b}, X_{3a+2b} \rangle, \\ U_3 &= \langle X_{3a+b}, X_{3a+2b} \rangle, & U_4 &= \langle X_{3a+2b} \rangle, & U_5 &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, reversing the order of the terms will give the upper central series. So, the class of U is four, U_i/U_{i+1} is elementary abelian, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, of order $2^4, 2^4, 2^2, 2^2$, respectively. When $K = \text{GF}(3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= U, & U_2 &= \langle X_{2a+b}, X_{3a+2b}, x_{a+b}^{(v)x_{3a+b}}(-v) \mid v \in K \rangle, \\ U_3 &= \langle X_{2a+b}, X_{3a+2b} \rangle, & U_4 &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

The factor groups U_i/U_{i+1} , $1 \leq i \leq 3$, are elementary abelian of orders $3^3, 3, 3^2$, respectively.

The Weyl group of this root system is dihedral of order 12 and acts as the group of symmetries of a hexagon on the set of short roots and on the set of long roots.

Denote by H a Cartan subgroup of G . Assume $q \neq 2$. If we set $N = N_G(H)$, then $N/H \cong W$, the Weyl group of Σ . For each w_r , the fundamental reflection through the hyperplane orthogonal to $r \in \Sigma$, there is a distinguished H -coset representative n_r of N which maps onto w_r under the above homomorphism: $n_r = x_r(-1)x_{-r}(1)x_r(-1)$. If $q = 2$, we define the subgroup N as the subgroup generated by all the n_r . This element n_r has the property $x_s(t)^{n_r} = x_{w_r(s)}(\eta_{r,s}t)$, where the $\eta_{r,s}$ are certain integers ± 1 . These $\eta_{r,s}$ satisfy the rules $\eta_{r,r} = -1$, $\eta_{r,-s} = \eta_{r,s}$, $\eta_{-r,s} = \eta_{r,w_r(s)}$: A table of $\eta_{r,s}$ for $r, s \in \Sigma^+$, taken from Ree, is given below [12].

Table 1

$s:$	a	b	$a+b$	$2a+b$	$3a+b$	$3a+2b$
$r:$						
a	-1	-1	-1	1	1	1
b	-1	-1	1	1	-1	1
$a+b$	1	1	-1	-1	1	-1
$2a+b$	1	1	-1	-1	1	-1
$3a+b$	-1	-1	1	1	-1	1
$3a+2b$	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1

If we denote by G^* the abstract group presented by generators $x_r(t)$, $r \in \Sigma$,

$t \in K$, satisfying relations (A) and (B), then the theorems of R. Steinberg in [15] show that G^* is the covering group of G for representations over an algebraically closed field of characteristic p , and that $G^* \cong G$. Hence p is the only prime which can divide the order of the Schur multiplier of G . We shall assume this fact henceforth.

Let $\hat{G}$ be the covering group of G . Since G is simple, $\hat{G} = \hat{G}'$, and the kernel of the given map $\phi: \hat{G} \rightarrow G$ is $A = Z(\hat{G})$, the center of $\hat{G}$. When convenient we shall regard ϕ as the canonical quotient map of G by A .

If V denotes the preimage of U in $\hat{G}$, then V is a Sylow p -subgroup of G and $V/A = U$. We have $[V_i, V_j] \leq V_{i+j}$, as is true in any p -group, but also $[AV_i, AV_j] \leq V_{i+j}$, because A is central. Note further that $[x, y]$, for any $x, y \in \hat{G}$, depends only on the coset of each argument modulo the center A .

The group $G_2(4)$.

Theorem. *The Schur multiplier of $G = G_2(4)$ has order 2. The covering group $\hat{G}$ of G may be given with the following generators and relations:*

$$\{y_r(t) \mid r \in \Sigma, t \in K\}, \quad K = \text{GF}(4), \quad K^\times = \{1, \omega, \omega^2\};$$

Σ is a root system of type G_2 .

$$\begin{aligned} y_r(0) &= 1, & y_r'(t)^2 &= \zeta, \\ (\hat{A}) \quad y_r(1)y_r(\omega) &= y_r(\omega^2), & y_r(t)y_r(u) &= y_r(u)y_r(t)\zeta, \\ & & \text{for all short roots } r \in \Sigma, t, u \in K^\times; \end{aligned}$$

$$y_r(t)y_r(u) = y_r(t+u), \quad \text{all } t, u \in K, \text{ all long roots } r \in \Sigma,$$

$$(\hat{B}) \quad [y_r(t), y_s(u)] = \prod_{i,j} y_{ir+js}(c_{ijrs} t^i u^j) f_{r,s}(t, u)$$

where $f_{r,s}(t, u) = 1$ unless r is short (resp. long), s is long (resp. short), and they form an angle of 150° , in which case $f_{r,s}(t, u) = \zeta$ for $tu \neq 0$, or unless r and s are long inclined 120° to each other, and $t \neq u$, $tu \neq 0$ in which case $f_{r,s}(t, u) = \zeta$; the c_{ijrs} are the same integers as in the corresponding formula in $G_2(4)$, and the terms in the product are ordered correspondingly.

We are interested in a set of representatives $\widetilde{x_r(t)} \in \hat{G}$ and relations like (A) and (B) holding among them. Let Y_r be the preimage of X_r in G ; $Y_r/A = X_r$. Recall that V is the preimage of U in G and that V_i denotes the i th term of the descending central series of V .

Lemma 1. Y_r is abelian if r is long. If s is short, $Y_s' = \langle \zeta \rangle$, $\zeta^2 = 1$, for all short roots s .

Proof. For some $w \in W$, $(X_r)^{n_w} = X_{3a+2b}$. So, $Y_r' \subseteq [AV_4, AV_4] \subseteq V_8 = 1$, and Y_r is abelian.

Let $f_s(t, u) = [\tilde{x}_s(t), \tilde{x}_s(u)]$. By applying the n_w , $w \in W$, $f_s(t, u) = f_a(t, u)$ for all short roots s . Conjugating the commutator by $b_a(v)$, we get $f_a(t, u) = f_a(tv^2, uv^2)$, $v \in K^\times$. Also, $1 = [\tilde{x}_a(t), \tilde{x}_a(u)^2] = f(t, u)^2$, so $f(t, u) = f(u, t)$ as $[x, y]^{-1} = [y, x]$. All this implies $f_a(t, u) = f_a(t', u')$ for any $t \neq u$, $t' \neq u'$ in $K^\times$. Setting $\zeta = f_a(t, u)$ for $t \neq u$ finishes the proof.

For r long, Fitting's theorem gives us a decomposition $Y_r = [Y_r, H] \oplus A$. Define $y_r(t)$ as the unique element of $x_r(t) \cap [Y_r, H]$. For s short, define $y_s(0) = 1$ and $y_s(\lambda t) = [\tilde{x}_s(t), b_s(\lambda)]$, for $t \neq 0$ where $\langle \lambda \rangle = K^\times$. In either case, these representatives satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} y_r(t)^b &= y_r(t') \quad \text{where } b \in H, \quad x_r(t)^b = x_r(t'), \\ y_r(t)^{n_w} &= y_{w(r)}(t) \quad \text{for } n_w \in N, \quad x_r(t)^{n_w} = x_{w(r)}(t), \\ y_r(t)y_r(u) &= y_r(t+u) \quad \text{for } r \text{ long, } t, u \in K, \\ y_s(t)y_s(u) &= y_s(t+u)d_s(t, u) \quad \text{for } s \text{ short, } t, u \in K, \quad d_s(t, u) \in A, \\ y_s(t)^2 &= \zeta \quad \text{for } s \text{ short, } t \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last fact comes from $1 = [\tilde{x}_s(t)^2, b_s(\lambda)] = y_s(\lambda t)\zeta$.

The factor set $d(t, u) = d_s(t, u)$ is independent of the short root s , by the above. In the group $\bar{G} = \hat{G}/\langle \zeta \rangle$, $\bar{Y}_s$ is abelian. So, Fitting's theorem applies to give $X_s = [\bar{Y}_s, H] = \langle \bar{y}_s(t) \mid t \in K \rangle$, and then $\bar{y}_s(t)\bar{y}_s(u) = \bar{y}_s(t+u)$. This says that $d(t, u) \in \langle \zeta \rangle$. As in the previous lemma, $d(t, u) = d(tv, uv)$, all $v \in K^\times$. Clearly, $d(t, u) = \zeta d(u, t)$.

The relations (B). For the analogue of a typical Chevalley commutator relation in $\hat{G}$ we write

$$[y_r(t), y_s(u)] = \prod y_{ir+js}(c_{ijrs}t^i u^j) f_{r,s}(t, u).$$

Our object is to describe the $f_{r,s}(t, u)$. By applying some n_w , we then may assume r, s is any given pair of roots of the same lengths forming the same angles, as W is transitive on such pairs.

We can now give a description of certain $f_{r,s}(t, u)$, $r \neq s, -s$.

Lemma 2. Suppose that X_r and X_s have different centralizers in H and that $[y_r(t), y_s(u)] = \prod y_{ir+js}(c_{ijrs}t^i u^j) f_{r,s}(t, u)$. Then,

$$f_{r,s}(t, u) = f_{r,s}(t_1, u_1), \text{ for all } t, u, t_1, u_1 \in K^\times.$$

Moreover, if $[y_r(t), y_s(u)]$ commutes with $y_r(t)$ and $y_r(u)$, and if the $y_{ir+js}(\)$ which appear are additive in their arguments and commute with each other, then $f_{r,s}(t, u) \equiv 1$.

Proof. No X_r is centralized by all of H , so no Y_r is either. By our convention, $y_{r'}(0) = 1$, all $r' \in \Sigma$. So, conjugate the commutator equation first by each $b \in C_H(X_r)$ and secondly by every $b \in C_H(X_s)$ to obtain the first result. The last hypothesis implies that $[,]$ and all the $y_{ir+j_s}()$ are bilinear functions of the commutator arguments. This, with the first result, proves $f_{r,s}(t, u) \equiv 1$.

Corollary 1. $[y_r(t), y_s(u)] = 1$ whenever $[x_r(t), x_s(u)] = 1$ unless r and s are long roots forming an angle of 60° .

Proof. To use the lemma we must check $C_H(X_r) \neq C_H(X_s)$ in all the above cases. Since $r \neq s$, there is some $w \in W$ for which $w(r), w(s) \in \Sigma^+$. So, $[X_r, X_s] = 1$, $C_H(X_r) \neq C_H(X_s)$ is equivalent to $[X_{w(r)}, X_{w(s)}] = 1$, $C_H(X_{w(r)}) \neq C_H(X_{w(s)})$, which is checked for the relevant positive roots by inspecting the Cartan integers.

A separate argument disposes of the exceptional case.

Lemma 3. If $r, s \in \Sigma$ are long roots forming an angle of 60° , then $[y_r(t), y_s(u)] = 1$.

Proof. $y_r(t), y_s(u)$ are conjugate to, respectively, $y_{3a+2b}(t)$ modulo A and $y_{3a+b}(u)$ modulo A , by some $n_w \in N$, $w \in W$. The commutator is central, so $[y_r(t), y_s(u)] = [y_{3a+2b}(t), y_{3a+b}(u)] \in [AV_4, AV_3] \subseteq V_7 = 1$, as V has nilpotence class 4 or 5.

Corollary 2. If s and r are short roots, inclined 60° to each other then $[y_s(t), y_r(u)] = y_{s+r}(tu)$ ($s+r$ is long). If s and r are short roots inclined 120° to each other, then $[y_s(t), y_r(u)] = y_{2s+r}(t^2u)y_{s+2r}(tu^2)$ ($2s+r$ and $s+2r$ are long roots).

Proof. In the first case, by the conjugacy properties of the $y_r(t)$ under the n_w , we may assume $s = a + b$, $r = 2a + b$, $s + r = 3a + 2b$. So, we have that $[y_{a+b}(t), y_{3a+2b}(tu)]$ and $[y_{2a+b}(u), y_{3a+2b}(tu)]$ belong to $[AV_2, AV_4] \subseteq V_6 = 1$, and this gives $f_{a+b, 2a+b}(t, u) \equiv 1$, using Lemma 2.

In the second case, we may assume, as above, that $s = a$, $r = a + b$, $2s + r = 3a + b$, $s + 2r = 3a + 2b$. Arguing as above, we apply Lemma 2 to finish the proof.

Lemma 4. If s, r are long roots forming an angle of 120° , $[y_s(t), y_r(u)] = y_{s+r}(tu)f_{s,r}(t, u)$. Then $f_{s,r}(t, u) = 1$ if $t = 0$, $u = 0$, $t = u$. For other t, u , $f_{s,r}(t, u)$ assumes the constant value γ , $\gamma^2 = 1$.

Proof. We may assume $s = b$, $r = 3a + b$, $s + r = 3a + 2b$. $[X_b, X_{3a+2b}] = [X_{3a+b}, X_{3a+2b}] = 1$ implies that $f_{b, 3a+b}(t, u)$ is bilinear. Since $C_H(X_b) = C_H(X_{3a+b})$, $f_{b, 3a+b}(tv, uv) = f_{b, 3a+b}(t, u)$, all $v \in K^\times$. Application of n_a to the commutator equation shows that $f_{b, 3a+b}(t, u) = f_{b, 3a+b}(u, t)$. All these facts prove the various parts of the lemma.

Lemma 5. For $[y_r(t), y_s(u)] = y_{r+s}(tu)f_{r,s}(t, u)$, where r and s are long roots

forming a 120° angle, $f_{r,s}(t, u) = 1$ for t or $u = 0$ or $t = u$, $f_{r,s}(t, u) = \delta$ otherwise, some $\delta \in A$ independent of the particular r, s .

Proof. By previous relations, $f_{r,s}$ is biadditive. Conjugating the relation by $b_r(v)$, $f_{r,s}(t, u) = f_{r,s}(v^2t, v^{-1}u) = f_{r,s}(v^2t, v^2u)$, $v \in K^\times$. Conjugating by n_t , where t is a short root orthogonal to $r + s$, $f_{r,s}(t, u) = f_{s,r}(t, u) = f_{r,s}(u, t)$. If $0 \neq t \neq u \neq 0$, we get $f_{r,s}(t, u) = \delta$. Let $0 \neq t = u + v$, where $u \neq 0 \neq v$. Then $f_{r,s}(t, t) = f_{r,s}(t, u)f_{r,s}(t, u) = \delta^2 = 1$. Conjugating the commutator by all n_w , $w \in W$, we prove the last part.

Lemma 6. $f_{a,b}(t, u) = \zeta = \delta$ for all $t \neq 0 \neq u$.

Proof. By the first part of Lemma 2, $f_{a,b}(t, u) = \gamma$ for all $tu \neq 0$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & y_a(t)y_{a+b}(t(u_1 + u_2))y_{2a+b}(t^2(u_1 + u_2))y_{3a+b}(t^3(u_1 + u_2))f_{a,b}(t, u_1 + u_2) \\ &= y_a(t)^{y_b(u_1 + u_2)} = \{y_a(t)y_{a+b}(tu_1)y_{2a+b}(t^2u_1)y_{3a+b}(t^3u_1)f_{a,b}(t, u_1)\}^{y_b(u_2)} \\ &= y_a(t)y_{a+b}(tu_2)y_{2a+b}(t^2u_2)y_{3a+b}(t^3u_2)f_{a,b}(t, u_2)y_{a+b}(tu_1) \\ &\quad \cdot y_{2a+b}(t^2u_2)y_{3a+b}(t^3u_1)y_{3a+2b}(t^3u_1u_2)f_{3a+b,b}(t^3u_1, u_2)f_{a,b}(t, u_1) \\ &= y_a(t)y_{a+b}(tu_2 + tu_1)d(tu_2, tu_1)y_{2a+b}(t^2u_2 + t^2u_1)d(t^2u_2, t^2u_1) \\ &\quad \cdot y_{3a+2b}(t^3u_1u_2)y_{3a+b}(t^3u_2 + t^3u_1)y_{3a+2b}(t^3u_1u_2) \\ &\quad \cdot f_{3a+b,b}(t^3u_1, u_2)f_{a,b}(t, u_2)f_{a,b}(t, u_1). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing sides,

$$(*) \quad f_{a,b}(t, u_1 + u_2) = d(tu_2, tu_1)d(t^2u_2, t^2u_1)f_{3a+b,b}(t^3u_1, u_2)f_{a,b}(t, u_1)f_{a,b}(t, u_2).$$

A similar computation with $y_b(u)^{y_a(t_1 + t_2)} = \{y_b(u)^{y_a(t_1)}\}^{y_a(t_2)}$ yields

$$(**) \quad f_{a,b}(t_1 + t_2, u)^{-1} = d(t_2^2u, t_1^2u)d(t_2u, t_1u)f_{a,b}(t_2, u)^{-1}f_{a,b}(t_1, u)^{-1}.$$

Taking $t = 1$, $u_1 = u_2 \neq 0$ in (*), we get $1 = d(u_1, u_1)^2\gamma^2 = \gamma^2$. For $t = 1$, $0 \neq u_1 \neq u_2 \neq 0$, $\gamma = d(u_2, u_1)^2\delta\gamma^2 = \delta$. Taking $u = 1$, $0 \neq t_1 \neq t_2 \neq 0$ in (**), $\gamma^{-1} = d(t_1^2, t_1^2)d(t_1^2, t_1)\gamma^{-2} = \zeta$. So, $\gamma = \delta = \zeta$, proving the lemma.

Proof of Theorem. $\hat{G}/\langle \zeta \rangle = G$ because, modulo $\langle \zeta \rangle$, the $y_r(t)$ satisfy the relations (A) and (B), which define the group G abstractly. Hence, $|A| = |\zeta|$ divides 2.

The following is a sketch of the proof that $2 \mid |A|$. Let $P = \langle UH, X_{-a} \rangle$ be a maximal parabolic subgroup. In the permutation representation of G on the cosets

of P , one can (by specifying a system of coset representatives) compute that the involution $x_a(t)$ fixes 25 cosets out of 1365. As a permutation, it is the product of $\frac{1}{2}(1365 - 25) = 670$ transpositions. If we embed G in the alternating group A_{1365} in this way, and let $\tilde{G}$ be the preimage of G in the covering group $\hat{A}_{1365}$ of A_{1365} , the fact that $670 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ means that a representative in $\tilde{G}$ for $x_a(t)$ has square j , $\langle j \rangle = Z(\hat{A}_{1365})$ [14]. Hence, $\tilde{G}$ is a nonsplit central extension of G by $\langle j \rangle = Z_2$. $\zeta \neq 1$ and the theorem follows.

Curiously, the representations of G of degree 1365 on the other parabolic subgroup $Q = \langle UH, X_{-b} \rangle$ leads to a split extension as $x_a(t)$ fixes 21 cosets.

The group $G_2(3)$. In this section, we prove the following result.

Theorem. *The Schur multiplier of $G_2(3)$ has order 3. The (unique) covering group of $G_2(3)$ may be presented as the group generated by symbols $y_r(t)$, $r \in \Sigma$, a root system of type G_2 , and $t \in K = \text{GF}(3)$, satisfying the relations*

$$y_r(t)y_r(u) = y_r(t+u), \quad \text{all } r \in \Sigma, t \in K,$$

$$[y_r(t), y_s(u)] = \prod_{i,j} y_{ir+js}(c_{ijrs}t^i u^j) \alpha_{rs}(t, u),$$

where the indices run over the same roots as in the corresponding Chevalley commutator formula, the c_{ijrs} are the same integers, and the terms on the right-hand side are arranged correspondingly. The $\alpha_{rs}(t, u)$ are 1 unless $\{r, s\}$ is a pair of orthogonal roots or a pair of roots at an angle of 150 degrees to one another. If $\{r, s\}$ is an orthogonal pair, then

$$\alpha_{r,s}(t, u) = \alpha_{w_{s'}(r), w_{s'}(s)}(\eta_{s',r} t, \eta_{s',s} u)$$

for all reflections $w_{s'} \in W$, the Weyl group of Σ , where the $\eta_{r,s}$ are certain integers ± 1 listed later in this paper. If r is a short root and s is a long root forming an angle of 150° , then

$$\alpha_{r,s}(1, 1) = \alpha_{r,s}(t, u) = \alpha_{w(r), w(s)}(t, u)$$

for all $t, u \in K$ and all $w \in W$. Finally $\alpha_{a,b}(1, 1) = a_{a,3a+2b}(1, 1)^{-1}$.

Lemma 1. *Set $Y_r/A = X_r$. Then Y_r is abelian.*

Proof. If r is short (resp. long), then X_r is conjugate to X_{2a+b} (resp. X_{3a+2b}). Since each of these last two groups lies in U_3 , we have $[AV_3, AV_3] \leq V_6 = 1$. The last equality holds because U having class 4 forces V to have nilpotence class 4 or 5. Thus, $Y_r' = 1$, for all r .

We choose a system of generators of $\hat{G}$ as follows. Write $\hat{H}/A = H$, $\hat{N}/A = N$. Then $\hat{N}/\hat{H} \cong N/H \cong W$. Since $\hat{H}$ induces a 2-group of automorphisms on the abelian 3-group Y_r , we obtain a Fitting decomposition

$$Y_r = [Y_r, \hat{H}] \oplus C_{Y_r}(H) = [Y_r, \hat{H}] \oplus A.$$

This is a direct sum of $\hat{H}$ -modules (3). By regarding X_r as an $\hat{H}$ -module, we have the isomorphism $[Y_r, H] \cong X_r$ of $\hat{H}$ -modules induced by the quotient map ϕ . We now select the generators $y_r(t)$ from the cosets $x_r(t)$ by the rule $y_r(t) = [Y_r, \hat{H}] \cap x_r(t)$.

These generators enjoy the following properties, which follow from the definition and the corresponding properties of the $x_r(t)$ in G .

$$\begin{aligned} y_r(t)y_r(u) &= y_r(t+u), \quad \text{all } r \in \Sigma, \text{ all } t, u \in K, \\ y_r(t)^{\hat{b}} &= y_r(t'), \quad \text{where } \hat{b} \in b \in H, \quad x_r(t)^b = x_r(t'), \\ y_r(t)^{\hat{n}w} &= y_{w(r)}(\pm t), \quad \text{where } \hat{n}_w \in n_w, w \in W, \quad x_r(t)^{n_w} = x_{w(r)}(\pm t). \end{aligned}$$

The relations (B) are satisfied by the $y_r(t)$ modulo a factor from A . That is,

$$(\hat{B}) \quad [y_r(t), y_s(u)] = \prod_{i,j} y_{ir+js}(c_{ijrs}t^i u^j) \alpha_{rs}(t, u)$$

where the indices run over the same values as in (B), the c_{ijrs} are the same as before, and $\alpha_{rs}(t, u) \in A$. Our task now is to determine these last functions.

Lemma 2. Suppose that X_r and X_s have different centralizers in H and that $[y_r(t), y_s(u)] = \prod y_{ir+js}(c_{ijrs}t^i u^j) \alpha_{rs}(t, u)$. Then $\alpha_{rs}(t, u) = \alpha_{rs}(t', u')$ for all $t, u, t', u' \in K^\times$. Moreover if $[y_r(t), y_s(u)]$ commutes with $y_r(t)$ and $y_s(u)$ and if the $y_{ir+js}(c_{ijrs}t^i u^j)$ which are not 1 commute with each other, then $\alpha_{rs}(t, u) = 1$, for all t, u .

Proof. No X_r is centralized by all of H , so no Y_r is centralized by all of H . So, conjugate the commutator equation first by an $\hat{b}$ from each $b \in C_H(X_r)$ and secondly by every $\hat{b} \in b \in C_H(X_s)$ to obtain the first result. The last hypothesis implies that $[,]$ is a bilinear function of its arguments, hence $\alpha_{rs}(t, u)$ is. This, with the first result implies $\alpha_{rs}(t, u) = 1$.

Corollary. $\alpha_{rs}(t, u) = 1$, unless $\{r, s\}$ is conjugate under the Weyl group to either $\{a, 3a + 2b\}$ or $\{a, b\}$.

Proof. Clearly, $\alpha_{rs}(t, u) = \alpha_{w(r), w(s)}(\pm t, \pm u)$, for all $w \in W$. Except for the excluded cases, we apply the lemma to r, s positive. Inspection of the explicit relations (B) and the Cartan integers (below) gives the conclusion.

Table 2

$r:$	a	b	$a + b$	$2a + b$	$3a + b$	$3a + 2b$
$c(r, a):$	2	-3	-1	1	3	0
$c(r, b):$	-1	2	1	0	-1	1

We now deal with the remaining cases.

Lemma 4. $\alpha_{a,b}(1, 1)\alpha_{a,3a+2b}(1, 1) = 1$.

Proof. Conjugate $y_a(t)$ by $y_b(1)$ twice.

$$\begin{aligned} y_a(t)^{y_b(-1)} &= \{y_a(t)y_{a+b}(-t)y_{2a+b}(-t^2)y_{3a+b}(t^3)y_{3a+2b}(t^3)\alpha_{a,b}(t, 1)\}^{y_b(1)} \\ &= y_a(t)y_{a+b}(-t)y_{2a+b}(-t^2)y_{3a+b}(t^3)y_{3a+2b}(t^3)\alpha_{a,b}(t, 1)y_{a+b}(-t) \\ &\quad \cdot y_{2a+b}(-t^2)\alpha_{2a+b,b}(-t^2, 1)y_{3a+b}(t^3)y_{3a+2b}(-t^3)y_{3a+2b}(t^3)\alpha_{a,b}(t, 1) \\ &= y_a(t)y_{a+b}(t)y_{2a+b}(t^2)y_{3a+b}(-t^3)y_{3a+2b}(t^3) \\ &\quad \cdot \alpha_{a,b}(t, 1)^2 \alpha_{3a+b,a+b}(t^3, -t)\alpha_{2a+b,b}(-t^2, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the above with the expression for $y_a(t)^{y_b(-1)}$ gives the identity

$$\alpha_{a,b}(t, 1)^2 \alpha_{3a+b,a+b}(t^3, -t)\alpha_{2a+b,b}(-t^2, 1) = \alpha_{a,b}(t, 1).$$

For an orthogonal pair, bilinearity and the rules

$$\alpha_{r,s}(t, u) = \alpha_{s,r}(t, u)^{-1}, \quad \alpha_{r,s}(t, u) = \alpha_{w_{s'(r)}, w_{s'(s)}}(\eta_{s',r}t, \eta_{s',s}u)$$

imply that, for $t \neq 0$, $\alpha_{a,b}(t, 1)\alpha_{3a+2b}(1, 1) = 1$. The first half of Lemma 2 on $\{a, b\}$ finishes the proof.

It is now clear that the multiplier of G has order 1 or 3, as $\alpha_{r,s}(t, u) = 1$ or $\neq 1$, for a pair $\{r, s\}$ of orthogonal roots. We shall prove that the latter case holds.

Let B be the Borel subgroup $B = UH$. We shall construct a certain extension R of B by C , a cyclic group of order 3, with $C \leq Z(R) \cap R'$, and then we shall check that the cohomology class of its cocycle in $H^2(B, C)$ is stable with respect to G in the sense of Cartan-Eilenberg (see Chapter XII of [3]). This will then imply that $H^2(G, C)$ is nontrivial.

Let R be the group generated by symbols $z_r(t), k_a(v), k_b(v)$, $r \in \Sigma^+$, $t \in K$, $v \in K^\times$, which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} z_r(t)z_r(u) &= z_r(t+u), \quad \text{all } t, u \in K, r \in \Sigma^+, \\ k_r(v)k_r(v') &= k_r(vv'), \quad r = a, b; v, v' \in K^\times, \\ [z_r(t), z_s(u)] &= \prod_{i,j} z_{ir+js}(c_{ijrs}t^i u^j) \beta_{rs}(t, u), \end{aligned}$$

where the c_{ijrs} , the indices, etc., are as in the formulas for G . We let $\beta_{rs}(t, u) = 1$

unless $\{r, s\}$ is a pair of orthogonal roots or a pair of roots forming an angle of 150° . For an orthogonal pair $\{r, s\}$, we require

$$\beta_{w_{s'(r)}, w_{s'(s)}}(\eta_{s', r} t, \eta_{s', s} u) = \beta_{r, s}(t, u)$$

for all $t, u \in K$ and all $w_{s'} \in W$ such that $\{r, s, w_{s'}(r), w_{s'}(s)\}$ is contained in Σ^+ . If r and s form an angle of 150° , we require $\beta_{r, s}(1, 1) = \beta_{r, s}(t, u) = \beta_{w(r), w(s)}(t, u)$ for all $t, u \in K$ and all $w \in W$ such that $\{r, s, w_s(r), w_s(s)\}$ is contained in Σ^+ .

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{a, b}(1, 1) &= \beta_{a, 3a+2b}(1, 1)^{-1}, \\ [\beta_{r, s}(t, u), z_{s'}(v)] &= [\beta_{r, s}(t, u), k_{r'}(v')] = 1\end{aligned}$$

for all r, s, s' , for $r' = a, b$, all $t, u, v \in K$ and $v' \in K^\times$.

$$\begin{aligned}z_r(t)^{k_a(v)} &= z_r(tv^{c(r, a)}), \\ z_r(t)^{k_b(v)} &= z_r(tv^{c(r, b)}) \quad \text{for all } t, v, r, \\ [k_a(-1), k_b(-1)] &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

Now, some remarks about R . There is a map ρ of R onto B given by $z_r(t) \mapsto x_r(t)$, $k_s(v) \mapsto b_s(v)$. ρ extends to a homomorphism since the images of the generators satisfy the relations of R . The group $T = \langle k_r(-1) \mid r = a, b \rangle$ is elementary abelian of order four and $\rho|_T$ is an isomorphism onto H . $\beta_0 = \beta_{a, 3a+2b}(1, 1)$ has cube 1 since $\beta_{a, 3a+2b}(t, u)$ is central in R and bilinear in t and u . The group $S = \langle z_r(t) \mid r \in \Sigma^+, t \in K \rangle$ is therefore a normal Sylow 3-subgroup of R . Every element of R may be written uniquely as $\prod_r z_r(t_r) \cdot \beta_0^i$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, where the terms in the product are ordered as the roots are in Σ^+ . It is also clear that R is the semidirect product of S and T because $R = \langle S, T \rangle$, ST is a subgroup, and $S \cap T$ is a 3-subgroup of T , hence 1.

By the above remarks, $|R| = |S| |T| = |\langle \beta_0 \rangle| |B|$, as $\langle \beta_0 \rangle = \ker \rho$. We need to establish $\beta_0 \neq 1$. To do this, we shall construct a group isomorphic to R in which the element corresponding to β_0 is not 1.

First, consider the 3-group D generated by elements z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned}z_i^3 &= 1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ [z_1, z_2] &= z_5, \quad [z_i, z_5] = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ [z_1, z_4] &= \gamma^{-1}, \quad [z_2, z_3] = \gamma, \quad [z_i, \gamma] = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4,\end{aligned}$$

and all other $[z_i, z_j] = 1$ if not instantly derivable from one of the above. The

group D has order $\leq 3^6$ because every element of D can be put in the form $\prod_{i=1}^5 z_i^{e_i} \cdot \gamma^e$, $e_i, e = 1, 2, 3$. On the other hand D must have order 3^6 . The correspondence $z_1 \mapsto x_b(1)$, $z_2 \mapsto x_{3a+b}(1)$, $z_3 \mapsto x_{a+b}(1)$, $z_4 \mapsto x_{2a+b}(1)$ extends to a homomorphism of D onto $X = \langle X_b, X_{a+b}, X_{2a+b}, X_{3a+b}, X_{3a+2b} \rangle$ with kernel $\langle \gamma \rangle$ such that $z_5 \mapsto x_{3a+2b}(1) \neq 1$.

The correspondence $z_i \mapsto v_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, extends to a homomorphism of D onto an extra-special group V of order 3^5 with kernel $\langle z_5 \rangle$ such that $\gamma \mapsto \delta \neq 1$. V may be given by generators v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 and relations $v_i^3 = 1$, $[v_i, v_j, v_k] = 1$, and $[v_i, v_j] = \delta^{f(v_i, v_j)}$ for all i, j, k where f is the nonsingular symplectic form on the vector space V/V' given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis $v_i V'$ of V/V' , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Next, we consider some automorphisms of D . Define the maps ξ , η and μ of D to D by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi: \quad z_1 &\mapsto z_1 z_5^{-1} z_2^{-1} z_4 z_3 \gamma^{-1}, & z_2 &\mapsto z_2, \\ &z_3 \mapsto z_3 z_4^{-1}, & z_4 &\mapsto z_4; \\ \eta: \quad z_1 &\mapsto z_1^{-1}, & z_2 &\mapsto z_2^{-1}, \\ &z_3 \mapsto z_3^{-1}, & z_4 &\mapsto z_4^{-1}; \\ \mu: \quad z_1 &\mapsto z_1, & z_2 &\mapsto z_2^{-1}, \\ &z_3 \mapsto z_3^{-1}, & z_4 &\mapsto z_4. \end{aligned}$$

That these maps extend to homomorphisms is readily checked by noting that the images of the generators z_i under these maps satisfy the defining relations on the z_i . To see that they are isomorphisms, note that they all induce isomorphisms of D/D' . Any proper kernel therefore must be contained in $D' = \langle z_5, \gamma \rangle$, but trivial observations exclude this possibility. Thus, $F = \langle \xi, \eta, \mu \rangle \leq \text{Aut } D$.

Direct computation shows that $\xi^3 = 1$, $\eta^2 = 1$, $\mu^2 = 1$, $[\eta, \xi] = [\eta, \mu] = 1$, and $\mu\xi\mu = \xi^{-1}$. Hence, $F \cong \langle X_a, H \rangle$ via $\xi \rightarrow x_a(1)$, $\eta \rightarrow h_a(-1)$, $\mu \rightarrow h_b(-1)$.

We can now establish $\beta_0 \neq 1$. A homomorphism of R onto the semidirect product DF may be defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
z_a(1) &\mapsto \xi, & k_a(-1) &\mapsto \eta, \\
& & k_b(-1) &\mapsto \mu, \\
z_b(1) &\mapsto z_1, & z_{3a+b}(1) &\mapsto z_2, \\
z_{a+b}(1) &\mapsto z_3, & z_{2a+b}(1) &\mapsto z_4, \\
z_{3a+2b}(1) &\mapsto z_5, & \beta_0 &\mapsto \gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

We have a homomorphism because the images of the generators of R satisfy the defining relations of R . Since we know that $\gamma \neq 1$, $\beta_0 \neq 1$ follows, i.e., the extension R of B does not collapse.

This section is concerned with the construction of an explicit factor set for the extension R of B by C .

Every element x of B may be written uniquely as $x_1 x_2$, with $x_1 \in U$, $x_2 \in H$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1 &= x_a(t_1)x_b(t_2)x_{a+b}(t_3)x_{2a+b}(t_4)x_{3a+b}(t_5)x_{3a+2b}(t_6), \\
x_2 &= b_a(v_1)b_b(v_2).
\end{aligned}$$

The t_i and v_j are unique elements of K .

Choose representatives $y = y(x)$ for $x = x_1 x_2 \in B$ in R as follows: $y = y_1 y_2$, $y_1 \in S$, $y_2 \in T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
y_1 &= z_a(t_1)z_b(t_2)z_{a+b}(t_3)z_{2a+b}(t_4)z_{3a+b}(t_5)z_{3a+2b}(t_6), \\
y_2 &= k_a(v_1)k_b(v_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Then the factor set b of this extension is well defined by $y(x)y(x') = y(xx')b(x, x')$.

We note that if $b \in H$, then $b(x, b) = b(b, x) = 1$ for all $x \in U$. Also, $b(b, b') = 1$ for any $b, b' \in H$.

For $x, x' \in U$, we give an expression for $b(x, x')$. Let $x = x_a(t_1)x_b(t_2) \cdots$ in the above notation and let $x' = x_a(t'_1)x_b(t'_2) \cdots$. Then choosing $y(x), y(x')$ by the given rule, we get $b(x, x') = \beta_0^{-t_6 t'_1 + t'_4 t_2 + t_5 t'_3}$. We may use this expression to compute $b(x, x')$ for any $x, x' \in B$ as follows. Write $x = x_1 x_2$, $x' = x'_1 x'_2$ with $x_1, x'_1 \in U$ and $x_2, x'_2 \in H$. By the last paragraph,

$$\begin{aligned}
y(x)y(x') &= y(x_1)y(x_2)y(x'_1)y(x'_2) \\
&= y(x_1)y(x'_1)^{y(x_2)^{-1}}y(x_2)y(x'_2) = y(x_1 x'_1)^{x_2^{-1}}b(x_1, x'_1)^{x_2^{-1}}y(x_2 x'_2) \\
&= y(x_1 x'_1)^{x_2^{-1}}x_2 x'_2 b(x_1, x'_1)^{x_2^{-1}} = y(xx')b(x_1, x'_1)^{x_2^{-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, $b(x, x') = b(x_1, x_1'^{x_2^{-1}})$, for which we can use the above formula.

The extension R of B by C corresponds to an element of $H^2(B, C)$ which we also denote by b . We show that b is stable under G by showing that the restrictions of b to $B \cap B^g$ and $B^{g^{-1}} \cap B$, for all $g \in G$, correspond under the homomorphisms of cohomology groups

$$c_g: H^2(B \cap B^g, C) \rightarrow H^2(B^{g^{-1}} \cap B, C)$$

which are induced by the maps

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{c}_g(a(x, y) + B^2(B \cap B^g, C)) \\ &= a(x^{g^{-1}}, y^{g^{-1}}) + B^2(B^{g^{-1}} \cap B, C) \in Z^2(B^{g^{-1}} \cap B, C)/B^2(B^{g^{-1}} \cap B, C) \end{aligned}$$

in the usual cohomology notation (see [3] or [10]). It suffices to let g range over a set of (B, B) double coset representatives.

Such a set of representatives is $J = \{1, n^{-1}, n^{-2}, n^{-3}, n^{-4}, n^{-5}, n_r^{-1} | r \in \Sigma^+\}$, where $n = n_a n_b$.

For $g = 1$, $b(x, x') = b(x^{g^{-1}})$, trivially.

For $g = n_{a+b}^{-1}, n_{2a+b}^{-1}, n_{3a+b}^{-1}, n_{3a+2b}^{-1}, n^{-2}, n^{-3}, n^{-4}$, the subgroups $B \cap B^g$ and $B^{g^{-1}} \cap B$ do not contain two elements x, x' such that $b(x, x') \neq 1$. Thus, $b(x, x') = b(x^{g^{-1}}, x'^{g^{-1}}) = 1$ for these g . Hence the restrictions of b represent the 0-elements of both cohomology groups, and so correspond under the homomorphism c_g .

For $g = n_a^{-1}$, $B \cap B^g = B^{g^{-1}} \cap B = \langle H, X_b, X_{a+b}, X_{2a+b}, X_{3a+b}, X_{3a+2b} \rangle$.

In the previous notation, for $x, x' \in U \cap U^g \leq B \cap B^g$, $b(x, x') = \beta_0^{t_4' t_2' + t_5' t_3'}$.

A direct computation shows that $b(x^{g^{-1}}, x'^{g^{-1}}) = \beta_0^{-t_4' t_2' - t_5' t_3'}$. We must show that this cocycle $b' = c_g(b|_{B \cap B^g, B \cap B^g})$ is cohomologous to $b'' =$

$b|_{B^{g^{-1}} \cap B, B^{g^{-1}} \cap B}$. This is equivalent to showing that their difference $d = b'' b'^{-1}$ is the factor set of a split extension. We see that, for 3-elements x, x' , $d(x, x') = \beta_0^{t_2' t_4' + t_2' t_4' + t_3' t_5' + t_3' t_5'}$ in the above notation.

Let $1 \rightarrow C \rightarrow E \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 1$ be the restriction to $Y = U^{g^{-1}} \cap U$ of the extension of B given by d . We claim that the extension splits.

First, note that the representatives $y(x)$ for $x \in Y' = Z(Y)$ form a central subgroup of E . This is immediate from the formula for d . In fact, $d(x, x') = d(x', x) = 1$ for $x \in Y', x' \in Y$.

Secondly, we see that $C \not\leq E'$. We compute the commutators $[y(x), y(x')]$.

$$\begin{aligned} y(x)y(x') &= d(x, x')y(xx') = d(x, x')y(x'x[x, x']) \\ &= d(x, x')y(x')y(x)d(x', x)^{-1}y([x, x']). \end{aligned}$$

But the formula for d shows $d(x, x') = d(x', x)$. So, $[y(x), y(x')] = y([x, x'])$. Since the $y(x)$, $x \in Y'$, form a subgroup, we have $C \cap E' = 1$ and $C \not\leq E'$.

Thirdly, CE'/E' is a summand of E/E' . This follows from Fitting's theorem on the abelian 3-group E/E' . E/E' carries a 2-group of operators isomorphic to H , C is central, and every element of E/CE' is inverted by some $y(b)$, $b \in H$. Thus, $E/E' \cong C \oplus E/CE'$.

These steps imply that E is a split extension. A normal complement to C is the kernel of the composite: $E \rightarrow E/E' \rightarrow CE'/E'$.

Since Y is a Sylow 3-subgroup of $B^{g^{-1}} \cap B$, and since d restricted to Y is cohomologous to the split extension, Gaschütz's theorem implies that d is cohomologous to the split extension of $B^{g^{-1}} \cap B$.

A similar argument verifies that the restrictions of b do correspond under $c_{n_b}^{-1}$. For the remaining values $g = n^{-1}$, n^{-5} of J , routine computation shows that the restrictions correspond under c_g (and even $\tilde{c}_g$). Thus the cocycle b of B is stable with respect to G . The theorem is proven.

The group $F_4(2)$. Some notation will be given before the main theorem is stated. A root system Σ of type F_4 may be given as the following set of vectors in four-dimensional Euclidean space with orthonormal basis $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4\}$.

$$\xi_i \quad \xi_i + \xi_j \quad \frac{1}{2}(\xi_i + \xi_j + \xi_k + \xi_l)$$

where $i, j, k, l = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4$, $|i|, |j|, |k|, |l|$ are all distinct, $i' = -i$ and $\xi_{i'} = -\xi_i$ for all i .

Two systems of notation for these roots will be used. In the first, ξ_i will be denoted by i , $\xi_i + \xi_j$ by ij , and $\frac{1}{2}(\xi_i + \xi_j + \xi_k + \xi_l)$ by $ijkl$. In the second, write the root ξ as a linear combination $\sum_{i=1}^4 a_i \xi_i$ and denote ξ by $a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4$ if $\xi = \xi_i$ or $\xi_i + \xi_j$ and by $b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4$ if $\xi = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_i + \xi_j + \xi_k + \xi_l)$ where $b_i = 2a_i$ and where -1 is written $1'$. The advantages of each system will become apparent.

The Dynkin diagram for F_4 is

where we take our fundamental roots to be $r_1 = 11'1'1'$, $r_2 = 0001$, $r_3 = 0011'$, $r_4 = 011'0$. We order our roots by the convention $s > r$ if the first nonzero coefficient of $s - r = \sum_{i=1}^4 c_i r_i$ is positive.

$F_4(2)$ is generated by elements $x_r(t)$, $r \in \Sigma$, $t \in K = \text{GF}(2)$. These elements satisfy the relations:

(A) *Additivity.* $x_r(t)x_s(u) = x_r(t+u)$, $r \in \Sigma$, $t, u \in K$.

(B) *Chevalley commutator relations.*

$$[x_r(t), x_s(u)] = \prod_{i,j} x_{ir+js}(c_{ijrs}t^i u^j), \quad r \neq -s,$$

where the product runs over all i, j such that $ir + js \in \Sigma$, the terms are arranged lexicographically, and the integers c_{ijrs} depend on Σ but not on t and u .

The nontrivial relations $[x_r(t), x_s(u)]$ for $F_4(K)$ over a field K of characteristic two are listed below

$$\begin{aligned} [x_i(t), x_j(u)] &= 1, & [x_i(t), x_{i',j}(u)] &= x_j(tu)x_{ij}(t^2u), \\ [x_{ij}(t), x_{j',k}(u)] &= x_{ik}(tu), & [x_i(t), x_{i',jkl}(u)] &= x_{ijkl}(tu), \\ [x_{ij}(t), x_{i',j',kl}(u)] &= x_{ijkl}(tu)x_{kl}(tu^2), & [x_{ijkl}(t), x_{i',j',k',l'}(u)] &= x_i(tu), \\ [x_{ijkl}(t), x_{ijkl',l'}(u)] &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem. *The Schur multiplier of $F_4(2)$ has order two. The (unique) covering group may be presented with the generators $y_r(t)$, $r \in \Sigma$, $t \in K$, and relations*

$$\begin{aligned} y_r(t)y_r(u) &= y_r(t+u), \quad r \in \Sigma, \quad t, u \in K, \\ [y_r(t), y_s(u)] &= \prod_{i,j} y_{ir+js}(c_{ijrs}t^i u^j) \cdot \alpha_{rs}(t, u), \end{aligned}$$

where the indices c_{ijrs} , etc., are the same as in the formulas (B) for $F_4(2)$, and where $\alpha_{rs}(t, u) = 1$ unless $\{r, s\}$ consists of a short and a long root forming an angle of 135° and $t, u \neq 0$. For any such r, s, t, u , $\alpha_{rs}(t, u) = \zeta \neq 1$ and $\langle \zeta \rangle$ is the center of the covering group.

Lemma. *The Weyl group W of Σ is transitive on roots of the same length. If $\{r, s\}, \{r', s'\} \subseteq \Sigma$, r, r' (resp. s, s') have the same lengths and the angle between r and s equals the angle between r' and s' , then there is a $w \in W$ such that $w(r) = r'$, $w(s) = s'$.*

Proof. The first part restates Lemma 5 of Chevalley [5]. So, we may assume $r = r'$ and that $r = 1000$ or 1100 as r has length 1 or $\sqrt{2}$, respectively. The set Σ_0 of roots orthogonal to r forms a root system of type B_3 or C_3 , respectively, and the stabilizer W_0 of r in W acts as the Weyl group of Σ_0 . Hence W_0 is transitive on roots of the same length in Σ_0 . In general, write all s of a given length and angle to r . It is then easy to give enough $w \in W_0$ to prove the transitivity of W_0 on these sets. The lemma is proven.

The lemma enables us to partition the set of pairs $\{r, s\}$, $r, s \in \Sigma$ into families of W -conjugate pairs denoted by triples $(\ , \ , \)$. The first two (unordered) entries

are (l, l) , (s, s) or (l, s) as r and s are both long, both short, or of different lengths, and the last entry is the angle between r and s . We list these families:

$$\begin{array}{lll} (l, l, 0^\circ) & (s, s, 0^\circ) & (l, s, 90^\circ) \\ (l, l, 180^\circ) & (s, s, 180^\circ) & (l, s, 45^\circ) \\ (l, l, 90^\circ) & (s, s, 90^\circ) & (l, s, 135^\circ) \\ (l, l, 60^\circ) & (s, s, 60^\circ) & \\ (l, l, 120^\circ) & (s, s, 120^\circ) & \end{array}$$

Denote by U the subgroup of $F_4(2) = G$ generated by all $x_r(1) = x_r$, for $r \in \Sigma^+$. Then U is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G .

Lemma. *The commutator subgroup U' of U contains x_r , $r \in \Sigma^+$, $r \neq 0001$, 0010 , 0100 , $11'1'1'$, $011'0$, 0011 , $11'00$, $0011'$.*

Proof. By direct calculation.

Lemma. *If $\{r, s\} \in (l, l, 60^\circ), (s, s, 60^\circ), (l, s, 45^\circ)$, then there is a $w \in W$ with $w(r) = 1000$ if r is short, $w(r) = 1100$ if r is long, and $x_{w(s)} \in U'$.*

Proof. Use the two previous lemmas.

Let $\hat{G}$ be a covering group of G . Letting $A = Z(\hat{G})$, we have $A \subseteq \hat{G}'$ and $\hat{G}/A \cong G$. We may think of G as the quotient group $\hat{G}/A$, the elements of G as cosets, etc., when convenient. Let V be the preimage of U in G ; then $V/A = U$.

Select $\tilde{y}_r(t) \in x_r(t)$ for all $r \in \Sigma$, $t \in K$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} y_{ik}(tu) &= [\tilde{y}_{ij}(t), \tilde{y}_{j'k'}(u)], \\ y_i(tu) &= [\tilde{y}_{ijk'l}(t), \tilde{y}_{ij'k'l'}(u)], \\ y_{ijkl}(tu) &= [\tilde{y}_i(t), \tilde{y}_{i'j'k'l}(u)]. \end{aligned}$$

These elements are well defined because the commutators depend only on the coset of each argument modulo A . They enjoy the property $y_r(t)^{\hat{n}_w} = y_{w(r)}(t)$ for all $w \in W$, where $\hat{n}_w \in n_w$. To see this, write $r = s + s'$ with r, s, s' of the same length. Then

$$y_r(t)^{\hat{n}_w} = [y_s(t), y_{s'}(1)]^{\hat{n}_w} = [y_{w(s)}(t), y_{w(s')}(1)] = y_{w(r)}(t).$$

The relations (A) and (B) hold for the $y_r(t)$ modulo a factor from A :

$$y_r(1)^2 = \alpha_r, \quad [y_r(t), y_s(u)] = \prod y_{ir+js}(c_{ijrs}t^i u^j) \cdot \alpha_{rs}(t, u).$$

We shall determine the α_r and $\alpha_{rs}(t, u)$. Abbreviate $y_r = y_r(1)$.

Lemma. $\alpha_{r,s}(t, u) = 1$ for $\{r, s\} \notin (l, s, 135^\circ)$.

Proof. If r, s are orthogonal, let Σ_0 be the roots orthogonal to r . Then, $X_0 = \langle x_r \mid r \in \Sigma_0 \rangle \cong \text{Sp}(6, 2)$, simple. So, $[\langle y_r \rangle, \hat{X}_0] = 1$ as $[\langle x_r \rangle, X_0] = 1$. If r, s form a 60° or 45° angle, there is a $w \in W$ with $w(r) = 1000$ or 1100 and $x_{w(s)} \in U'$. Since $x_{w(r)} \in Z(U)$, $y_{w(r)} \in Z_2(V)$, the second center of V . So,

$$[y_{w(r)}, y_{w(s)}] \in [Z_2(V), AV'] = 1$$

giving $\alpha_{r,s}(t, u) = 1$ in this case. Finally, $\alpha_{r,s}(t, u) = 1$ for $\{r, s\} \in (l, l, 120^\circ)$, $(s, s, 120^\circ)$ by definition of the $y_r(t)$.

Corollary. $y_r^2 = 1$ for all $r \in \Sigma$, i.e., $\alpha_r = 1$.

Proof. Write $r = s + s'$ where r, s, s' have the same length and r forms an angle of 60° with s and s' . So,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= [y_s^2, y_{s'}] = [y_s, y_{s'}]^{y_s} [y_s, y_{s'}] \\ &= [y_s, y_{s'} y_{s+s'}] [y_s, y_{s'}] = [y_s, y_{s'}] [y_s, y_{s'}] = y_r^2, \end{aligned}$$

by the lemma.

Lemma. For $\{r, s\}, \{r', s'\} \in (l, s, 135^\circ)$, $\alpha_{rs}(t, u)^2 = 1$ and $\alpha_{rs}(t, u) = \alpha = \alpha_{r's'}(t, u)$, for $t, u \neq 0$.

Proof. Using the last lemma, expand the commutator $[x_r^2, x_s] = 1$ for the first assertion. The second part follows from $y_r(t)^n w = y_{w(r)}(t)$.

By the theorems of R. Steinberg [15], the abstract group generated by symbols $x_r(t)$, $r \in \Sigma$, $t \in K$, satisfying relations (A) and (B), is isomorphic to $F_4(2)$. Our results so far show that the Schur multiplier of $F_4(2)$ has order 1 or 2, as $\alpha = 1$ or $\alpha \neq 1$ respectively. We must show $\alpha \neq 1$.

Let $r_0 = 1100$ be the root of maximal height in the ordering we have given for Σ . Let Σ_0 consist of the roots orthogonal to r_0 , $\Sigma_0^+ = \Sigma^+ \cap \Sigma_0$, $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma^+ \setminus \Sigma_0$. Then $P = \langle x_r \mid r \in \Sigma^+ \cup \Sigma_0 \rangle = C_G(x_{r_0})$ is a parabolic subgroup, $O_2(P) = \langle x_r \mid r \in \Sigma_1 \rangle$, and $S = \langle x_r \mid r \in \Sigma_0 \rangle = C_3(2) = \text{Sp}(6, 2)$. $P = O_2(P) \cdot S$, a semidirect product. $|\Sigma_0| = 18$, $|\Sigma_1| = 15$, and

$$\Sigma_0^+ = \{11'00, 0011, 0011', 0001, 0010, 11'11, 11'1'1, 11'11', 11'1'1'\}.$$

We shall construct a nonsplit extension R of U by $C \cong Z_2$ and show that the corresponding element of $H^2(U, C)$ is stable with respect to $G = F_4(2)$ in the sense of Cartan-Eilenberg [3]. This will imply $H^2(G, C) \neq 1$ and hence that $\alpha \neq 1$.

Let $X = O_2(P)$ and set $T = \langle x_r \mid r \in \Sigma_0^+ \rangle$. We wish to define an action of T on $Y = X \times C$. To do this, we will define certain automorphisms z_s , $s \in \Sigma_0^+$, of Y and show that T is isomorphic to the subgroup $Q = \langle z_s \mid s \in \Sigma_0^+ \rangle \subseteq \text{Aut } Y$.

The group $Y = X \times C = \{(x, \gamma^i) \mid x \in X, i = 0, 1, C = \langle \gamma \rangle\}$ may be presented as the group generated by $(x_r, 1)$, $r \in \Sigma_1$, $(1, \gamma)$ satisfying the appropriate relations among those of (A) and (B), plus $(1, \gamma)^2 = 1$, $[(x_r, 1), (1, \gamma)] = 1$, all $r \in \Sigma_1$. Now, for each $s \in \Sigma_0^+$, define $z_s: Y \rightarrow Y$ by $(1, \gamma)^{z_s} = (1, \gamma)$, $(x_r, 1)^{z_s} = (x_r[x_r, x_s], \gamma^{e(r,s)})$, where $e(r, s) = 1$ if $r, s \in (l, s, 135^\circ)$, $e(r, s) = 0$ otherwise. The z_s extend to automorphisms because the images of the generators of Y under z_s satisfy the relations defining Y . For later use, we may write $z_s(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t = 0, \\ z_s, & t = 1. \end{cases}$

If W_0 is the stabilizer of r_0 in W , then W_0 acts as the Weyl group of Σ_0 on Σ_0 . Arguing as before, W_0 is transitive on pairs $\{r, s\}$, $r, s \in \Sigma_0$, $r \neq \pm s$ with the same number of long and short roots which make a fixed angle between them. These families (listed below in previous notation) meet seven of the nine families of Σ . Since the definition of the action of z_s on the $(x_r, 1)$ is invariant under application of $w \in W_0$ to the indices (provided $s, w(s) \in \Sigma_0^+$) it is sufficient to compute $[z_s, z_{s'}]$ on each $(x_r, 1)$ for a representative $\{s, s'\}$ from each of the seven relevant families.

A set of representatives s, s' for these families is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \{11'00, 0011'\} &\in (l, l, 90^\circ) & \{0010, 0001\} &\in (s, s, 90^\circ), \\ \phi = \Sigma_0^+ \cap (l, l, 60^\circ), & & \{0010, 11'11\} &\in (s, s, 60^\circ), \\ \phi = \Sigma_0^+ \cap (l, l, 120^\circ) & & \{0010, 11'1'1\} &\in (s, s, 120^\circ), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{11'00, 0010\} &\in (l, s, 90^\circ), \\ \{0011', 0010\} &\in (l, s, 45^\circ), \\ \{0011', 0001\} &\in (l, s, 135^\circ). \end{aligned}$$

Since the z_s leave $C = \langle (1, \gamma) \rangle$ invariant, we see that the group of automorphisms induced by $Q = \langle z_s \mid s \in \Sigma_0^+ \rangle$ on $Y/C \cong X$ is isomorphic to T . We must show that this homomorphism of Q onto T is faithful. T may be presented as the abstract group generated by the x_r , $r \in \Sigma_0^+$, subject to the appropriate relations (A) and (B). It is therefore sufficient to show that these same relations hold among the z_s .

The relations (A), namely $z_s^2 = 1$, are easily checked.

For each of the seven pairs, the relations (B) may be checked from the table below, which gives $[(x_r, 1), z_s]$ in the row r , column s . The generators $(x_{r_0}, 1)$ and $(1, \gamma)$ are omitted since they are central in R . This establishes $Q \cong T$.

The semidirect product $R = YQ$ is our desired extension of U by C . R does not split over C as $C \subseteq Z(R) \cap R'$.

We now define an explicit factor set for this extension. In addition to our

given ordering $<$ on Σ , we use another ordering $<$ on the roots of Σ^+ , defined by $r < s$ whenever r is short, s is long, or else $r < s$ when r and s have equal lengths. By an easy argument, every element $x \in U$ can be written uniquely as $\prod_r x_r(t_r)$, where r runs over Σ^+ in the order determined by $<$, and $t_r \in K$. A coset representative $y(x)$ for $x \in U \cong R/C$ in R is chosen as follows: $y(1) = 1$, $y(x) = \prod_r y(x_r(t_r))$, where for s short

$$y(x_s) = \begin{cases} (x_s, 1), & s \in \Sigma_1, \\ z_s, & s \in \Sigma_0^+, \end{cases}$$

and for r long

$$y(x_r) = \begin{cases} (x_r, 1), & r \in \Sigma_1, \\ z_r \cdot (1, \gamma) & r \in \Sigma_0^+. \end{cases}$$

The factor set $b(x, x')$ of this extension is therefore well defined by $y(x)y(x') = y(xx')b(x, x')$.

The extension R of U by C corresponds to an element of $H^2(U, C)$ which we also denote by b . We show that b is stable under G by showing that the restrictions of b to $U \cap U^g$ and $U^{g^{-1}} \cap U$, for all $g \in G$, correspond under the homomorphisms of cohomology groups $c_g: H^2(U \cap U^g, C) \rightarrow H^2(U^{g^{-1}} \cap U, C)$ which are induced by the maps of cocycles $\tilde{c}_g(a(x, y)) = a(x^{g^{-1}}, y^{g^{-1}}) \in Z^2(U^{g^{-1}} \cap U, C)$, for $a(x, y) \in Z^2(U \cap U^g, C)$, in the usual cohomology notation (see [3] or [10]). It suffices to let g range over a set of (U, U) -double coset representatives. The subgroup N forms such a set of representatives ($H = 1$ in $F_4(2)$, so $N \cong W$). Every element of N is of the form n_w , $w \in W$.

By an induction argument, we shall establish stability by proving the stronger result

$$(\dagger) \quad \begin{cases} c_g(b|_{U \cap U^g}) = b|_{U^{g^{-1}} \cap U} & \text{for all } g \in N, \\ \text{i.e., } b(x, x') = b(x^{g^{-1}}, x'^{g^{-1}}) & \text{for all } g \in N; x, x' \in U \cap U^g. \end{cases}$$

Given g , we call a pair (x, x') for which $(\dagger)$ holds a g -stable pair.

Define $\text{supp}(x) = \{r \mid t_r \neq 0\}$ for $x = \prod_r x_r(t_r)$. Note that $b(x, x') = 1$ if $\text{supp}(x)$ consists entirely of short roots or if $\text{supp}(x')$ consists entirely of long roots. Since these conditions are g -invariant, (x, x') is a pair stable under all $g \in N$ with $x, x' \in U \cap U^g$.

If $x = x_{r_1} \cdots x_{r_k}$, $x = \xi \eta$, $\xi = x_{r_1} \cdots x_{r_i}$, $\eta = x_{r_{i+1}} \cdots x_{r_k}$, then $x \in U \cap U^g$ implies $\xi, \eta \in U \cap U^g$, and conversely.

Table 3. Action of Certain z_s on Y

	11'00	0011'	0010	0001	11'11	11'1'1
1001'	1	1	1	$x_{1000}x_{1001} \cdot \gamma$	1	1
1001	1	x_{1010}	1	1	1	1
0101	x_{1001}	x_{0110}	1	1	1	1
0101'	x_{1001}'	1	1	$x_{0100}x_{0101} \cdot \gamma$	$x_{1010}x_{1111}' \cdot \gamma$	$x_{101'0}x_{111'1'} \cdot \gamma$
0110	x_{1010}	1	1	1	1	$x_{1001}x_{1111} \cdot \gamma$
011'0	$x_{101'0}$	x_{0101}'	$x_{0100}x_{0110} \cdot \gamma$	1	$x_{1001}x_{111'1} \cdot \gamma$	1
1010	1	1	1	1	1	1
101'0	1	x_{1001}'	$x_{1000}x_{1010} \cdot \gamma$	1	1	1
1000	1	1	1	1	1	1
0100	$x_{1000}x_{1100} \cdot \gamma$	1	1	1	x_{1111}	$x_{1111'1}$
1111	1	1	1	1	1	1
1111'	1	1	1	x_{1111}	1	x_{1000}
111'1	1	$x_{1100}x_{1111}' \cdot \gamma$	x_{1111}	1	1	1
111'1'	1	1	x_{1111}'	$x_{111'1}$	x_{1000}	1

If $|\text{supp}(x)| > 1$, then write $x = \xi\eta$ as above. Using

$$\begin{aligned}
 b(x, x') &= b(\xi\eta, x') = y(\xi\eta x')^{-1} y(\xi\eta) y(x') \\
 (*) \quad &= y(\eta x')^{-1} y(\xi)^{-1} b(\xi, \eta x') y(\xi) y(\eta) b(\xi, \eta)^{-1} y(x') \\
 &= b(\eta, x') b(\xi, \eta x') b(\xi, \eta)^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

we obtain $b(x, x') = b(xg^{-1}, x'g^{-1})$ for $g \in N$ such that $x, x' \in U \cap U^g$ by induction on $|\text{supp}(x)|$. We are thus reduced to proving (†) for x such that $|\text{supp}(x)| = 1$, i.e., $x = x_r$, $r \in \Sigma^+$.

Our first case is $x = x_r$, $x' = x_s$, $r, s \in \Sigma^+$. If r is short, or s is long, then (x_r, x_s) is a g -stable pair, for the relevant $g \in N$, by previous remarks. So, we assume r is long, s short. By

$$\begin{aligned}
 b(x_r, x_s) &= y(x_r x_s)^{-1} y(x_r) y(x_s) = y(x_s x_r [x_r, x_s])^{-1} y(x_r) y(x_s) \\
 &= y([x_r, x_s])^{-1} y(x_r)^{-1} y(x_s)^{-1} y(x_r) y(x_s) \cdot b(x_r, [x_r, x_s]),
 \end{aligned}$$

if r and s form an angle not 135° , then x_r, x_s commute and so do $y(x_r)$ and $y(x_s)$ which implies $b(x_r, x_s) = 1$. If r and s form an angle of 135° , then $[x_r, x_s] = x_{r+s} x_{r+2s}$. For all such pairs r, s , $[y(x_r), y(x_s)] = y(x_{r+s}) y(x_{r+2s}) \cdot (1, \gamma) = y(x_{r+s} x_{r+2s}) \cdot (1, \gamma)$ by our rules for choosing $y(x)$ and the fact that $r + s$ is short, $r + 2s$ is long. Now $b(x_r, [x_r, x_s]) = b(x_r, x_{r+s}) b(x_r x_{r+s}, x_{r+2s}) b(x_{r+s}, x_{r+2s})^{-1}$. The last two terms are 1 since $r + 2s$ is long. The first term $b(x_r, x_{r+s}) = 1$ since $[x_r, x_{r+s}] = 1$ by a previous case. So, for such $\{r, s\}$, $b(x_r, x_s) = (1, \gamma)$. In all cases, therefore, (x_r, x_s) is a stable pair.

We introduce the order relation $\ll$ on U . We say that $x_1 \ll x_2$ if

- (i) the least ($<$) member of $\text{supp}(x_1)$ is $>$ that of $\text{supp}(x_2)$,
- (ii) when these are the same, $|\text{supp}(x_1)| < |\text{supp}(x_2)|$,
- (iii) when both these are the same, the least ($<$) root in

$$(\text{supp}(x_1) \cup \text{supp}(x_2)) \setminus (\text{supp}(x_1) \cap \text{supp}(x_2)) \text{ belongs to } \text{supp}(x_2).$$

In general, if $x' = \mu\nu$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 b(x, x') &= b(x, \mu\nu) = y(x\mu\nu)^{-1} y(x) y(\mu\nu) \\
 (**) \quad &= y(\nu)^{-1} y(x\mu)^{-1} b(x\mu, \nu) y(x) y(\mu) y(\nu) b(\mu, \nu)^{-1} \\
 &= y(\nu)^{-1} b(x, \mu) b(x\mu, \nu) y(\nu) b(\mu, \nu)^{-1} = b(x, \mu) b(x\mu, \nu) b(\mu, \nu)^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove (†) by $\ll$ -induction on the second argument x' . (†) holds if $|\text{supp}(x')| = 0$, or 1 as shown before. In our special case of (**) set $x = x_r$, $x' = x_{s_1} \cdots x_{s_t}$, $t > 1$, $\mu = x_{s_1}$, $\nu = x_{s_2} \cdots x_{s_t}$. By previous remarks we may assume r is long, $s = s_1$ is short. On the right side of (**) (μ, ν) is stable by $\ll$ -induction,

(x, μ) is stable as $|\text{supp}(\mu)| = 1$. $b(x\mu, \nu)$ is the remaining term. If r, s form an angle of 45° or 90° then $x\mu = \mu x$. Now, (*) implies $b(x\mu, \nu) = b(\mu, x\nu)b(\mu, x)$ is stable as (x, ν) is by $\ll$ -induction and the others are, since $\text{supp}(\mu) = \{s\}$, s short. Finally if r and s form an angle of 135° , then $x\mu = \mu xx_{r+s}x_{r+2s} = (\mu x_{r+s}) \cdot (xx_{r+2s})$, grouping by root lengths. By (*),

$$b(x\mu, \nu) = b(\mu x_{r+s} xx_{r+2s}, \nu) = b(xx_{r+2s}, \nu) b(\mu x_{r+s}, xx_{r+2s} \nu) b(\mu x_{r+s}, xx_{r+2s}).$$

The last two terms are stable as $\text{supp}(\mu x_{r+s})$ consists of short roots. Again (*) implies $b(xx_{r+2s}, \nu) = b(x_{r+2s}, \nu) b(x, x_{r+2s} \nu) b(x, x_{r+2s})$. Since $r+2s$ is long, the last term is stable, and the first two terms are stable by $\ll$ -induction (n.b. the $\prec$ -minimal member of $\text{supp}(x_{r+2s} \nu)$ is s_2 , not $r+2s$). Putting all this together, we have expressed $b(x, x')$ as a product of stable terms, so (x, x') is a stable pair.

Our claim (†) is established. The proof of the theorem is complete.

CHAPTER II. THE STEINBERG VARIATIONS

Let G_0 be a Chevalley group and G the Steinberg variation (if G_0 admits one) in which the field centralized by the associated field automorphism has $q = p^f$ elements, p a prime.

Steinberg proved in [16], that if G has type 2A_n (odd $n > 2$), 2D_n ($n \geq 4$), 3D_4 , or 2E_6 , then the linear representations of the group Γ presented by the relations (A) and (B) (stated below for each type) cover projective representations for G over an algebraically closed field of characteristic p . Thus, if $\hat{G}$ is the covering group for G , then there is a homomorphism ϕ of $\hat{G}$ onto Γ , $A = \ker \phi \subseteq Z(G) \cap G'$, and A is a finite p -group. A is the Schur multiplier of Γ and also the p -primary part of the multiplier of G . The corresponding statements for ${}^2A_n(q)$, n even, were not established in [16]. However, Steinberg has recently proven these statements for ${}^2A_n(q)$, $n \geq 4$, n even. The case $n = 2$ is handled in this paper.

Our task, then, is to determine the multiplier of Γ . In the case of ${}^2A_n(q)$, n even, we can determine the p -part of $M(G)$ (which turns out to be trivial) merely by showing that in any central extension $\tilde{G}$ of G by $A \cong Z_p$, the induced extension of U splits, where U is a unipotent (Sylow p -) subgroup of G .

Preparation for the proof. The reader is expected to know the standard machinery for Chevalley groups, [4], [5], [17], especially the root systems, which are detailed in [2].

By abusing terminology slightly, we write U for the U^1 (or U^2) of [17]—that is, the fixed points in the standard unipotent subgroup of the Chevalley group under the outer automorphism defining G . Similarly, we write H for H^1 , N for N^1 , W for W^1 , etc. We write $t \mapsto \bar{t}$ for the associated field automorphism, $r \mapsto \bar{r}$ for the associated permutation of roots. For the trially twisted groups, these maps have

order 3 and are written $t \mapsto \bar{t}$, $r \mapsto \bar{r} \mapsto \bar{\bar{r}}$.

If G_0 has root system Σ , the "twisted" root system for G is denoted ${}^2\Sigma$ (or ${}^3\Sigma$ if $G = {}^3D_4(q)$). See [17] for details and notation. If R, S are roots of ${}^2\Sigma$ or ${}^3\Sigma$, we say they are long, short, orthogonal, etc. as elements of the "twisted" root system. If $G \neq {}^3D_4(q)$ or ${}^2A_n(q)$, n even, a long (short) root of ${}^2\Sigma$ consists of one (two) roots of Σ . If $G = {}^2A_n(q)$, n even, a long root consists of three roots, and a short root of two roots. If $G = {}^3D_4(q)$ a long root consists of one root, a short root of three roots.

K_0 denotes the ground field of q elements and K the extension field of q^2 (or q^3) elements.

Suppose $G \neq {}^3D_4(q)$, ${}^2A_n(q)$, n even. If $R = \{r\}$, $S = \{s, \bar{s}\} \in {}^2\Sigma$, the corresponding "one parameter elements" are $x_r(t)$, $t \in K_0$, and $x_s(u)x_{\bar{s}}(\bar{u})$, $u \in K$. We define $x_R(t) = x_r(t)$. However, for S , the one parameter element could be denoted $x_S(u)$ or $x_S(\bar{u})$. One could conceivably make a choice for a fixed S , then extend to other short roots under conjugation by certain elements of N . There are problems with the process, as the section on ${}^2E_6(2)$ illustrates. But this is unnecessary for most of our purposes. That is, within a calculation involving various $x_S(u)$, S short, we fix an arbitrary choice for each S which may be inferred from the context. A similar situation holds for short roots in ${}^3D_4(q)$, and for short and long roots in ${}^2A_n(q)$, n even.

If R is the latter kind of root, $x_r(t)x_{\bar{r}}(\bar{t})x_{r+\bar{r}}(u) = x_{\bar{r}}(\bar{t})x_r(t)x_{r+\bar{r}}(u - N_{r\bar{r}}t\bar{t})$ is denoted $x_R(t, u)$ or $x_R(\bar{t}, u - N_{r\bar{r}}t\bar{t})$. (The N_{rs} are certain constants related to the root system.)

As in [17, §2], we may think of ${}^2\Sigma$ as a subset of the lattice in Euclidean space generated by Σ and W as a subgroup of the Weyl group of Σ . The reflection w_R corresponding to R is w_r if $R = \{r\}$, $w_r w_{\bar{r}}$ if $R = \{r, \bar{r}\}$, $w_r w_{\bar{r}} w_{r+\bar{r}}$ if $R = \{r, \bar{r}, r+\bar{r}\}$, and $w_{r+\bar{r}}$ if $R = \{r, \bar{r}, r+\bar{r}\}$. The corresponding element of N is $n_R = n_r, n_r n_{\bar{r}}, n_r n_{\bar{r}} n_{r+\bar{r}}, n_{r+\bar{r}}$ respectively ($n_r = x_r(-1)x_{-r}(1)x_r(-1)$). The elements of H correspond to self-conjugate characters on the lattice determined by Σ . We write $b_R(\lambda)$ for $b_r(\lambda)$, $b_{\bar{r}}(\lambda)b_{\bar{r}}(\bar{\lambda})$, $b_r(\lambda)b_{\bar{r}}(\bar{\lambda})b_{r+\bar{r}}(\bar{\lambda})$ respectively, where

$$b_r(\lambda) = x_r(\lambda^{-1})x_{-r}(-\lambda)x_r(\lambda^{-1})n_r^{-1}.$$

The ambiguity here for $|R| \neq 1$ is handled as for one-parameter elements.

A word of caution. n_R permutes the X_S as w_R permutes the roots S . However, $x_S(t)$ may be conjugated under n_R to $x_S(\pm t)$ or $x_S(\pm \bar{t})$, depending on our definition of the one-parameter elements for $|S| \neq 1$.

Unless R is long in ${}^2A_n(q)$, n even, the additivity relations of type (A) read $x_R(t)x_R(u) = x_R(t+u)$. The relations of type (B), the analogues of the Chevalley commutator relations, are stated as we treat each family. For each family, we choose representatives $y_R(t)$ for $x_R(t)$ in $\hat{G}$. The $y_R(t)$ satisfy (A) and (B) up to

a factor (usually written d or f) from A . If $G \neq {}^2A_n(q)$, n even, we can get $\hat{G} \cong \Gamma$ (equivalently, $m(\Gamma) = 1$) if we show that the $\gamma_R(t)$ satisfy the relations (A) and (B). The exceptions are handled individually, and the slightly different argument for ${}^2A_n(q)$, n even, is discussed later.

For convenience, we identify Γ with $\hat{G}/A$ and regard elements of Γ as cosets of A in G . $\tilde{x} \in \hat{G}$ denotes an arbitrary element of $x \in \Gamma$. Commutators in G and conjugating elements depend only on their A -coset. Hence, elements and subgroups of Γ operate on $\hat{G}$.

We say that a system of representatives $y_1, y_2, \dots$ in G for $x_1, x_2, \dots$ in Γ has *Property (C)* if $y_i^n = y_j$, $n \in N$, whenever $x_i^n = x_j$; and *Property (I)* if $y_i y_j = y_k$ whenever $x_i x_j = x_k$, and x_i, x_j, x_k belong to the same one-parameter subgroup.

Despite the warning three paragraphs ago, to show that the factor $f_{R,S}(t,u) \in A$ in a relation $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = \dots$ of type (B) is identically 1, it is enough to prove triviality for $f_{R',S'}(t,u)$, when there is a $w \in W$ with $w(R) = R'$, $w(S) = S'$, once we know the $\gamma_R(t), \gamma_S(u), \gamma_{R'}(t'), \gamma_{S'}(u')$ involved satisfy (C).

Lemma 1. *Suppose that a long root R in ${}^2\Sigma$ is written $R = S + S' = T + T'$ where S, S', T, T' are short. Then there is a $w \in W$, with $w(S) = T$, $w(S') = T'$.*

Proof. Since W is transitive on roots of the same length (2.8 of [5]), we may assume $R = \{r\}$, where r is a root of maximal height in Σ . Let $S = \{s, \bar{s}\}$, $S' = \{s', \bar{s}'\}$, $T = \{t, \bar{t}\}$, $T' = \{t', \bar{t}'\}$. By renaming the roots within these pairs if necessary, we have

$$(*) \quad r = s + s' = \bar{s} + \bar{s}' = t + t' = \bar{t} + \bar{t}'.$$

Given a system $r_1, \dots, r_n$ of fundamental roots for Σ , the height of a root $\sum_{i=1}^n z_i r_i$ is defined to be $\sum_{i=1}^n z_i$ (z_i integers). Since the height is additive, all roots in (*) are positive.

Suppose Σ has type A_n , $r = r_1 + \dots + r_n$. We may assume $S = r_1 + \dots + r_m$, $s' = r_{m+1} + \dots + r_n$, $t = r_1 + \dots + r_k$, $t' = r_{k+1} + \dots + r_n$, $1 \leq m < k < n$. Let w' be the reflection corresponding to the root $r_{m+1} + \dots + r_k$, w'' the reflection corresponding to $r_{n-k+1} + \dots + r_{n-m+1}$. Then $w = w'w'' \in W$ and $w(s) = t$, $w(s') = t'$, $w(\bar{s}) = \bar{t}$, $w(\bar{s}') = \bar{t}'$. $w(S) = T$, $w(S') = T'$ follows.

A similar argument works for Σ of type E_6 and D_n , $n \geq 4$. In fact, for D_n , note that $\{S, S'\} = \{T, T'\}$ always.

Lemma 2. *If ${}^2\Sigma$ has type F_4 or B_{n-1} , and $R = S + S' = T + T'$, where all these roots are long, there is a $w \in W$ with $w(S) = T$, $w(S') = T'$.*

Proof. By direct examination, as in previous lemma.

Remark. The hypothesis is never satisfied for ${}^2\Sigma$ of type C_n .

We shall repeatedly use the following without comment.

Lemma 3. *Suppose K_1, K_2 are fields and $f: K_1 \times K_2 \rightarrow A$ is a map into an*

abelian group satisfying (a) (resp. (b)).

(a) $f(t + t', u) = f(t, u)f(t', u)$, all $t, t' \in K_1$, $u \in K_2$,

(b) $f(t, u + u') = f(t, u)f(t, u')$, all $t \in K_1$, $u, u' \in K_2$,

then if $f(t, u) = f(\lambda t, u)$ for some $\lambda \neq 0, 1$ in K_1 and for all $t \in K_1$, $u \in K_2$ (resp. $f(t, u) = f(t, \mu u)$, $u \neq 0, 1$ in K_2 , all t, u), then f is identically 1.

Proof. $1 = f((\lambda - 1)t, u)$, for all t, u . But $(\lambda - 1)t$ ranges over $K_1^\times$ as t does, implying $f \equiv 1$. The alternate case is similar.

We call an f satisfying (a) and (b) biadditive.

The 3-dimensional unitary groups ${}^2A_2(q)$. The result of this section is that the multiplier of $G = \text{SU}(3, q)$ is trivial. See [10] for the information below about G .

Let $G = \text{SU}(3, q)$, $q = p^n$, $K = \text{GF}(q^2)$, $K_0 = \text{GF}(q)$, $\langle \tau \rangle = \text{Gal}(K/K_0)$, $\lambda^\tau = \lambda^q$, $\lambda \in K$. G is the subgroup of $\text{SL}(3, q^2)$ stabilizing the Hermitian form whose matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$|\text{SU}(3, q)| = q^3(q^3 + 1)(q^2 - 1), \quad |\text{SL}(3, q^2)| = q^6(q^6 - 1)(q^4 - 1),$$

$$|\text{SL}(2, q)| = q(q^2 - 1).$$

The special p -groups $Q_1 = \{x(a, b) \mid b + b^\tau + aa' = 0, b, a \in K\}$, $Q_2 = \{y(a, b) \mid b + b^\tau + aa^\tau = 0, b, a \in K\}$ form Sylow p -subgroups of G , where

$$x(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & -a^\tau \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a^\tau & 1 & 0 \\ b & -a & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Their normalizers are generated by Q_i and the cyclic group H , $H = \{b(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in K, \lambda \neq 0\}$, where

$$b(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-\tau} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{\tau-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{aligned} b(\lambda)^{-1}x(a, b)b(\lambda) &= x(\lambda^{2\tau-1}a, \lambda^{\tau+1}b), \\ b(\lambda)^{-1}y(a, b)b(\lambda) &= y(\lambda^{\tau-2}a, \lambda^{-\tau-1}b). \end{aligned}$$

The groups $Z_1 = \{x(0, b)\} \subseteq Q_1$, $Z_2 = \{y(0, b)\} \subseteq Q_2$ satisfy $Z_i = Q_i' = Z(Q_i)$ and $S = \langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle \cong \text{SL}(2, q)$. S is normalized by H , $|H \cap S| = q - 1$, $|HS| = q(q^2 - 1)(q + 1)$. The subgroup of H of order $q + 1$ centralizes Z_1, Z_2 , hence S .

To prove $m(G) = 1$, we prove $m_r(G) = 1$ for all primes r dividing $|G|$.

The index of HS in G is $q^2(q^2 - q + 1)$. It is straightforward to verify that

$$(|HS|, G:HS) = \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & 3q \quad p \neq 3 \quad q \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\ \text{(ii)} & q \quad p \neq 3 \quad q \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ \text{(iii)} & q \quad p = 3 \end{cases}$$

Consider a central extension $\widetilde{HS}$ of HS by a cyclic group A of prime order r , $r \neq p$. $S = \text{SL}(2, q)$ is generated by its p -elements, and $r \nmid m(S)$ [13]. So, the induced extension $\widetilde{S}$ of S splits. Now, $S \cong S_0 \triangleleft HS$, where S_0 is the characteristic subgroup of $\widetilde{S} \triangleleft \widetilde{HS}$ generated by the p -elements of $\widetilde{S}$; $\widetilde{S} = S_0 \times A$. Since HS/S is cyclic, $A \not\subseteq (\widetilde{HS})'$. Thus, $m_r(HS) = 1$, for all $r \neq p$.

If $r \neq p$ is a prime, $r \mid |G:HS|$, $r \nmid |HS|$, then a Sylow r -subgroup R of G is cyclic. In fact, for such r , R is a Sylow r -subgroup of $\text{SL}(3, q^2) \supset G$, and R is contained in a cyclic Singer subgroup [10] of $\text{SL}(3, q^2)$. So, $m_r(G) = 1$ for such r .

Now assume r is a prime, $r \mid |HS|$, $r \neq p$. If (i) holds and $r \neq 3$, or (ii) or (iii) holds, a Sylow r -subgroup of G is contained in HS . But $m_r(HS) = 1$ implies $m_r(G) = 1$.

We next treat separately the more difficult cases (I): $r = 3$, $p \neq 2$, $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$; and (II): $r = p$. Note that in case (I), $Z(G)$ has order 3.

(I) If T is a Sylow 3-subgroup of HS , $T = T_1 \times T_2$, $T_1 \cong T_2 \cong Z_{3^m}$, $3^m = (q+1)_3$. T has index 3 in P , a Sylow 3-subgroup of G , because (i) applies. So, $|P| = 3^{2m+1}$, and $Z(G) = \Omega_1(T_2)$, $T_2 \subseteq H$, $T_1 \subseteq S$.

Let $x \in N_S(T_1)$ where x inverts T_1 . Then x centralizes T_2 , and $|x| = 4$ if q is odd, $|x| = 2$ if q is even. Since $1 \neq Z(G) \subset P$, P is nonabelian, by (2).

We now look at the subgroup $N = N_G(T)$, which contains a Sylow 3-subgroup of G . The normal subgroup N_0 of N , consisting of elements which induce automorphisms of determinant 1 on the vector space $T/\Phi(T)$, has index 2 in N , since $x \in N \setminus N_0$. By the Frattini argument, a Sylow 3-subgroup P of G is normalized by $x_1 \in xN_0$. Replacing x_1 by an odd power of x_1 , we may assume x_1 is a 2-element, acting with eigenvalues $\{1, -1\}$ on $T/\Phi(T)$. x_1 also acts on $P/T \cong Z_3$. Since x_1 permutes an odd number of pairs $\{u, u^{-1}\} = Ty \cup Ty^{-1}$, $y \in P \setminus T$, we may assume y^{x_1} equals y or y^{-1} . We assume $y^{x_1} = y$ and derive a contradiction. Set $T = V_1 \times V_2$, $V_1 = [T, x_1]$, $V_2 = C_T(x_1)$; $V_1 \cong V_2$ are cyclic, generated by v_1, v_2 , respectively. Since x_1 and y commute, y normalizes V_1 and V_2 . As $y^3 \in T$, abelian, y effects automorphisms of orders 1 or 3 on V_1 and V_2 . So, $[V_i, y] \subseteq \Omega_1(V_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. If $\langle V_2, y \rangle$ were cyclic, then $Z(G) = \Omega_1(V_2)$ is not contained in P' . By a transfer lemma (2), $Z(G) \not\subseteq G'$, a contradiction to G having no nontrivial factor group. So, the noncyclic group $\langle V_2, y \rangle$ contains an elementary abelian subgroup E of order 3^2 . Since $\Omega_1(V_1) \subseteq Z(P)$, $\langle \Omega_1(V_1), E \rangle$ is elementary abelian subgroup E of order 3^3 , an impossibility in $G \subset \text{SL}(3, q^2)$. Thus, $y^{x_1} = y^{-1}$, and $y^3 \in V_1$.

Let $\widetilde{G}$ be an extension of G by $\langle \alpha \rangle = A \cong Z_3$. $\widetilde{R}$ denotes the induced extension of $R \subseteq G$, and $\widetilde{g}$ belongs to the coset $g \in \widetilde{G} = G/A$. To show $\widetilde{G}$ splits, it suffices to show $\widetilde{P}$ splits.

First, we show $A \not\subseteq \tilde{T}'$. If $\alpha \in \tilde{T}'$, $\alpha^{\pm 1} = [\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2]$. But, modulo A , x_1 inverts v_1 , centralizes v_2 and so inverts α , contrary to $\alpha \in Z(G)$. Next, if $\langle \zeta \rangle = Z(G) = \Omega_1(T_2)$, we show $\zeta^3 = 1$. Since $\langle \zeta \rangle \subseteq P'$ and T is abelian, $\zeta = [t, y]$, some $t \in T$. As G is perfect, ζ is central in $\tilde{G}$. So, if $\zeta \alpha^i = [\tilde{t}, \tilde{y}]$, bilinearity of the commutator and $\tilde{y}^3 \in \tilde{T}$, abelian, imply $(\zeta \alpha^i)^3 = [\tilde{t}, \tilde{y}^3] = 1$ and $\zeta^3 = 1$. This means $\tilde{T}$ splits over A : $\tilde{T} = T_0 \times A$, T_0 is $\tilde{x}_1$ -invariant and $T_0 = V_{01} \times V_{02}$, $V_{01} = [T_0, \tilde{x}_1] = \langle v_{01} \rangle$, $V_{02} = C_{T_0}(\tilde{x}_1) = \langle v_{02} \rangle$. Consider the action of $\langle x_1, y \rangle$ on $\bar{T} = \tilde{T}/\Phi(\tilde{T})$ (note $\Phi(\tilde{T}) = \Phi(T_0)$). Denoting images of $\tilde{T} \rightarrow \bar{T}$ by $\bar{\cdot}$, we have $\bar{T} = \langle \bar{v}_{01} \rangle \times \langle \bar{v}_{02} \rangle \times \langle \bar{\alpha} \rangle$, and the factors are $\tilde{x}_1$ -invariant. Replacing, if necessary, $\tilde{y}$ by a power of $[y, \tilde{x}_1] \in y^{-2}$ we may assume $\tilde{y}^{\tilde{x}} = \tilde{y}^{-1}$; then $\tilde{y}^3 \in V_{01}$. If $\tilde{y}$ acts trivially on $\bar{T}$, then $\tilde{P}$ splits, i.e., $\langle T_0, \tilde{y} \rangle$ is a complement to $\langle \alpha \rangle$ in $\tilde{P}$. If $\tilde{y}$ acts nontrivially, we get a splitting as above, provided we show $\bar{\alpha} \notin [\bar{T}, \bar{y}]$. If $\bar{\alpha} \in [\bar{T}, \bar{y}]$, then $\bar{\alpha} = [\bar{v}_{01}^i \bar{v}_{02}^j, \bar{y}] = [\bar{v}_{01}, \bar{y}]^i [\bar{v}_{02}, \bar{y}]^j$. Conjugating both sides by $\tilde{x}$, the effect of $\tilde{x}$ on the various elements forces $[\bar{v}_{02}, \bar{y}]^j = \bar{1}$. If $i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then in P , $[V_1, y] \subseteq \Phi(T) = U^1(T)$. Consequently, $[\Omega_1(V_1), y] = 1$ and $[\Omega_1(T), y] = 1$. Now, we claim, $\langle y^3 \rangle$ is a proper subgroup of V_1 . If $\langle y^3 \rangle = V_1$, $[V_1, y] = 1$. Since y is nontrivial on $T/\Phi(T)$, this forces $[v_2, y] \equiv v_1^k \pmod{\Phi(T)}$, $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Hence $[\Omega_1(V_2), y] = \Omega_1(V_1) \neq 1$, absurd, as $\Omega_1(V_2) = Z(G)$. By this claim, we may choose $w \in \langle v_1 \rangle$ with $w^3 = y^3$. Then $(yw^{-1})^3 = 1$ since $[w, y] \in \Omega_1(V_2) = Z(G)$. So, $\langle yw^{-1}, \Omega_1(T) \rangle$ is elementary abelian of order 3^3 , contradiction. Therefore, $i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, implying $\bar{\alpha} \notin [\bar{T}, \bar{y}]$. This proves $m_3(G) = 1$.

(II) Let $\tilde{G}$ be a central extension of G by a p -group A . Denote by $\tilde{Q}$ the induced extension of Q , by $\widetilde{b(\lambda)}$ a representative in $\tilde{G}$ for $b(\lambda) \in G$. Assume $A \subseteq \tilde{Q}'$. To show $m_p(G) = 1$, we show $\widetilde{b(\lambda)}$, for λ a generator of $K^\times$, has no eigenvalue 1 on the Lie algebra $L(Q)$ associated with Q (unless $G = \text{SU}(3, 2)$ in which case $m_2(G) = 1$ as $Q \cong Q_8$ the quaternion group). We will then be done by a transfer lemma (2).

$$\begin{aligned} L(Q) &= L_1 \oplus L_2, & L_1 &= Q/Q', & L_2 &= Q', \\ L(\tilde{Q}) &= \tilde{L}_1 \oplus \tilde{L}_2 \oplus \tilde{L}_3, & \tilde{L}_1 &\cong L_1 \text{ as } \widetilde{b(\lambda)}\text{-groups,} \end{aligned}$$

and these first terms generate $L(\tilde{Q})$, $L(Q)$ respectively, as rings. The eigenvalues for $\widetilde{b(\lambda)}$ on L_1 are $\{\lambda^{(2q-1)\alpha} \mid \alpha = 1, p, p^2, \dots, p^{2n-1}\}$ and those on L_2 are $\{\lambda^{(q+1)\beta} \mid \beta = 1, p, \dots, p^{n-1}\}$.

If 1 were to occur as an eigenvalue on $\tilde{L}_2$, then $i = \lambda^{(2q-1)(\alpha+\alpha')}$. We may assume $\alpha' = 1$. The exponent must satisfy $(\alpha+1)(2q-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2-1}$.

Now, $(2q-1, q^2-1) = (2q-1, q+1) = 1$ or 3 . In the first case, $q^2-1 \mid \alpha+1 = p^i+1$. $p^i < q^2$ forces $i = 2n-1$ and $p^{2n}-1 = p^{2n-1}+1$; hence $q = 2$, the case we eliminated right away. In the second case $q^2-1 \mid 3(\alpha+1) = 3(p^i+1)$, or $p^{2n}-1 \leq 3p^i+3$, $p^{2n}-3p^i \leq 2$, $p^i(p^{2n-i}-3) \leq 2$. If $p^{2n-i}-3 \leq 0$, then $1 < p^{2n-i} \leq 3$. So, $p^{2n-i} = 2$ ($p^{2n-i} = 3$ is out since $3 \mid q+1$). Thus $q^2 = 2p^i$, or

$i = 2n - 1$. $q^2 - 1 \mid 3(p^i + 1)$ implies $2p^i - 1 \mid 3p^i + 1 = (2p^i - 1) + p^i + 2$, and $2p^i - 1 \leq p^i + 2$, or $p^i \leq 3$. Hence, $p^i = 2$ and $q = 2$, and we are done as before. If $p^{2n-i} - 3 > 0$ and $p^i = 1$, then $p^{2n-i} - 3 = 1$ or 2 , and $1 \neq p^{2n-i} = 4$ or 5 . But $q^2 = p^i p^{2n-i} = 4$ or 5 forces $q = 2$, done. If $p^{2n-i} - 3 > 0$ and $p^i \neq 1$, then $p^i = 2$ and $p^{2n-i} = 4$. But $q^2 = p^i p^{2n-i} = 8$ is absurd. Thus the eigenvalue 1 does not occur on L_2 .

If 1 were to occur as an eigenvalue on $\tilde{L}_3$, we must have $1 = \lambda^{(2q-1)\alpha} \lambda^{(q+1)\beta}$, or $(2q-1)\alpha + (q+1)\beta \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2-1}$. We may assume $\alpha = 1$. Then $q-1 \leq (2q-1) + (q+1)p^i = (2+p^i)q + (p^i-1)$, where $\beta = p^i < q$. So, $q(q-p^i-2) \leq p^i$, which forces $q-p^i-2 \leq 0$, $q \leq p^i+2$, and $q = 2, 3$, or 4 , $i = 0, 1$. $q = 2$ is out, and $2q-1 + (q+1)p^i \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2-1}$ cannot be satisfied for $q = 3$ or 4 . So, 1 does not occur on $\tilde{L}_3$.

This proves $m_p(G) = 1$, the last step to proving $m(G) = 1$.

The unitary groups ${}^2A_n(q)$, $n \geq 5$, n odd. Σ denotes a root system of type A_n , $n \geq 5$, n odd, with Dynkin diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 r_1 & & r_2 & & \dots & & r_{n-1} & & r_n \\
 \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \dots & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ
 \end{array}$$

${}^2\Sigma$ has type C_{m+1} , $n = 2m + 1$, with a set of fundamental roots $S_1 = \{r_1, r_n\}$, $S_2 = \{r_2, r_{n-1}\}, \dots$ (short), and $S_{m+1} = \{r_{m+1}\}$ (long).

(i)	$[x_R(t), x_S(u)] = 1$	R, S long,
(ii)	$= 1$	R long, S short,
(iii)	$= 1$	R, S short,
(B) (iv)	$= x_{R+S}(\epsilon tu)$	$R, S, R+S$ short, $\epsilon = \pm 1$,
(v)	$= x_{R+S}(\epsilon(t\bar{u} + \bar{t}u))$	R, S short, $R+S$ long, $\epsilon = \pm 1$,
(vi)	$= x_{R+S}(\epsilon tu) x_{R+2S}(\eta t\bar{u})$	R long, S short, $\epsilon = \pm 1$, $\eta = \pm 1$.

The relations (A). Set $Y_R/A = X_R$, all $R \in {}^2\Sigma$. We claim that Y_R is abelian. If R is long, we may assume R is a root of maximal height, i.e., $R = \{r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_n\}$. Then $X_R = [X_S, X_T] \subseteq U'$ where $S = \{r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_m, r_{m+2} + \dots + r_n\}$, $T = \{r_1 + \dots + r_{m+1}, r_{m+1} + \dots + r_n\}$. Since $X_R \subseteq Z(U)$, $Y_R \subseteq Z_2(V) \cap AV'$. So, Y_R is abelian. If R is short, we may assume $R = S$ (above). Then $X_R = [X_{S_1}, X_Q]$, $Q = \{r_2 + \dots + r_m, r_{m+2} + \dots + r_{n-1}\}$ and $X = X_{S_1} X_Q X_R$ is a group of class 2. So, for $Y/A = X$, Y has class 2 or 3, and $Y_R \subseteq AY'$ is abelian. By Fitting's lemma, $Y_R = C_{Y_R}(H) \oplus [Y_R, H]$, H the Cartan subgroup.

If R is short, or if $q > 2$ and R is long, H is nontrivial on X_R . Define $y_R(t)$ as the unique element of $x_R(t) \cap [Y_R, H]$. If R is long and $q = 2$, choose short

roots S, T with $S + T = R$. Define $y_R(1) = [y_S(\omega), y_T(1)]$, where we choose some $\omega \neq 1$. Taking R, S, T as in the previous paragraph, $X_S, X_T \subseteq U'$ since $n \geq 5$.

So, $[Y_R, Y_S] = [Y_R, Y_T] = 1$.

Define $y_R(0) = y_R(1)^2 = 1$. In (v), we will see that this is independent of ω (we do not need independence until after (v)). Then, by Lemma 1, it is independent of S and T .

These representatives satisfy (C) and (I).

The relations (B). (i) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = f(t, u)$. We may assume that R is a root of maximal height and that S is positive: $S = \{r_j + \cdots + r_{n-j+1}\}$, $1 < j \leq m+1$. We may assume that $j \leq m$ upon conjugating the equation by n_{S_m} if $j = m+1$.

Then, $X_S = [X_Q, X_T] \subseteq U'$, where $Q = \{r_j, r_{n-j+1}\}$, $T = \{r_{j+1} + \cdots + r_{n-j+1}, r_j + \cdots + r_{n-j}\}$. So, $Y_R \subseteq Z_2(V)$, $Y_S \subseteq AV'$, which implies $[Y_R, Y_S] = 1$, i.e., $f \equiv 1$.

(ii) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = f(t, u)$. We may assume R is a root of maximal height and S positive. $S = \{r_j + \cdots + r_k, r_{n-k+1} + \cdots + r_{n-j+1}\}$ for $k \leq m$ or $k \geq m+2$; $j \leq m$, $j < n-k+1$. If $k \geq m+2$, by applying n_Q (which fixes R) to S , where $Q = \{r_{n-k+1} + \cdots + r_k\}$, we may assume $k \leq m$. Applying $b_{S_k}(\lambda)$ to our relation gives $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda^{-1}u)$, $\lambda \in K$. Since f is biadditive and $|K| \geq 4$, $f \equiv 1$.

(iii) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = f(t, u)$. Clearly, f is biadditive. We may assume $R = S_1$. Then $S = \{r_1 + \cdots + r_j, r_{n-j+1} + \cdots + r_n\}$, $j \neq m+1, n$, $\{r_j + \cdots + r_k, r_{n-k+1} + \cdots + r_{n-j+1}\}$, $3 \leq j \leq m$, $k \neq n-j+1, n-1$, or $-\{r_j + \cdots + r_k, r_{n-k+1} + \cdots + r_{n-j+1}\}$, $2 \leq j \leq m$, $k \neq n-j+1$. We treat only the first possibility for S . If $j > 2$, conjugation by $b_{S_j}(\lambda)$ gives $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda^2u)$. Biadditivity and $|K| \geq 4$ gives $f \equiv 1$. If $j = 2$, $m \geq 3$, conjugation by $b_{S_3}(\lambda)$ gives the same conclusion. Assume $j = 2$, $m = 2$, $n = 5$. We have $Q + T = S$, $Q = \{r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4, r_2 + r_3 + r_4 + r_5\}$, $T = -\{r_2 + r_3, r_3 + r_4\}$, and $[y_Q(u), y_T(\epsilon)] = y_S(u)a$, $a \in A$, by (iv). Conjugating the left side by $y_R(t)$, we get $[y_Q(u)y_{Q+R}(\eta(u\bar{t} + \bar{t}u)), y_T(\epsilon)] = [y_Q(u), y_T(\epsilon)]$, $\eta = \pm 1$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$, by (v), (ii) and the commutator identities. So, $y_R(t)$ commutes with the right side too, hence with $y_S(u)$; thus, $f \equiv 1$ here. The second and third cases are handled similarly.

(iv) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = y_{R+S}(\epsilon tu)f(t, u)$. By (iii), f is biadditive. We may assume $R = S_1$. Then, $S = \{r_2 + \cdots + r_k, r_{n-k+1} + \cdots + r_{n-1}\}$, or $-\{r_1 + \cdots + r_k, r_{n-k+1} + \cdots + r_n\}$. We consider the former case, the latter being similar. As in (ii), we may assume $k \leq m$. We can get $f \equiv 1$ if $n \geq 7$ upon conjugating the relation by $b_Q(\lambda)$ where $Q = S_k$ if $k > 2$, or $Q = S_{k+1}$ if $k = 2$. For $n = 5$, conjugation by $b_{S_2}(\lambda)$ gives $f(t, u) = f(\lambda^{-1}t, \lambda^2u)$. Conjugation by $b_{S_3}(\mu)$ gives $f(t, u) = f(t, \mu^{-1}u)$, $\mu \in K_0^\times$. This implies $f \equiv 1$ if $q > 2$. For $q = 2$, all we can say for now is that $\langle f(t, u) | t, u \in K \rangle$ is a subgroup of a four-group. The next section treats the case $q = 2$.

(v) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = y_{R+S}(\epsilon(t\bar{u} + \bar{t}u))f(t, u)$. By (ii), f is biadditive. We may

assume $R = S_1$, $S = \{r_1 + \cdots + r_{n-1}, r_2 + \cdots + r_n\}$ or $-\{r_1 + \cdots + r_k, r_{n-k+1} + \cdots + r_n\}$; we treat the first case only. Conjugating the relation by $b_R(\lambda)$, $f(t, u) = f(\lambda^2 t, \lambda \bar{\lambda}^{-1} u)$. Applying $b_{S_2}(\lambda)$, $f(t, u) = f(\lambda^{-1} t, \bar{\lambda} u)$. So, $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda \bar{\lambda} u)$. By (ii) and (I), if $q > 2$, f is biadditive, which gives $f \equiv 1$. Let $q = 2$, $Q = \{r_2 + \cdots + r_{n-2}\}$, $K = \{0, 1, \omega, \omega^2\}$. Since $x_R(t)^{nQ} = x_S(t)$, $x_S(u)^{nQ} = x_R(u)$, we have $f(t, u) = f(u, t)$. Depending on how we defined $y_{R+S}(1)$, either $f(\omega, 1)$ or $f(\omega^2, 1)$ is 1, but the choice need not be specified for this argument. Using (ii) and the above,

$$\begin{aligned} f(1, 1) &= [y_R(1), y_S(1)] = [y_R(\omega + \omega^2), y_S(1)] = y_{R+S}(1)^2 f(\omega, 1) f(\omega^2, 1) \\ &= y_{R+S}(1)^2 f(1, \omega^2)^2 = [y_R(1), y_S(\omega^2)^2] = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $[y_R(\omega), y_S(1)] = [y_R(1 + \omega), y_S(1)] = [y_R(\omega^2), y_S(1)]$, so $f(\omega, 1) = f(\omega^2, 1) = 1$ also. This clears up the ambiguity in the choice of $y_T(1)$, T long. So, $f \equiv 1$.

(vi) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = y_{R+S}(\epsilon t u) y_{R+2S}(\eta t u \bar{u}) f(t, u)$. Using previous relations and the commutator identities, $f(t, u)$ is biadditive. We may assume $S = S_1$; then $R = \{r_2 + \cdots + r_{n-1}\}$ or $-\{r_1 + \cdots + r_n\}$. We treat the first case only. Conjugating by $b_{S_1}(\lambda)$ gives $f(t, u) = f(\lambda^{-1} \bar{\lambda}^{-1} t, \lambda^2 u)$. Using $b_{S_2}(\mu)$, $f(t, u) = f(\mu \bar{\mu} t, \mu^{-1} u)$. Thus, $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda u)$, and $f \equiv 1$ as $|K| \geq 4$.

The exceptional case ${}^2A_5(2) = \text{PSU}_6(2)$. As shown in the previous section, the only relations which cannot be lifted to the $y_R(t)$ are of type (iv). Hence, A is generated by all $f(t, u)$ of this type. Since any triple $\{R, S, R + S\}$ of this type is conjugate under the Weyl group (an easy verification), the $f(t, u)$ for a fixed R, S generate A . Now, let X be the group generated by $X_R, X_S, X_{R+S}, X_{-R}, X_{-S}, X_{-R-S}$. X maps onto the simple group $A_2(4)$ which has the Chevalley commutator relations as those holding among the $x_R(t), x_S(u), \dots$ (rewriting $x_R(t) = x_r(t)x_{\bar{r}}(\bar{t})$ as $x_R(\bar{t})$ if necessary) and $Z(X) = \langle b_R(\lambda)b_S(\lambda^{-1}) \rangle \cong Z_3$. Let $Y/A = X$. By construction, $y_R(t), y_S(u), \dots \in Y'$; hence $A \subseteq Y'$. Since $M_2(X) \cong Z_4 \times Z_4$ [8] and $f(t, u)^2 = 1$, A is a subgroup of a four-group.

One could prove that A is a four-group by constructing a stable cocycle. However, in the group $M(22)$ discovered by Fischer [6], the centralizer of a 3-transposition is a perfect extension of $U_6(2)$ by Z_2 . Hence, $2 \mid |A|$. In the determination of $m_2(M(22))$ (see [9]), it is seen that $4 \mid |A|$, forcing $|A| = 4 = m_2({}^2A_5(2))$. An alternative proof is to note that the diagonal outer automorphism d of order 3 on ${}^2A_5(2)$ induces a diagonal outer automorphism d on X . Since the action of d on cohomology commutes with the restriction map and d acts fixed-point-freely on $M_2(X)$, d acts fixed point freely on the image of the restriction $A = H^2({}^2A_5(2), \mathbb{C}^\times) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{C}^\times)$. The last paragraph implies that the restriction is injective.

So, $|A| = 4$ as $2 \leq |A| \leq 4$ and d cannot be fixed point free on a group of order 2.

The unitary groups ${}^2A_n(q)$, n even, $n \geq 4$. Σ denotes a root system of type A_n , $n = 2m$, with Dynkin diagram

${}^2\Sigma$ is a root system of type C_m having as a set of fundamental roots $S_1 = \{r_1, r_n\}, \dots, S_{m-1} = \{r_{m-1}, r_{m+2}\}$ (short) and $S_m = \{r_m, r_{m+1}, r_m + r_{m+1}\}$ (long). The "one-parameter subgroups" X_R are abelian of order q^2 if R is short, nonabelian of class two of order q^3 if R is long.

We let $\Gamma \cong \text{SU}(n+1, q)$; $\Gamma/Z(\Gamma) \cong G$. Γ is generated by elements $x_R(t)$, $x_R(u, v)$ satisfying the relations below. We let $\hat{G}$ be a perfect extension of Γ by $M_p(\Gamma)$ and lift these generators and relations to $\hat{G}$.

- $$\begin{array}{ll} x_R(t)x_R(u) = x_R(t+u) & R \text{ short, } t, u \in K, \\ \text{(A)} \quad X_R = \{x_R(t, u) \mid t, u \in K, u + \bar{u} = \epsilon t \bar{t}\} & R \text{ long, } R = \{r, \bar{r}, r + \bar{r}\}, \\ & \epsilon = N_{rr} = \pm 1, \\ [x_R(t, u), x_R(v, w)] = x_R(0, \epsilon(t\bar{v} - \bar{t}v)), \\ x_R(t, u)x_R(v, w) = x_R(t+v, u+w - \epsilon t\bar{v}). \end{array}$$
- $$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(i)} \quad [x_R(t), x_S(u)] = 1 & R, S \text{ short,} \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & = x_{R+S}(\epsilon tu) \quad R, S, R+S \text{ short,} \\ & \epsilon = \pm 1, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & = x_{R+S}(0, \epsilon(t\bar{u} - \bar{t}u)) \quad R, S \text{ short,} \\ & R+S \text{ long,} \\ \text{(B)} \quad & \epsilon = \pm 1, \\ \text{(iv)} \quad [x_R(t, u), x_S(v, w)] = x_{\frac{1}{2}(R+S)}(\epsilon tv) & R, S \text{ long,} \\ & \frac{1}{2}(R+S) \text{ short,} \\ & \epsilon = \pm 1, \\ \text{(v)} \quad [x_R(t, u), x_S(v)] = 1 & R \text{ long, } S \text{ short,} \\ \text{(vi)} \quad & = x_{R+S}(\epsilon \bar{u}v)x_{R+2S}(\eta tv, \delta uv\bar{v}) \quad R, R+2S \text{ long,} \\ & S, R+S \text{ short,} \\ & \epsilon = \pm 1, \eta = \pm 1, \\ & \delta = \pm 1. \end{array}$$

The relations (A). Let $Y_R/A = X_R$. For R short, we claim Y_R is abelian. We may assume $R = \{r_1 + \dots + r_{m+1}, r_m + \dots + r_n\}$. Then $[X_Q, X_S] = X_R$ by

(iv), where $Q = \{r_1 + \cdots + r_m, r_{m+1} + \cdots + r_n, r_1 + \cdots + r_n\}$, $S = S_m$. Since elements of Y_R commute with Y_Q , Y_S modulo A , Y_R commutes with $[Y_Q, Y_S]$; hence Y_R is abelian. Since $b_R(\lambda)$ acts nontrivially on X_R , it also does on Y_R . So, we define $y_R(t)$ as the unique element of $x_R(t) \cap [Y_R, H]$.

Regarding the choice of $y_R(t)$ for R long, we know that $\langle X_R, X_{-R} \rangle$ is isomorphic to $SU(3, q)$. By our earlier results, $M_p(SU(3, q)) = 1$. For $q > 2$, $SU(3, q)$ is perfect; hence $\langle Y_R, Y_{-R} \rangle = A \times \langle Y_R, Y_{-R} \rangle'$, and $\langle Y_R, Y_{-R} \rangle' \cong \langle X_R, X_{-R} \rangle$. For $q = 2$, $SU(3, 2)/O_3(SU(3, 2)) = Q_8$, the quaternion group. So, for $Y = \langle Y_R, Y_{-R} \rangle$, Y/Y' is an abelian group of order $4|A|$. Assume $R = S_m$. Taking $S = S_{m-1}$, we have $[X_R, b_S(\lambda)] = X_R$ for $(\lambda) = K^\times$. Then by Fitting's lemma, $Y/Y' = [Y/Y', H] \times \bar{A}$ ($\bar{A} = AY'/Y'$). Thus, for all q , $Y = Y_0 \times A$ and Y_0 is H -invariant. Define $y_R(t, u)$ as the unique element of $x_R(t, u) \cap Y_0$.

These $y_R(t)$ and $y_R(t, u)$ satisfy (C) and (I).

The relations (B). (i) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = f(t, u)$. This occurs for $n \geq 6$ only. We may assume $R = S_1$. Then $S = \{r_1 + \cdots + r_j, r_{n-j+1} + \cdots + r_n\}$, $2 < j \neq m$, or $\pm\{r_j + \cdots + r_k, r_{n-k+1} + \cdots + r_{n-j+1}\}$, $2 < j < n - k + 1$, $k \neq m$. Suppose the first case holds. Conjugating the relation by $b_{S_{j+1}}(\lambda)$ gives $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda^{-1}u)$. Since f is biadditive and $|K| \geq 4$, $f \equiv 1$ follows. The other cases are handled similarly.

(ii) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = y_{R+S}(\epsilon tu)f(t, u)$. This occurs for $n \geq 6$ only. We may assume $R = S_1$; then $S = \{r_2 + \cdots + r_k, r_{n-k+1} + \cdots + r_{n-1}\}$, $m \neq k \neq n$, or $-\{r_1 + \cdots + r_j, r_{n-j+1} + \cdots + r_n\}$, $j \neq m$. We treat the first case only. If $k = 2$, conjugating the relation by $b_{S_k}(\lambda)$ gives $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda^{-1}u)$. If $k = 2$, conjugating by $b_{S_3}(\lambda)$ gives $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda^{-1}u)$. By (i), f is biadditive and so $f \equiv 1$ as $|K| \geq 4$.

(v) $[y_R(t, u), y_S(v)] = f(t, u; v)$. We may assume R is a root of maximal height $\{r_1 + \cdots + r_m, r_{m+1} + \cdots + r_n, r_1 + \cdots + r_n\}$. Then $S = \{r_j + \cdots + r_k, r_{n-k+1} + \cdots + r_{n-j+1}\}$, $j < n - k + 1$, $k < m$, or $-\{r_j + \cdots + r_k, r_{n-k+1} + \cdots + r_{n-j+1}\}$, $k < m$, $j > 1$, $j < n - k + 1$. We treat the first case only. If $k > 1$, conjugating by $b_{S_k}(\lambda)$ gives $f(t, u; v) = f(t, u; \lambda^2 v)$. Since f is additive in v , $f \equiv 1$ as $|K| \geq 4$. If $j = k = 1$, a similar argument works with $b_{S_2}(\lambda)$ if $m \geq 3$.

Assume $j = k = 1$, $m = 2$. For a fixed v , f is a homomorphism of $\langle y_R(t, u) \rangle$ all t, u into A , abelian. So, f depends on t only, and is additive in t . Write $f(t, u; v) = g(t, v)$. Conjugating the relation by $b_{S_1}(\lambda)$, $b_{S_2}(\mu)$, we get $g(t, v) = g(\lambda t, \lambda^2 v) = g(\mu \bar{\mu}^{-1} t, \mu^{-1} v)$. Taking $\mu = \lambda^2$, $g(t, v) = g(\lambda^3 \bar{\lambda}^{-2} t, v)$. For all q , there is a $\lambda \in K$ with $\lambda^3 \bar{\lambda}^{-2} \neq 1$, which gives $g \equiv 1$ with biadditivity.

(iv) $[y_R(t, u), y_S(v, w)] = y_{\frac{1}{2}(R+S)}(\epsilon tu)f(t, u; v, w)$. We may take $R = \{r_1 + \cdots + r_m, r_{m+1} + \cdots + r_n, r_1 + \cdots + r_n\}$. Then, $S = -\{r_j + \cdots + r_m, r_{m+1} + \cdots + r_{n-j+1}, r_j + \cdots + r_{n-j+2}\}$, $1 < j$. Using (v), f is a bimultiplicative map

$Y_R \times Y_S \rightarrow A$. So, $[Y'_R, Y'_S] = 1 = [Y_R, Y'_S]$, and f is a function $g(t, v)$ of t, v only, and g is biadditive. If $j < m$, conjugation by $b_{S_j}(\lambda)$ gives $g(t, u) = g(t, \lambda u)$; as $|K| \geq 4$, $g \equiv 1$. If $j = m$, conjugation by $b_{S_{m-1}}(\lambda)$ gives the same result if $m \geq 2$. Assume $m = 2$, $j = 2$. Conjugation by $b_{S_1}(\lambda)$ gives $g(t, u) = g(\lambda t, \lambda^{-1}u)$, and, by $b_{S_2}(\lambda)$, $g(t, u) = g(\lambda \bar{\lambda}^{-1}t, \lambda^2 \bar{\lambda}^{-1}u)$. So $g(t, u) = g(\lambda^3 \bar{\lambda}^{-2}t, u)$. Since there is a $\lambda \in K$ with $\lambda^3 \bar{\lambda}^{-2} \neq 1$, $g \equiv 1$ by biadditivity.

(vi) $[y_R(t, u), y_S(v)] = y_{R+S}(\epsilon \bar{u}v) y_{R+2S}(\eta tv, \delta uv \bar{v}) f(t, u; v)$. We may assume $R = \{r_1 + \cdots + r_m, r_{m+1} + \cdots + r_n, r_1 + \cdots + r_n\}$. Then $S = -\{r_1 + \cdots + r_j, r_{n-j+1} + \cdots + r_n\}$, $j < m$. We must take into account $f_{R+S, S}$ of type (iii) which is not yet proven to be trivial. Expanding $[y_R(t, u), y_S(v_1 + v_2)]$ and

$$[y_R(t_1 + t_2, u_1 + u_2 - \gamma \bar{t}_1 t_2), y_S(v)] = [y_R(t_1, u_1) y_R(t_2, u_2), y_S(v)]$$

using the commutator identities and previous relations, we get

$$(a) f(t, u; v_1 + v_2) = f(t, u; v_2) f(t, u; v_1) f_{R+S, S}(\epsilon tv_1, v_2),$$

$$(b) f(t_1 + t_2, u_1 + u_2 - \gamma \bar{t}_1 t_2; v) = f(t_1, u_1; v) f(t_2, u_2; v).$$

From (b) we see that f is a homomorphism in the first argument, hence $f(t, u; v) = g(t, v)$, a function independent of u , additive in t . Conjugating by $b_R(\lambda)$, $b_S(\mu)$ gives $g(t, v) = g(\lambda^2 \bar{\lambda}^{-1}t, \lambda^{-1}v) = g(\mu^{-1}t, \mu^2v)$, respectively. Taking $\lambda = \mu^2$, $g(t, u) = g(\mu^3 \bar{\mu}^{-2}t, u)$. There is a $\mu \in K$ with $\mu^3 \bar{\mu}^{-2} \neq 1$, so additivity in the first argument implies $g \equiv 1$. So, $f \equiv 1$ in (vi). Since t, v_1, v_2 vary independently over K , (a) gives $f_{R+S, S} \equiv 1$ in type (iii).

$$(iii) [y_R(t), y_S(u)] = y_{R+S}(0, \epsilon(t\bar{u} - \bar{t}u)) f(t, u), f \equiv 1.$$

This finishes the calculations. In the extension $\hat{G}$, $V = V_0 \times A$, where $V_0 = \langle y_R(t), y_S(u, v) \mid R, S \in \Sigma^+, t, u, v \in K \rangle$ is isomorphic to U , the Sylow p -subgroup of G , via $y_R(\) \mapsto x_R(\)$. Since V splits over A , $\hat{G}$ does by Gaschütz's theorem. Hence $m_p(G) = 1$.

The unitary groups ${}^2A_3(q)$. Σ has type A_3 with Dynkin diagram

and ${}^2\Sigma$ has type C_2 with fundamental roots $S_1 = \{r_1, r_2\}$ and $S_2 = \{r_2\}$. A set of positive roots is $S_1, S_2, S_1 + S_2, 2S_1 + S_2$. We carry over notation, etc. from the case ${}^2A_n(q)$, $n \geq 5$. The relations of type (B) which occur here are (i), (ii), (v), (vi) only. We deal with $q > 2$ only, because ${}^2A_3 \cong U_4(2) \cong \text{PSp}(4, 3) \cong B_2(3)$ [10], $m_3(B_2(3)) = 1$, $m_2({}^2A_3(2)) = 1$ and $|Z(\text{Sp}(4, 3))| = 2$ implies $m_2({}^2A_3(2)) = 2$.

The relations (A). For $Y_R/A = X_R$, Y_R is abelian for R long and the $y_R(t)$

are chosen as before. Suppose $R = S_1$, short and Y_R nonabelian. As

$x_R(t)^{b_R(\lambda)} = x_R(\lambda^2 t)$, the eigenvalues for $b_R(\lambda)$ ($\langle \lambda \rangle = K^\times$) on the vector space $Y_R/A Y_R'$, are λ^{2p^k} , $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-1$. Looking at the Lie algebra associated with the p -group Y_R , Y_R' is elementary abelian with eigenvalues among $\lambda^{2p^i} \lambda^{2p^j} = \lambda^{2(p^i + p^j)}$. Since $Y_R' \subseteq A$, central, $2p^i + 2p^j \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2 - 1}$. We may assume $i = 0$ upon multiplying this equation by p^{-i} . So, $q^2 - 1 = p^{2n} - 1$ divides $2(1 + p^j)$. If $q^2 - 1 < 2(1 + p^j)$, $3 > p^{2n} - p^j = p^{2n-j}(p^j - 1)$. If $p^j = 1$, $q^2 - 1 < 2 \cdot 2$ forces $q = 2$, the case we excluded. If $p^j > 1$, then $p^{2n-j} = 2 = p^j$, so $q = 2$ again. Thus, $q^2 - 1 = 2(1 + p^j)$ and $3 = p^j(p^{2n-j} - 2)$. Easily, the only possibility is $q = 3$. So, for $q \neq 3$, Y_R is abelian and the $y_R(t)$ are chosen as before.

Now take $q = 3$. Since $x_R(t)^{b_R(\lambda)} = x_R(\lambda^2 t)$, $b_R(\lambda)$ inverts the cyclic group $\langle x_R(t) \rangle$, where $\lambda^2 = -1$. Choose $y_R(t)$ as the unique element of $x_R(t) \cap [Y_R(t), b_R(\lambda)]$, where $Y_R(t)/A = \langle x_R(t) \rangle$. These choices satisfy $y_R(mt) = y_R(t)^m$, m an integer. Define the factor set d_R by $y_R(t)y_R(u) = y_R(t+u)d_R(t, u)$.

These representatives $y_R(t)$ satisfy (C) and, if $q > 3$ or R long, (I) as well.

The relations (B). (i) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = f(t, u)$. Assume $R = S_2$, $S = 2S_1 + S_2$. Conjugating by $b_{S_1}(\lambda)$, $f(t, u) = f(\lambda^{-1}\bar{\lambda}^{-1}t, \lambda\bar{\lambda}u)$, $\lambda \in K^\times$, and by $b_{S_2}(\mu)$, $f(t, u) = f(\mu^2 t, u)$, $\mu \in K_0^\times$. If $q > 3$, biadditivity implies $f \equiv 1$. The case $q = 3$ is handled in (v).

(ii) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = f(t, u)$. We may take $R = 2S_1 + S_2$, $S = S_1 + S_2$. Conjugating by $b_{S_2}(\lambda)$, $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda u)$, $\lambda \in K_0$. Biadditivity and $q > 2$ imply $f \equiv 1$.

(iv) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = y_{R+S}(\epsilon t\bar{u} + \bar{\epsilon} u) f(t, u)$. Using (ii), f is biadditive. Assume $R = S_1$, $S = S_1 + S_2$. Conjugating by $b_{S_1}(\lambda)$, $f(t, u) = f(\lambda^2 t, \lambda\bar{\lambda}^{-1}u)$, and applying $b_{S_2}(\mu)$, $f(t, u) = f(\mu^{-1}t, \mu u)$, $\mu \in K_0^\times$. Taking $\lambda = \mu$, $f(t, u) = f(\mu^2 t, u)$. If $q > 3$, $f \equiv 1$ follows. If $q = 3$, $f(t, u) = f(\lambda^2 t, \lambda^{-2}u)$, for $\lambda \in K^\times$, is the best we can do.

(v) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = y_{R+S}(\epsilon tu) y_{R+2S}(\eta t u \bar{u}) f(t, u)$. Assume $R = S_2$, $S = S_1$. We must take into account $g(v, w) = f_{R+S, S}(v, w)$ of type (iv) and $h(v, w) = f_{R+2S, R}(v, w)$ of type (i). Expanding $[y_R(t_1 + t_2), y_S(u)]$ and $[y_R(t), y_S(u_1 + u_2)]$ as usual, we get

$$(a) f(t, u_1 + u_2) = f(t, u_1)f(t, u_2)g(\epsilon t u_1, u_2)d_{R+S}(\epsilon t u_1, \epsilon t u_2),$$

$$(b) f(t_1 + t_2, u) = f(t_1, u)f(t_2, u)h(\eta t_1 u \bar{u}, t_2)d_{R+S}(\epsilon t_1 u, \epsilon t_2 u).$$

Conjugating the relation by $b_{R+2S}(\mu)$ gives $f(t, u) = f(t, \mu u)$, $\mu \in K_0^\times$. If $q > 3$, f is biadditive; taking $\mu \neq 1$ gives $f \equiv 1$.

Now let $q = 3$. Recall that $d_{R+S}(v, \pm v) = 1$. Taking $u_1 = \pm u_2$ in (a), we get

$$(c) f(t, -u) = f(t, u)^2 g(\epsilon t u, u),$$

$$(d) 1 = f(t, u)f(t, -u)g(\epsilon t u, -u).$$

Thus, $f(t, u)^3 = 1$. Since $f(t, u) = f(t, -u)$, $f(t, u)^{-1} = g(\epsilon tu, u)$. Taking $t_1 = t_2$ in (b), we get

$$(e) \quad f(-t, u) = f(t, u)^2 f_{R+2S, S}(\eta tu \bar{u}, t).$$

Hence, $1 = f(-t, u)^{-1} f(t, u)^2 f_{R+2S, S}(\eta tu \bar{u}, t) = g(-\epsilon tu, u) g(\epsilon tu, u) \cdot$

$f_{R+2S, S}(\eta tu \bar{u}, t) = f_{R+2S, S}(\eta tu \bar{u}, t)$, giving triviality in type (i). Since $f(t, u) \in \langle g(v, w) \mid v, w \in K \rangle = B \subseteq A$, for all t, u , (a) or (b) gives $d_{R+S}(t, u) \in B$ for all t, u . Hence the $g(v, w)$ generate $B = A$.

Going back to (iv), set $Y_T = \langle y_T(t) \mid t \in K \rangle$ for $T = R, S$ (the short roots in that case). Since g is a biadditive map $(Y_R/Y'_R) \times (Y_R/Y'_R) \rightarrow A$, A has at most four generators and A has exponent 3. We can bound $|A|$ further as follows. The function g satisfies $g(t, u) = g(\lambda^2 t, \lambda^{-2} u)$, $\lambda \in K^\times$. All these relations are a consequence of the relations in which λ is a fixed primitive eighth root of unity in $K^\times$, as simple calculations verify. Since the relation is biadditive, it suffices to require it for t, u taking values on a basis E for K over the prime field. If we take $E = \{1, \lambda^2\}$, then we get the relations

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad g(1, 1) &= g(\lambda^2, \lambda^{-2}), & (3) \quad g(\lambda^2, 1) &= g(\lambda^4, \lambda^{-2}), \\ (2) \quad g(1, \lambda^2) &= g(\lambda^2, 1), & (4) \quad g(\lambda^2, \lambda^2) &= g(\lambda^4, 1). \end{aligned}$$

But (1) and (4) are equivalent, as are (2) and (3). Hence, we have only two independent relations. Thus, A has at most $4 - 2 = 2$ generators. So, $|A| \leq 9$.

At this point, we quote the results of [11], in which Lindsey constructs projective representations of $U_4(3)$, which imply that a perfect extension of $U_4(3)$ by $Z_3 \times Z_3$ exists. We conclude $m_3(^2A_3(3)) = |A| = 9$. Note that the preimage in G of X_R , R short, is the direct product of a cyclic group of order 3 with a nonabelian group of order 27, exponent 3.

These arguments determine $M_p(^2A_3(q))$ for all q .

The second orthogonal groups ${}^2D_n(q)$, $n \geq 4$. Σ denotes a root system of type D_n with Dynkin diagram

Σ is a root system of type B_{n-1} . $S_1 = \{r_1\}, \dots, S_{n-2} = \{r_{n-2}\}$ is a set of long roots, $S_{n-1} = \{r_{n-1}, r_n\}$ a short root, all forming a set of fundamental roots for ${}^2\Sigma$.

(i)	$[x_R(t), x_S(u)] = 1$	R, S long,
(ii)	$= x_{R+S}(\epsilon tu)$	$R, S, R+S$ long, $\epsilon = \pm 1$,
(B) (iii)	$= x_{R+S}(\epsilon(t\bar{u} + \bar{t}u))$	R, S short, $R+S$ long,
(iv)	$= 1$	R long, S short,
(v)	$= x_{R+S}(\epsilon tu) x_{R+2S}(\eta t\bar{t}u)$	R long, S short,
$R+S$ short, $R+2S$ long,
$\epsilon = \pm 1, \eta = \pm 1$.

The relations (A). If R is long, by transitivity of the Weyl group on roots of ${}^2\Sigma$ of the same length, we may assume $R = \{r_1 + r_2\}$. By (ii), $X_R = [X_{R_1}, X_{R_2}]$, and by (i) $[X_R, X_{R_1}] = [X_R, X_{R_2}] = 1$. So, if we let $Y/A = \langle X_{R_1}, X_{R_2} \rangle$, then the class of Y is 2 or 3. Setting $Y_R/A = X_R$, we get $[Y_R, Y_R] \subseteq [AY', AY'] = 1$, i.e., Y_R is abelian. If $q > 2$, then it is easily verified that H acts nontrivially on X_R , and on Y_R . We define $y_R(t)$ as the unique element of $x_R(t) \cap [Y_R, H]$ (use Fitting's lemma). In the case $q = 2$, define $y_R(1) = [x_{R_1}(1), x_{R_2}(1)]$. In fact, we have $y_R(1) = [y_S(1), y_T(1)]$ for any pair S, T of long roots with $S + T = R$ because the Weyl group of ${}^2\Sigma$ is transitive on such pairs, by Lemma 2. These representatives satisfy (C) and, if $q > 2$, (I) also. Since the angle between R, S and R, T must be 60° , we will have (I) for $q = 2$ if we define $y_R(0) = 1$, once we prove $f \equiv 1$ in (i).

For short roots R , we put off specifying $y_R(t) \in x_R(t)$. Choose an arbitrary $y_R^*(t) \in x_R(t)$, all short $R, t \in K$.

The relations (B). (i) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = f(t, u)$ is biadditive. We may assume $R = S_1$ then $S = \{r_i + \dots\}, -\{r_i + \dots\}, i \geq 3, \{r_1 + r_2 + \dots\}, -\{r_2 + \dots\}$, or $-\{r_1 + 2r_2 + \dots\}$. In the first, second, and fifth case, R and S are orthogonal. In the third and fourth cases, R and S form a 60° angle.

We treat the third case, the fourth being similar. Conjugating our relation by a succession of n_Q , where W_Q leaves R invariant, we may assume $S = \{r_1 + r_2\}$. Choose $v \in K$ with $v + \bar{v} = t$. Then $y_R(t) \cdot a = [y_Q(\epsilon), y_T(v)]$ (type (iii)), where $a \in A, Q = \{r_1 + \dots + r_{n-2} + r_{n-1}, r_1 + \dots + r_{n-2} + r_n\}, T = -\{r_2 + \dots + r_{n-2} + r_{n-1}, r_2 + \dots + r_{n-2} + r_n\}$. Since $[Y_S, Y_Q], [Y_S, Y_T] \subseteq A$, (type (iv)) $y_S(u)$ commutes with $[Y_Q, Y_T]$, hence with $y_R(t)$. So, $f \equiv 1$ here, and (I) holds for the $y_R(t)$, R long, as promised.

Proving $f(t, u) = 1$ when R and S are orthogonal is deferred to part (v).

(ii) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = y_{R+S}(\epsilon tu)f(t, u)$. We may assume $R + S = \{r_1 + 2r_2 + \dots + 2r_{n-2} + r_{n-1} + r_n\}$, a root of maximal height. Then $R = \{r_1 + \dots + r_j\}, S =$

$\{r_2 + \cdots + r_j + 2r_{j+1} + \cdots + 2r_{n-2} + r_{n-1} + r_n\}$, $2 \leq j \leq n-2$, by switching R and S if necessary. If $j > 2$, conjugation by $b_{S_2}(\lambda)$ gives $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda u)$. Since $R, R+S$ and $S, R+S$ form 60° angles, the discussion in (i) implies f is biadditive. If $q > 2$, $f \equiv 1$ follows. If $j = 2$, conjugating by $b_{S_2}(\lambda)$ gives $f(t, u) = f(\lambda t, u)$ and $f \equiv 1$ if $q > 2$. If $q = 2$, $f \equiv 1$ by definition.

(iv) $[y_R(t), y_S^*(u)] = f(t, u)$. We may assume $R = \{r_1 + 2r_2 + \cdots + 2r_{n-2} + r_{n-1} + r_n\}$, a root of maximal height. Then $S = \{r_i + \cdots + r_{n-2} + r_{n-1}, r_i + \cdots + r_{n-2} + r_n\}$, $-\{r_j + \cdots + r_{n-2} + r_{n-1}, r_j + \cdots + r_{n-2} + r_n\}$, $j \neq 2$, or $\pm S_{n-1}$. Say $S \neq \pm S_{n-1}$. Then conjugation by $b_{S_{n-1}}(\lambda)$ gives $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda \bar{\lambda}^{-1} u)$. Since K has a $\lambda \neq \bar{\lambda}$, $f \equiv 1$ by biadditivity. The same procedure for $S = \pm S_{n-1}$ gives $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda^{\pm 2} u)$, hence $f \equiv 1$ as $|K| \geq 4$.

(iii) $[y_R^*(t), y_S^*(u)] = y_{R+S}(\epsilon(t\bar{u} + \bar{t}u))f(t, u)$. We may assume $R = S_{n-1}$; then $S = \pm\{r_1 + \cdots + r_{n-2} + r_{n-1}, r_i + \cdots + r_{n-2} + r_n\}$, $i \leq n-2$. We assume S positive, the negative case being similar. Using previous relations and the commutator identities, $f(t, u)$ is biadditive. Conjugating by $b_R(\lambda)$, we get $f(t, u) = f(\lambda^2 t, \lambda \bar{\lambda}^{-1} u)$. If $i < n-2$, conjugation by $b_{R_i}(\mu)$ gives $f(t, u) = f(t, \mu u)$; if $i = n-2$, conjugation by $b_{R_{n-3}}(\mu)$ gives $f(t, u) = f(t, \mu^{-1} u)$, $\mu \in K_0$. If $q > 2$, choose $\mu \neq 1$ to get $f \equiv 1$. If $q = 2$, $\bar{\lambda}^{-1} = \lambda$, so $f(t, u) = f(\lambda^2 t, \lambda^2 u)$, all $\lambda \in K$. We defer the case $q = 2$ until case (v). Note however that $f(t, u) = f(u, t)$ follows from conjugating the arguments by n_Q , $Q = \{r_i + \cdots + r_{n-2}\}$. This depends, of course, on choosing a notation for $x_R(t) = x_{r_{n-1}}(t)x_{r_n}(\bar{t})$ and $x_S(u)$ in such a way that $x_R(t)^{n_Q} = x_S(t)$ (see Preparation for the Proof).

(v) $[y_R(t), y_S^*(u)] = y_{R+S}^*(\epsilon tu) y_{R+2S}(\eta t u \bar{u}) f_{R,S}(t, u)$. We may assume $R = S_1$, $S = \{r_2 + \cdots + r_{n-2} + r_{n-1}, r_2 + \cdots + r_{n-2} + r_n\}$, or $S = -\{r_1 + \cdots + r_{n-2} + r_{n-1}, r_1 + \cdots + r_{n-2} + r_n\}$. We treat only the case S positive. Recall that for $q = 2$, certain $f_{Q,T}(v_1, v_2)$ are not yet proven to be trivial (types (i), (iii)). Expanding the right side of $[y_R(t_1 + t_2), y_S^*(u)] = [y_R(t_1) y_R(t_2), y_S^*(u)]$ by the commutator identity, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & y_{R+S}^*(\epsilon(t_1 + t_2)u) f_{R,S}(t_1 + t_2, u) \\ (a) \quad & = y_{R+S}^*(\epsilon t, u) y_{R+S}^*(\epsilon t_2 u) f_{R,S}(t_1, u) f_{R,S}(t_2, u) f_{R+2S,R}(\eta t_1 u \bar{u}, t_2). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, expanding $[y_R(t), y_S^*(u_1 + u_2)]$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & y_{R+S}^*(\epsilon t(u_1 + u_2)) f_{R,S}(t, u_1 + u_2) \\ (b) \quad & = y_{R+S}^*(\epsilon t u_2) y_{R+S}^*(\epsilon t u_1) f_{R,S}(t, u_2) f_{R,S}(t, u_1) f_{R+S,S}(\epsilon t u_2, u_1). \end{aligned}$$

Take $0 \neq u_1 \neq u_2 \neq 0$ in K . Reversing u_1 and u_2 in (b) gives

$$\begin{aligned} y_{R+S}^*(\epsilon tu_2) y_{R+S}^*(\epsilon tu_1) f_{R,S}(t, u_2) f_{R,S}(t, u_1) f_{R+S,S}(\epsilon tu_2, u_1) \\ = y_{R+S}^*(\epsilon tu_1) y_{R+S}^*(\epsilon tu_2) f_{R,S}(t, u_1) f_{R,S}(t, u_2) f_{R+S,S}(\epsilon tu_1, u_2). \end{aligned}$$

Take $t = \epsilon$. Since the f 's are central and $f_{R+S,S}(u_2, u_1) = f_{R+S,S}(u_1, u_2)$ (see remark at the end of (iii)), cancellation shows that $y_{R+S}^*(u_1)$ and $y_{R+S}^*(u_2)$ commute, i.e., Y_{R+S} is abelian. So, as usual, we can define $y_Q(t)$ as the unique element of $x_Q(t) \cap [Y_Q, H]$, for Q short. These $y_Q(t)$ satisfy (C) and (I).

Replacing the $y_{R+S}^*(\)$ by the $y_{R+S}(\)$ in (a) and (b) gives

$$(c) \ f_{R,S}(t_1 + t_2, u) = f_{R,S}(t_1, u) f_{R,S}(t_2, u) f_{R+2S,R}(\eta t_1 u \bar{u}, t_2),$$

$$(d) \ f_{R,S}(t, u_1 + u_2) = f_{R,S}(t, u_2) f_{R,S}(t, u_1) f_{R+S,S}(\epsilon tu_2, u_1).$$

Conjugating by $h_{R+2S}(\lambda)$ gives $f_{R,S}(t, u) = f_{R,S}(t, \lambda u)$, $\lambda \in K_0$. Now for $q > 2$, $f_{R+2S,R} \equiv 1$, $f_{R+S,S} \equiv 1$, and $f_{R,S}$ is biadditive; so $f_{R,S} \equiv 1$.

Now, let $q = 2$. Then $\epsilon = \eta = 1$, $t = 0$ or 1 . Conjugating the original relation by $h_S(\lambda)$ shows $f_{R,S}(1, t) = f_{R,S}(1, u)$, all $t, u \in K^\times$. Choosing $0 \neq u_1 \neq u_2 \neq 0$ from K , (d) gives $f_{R,S}(1, u) = f_{R+S,S}(u_2, u_1)$, all $u \in K^\times$. Since $f_{R+S,S}$ is biadditive, $f_{R,S}(1, u)^2 = 1$. Taking $t_1 = t_2 = u = 1$ in (c), we get $f_{R+2S,R}(1, 1) = 1$. Thus $f \equiv 1$ in type (i). Now, conjugating the original relation by $y_{S_{n-1}}(v)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} [y_R(t), y_S(u) y_{S_{n-1}+S}(u\bar{v} + \bar{u}v)] \\ = y_{R+S}(tu) y_{S_{n-1}+R+S}(tu\bar{v} + t\bar{u}v) f_{R+S,S_{n-1}}(tu, v) y_{R+2S}(t\bar{u}\bar{v}) f_{R,S}(t, u). \end{aligned}$$

Expanding the left side, we get $y_{R+S_{n-1}+S}(tu\bar{v} + t\bar{u}v) [y_R(t), y_S(u)]$, using previous information. Comparison yields $f_{R+S,S_{n-1}}(tu, v) = 1$, all $t \in K_0$, $u, v \in K$. Hence $f \equiv 1$ in type (iii). In particular, $f_{R+S,S} \equiv 1$. Using (b), $f_{R,S}(1, u) = f_{R,S}(1, u)^2$, $u \neq 0$, therefore, $f_{R,S} \equiv 1$.

This completes the proof that $m_p(\Gamma) = 1$.

The groups ${}^3D_4(q)$. Σ denotes a root system of type D_4 with Dynkin diagram

${}^3\Sigma$ is a root system of type G_2 with a set of fundamental roots $S_1 = \{r_1, r_3, r_4\}$ (short), $S_2 = \{r_2\}$ (long).

The set of positive roots ${}^3\Sigma^+$ is

$$S_1 = \{r_1, r_3, r_4\},$$

$$2S_1 + S_2 = \{r_2 + r_3 + r_4, r_1 + r_2 + r_4, r_1 + r_2 + r_3\},$$

$$S_2 = \{r_2\},$$

$$3S_1 + S_2 = \{r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4\},$$

$$S_1 + S_2 = \{r_1 + r_2, r_2 + r_3, r_2 + r_4\}, \quad 3S_1 + 2S_2 = \{r_1 + 2r_2 + r_3 + r_4\}.$$

In our notation for $x_S(t) = x_S(t)x_{\bar{S}}(\bar{t})x_{\bar{\bar{S}}}(\bar{\bar{t}})$, S short, s will be the first root in $S = \{s, \bar{s}, \bar{\bar{s}}\}$ as listed above. Then $x_S(t)^{nR} = x_{w(R)}(\pm t)$.

$$(i) \quad [x_R(t), x_S(u)] = 1$$

R, S long at a 60° angle,

$$(ii) \quad = 1$$

R , long, S short at a 30°
or 90° angle,

$$(iii) \quad = x_{R+S}(\epsilon(tu + \bar{t}\bar{u} + \bar{\bar{t}}\bar{\bar{u}}))$$

R, S short, $R + S$ long,
 R, S at a 60° angle, $\epsilon = \pm 1$,

$$(iv) \quad = x_{R+S}(\epsilon tu)$$

$R, S, R + S$ long,
 R, S at a 120° angle, $\epsilon = \pm 1$,

(B)

$$(v) \quad = x_{R+S}(\epsilon(\bar{t}\bar{\bar{u}} + \bar{\bar{t}}\bar{u}))x_{2R+S}(\eta(\bar{t}\bar{\bar{u}} + \bar{\bar{t}}\bar{u} + \bar{\bar{t}}\bar{\bar{u}}))$$

$$\cdot x_{R+2S}(\delta(\bar{t}\bar{\bar{u}} + \bar{\bar{t}}\bar{u} + \bar{\bar{t}}\bar{\bar{u}}))$$

R, S short at a 120° angle,
 $\epsilon = \pm 1, \eta = \pm 1, \delta = \pm 1$,

$$(vi) \quad = x_{R+S}(\epsilon tu)x_{2R+S}(\eta\bar{\bar{t}}\bar{\bar{u}})x_{3R+S}(\delta\bar{t}\bar{u})x_{3R+2S}(2\gamma\bar{t}\bar{\bar{u}}^2)$$

R short, S long at a 150° angle,
 $\epsilon = \pm 1, \eta = \pm 1, \delta = \pm 1, \gamma = \pm 1$.

The relations (A). Let $V/A = U$ and $Y_R/A = X_R$. If R is long, assume $R = 3S_1 + 2S_2$; then $X_R = Z(U)$. Hence $Y_R \subseteq Z_2(V)$ and $[Y_R, Y_R] \subseteq [Y_R, AV_2] = 1$; so Y_R is abelian. The same argument shows $[Y_R, Y_S] = 1$, where S is a short root adjacent to R . If S (short) and R (long) are orthogonal, then $[\langle X_R, X_{-R} \rangle, \langle X_S, X_{-S} \rangle] = 1$ by (ii). But since $\langle X_S, X_{-S} \rangle = \text{SL}(2, q^3)$, it is perfect. Thus, $[\langle Y_R, Y_{-R} \rangle, \langle Y_S, Y_{-S} \rangle] = 1$. Choose temporary representatives $y_Q^*(t) \in x_Q(t)$, $Q \in {}^3\Sigma$. By (v),

$$[y_Q^*(t), y_T^*(u)] = y_{Q+T}^*(v)y_{2Q+T}^*(v')y_{Q+2T}^*(v'')a, \quad a \in A.$$

The above implies that $y_{Q+T}^*(v''')$ commutes with Y_{2Q+T}, Y_{Q+2T} . Conjugating the left side by $y_{Q+T}^*(v''')$, we get $[y_Q^*(t)y_{2Q+T}^*(t'), y_T^*(u)y_{Q+2T}^*(u')]$ which equals $[y_Q^*(t), y_T^*(u)]$ by the commutator identities and above remarks. Hence, $y_{Q+T}^*(v''')$ commutes with the right-hand side, i.e., Y_S is abelian for S short.

If R is short, or $q > 2$ and R is long, the Cartan subgroup acts nontrivially on Y_R . Define $y_R(t)$ as the unique element of $x_R(t) \cap [Y_R, H]$. These representatives satisfy (C) and (I). If R is long and $q = 2$, choose $\tilde{y}_S(t) \in x_S(t)$, all long S , and define $y_R(0) = 1$ and $y_R(1) = [\tilde{y}_S(1), \tilde{y}_T(1)]$, where S, T are long roots with sum R . The only ambiguity is the order in which S, T occur. By above remarks, $\tilde{y}_Q \in n_Q \in X_Q, X_{-Q}$ commutes with Y_R , where Q is a short root orthogonal to R ; so $y_R(1) = y_R(1)^{\tilde{y}_Q} = [y_T(1), y_S(1)]$, proving $y_R(1)$ is well defined. This argument also shows $y_R(0) = y_R(1)^2 = 1$. Hence, all these representatives satisfy (C) and (I).

The relations (B). We have already demonstrated that (i) and (ii) hold for the $y_R(t)$.

$$(iii) [y_R(t), y_S(u)] = y_{R+S}(\epsilon(tu + \bar{t}\bar{u} + \bar{\bar{t}}\bar{\bar{u}}))f(t, u).$$

By (i), f is biadditive. Conjugating by $b_Q(\lambda)$, where Q is a long root orthogonal to S , we calculate $f(t, u) = f(\lambda^{\pm 1}t, u)$. If $q > 2$, this gives $f \equiv 1$. The case $q = 2$ is treated in (vi).

$$(iv) [y_R(t), y_S(u)] = y_{R+S}(\epsilon tu)f(t, u).$$

By (i), f is biadditive. Conjugating by $b_Q(\lambda)$, where Q is short and orthogonal to R , we get $f(t, u) = f(t, (\lambda\bar{\lambda}\bar{\bar{\lambda}})^{\pm 1}u)$. So, $f \equiv 1$ if $q > 2$. If $q = 2$, $f \equiv 1$ by definition of $y_{R+S}(1)$.

(v) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = y_{R+S}(v_1)y_{2R+S}(v_2)y_{R+2S}(v_3)f(t, u)$, where v_1, v_2, v_3 are as stated before. We must take into account the $f_{R+S,S}$ and $f_{R+S,R}$ of type (iii) when $q = 2$ here. Expanding

$$[y_R(t), y_S(u_1 + u_2)] = [y_R(t), y_S(u_2)][y_R(t), y_S(u_1)]^{y_S(u_2)}$$

and $[y_R(t_1 + t_2), y_S(u)]$ similarly, we get

$$(a) f(t, u_1 + u_2) = f(t, u_2)f(t, u_1)f_{R+S}(\epsilon(\bar{t}\bar{u}_1 + \bar{t}\bar{u}_1), u_2),$$

$$(b) f(t_1 + t_2, u) = f(t_1, u)f(t_2, u)f_{R+S}(\epsilon(\bar{t}_1\bar{u} + \bar{t}_1\bar{u}), t_2).$$

Let Q be a long root orthogonal to R . Then conjugation by $b_Q(\lambda)$ gives $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda u)$. If $q > 2$, this with biadditivity of f gives $f \equiv 1$. For $q = 2$, let T be the long root $R - S$. Conjugation by n_T gives $f(t, u) = f(u, t)$, a fact used in (vi) where this case is settled.

$$(vi) [y_R(t), y_S(u)] = y_{R+S}(\epsilon tu) y_{2R+S}(\eta \bar{t} \bar{u}) y_{3R+S}(\delta \bar{t} \bar{t} \bar{u}) y_{3R+2S}(2\gamma \bar{t} \bar{t} \bar{u}^2) f(t, u).$$

Expanding $[y_R(t), y_S(u_1 + u_2)]$, we get, by previous relations,

$$(c) f(t, u_1 + u_2) = f(t, u_2)f(t, u_1).$$

Let Q be a long root orthogonal to R . Then, conjugating by $b_Q(\lambda)$, $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda^{\pm 1} u)$. If $q > 2$, (c) implies $f \equiv 1$. Let $q = 2$. Taking $u_1 = u_2 = 1$, we get $f(t, 1)^2 = 1$. Conjugating by $b_R(\lambda)$, we get $f(t, 1) = f(t', 1)$ for all $t, t' \in K^\times$. Now, taking the f 's of type (iii) and (v) into account, expanding $[y_R(t_1 + t_2), y_S(u)]$ gives

$$(d) f(t_1 + t_2, u) = f(t_1, u)f(t_2, u)f_{R+S}(t_1 u, t_2)f_{2R+S}(t_1 \bar{t}_1 u, t_2) \cdot f_{2R+S,R+S}(t_1 \bar{t}_1 u, t_2 u).$$

Now, the last two factors are equal for $u = 0, 1$, so we cancel them, using (iii). Taking $0 \neq t_1 \neq t_2 \neq 0$, $f(t, u) = f_{R+S,R}(t_1 u, t_2)$, all $t \in K^\times$. Given t and v , choose $v_1, v_2 \neq 0$, t with $v = v_1 + v_2$. Then

$$f_{R+S,R}(t, v) = f_{R+S,R}(t, v_1)f_{R+S,R}(t, v_2) = f(t, 1)^2 = 1.$$

So, the f 's of type (v) are identically 1. Now (d) implies, for $t \neq 1$, $f(t, 1) = f(1, 1)f(t - 1, 1) = f(1, 1)^2 = 1$. So, $f \equiv 1$ in (vi). Let $g(t, u) = f_{R+S,2R+S}(t, u)$, type (iii). Conjugating both sides of (vi) by $y_{2R+S}(v)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} [y_R(t), y_S(u)]^{y_{2R+S}(v)} &= [y_R(t)y_{3R+S}(tv + \bar{t}\bar{v} + \bar{t}\bar{v}), y_S(u)] \\ &= [y_R(t), y_S(u)]y_{3R+2S}(u(tv + \bar{t}\bar{v} + \bar{t}\bar{v})); \\ \{y_{R+S}(tu)y_{2R+S}(\bar{t}\bar{t}\bar{u})y_{3R+S}(\bar{t}\bar{t}\bar{t}\bar{u})\}^{y_{2R+S}(v)} \\ &= y_{R+S}(tu)y_{3R+2S}(u(tv + \bar{t}\bar{v} + \bar{t}\bar{v}))g(tu, v). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing sides, $g(tu, v) = 1$, for all t, u, v , finishing off (iii).

The proof of $m_p(G) = 1$ is complete.

The groups ${}^2E_6(q)$. Σ denotes a root system of type E_6 , with Dynkin diagram

If a positive root s is expressed $\sum_{i=1}^6 a_i r_i$, then we shall sometimes write

$$s = \frac{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5}{a_6}.$$

${}^2\Sigma$ is a root system of type F_4 . $S_1 = \{r_1, r_5\}$, $S_2 = \{r_2, r_4\}$ are short roots and $S_3 = \{r_3\}$, $S_4 = \{r_6\}$ are long roots, forming a set of fundamental roots for ${}^2\Sigma$. We list the positive roots of ${}^2\Sigma$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Short roots: } S_1 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 10000, & 00001 \\ 0, & 0 \end{Bmatrix}, & S_1 + 2S_2 + S_3 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 11110, & 01111 \\ 0, & 0 \end{Bmatrix}, \\ S_2 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 01000, & 00010 \\ 0, & 0 \end{Bmatrix}, & S_1 + 2S_2 + S_3 + S_4 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 11110, & 01111 \\ 1, & 1 \end{Bmatrix}, \\ S_1 + S_2 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 11000, & 00011 \\ 0, & 0 \end{Bmatrix}, & S_2 + S_3 + S_4 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 01100, & 00110 \\ 1, & 1 \end{Bmatrix}, \\ S_2 + S_3 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 01100, & 00110 \\ 0, & 0 \end{Bmatrix}, & S_1 + 2S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 11210, & 01211 \\ 1, & 1 \end{Bmatrix}, \\ S_1 + S_2 + S_3 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 11100, & 00111 \\ 0, & 0 \end{Bmatrix}, & S_1 + 3S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 12210, & 01221 \\ 1, & 1 \end{Bmatrix}, \\ S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 11100, & 00111 \\ 1, & 1 \end{Bmatrix}, & 2S_1 + 3S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 12211, & 11221 \\ 1, & 1 \end{Bmatrix}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Long roots: } S_3 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 00100 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix}, & 2S_1 + 2S_2 + S_3 + S_4 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 11111 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix}, \\ S_4 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 00000 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix}, & 2S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 01210 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix}, \\ S_3 + S_4 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 00100 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix}, & 2S_1 + 2S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 11211 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix}, \\ 2S_2 + S_3 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 01110 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix}, & 2S_1 + 4S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 12221 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix}, \\ 2S_2 + S_3 + S_4 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 01110 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix}, & 2S_1 + 4S_2 + 3S_3 + S_4 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 12321 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix}, \\ 2S_1 + 2S_2 + S_3 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 11111 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix}, & 2S_1 + 4S_2 + 3S_3 + 2S_4 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 12321 \\ 2 \end{Bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

- (i) $[x_R(t), x_S(u)] = 1$ R, S long,
(ii) $= 1$ R, S short,
(iii) $= x_{R+S}(\epsilon tu)$ $R, S, R+S$ long, $\epsilon = \pm 1$,
(B)(iv) $= x_{R+S}(\epsilon tu)$ $R, S, R+S$ short, $\epsilon = \pm 1$,
(v) $= 1$ R long, S short,
(vi) $= x_{R+S}(\epsilon(t\bar{u} + \bar{t}u))$ R, S short, $R+S$ long, $\epsilon = \pm 1$,
(vii) $= x_{R+S}(\epsilon tu)x_{R+2S}(\eta t\bar{u}\bar{u})$ $R, R+2S$ long, $S, R+S$ short,
 $\epsilon = \pm 1, \eta = \pm 1$.

The relations (A). Let $Y_R/A = X_R$, any $R \in {}^2\Sigma$. Choose roots S, T with the same length as R with $S + T = R$; S and T form a 120° angle. Also, $[x_S(t), x_T(u)] = x_R(\epsilon tu)$, and $[X_R, X_S] = [X_R, X_T] = 1$. Hence, $X_S X_T X_R$ is a group of class 2, and its preimage Y in G has class ≤ 3 . Thus, Y' is abelian, and so is $Y_R \subseteq AY'$. If R is short, H acts nontrivially on X_R for all q . Using Fitting's lemma, define $y_R(t)$ to be the unique element of $x_R(t) \cap [Y_R, H]$. The same works for R long unless $q = 2$. Let $q = 2$. By symmetry under the Weyl group W of ${}^2\Sigma$, we may assume $R = \{ \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ & 2 & & & \end{smallmatrix} \}$ is a root of maximal height, and that $S = \{ \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & 1 & & & \end{smallmatrix} \}$, $T = \{ \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ & 1 & & & \end{smallmatrix} \}$. Then $X_R \subseteq Z(U)$, $X_S, X_T \subseteq U'$. So, $Y_R \subseteq Z_2(V)$, $Y_S, Y_T \subseteq AV'$; thus $[Y_R, Y_S] = [Y_R, Y_T] = 1$. Choose any $y_Q^*(t) \in x_Q^*(t)$, Q long. Define $y_R(t) = [y_S^*(t), y_T^*(1)]$, $t = 0, 1$. By an easy exercise, W is transitive on pairs S, T with $S + T = R$. So, $y_R(t)$ is well defined. By the above, $y_R(t)y_R(t') = y_R(t + t')$. Thus, in all cases, the $y_S(t)$ satisfy (C) and (I).

The relations (B). (i) $[y_R(t), y_S(t)] = f(t, u)$. If R, S form a 60° angle, $f \equiv 1$ by the previous paragraph. If R, S are orthogonal, we may assume that R is a root of maximal height and that S is positive, $S \neq S_3, S_4$, by using the Weyl group. As before, $Y_R \subseteq Z_2(V)$, $Y_S \subseteq AV'$, so $f \equiv 1$.

(ii) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = f(t, u)$. In this case, R and S form a 60° angle. Given R , W is transitive on such S . So, it is enough to check for one S , e.g., $R = S_1$, $S = S_1 + S_2$. Conjugating this relation by $b_R(\lambda)$ gives $f(t, u) = f(\lambda^2 t, \lambda^{-1} u)$, $\lambda \in K$. Applying $b_S(\mu)$, $f(t, u) = f(\mu t, \mu^2 u)$, $\mu \in K$. So, $f(\lambda^5 t, u) = f(t, u)$. Since f is biadditive, $f \equiv 1$, as $|K| \geq 4$.

(iii) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = y_{R+S}(\epsilon tu)f(t, u)$. If $q = 2$, $f \equiv 1$ by definition of $y_{R+S}(1)$. Assume $q > 2$. By (i) and commutator identities, $f(t, u)$ is biadditive. Using W , we may assume $R = S_3$, $S = S_4$. Conjugating our relation by $b_{S_2}(\lambda)$ we get $f(t, u) = f(\lambda^{-1}\bar{\lambda}^{-1}t, u)$, all $\lambda \in K$. This, biadditivity and $q > 2$ give $f \equiv 1$ as usual.

(iv) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = y_{R+S}(\epsilon tu)f(t, u)$. We may assume $R = S_1, S = S_2$. Using (ii), $f(t, u)$ is biadditive. Conjugating this relation by $b_R(\lambda), b_S(\mu)$, we get $f(t, u) = f(\lambda^2 t, \lambda^{-1} u) = f(\mu^{-1} t, \mu^2 u)$. So, $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda^3 u)$. If $q > 2$, biadditivity gives $f \equiv 1$. It turns out that f is not trivial for $q = 2$, as we shall see later.

(v) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = f(t, u)$. S forms either a 60° or 90° angle with R . We may assume R is a root of maximal height and that S is positive, $S \neq S_1, S_2$. Arguing as in (i), $f \equiv 1$.

(vi) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = y_{R+S}(\epsilon(t\bar{u} + \bar{t}u))f(t, u)$. R, S are orthogonal short roots. By (v), f is biadditive. We may take $R = S_1, S = S_1 + 2S_2 + S_3$. Conjugating by $b_R(\lambda), b_S(\lambda)$, we get $f(t, u) = f(\lambda^2 t, \lambda \bar{\lambda}^{-1} u), f(t, u) = f(\lambda^{-1} t, \lambda u)$. So, $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda \bar{\lambda} u)$. If $q > 2, f \equiv 1$. In (vii), we show $f \equiv 1$ for $q = 2$.

(vii) $[y_R(t), y_S(u)] = y_{R+S}(\epsilon tu) y_{R+2S}(\eta t u \bar{u}) f(t, u)$. Expanding $[y_R(t_1 + t_2), y_S(u)] = [y_R(t_1) y_R(t_2), y_S(u)]$ and $[y_R(t), y_S(u_1 + u_2)]$, we get

$$(a) f(t_1 + t_2, u) = f(t_1, u) f(t_2, u),$$

$$(b) f(t, u_1 + u_2) = f(t, u_2) f(t, u_2) f_{R+S, S}(\epsilon t u_1, u_2).$$

By symmetry under W , we may assume $R = S_3, S = S_2$. Conjugating by $b_{S_1}(\lambda)$, we get $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda^{-1} u)$, all $\lambda \in K^\times$. For $q > 2, f_{R+S, S} \equiv 1$ (type (vi)), which gives $f \equiv 1$. Let $q = 2$. Taking $t = 1, 0 \neq u_1 \neq u_2 \neq 0$, we get $f(1, 1) = f(1, 1)^2 f_{R+S, S}(u_1, u_2)$. Now, $f_{R+S, S}$ biadditive implies $f(1, 1)^2 = 1, f(1, 1) = f_{R+S, S}(u_1, u_2)$. Conjugate the original equation by $y_{S_2+S_3+S_4}(v)$. On the left, we get

$$\begin{aligned} [y_{S_3}(t), y_{S_2}(u) y_{2S_2+S_3+S_4}(u\bar{v} + \bar{u}v)] &= [y_{S_3}(t), y_{2S_2+S_3+S_4}(u\bar{v} + \bar{u}v)] [y_{S_3}(t), y_{S_2}(u)] \\ &= y_{2S_2+2S_3+S_4}(u\bar{v} + \bar{u}v) [y_{S_3}(t), y_{S_2}(u)], \end{aligned}$$

and on the right,

$$y_{S_2+S_3}(tu) y_{2S_2+2S_3+S_4}(u\bar{v} + \bar{u}v) f_{S_2+S_3, S_2+S_3+S_4}(tu, v) y_{2S_2+S_3}(tu\bar{u}) f(t, u).$$

Comparing sides, $f_{S_2+S_3, S_2+S_3+S_4}(tu, v) = 1$, all t, u, v , taking care of type (vi). $f \equiv 1$ now follows.

This completes the work for $q > 2$. Our next task is to get more detailed information about the (B, N) -structure of Γ of type ${}^2E_6(2)$ and actually construct a nonsplit extension of Γ by $Z_2 \times Z_2$. The above calculations show that $M_2({}^2E_6(2))$ is a subgroup of a four group since A is generated by the $f_{R, S}(t, u)$ of type (iv).

The exceptional case ${}^2E_6(2)$.

Lemma. Let W^* be the subgroup of W consisting of all $w \in W$ for which, in the expression of w as the product of fundamental reflections, the number of reflections associated with short roots is even. Then $|W:W^*| = 2$ and W^* is transitive on roots of the same length.

Proof. W^* is the kernel of the homomorphism $W \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ induced by $w_R \rightarrow 2\mathbb{Z}$, R long, $w_S \rightarrow 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}$, S short. So, $|W:W^*| = 2$. Since the long roots of ${}^2\Sigma$ form a root system of type D_4 , $\langle w_R | R \text{ long} \rangle$ is transitive on long roots; hence W^* is. The stabilizer in W of a short root S is $W_0 = \langle w_Q | Q \text{ orthogonal to } S \rangle$, a Weyl group of type B_3 , order $2^4 \cdot 3$, index $2^3 \cdot 3$ in W . The stabilizer in W^* of S is $W_0 \cap W^* = W_0^*$, which contains some w_Q , Q short. So, W_0^* has index $2^3 \cdot 3$ in W^* , and S has $2^3 \cdot 3$ conjugates under W^* , which proves transitivity.

We call $w \in W$ even if $w \in W^*$, odd if $w \notin W^*$.

Lemma. Let $S = \{s, \bar{s}\}$. Every $w \in W_0^*$ leaves s invariant and every $w \in W \setminus W_0^*$ switches s and $\bar{s}$. $W_0 = W_0^* \times I_0$, where I_0 is generated by the transformation sending every root orthogonal to S to its negative.

Proof. Suppose $w_R \in W_0$, $R = \{r\}$ long. Then $s - c(s, r)r = w_r(s) = s$ or $\bar{s}$. If $\bar{s}$, then $r = -c(s, r)^{-1}(\bar{s} - s)$. The Cartan integer $c(s, r) = \pm 1$ since $s \neq \bar{s}$ and all roots of Σ have the same length. This forces r to be the sum of two orthogonal roots, impossible.

Since W_0 contains W_1 , the subgroup leaving s fixed, with index 1 or 2, we will have $W_1 = W_0^*$ if we show w_R interchanges s and $\bar{s}$ for R short (all such w_R are conjugate in W_0). We may assume $S = S_1$, $R = S_1 + 2S_2 + S_3 = \{r, \bar{r}\}$. Then

$$s = \begin{smallmatrix} 10000 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}, \quad \bar{s} = \begin{smallmatrix} 00001 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}, \quad r = \begin{smallmatrix} 11110 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}, \quad \bar{r} = \begin{smallmatrix} 01111 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix},$$

and

$$(w_r w_{\bar{r}})(s) = w_r \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 11111 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) = \begin{smallmatrix} 00001 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} = \bar{s}.$$

The last part is an exercise.

Now define $x_{S_1}(t) = x_s(t)x_{\bar{s}}(\bar{t})$, s as above. By the lemmas, it is well defined to set, for all short roots S , $x_S(t) = x_{S_1}(t)^{n_w}$, where $w \in W^*$, $w(S_1) = S$. Call the unique element of S to which s is conjugate under W^* the *principal root* of S . If $w(R) = S$, then $x_R(t)^{n_w} = x_S(t)$ if w is even, $= x_R(\bar{t})$ if w is odd.

In $\hat{G}$, we redefine the $\gamma_R(t)$ with respect to this new definition of the one-parameter elements of G . We also redefine the factor set f by $[\gamma_R(t), \gamma_S(u)] = \gamma_{R+S}(\bar{t}\bar{u})f_{R,S}(t, u)$, where R, S are short roots at a 120° angle.

- Lemma.** (i) $f_{R,S}(t, u) = f_{R,S}(\lambda t, \lambda u)$, all $\lambda \in K^\times$.
 (ii) $f_{R,S}(t, u) = f_{R,S}(\bar{t}, \bar{u})$.
 (iii) $f_{R,S}(t, u) = f_{S,R}(u, t)$.
 (iv) $f_{R,S}(t, u) = f_{w(R),w(S)}(t^{e(w)}, u^{e(w)})$, $e(w) = 1, 2$ for $w \in W$ even, resp. odd.

Proof. Conjugate the commutator relation defining $f_{R,S}$ by $b_R(\lambda) = b_{\bar{r}}(\lambda)b_{\bar{r}}^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})$ to get (i). Setting $\lambda = \bar{t}\bar{u}$ in (i) implies (ii). Since $\gamma_{R+S}(t\bar{u})^2 = 1 = f(t, u)^2$, $[\gamma_R(t), \gamma_S(u)] = [\gamma_S(u), \gamma_R(t)]$, giving (iii). Part (iv) follows from conjugating the commutator by n_w , and using $\bar{v} = v^2$, $v \in K$.

We must carefully observe the order of the indices on $f_{R,S}$. Fix a short root, say $T = 2S_1 + 3S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4$. Let W_0 be the stabilizer of T in W . It is easily checked that W_0^* acts as the full symmetric group on the four pairs $\{R, S\}$ with $R + S = T$ and that I_0 switches the roots within a pair, and that R is carried to one root only in any pair under W_0^* . Call S_1 the *primary root* of the pair $\{S_1, S_1 + 3S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4\}$ associated with T , and call the root of $\{R, S\}$ to which S_1 is congruent under W_0^* the *primary root* of $\{R, S\}$. Primary roots for pairs associated with other short roots are obtained by applying elements of W^* to the above situation.

We may now write the factor set without indices as follows. If R is the primary root of $\{R, S\}$, write $f(t, u) = f_{R,S}(t, u)$. If S is primary apply (iv) of the lemma to get $f(\bar{t}, \bar{u}) = f_{R,S}(t, u)$. By above remarks, f is independent of $R + S$ or the particular pair $\{R, S\}$ chosen.

Now, consider the following sets of roots

$$\Sigma_0^+ = \{S_1, S_2, S_1 + S_2, S_2 + S_3, S_1 + S_2 + S_3, S_1 + 2S_2 + S_3,$$

$$S_3, 2S_2 + S_3, 2S_1 + 2S_2 + S_3\},$$

$$\Sigma_0^- = \{-R \mid R \in \Sigma_0^+\}, \quad \Sigma_0 = \Sigma_0^+ \cup \Sigma_0^-,$$

$$\Sigma_1 = \{S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4, S_1 + 2S_2 + S_3 + S_4, S_2 + S_3 + S_4,$$

$$S_1 + 2S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4, S_1 + 3S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4, 2S_1 + 3S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4,$$

$$S_4, S_3 + S_4, 2S_2 + S_3 + S_4, 2S_1 + 2S_2 + S_3 + S_4,$$

$$2S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4, 2S_1 + 2S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4, 2S_1 + 4S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4,$$

$$2S_1 + 4S_2 + 3S_3 + S_4, 2S_1 + 4S_2 + 3S_3 + 2S_4\}.$$

Then ${}^2\Sigma^+$, the positive roots of ${}^2\Sigma$, is the disjoint union of Σ_0^+ and Σ_1 . The parabolic subgroup $P = \langle H, X_R \mid R \in \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_0 \rangle = C_G(X_Q)$, $Q = 2S_1 + 4S_2 + 3S_3 +$

$2S_4$ (a root of maximal height) has $M = O_2(P) = \langle X_R \mid R \in \Sigma_1 \rangle$. A complement to M in P is $X_0 = \langle X_R \mid R \in \Sigma_0 \rangle$, and a complement to M in U is $U \cap X_0 = X_0^+ = \langle X_R \mid R \in \Sigma_0^+ \rangle$. X_0 is isomorphic to the group Γ of type ${}^2A_5(2)$, or $SU(6, 2)$, as inspection of the (B, N) -structure will show. Note that M is extra special with center X_Q .

We now define an extension of the Borel subgroup $B = UH$ by a four-group which lies in the derived group of the extension. When we show the cohomology class of the extension is stable with respect to G , $M_2(G) = Z_2 \times Z_2$ will follow [3, Chapter XII].

Let $1 \rightarrow F \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow 1$ be the covering of X_0 by a four-group $F \subseteq G'_0 \cap Z(G_0)$ described in the section on ${}^2A_5(2)$. We wish to make G_0 act via X_0 on $L = M \times F$ in such a way that LG_0 , with $L \cap G_0 = F$ has the desired multiplication structure on V , the induced extension of U . We take F to be the set of all biadditive functions $f(t, u)$, $t, u \in K$, having the same properties as the factor set $f(t, u)$ described previously. Specifically, $f(t, u) = f(\lambda t, \lambda u)$, $\lambda \in K^\times$, $t, u \in K$. Easily, these defining relations imply F is a four-group.

We regard G_0 as generated by elements $y_R(t)$ which map onto $x_R(t) \in X_0$ in the above sequence. In the notation of the section on ${}^2A_5(2)$, certain $f(t, u)$ generate F and they are involved in the defining commutator relations.

Let Δ be the set of all pairs (R, S) , where R, S are short and form a 120° angle. Identify M and F with the subgroups $M \times 1$ and $1 \times F$ of L . Define the action of G_0 on L as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f^{y_S(t)} &= f & \text{all } f \in F, S \in \Sigma_0, \text{ all } t, \\ x_R(t)^{y_S(u)} &= x_R(t)[x_R(t), x_S(u)]f(t^{a(R)}, u^{a(R)})^{e(R, S)} \\ & & \text{all } R \in \Sigma_1, S \in \Sigma_0, \text{ all } t, u, \\ & & e(R, S) = 0, (R, S) \notin \Delta, e(R, S) = 1, (R, S) \in \Delta, \\ & & a(R) = 1 \text{ if } R \text{ is the primary root of } (R, S) \in \Delta, \\ & & a(R) = 2 \text{ if } S \text{ is the primary root of } (R, S) \in \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

The group of automorphisms generated by the action of the $y_S(u)$ clearly induces $\bar{X}_0 = X_0/Z(X_0)$ on $L/F \cong M$. We must show that they induce $\bar{X}_0$ on M . To do so, we must show that the automorphisms $y_S(u)'$ induced by the $y_S(u)$ satisfy the relations of type (A) and (B) which define X_0 . Once this is done, $Z(X_0)$, of order 3, is seen to act trivially on L , as it is trivial on the 2-groups F and L/F , by 5.3.2 of [7].

The relations (A) are clearly satisfied. For (B) we must check that the automorphism $[x_S(u)', x_T(v)']$ is the product of the automorphisms $x_Q(t)' \cdots$ coming

from the usual expression $[x_S(u), x_T(v)] = x_Q(t) \cdots$, of type (B), $S, T \in \Sigma_0$.

We note that the definition of the action of $y_S(u)$ on $x_R(t)$ is invariant under the application of any $w \in W_0$ to the indexing roots. Hence, it is sufficient to check $[y_S(u)', y_T(v)']$ on each $x_R(t)$, all $R \in \Sigma_1$, for a representative $\{S, T\}$ from each of the orbits of W_0 on pairs of distinct roots from Σ_0 . These orbits are distinguished by the number of short and long roots in each pair, and the angle between the roots, as discussed in the section on $F_4(2)$. We list the orbits, with a representative. We use earlier notation for roots in a system of type F_4 .

$$\begin{aligned} \{11'00, 0011'\} &\in (l, l, 90^\circ), & \{00_11', 0001\} &\in (l, s, 135^\circ), \\ \{11'00, 0010\} &\in (l, s, 90^\circ), & \{0010, 0001\} &\in (s, s, 90^\circ), \\ \{0011', 0010\} &\in (l, s, 45^\circ), & \{0010, 11'11\} &\in (s, s, 60^\circ), \\ & & \{0010, 11'1'1\} &\in (s, s, 120^\circ). \end{aligned}$$

The correspondence between root notations is based on

$$011'0 = S_4, \quad 0011' = S_3, \quad 0001 = S_2, \quad 11'1'1' = S_1.$$

For each of the seven pairs, the relations (B) may be checked from Table 5 below, which gives $[x_R(t), y_S(u)]$ in row R , column S , for $R \in \Sigma_1, S \in \Sigma_0$. The notation $T(v)$ means $x_T(v)$ or $y_T(v)$. We omit $x_Q(t)$, $Q = 2S_1 + 4S_2 + 3S_3 + 2S_4$, and elements of F , since they are central. We shall also need to know the primary roots for short roots in Σ_1 to keep track of the $f(,)$'s. These are listed in Table 4 and are used to construct Table 5. The details of all these verifications are a multitude of simple calculations left to the reader.

Now, form the semidirect product LG_0 and factor out the diagonal subgroup in $F \times F$ to get Q , our desired extension of P . Identify L, G_0 , and F with their images in Q . Q is a proper covering of P as $F \subseteq G'_0 \subseteq Q'$. Moreover, Q induces an extension V of U with $V/F \cong U$, $F \subseteq V'$. Our next step is to show that the cohomology class of the extension E of B , the Borel subgroup is stable with respect to G . This will establish $M_2(G) = Z_2 \times Z_2$.

Choose representatives $y(x) \in E$ for each $x \in B$. The factor set $b(x, x')$ of the extension is defined by $y(x)y(x') = y(xx')b(x, x')$.

We also denote by b the element of the cohomology class of b in $H^2(B, F)$. To show b is stable under G , we show that the restrictions of b to $U \cap U^g$ and $U^{g^{-1}} \cap U$ correspond under the homomorphism of cohomology groups c_g : $H^2(U \cap U^g, F) \rightarrow H^2(U^{g^{-1}} \cap U, F)$ which are induced by the maps of cocycles

$$\hat{c}_g(a(x, y)) = a(x^g, y^{g^{-1}}) \in Z^2(U^{g^{-1}} \cap U, F) \quad \text{for } a(x, y) \in Z^2(U \cap U^g, F).$$

It suffices to let g run over a set of (B, B) double coset representatives. We take

$\{n_w \mid w \in W\}$ for these representatives. However, by the following result of Glauberman, it suffices to consider only four n_w , where w runs over a set of fundamental reflections.

Lemma (Glauberman). *Let G be a group of Lie type, and let B, N, n_w have their usual meanings. Suppose β is a cohomology class of B with*

$$(*) \quad c_g(\beta|_{B \cap B^g}) \neq \beta|_{B^g \cap B}^{-1}.$$

Then $(*)$ holds for $g = n_r$, r a fundamental root.

Proof. Let R be a maximal intersection for which $(*)$ holds. $R = B \cap B^g$, $g = bnu$, $b \in B$, $n \in N$, $u \in U$. Then $R = B \cap B^{bnu} = B \cap B^{nu}$, or $S = R^{u^{-1}} = B \cap B^n = B \cap B^{n_w}$, some n_w . S is also maximal in our sense. Write $n_w = n_1 \cdots n_k$ as a product of fundamental reflection n_i with k minimal. Then, $S^{n_k \cdots n_i} \subseteq U$. If $k \geq 2$, by [18, p. 270], $B \cap B^{n_k \cdots n_j} < B \cap B^{n_k \cdots n_m}$, all $m > j$.

Take an intersection D of B with a conjugate of B having $D > S$, $D^{n_1} \subseteq B$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H^i(B, -) & \\ \text{res} \swarrow & & \searrow \text{res} \\ H^i(D, -) & \xrightarrow{c_{n_1}} & H^i(D^{n_1}, -) \end{array}$$

Table 4. Primary Roots for Short Roots in Σ_1

Q	$(R, S), R \text{ primary,}$	$R + S = Q$
1000	$(11'1'1', 1111)$	$(111'1, 11'11')$
	$(11'11, 111'1')$	$(1111', 11'1'1)$
0100	$(1'11'1', 1111)$	$(111'1, 1'111')$
	$(1'111, 111'1')$	$(1111', 1'11'1)$
1111	$(0100, 11'11)$	$(0001, 1111')$
	$(0010, 111'1)$	$(1000, 1'111)$
1111'	$(11'11', 0100)$	$(1'111', 1000)$
	$(111'1', 0010)$	$(1111, 0001')$
111'1	$(11'1'1, 0100)$	$(1111, 001'0)$
	$(1'11'1, 1000)$	$(111'1', 0001)$
111'1'	$(0100, 11'1'1')$	$(001'0, 1111')$
	$(1000, 1'11'1')$	$(0001', 111'1)$

By maximality of S , the image of β in $H^i(D^{n_1}, -)$ along either path is the same. But this, with the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(D, -) & \xrightarrow{c^{n_1}} & H^i(D^{n_1}, -) \\ \text{res} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{res} \\ H^i(S, -) & \xrightarrow{c^{n_1}} & H^i(S^{n_1}, -) \end{array}$$

contradicts (*). Therefore, $k = 1$, proving the lemma.

Let n be n_{S_1} , n_{S_2} , or n_{S_3} , and let $b' = c_n(b|_{B \cap B^n})$ and $b'' = b|_{B \cap B^n}$. Then b' and b'' are clearly cohomologous since the cohomology class of b , the restriction to B of an extension of P , must be stable under $n \in P$.

For any group J and 2-cocycle j which follows, the group determined by j will be $J(j) = \{(x, y) | x \in J, y \in F\}$ with multiplication $(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2) = (x_1 x_2, y_1 y_2 j(x_1, x_2))$. In the case j is identically 1, we regard J as the subgroup $\{(x, 1) | x \in J\}$ of $J(j)$. A system $y(x)$ of representatives for $x \in J$ in $J(j)$ are the $(x, 1)$ in this notation.

For $n = n_w$, $w = w_{S_4}$, we make specific choices for the $y(x)$, $x \in B$. Let $Q = 2S_1 + 3S_2 + 3S_3 + 2S_4 + S_4$ be the short root of greatest height in ${}^2\Sigma^+$. Let Σ_2 be all roots of ${}^2\Sigma^+$ orthogonal to Q and let $\Sigma_3 = {}^2\Sigma^+ \setminus \Sigma_2$.

$$\Sigma_2 = \{S_2, S_2 + S_3, S_2 + S_3 + S_4, S_3, S_4, S_3 + S_4,$$

$$2S_2 + S_3, 2S_2 + S_3 + S_4, 2S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4\}.$$

$$\Sigma_3 = \{S_1, S_1 + S_2, S_1 + S_2 + S_3, S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4,$$

$$S_1 + 2S_2 + S_3, S_1 + 2S_2 + S_3 + S_4, S_1 + 2S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4,$$

$$S_1 + 3S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4, 2S_1 + 3S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4,$$

$$2S_1 + 2S_2 + S_3, 2S_1 + 2S_2 + S_3 + S_4, 2S_1 + 2S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4,$$

$$2S_1 + 4S_2 + 2S_3 + S_4, 2S_1 + 4S_2 + 3S_3 + S_4, 2S_1 + 4S_2 + 3S_3 + 2S_4\}.$$

Reorder the roots of ${}^2\Sigma^+ = \Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_3$ as follows:

$$R < S \text{ if } R \in \Sigma_3, S \in \Sigma_2,$$

$$R < S, R, S \in \Sigma_2,$$

$$R \text{ long, } S \text{ short, } R, S \in \Sigma_3,$$

$$R < S, R, S \in \Sigma_3, R, S \text{ of the same length.}$$

Since we know that in G_0 , $S_R = \langle \gamma_R(t), \gamma_{-R}(t) | \text{all } t \rangle \cong \langle X_R, X_{-R} \rangle \subseteq X_0$,

Table 5. Commutators Between Certain Generators of L and G_0

	11'00	0011'	0010	0001	11'11	11'1'1
1001'	1	1	1	1000(tu)1001(tu $\bar{u}$)	1	1
1001	1	1010(tu)	1	1	1	1
0101	1001(tu)	0110(tu)	1	1	1	1
0101'	1001'(tu)	1	1	0100(tu)0101(tu $\bar{u}$)	1111'(tu)1010(tu $\bar{u}$)	111'1'(tu)101'0(tu $\bar{u}$)
0110	1010(tu)	1	1	1	1	1111(tu)1001(tu $\bar{u}$)
011'0	101'0(tu)	0101'(tu)	0100(tu)0110(tu $\bar{u}$)	1	111'1(tu)1001(tu $\bar{u}$)	1
1010	1	1	1	1	1	1
101'0	1	1001'(tu)	1000(tu)1010(tu $\bar{u}$)	1	1	1
1000	1	1	1010(tu + $\bar{t}\bar{u}$)	1001(tu + $\bar{t}\bar{u}$)	1	1
0100	1000(tu)1100(tu $\bar{u}$)	1	0110(tu + $\bar{t}\bar{u}$)	0101(tu + $\bar{t}\bar{u}$)	1111($\bar{t}\bar{u}$)(t, u)	111'1($\bar{t}\bar{u}$)($\bar{t}$, $\bar{u}$)
1111	1	1	1	1	1	1001(tu + $\bar{t}\bar{u}$)
1111'	1	1	1	1111($\bar{t}\bar{u}$)($\bar{t}$, $\bar{u}$)	1010(tu + $\bar{t}\bar{u}$)	1000($\bar{t}\bar{u}$)(t, u)
111'1	1	1111'(tu)1100(tu $\bar{u}$)	1111($\bar{t}\bar{u}$)($\bar{t}$, $\bar{u}$)	1	1001(tu + $\bar{t}\bar{u}$)	1
111'1'	1	1	1111'($\bar{t}\bar{u}$)(t, u)	111'1($\bar{t}\bar{u}$)(t, u)	1000($\bar{t}\bar{u}$)($\bar{t}$, $\bar{u}$)	101'0(tu + $\bar{t}\bar{u}$)

define $k_R(\lambda)$ as the unique element of S_R mapping onto $b_R(\lambda)$. Then $H^* = \{k_R(\lambda) \mid \text{all } R, \text{ all } \lambda\} \cong H$, the Cartan subgroup of X_0 (and of Γ). Every element of B may be written uniquely as xb , $x \in U$, $b \in H$.

Choose $y(x_R(t)) = (x_R(t), 1) \in E$ if $r \in \Sigma_1$, $y(x_R(t)) = y_R(t) \in E$ if $R \in \Sigma_0$, $y(b) = k$ for $b \in H$, $k \in H^*$ as above. Then set $y(xb) = y(x)y(b)$, $xb \in B$, $x \in U$, $b \in H$, where $x = \prod x_R(t_R)$, the product taken in the order $<<$, and where $y(x) = \prod y(x_R(t_R))$. The factor set $c(x, x')$, $x, x' \in B$ is defined by $y(x)y(x') = y(xx')c(x, x')$.

Let $M_0 = \langle X_R \mid R \in \Sigma_3 \rangle$, $Y = \langle X_R \mid R \in \Sigma_2 \rangle$. Then $M_0 \triangleleft B$, $M_0 Y = U$, $M_0 \cap Y = 1$. By checking the roots involved, we see that c restricted to YH is identically 1. Also, $c(x, b) = 1$, $x \in U$, $b \in H$, $c(x, x') = 1$, $x \in M_0$, $x' \in Y$.

For $x \in U$, write $\text{supp}(x) = \{R \in {}^2\Sigma^+ \mid t_R \neq 0 \text{ in } x = \prod x_R(t_R)\}$. We may write $x = x_1 x_2$, any $x \in M_0$, where $\text{supp}(x_1)$, $\text{supp}(x_2)$ consists of only long, resp. short, roots. Note that if $\text{supp}(x)$, $x \in M_0$, consists of long roots only, then $xx' = x'x$, $c(x, x') = 1 = c(x', x)$, for any $x' \in M_0$.

For $x = x_1 x_2 \in M_0$, $x' = x'_1 x'_2 \in M_0$ written as above, we use the standard factor set identities (see the section on $F_4(2)$) to get

$$\begin{aligned} c(x, x') &= c(x_1 x_2, x') = c(x_1, x_2 x') c(x_1, x_2' x') c(x_1, x_2) \\ &= c(x_2 x') \cdot 1 \cdot 1 = c(x_2, x'_1 x'_2) = c(x_2, x'_1) c(x_2, x'_2) c(x'_1, x'_2) \\ &= c(x'_1, x_2) c(x_2, x'_1, x'_2) \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot c(x_2, x'_1, x'_2) = c(x_2, x'_2) c(x'_1, x_2 x'_2) \\ &\quad \cdot c(x'_1, x_2) = c(x_2, x'_2) \cdot 1 \cdot 1 = c(x_2, x'_2). \end{aligned}$$

We can prove d restricted to M_0 is identically 1 if we show $c'(x_2, x'_2) = c''(x_2, x'_2)$.

We verify this last condition directly. Write $(v_1, \dots, v_9)$ for the element $x_{S_1}(v_1) x_{S_1+S_2}(v_2) x_{S_1+S_2+S_3}(v_3) x_{S_1+S_2+S_3+S_4}(v_4) x_{S_1+2S_2+S_3}(v_5)$
 $\cdot x_{S_1+2S_2+S_3+S_4}(v_6) x_{S_1+2S_2+2S_3+S_4}(v_7) x_{S_1+3S_2+2S_3+S_4}(v_8)$
 $\cdot x_{2S_1+3S_2+2S_3+S_4}(v_9)$. A direct computation shows $(v_1, \dots, v_9)^n = g(v_1, v_2, v_4, v_3, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9)$, where $\text{supp}(g)$ consists of long roots.

Now take $x_2 = (t_1, \dots, t_9)$, $x'_2 = (u_1, \dots, u_9)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} y(x_2)y(x'_2) &= y(z(t_1 + u_1, t_2 + u_2, t_3 + u_3, t_4 + u_4, t_5 + u_5, t_6 + u_6, t_7 + u_7, \\ &\quad t_8 + u_8, t_9 + u_9 + \bar{t}_1 \bar{u}_8 + \bar{t}_2 \bar{u}_7 + \bar{t}_3 \bar{u}_6 + \bar{t}_4 \bar{u}_5)) \\ &\quad \cdot f(t_1, u_8) f(\bar{t}_2, \bar{u}_7) f(\bar{t}_3, \bar{u}_6) f(\bar{t}_4, \bar{u}_5), \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{supp}(z)$ contains long roots only. Using the above rule for $x_2^n, x_2'^n$, we

calculate

$$\begin{aligned} y(x_2^n)y(x_2'^n) &= y(z_0(t_1 + u_1, t_2 + u_2, t_4 + u_4, t_3 + u_3, t_6 + u_6, t_5 + u_5, t_7 + u_7, \\ &\quad t_8 + u_8, t_9 + u_9 + \bar{t}_1\bar{u}_8 + \bar{t}_2\bar{u}_7 + \bar{t}_4\bar{t}_5 + \bar{t}_3\bar{u}_6)) \\ &\quad \cdot f(t_1, u_8)/(\bar{t}_2, \bar{u}_7)/(\bar{t}_4, \bar{u}_5)/(\bar{t}_3, \bar{u}_6), \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{supp}(z_0)$ contains long roots only. Clearly $c'(x_2, x_2') = c(x_2, x_2')$ and $c''(x_2, x_2') = c(x_2^n, x_2'^n)$ are equal. Thus, d is trivial on M_0 .

Since we have d trivial on YH and M_0 , $\{(x, 1) \mid x \in H\} = H_1$, $\{(x, 1) \mid x \in Y \cap Y^n\} = Y_1$, and $\{(x, 1) \mid x \in M_0\} = M_1$ are subgroups of $(B \cap B^n)(d)$. If we can show that all commutators $[y(x_R(t)), y(x_S(u))]$, $R \in \Sigma_3$, $S \in \Sigma_2$, lie in M_1 we will get $(B \cap B^n)(d)$ split because $M_1 Y_1 H_1$ will be a complement to F in $(B \cap B^n)(d)$.

In $(B \cap B^n)(b')$, we have $[y(x_{w(R)}(t)), y(x_{w(S)}(u))] = y([x_{w(R)}(t), x_{w(S)}(u)]) \cdot f(t^{a(R)}, u^{a(R)})^{e(R,S)}$, because w is even. In $(B \cap B^n)(b'')$, we have $[y(x_R(t)), y(x_S(u))] = y([x_R(t), x_S(u)]) \cdot f(t^{a(R)}, u^{a(S)})^{e(R,S)}$. So, in $(B \cap B^n)(d)$, $d = b'b''$, $[y(x_R(t)), y(x_S(u))] = y([x_R(t), x_S(u)])$. This verifies the above and completes the proof of stability.

We conclude $M_2(^2E_6(2)) \cong Z_2 \times Z_2$.

CHAPTER III. REE GROUPS

The groups ${}^2F_4(q)$, $q = 2^{2n+1}$, $n \geq 1$. Let $K = \text{GF}(q)$, $q = 2^{2n+1}$, and let θ be an automorphism of K with $x^{2\theta^2} = x$, $x \in K$. We prove $G = {}^2F_4(q)$ has trivial 2-part to its multiplier by the usual generator and relations argument for $n \geq 1$, and treat ${}^2F_4(2)$ and the simple subgroup ${}^2F_4(2)'$ separately later.

The following discussion holds even for $q = 2$. Notation and (B, N) -structure come from Ree [12] and Tits [19], and will be assumed. Define

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(t) &= \alpha_1(t), & u_2(t) &= \alpha_7(t), & u_3(t) &= \alpha_6(t), & u_4(t) &= \alpha_{10}(t), \\ u_5(t) &= \alpha_5(t), & u_6(t) &= \alpha_9(t), & u_7(t) &= \alpha_4(t), & u_8(t) &= \alpha_3(t), \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in K$, where the $\alpha_i(t)$ are as in [19]. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (A) \quad & u_i(t)u_i(u) = u_i(t+u) && \text{for } i \text{ even, } t, u \in K, \\ & u_i(t)u_i(u) = u_i(t+u)u_i(v)^2 && \text{for } i \text{ odd, } t, u \in K, v^{2\theta+1} = tu^{2\theta}, \\ & [u_i(t), u_i(u)] = u_i(v)^2 && \text{for } i \text{ odd where } v^{2\theta+1} = tu^{2\theta} + t^{2\theta}u, \\ & [u_i(t), u_i(u)^2] = 1 && \text{for } i \text{ odd.} \end{aligned}$$

Set $w_i = u_i(1)u_{i+8}(1)u_i(1)$ for i even, $w_i = u_i(1)u_{i+8}(1)^2u_i(1)^{-1}$ for i odd.

Then $w_i = w_{i+8}$, $w_i^2 = 1$, $W = \langle w_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 8 \rangle \cong D_{16}$ and W is the "Weyl group" of the (B, N) pair for G [19]. Abusing terminology slightly, we write $U = \langle u_i(t) \mid 1 \leq i \leq 8, t \in K \rangle$, $B = UH = N_G(U)$, $N = HW$ ($= N_G(H)$ if $H \neq 1$), $N/H \cong W$ and the extension splits (see [19]). Letting $U_i = \langle u_i(t) \mid t \in K \rangle$, H normalizes each U_i , and W permutes the U_i under conjugation. If we think of the U_i as points in Euclidean 2-space (as in the diagram below), the action of W on the "roots" U_i may be expressed by interpreting w_i as the reflection through the line orthogonal to U_i .

In fact, we have $u_i(t)^w = u_j(t)$ for $w \in W$, where $U_i^w = U_j$. To have some notation for elements of H , we momentarily view ${}^2F_4(q) \subset F_4(q)$, and use the usual Chevalley group notation for elements of $F_4(q)$ [4]. For i even, $\lambda \in K^\times$ define $b_i(\lambda) \in {}^2F_4(q)$ by $b_i(\lambda) = b_r(\lambda^{2^\theta})b_{\bar{r}}(\lambda)$, where r is a short root, $\bar{r}$ long, in the expression for $\alpha_i(t) = x_r(t^\theta)x_{\bar{r}}(t)$. Similarly, for i odd, define $b_i(\lambda) = b_r(\lambda^\theta)b_{\bar{r}}(\lambda)$ where $r, r + \bar{r}$ are short, $\bar{r}$ is long in the expression for $\alpha_i(t) = x_r(t^\theta)x_{\bar{r}}(t)x_{r+\bar{r}}(t^{\theta+1})$. The action of the $b_i(\lambda)$ on the $u_j(t)$ is thus calculated in the larger group $F_4(q)$. Finally, $\langle U_i, U_{i+8} \rangle \cong {}^2B_2(q)$, the Suzuki group $\text{Sz}(q)$, for i odd, and $\cong A_1(q) \cong \text{SL}(2, q)$, for i even.

The nontrivial commutator relations among $u_i(t), u_j(u)$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq 8, i \neq j$ are given below. To get other $[u_i(t), u_j(u)]$, $i \neq j + 8 \pmod{16}$, conjugate the

arguments by some $w \in W$ to a pair $u_{i'}(t), u_{j'}(t)$ for which $1 \leq i', j' \leq 8$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 [u_1(t), u_3(u)] &= u_2(tu), \\
 [u_1(t), u_4(u)] &= u_3(v)^2, \quad v^{2\theta+1} = tu, \\
 [u_1(t), u_6(u)] &= u_3(v)^2 u_4(t^{2\theta}u) u_5(v_0)^2, \quad v^{2\theta+1} = t^{2\theta+1}u, \quad v_0^{2\theta+1} = tu^{2\theta}, \\
 [u_1(t), u_7(u)] &= u_2(t^{\theta+1}u) u_3(t^{2\theta}u) u_5(tu^{2\theta})^3 u_6(tu^{2\theta+1}), \\
 [u_1(t), u_8(u)] &= u_2(t^{2\theta+2}u) u_3(t^{2\theta+1}u)^2 u_4(t^{4\theta+2}u^{2\theta+1}) u_5(t^{2\theta+1}u^{2\theta})^3 \\
 &\quad \cdot u_6(t^{2\theta+2}u^{2\theta+1}) u_7(tu). \\
 [u_2(t), u_5(u)] &= u_3(v)^2, \quad v^{2\theta+1} = tu, \\
 \text{(B)} \quad [u_2(t), u_7(u)] &= u_3(v)^2 u_4(tu^{2\theta}) u_5(v_0)^2, \quad v^{2\theta+1} = t^{2\theta}u, \quad v_0^{2\theta+1} = tu^{2\theta+1}, \\
 [u_2(t), u_8(u)] &= u_4(t^{2\theta}u) u_6(tu^{2\theta}), \\
 [u_3(t), u_5(u)] &= u_4(tu), \\
 [u_3(t), u_6(u)] &= u_5(v)^2, \quad v^{2\theta+1} = tu, \\
 [u_3(t), u_8(u)] &= u_5(v)^2 u_6(t^{2\theta}u) u_7(v_0)^2, \quad v^{2\theta+1} = t^{2\theta+1}u, \quad v_0^{2\theta+1} = tu^{2\theta}, \\
 [u_4(t), u_7(u)] &= u_5(v)^2, \quad v^{2\theta+1} = tu, \\
 [u_5(t), u_7(u)] &= u_6(tu), \\
 [u_5(t), u_8(u)] &= u_7(v)^2, \quad v^{2\theta+1} = tu.
 \end{aligned}$$

We now assume $q = 2^{2n+1} \geq 8$. Let $\tilde{G}$ be a central extension of G by a 2-group A . To establish $m_2(G) = 1$, we prove any such $\tilde{G}$ splits. By Gaschütz's theorem, it is enough to show that a set of representatives $v_i(t)$ for $u_i(t)$ in G satisfy (A) and (B), for then U splits, as U is clearly presented by these relations holding among the generators lying in U . Note that commutators in G depend only on the coset of A in which the arguments lie. The same holds for conjugating elements. We freely regard elements of $G = \tilde{G}/A$ as cosets of A .

For i even, let $v_i(t) = [u_i(t(1 + \lambda^2)^{-1}), b_i(\lambda)] \in \tilde{G}$. Then these $v_i(t)$ satisfy $v_i(t) \in u_i(t)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_i(t)^b &= v_i(t') \text{ where } u_i(t)^b = u_i(t'), \text{ for } b \in H, \\
 v_i(t)^w &= v_{i'}(t) \text{ where } u_i(t)^w = u_{i'}(t), \text{ for } w \in W.
 \end{aligned}$$

We first proceed to lift the relations holding among the $u_i(t)$, i even, to the $v_i(t)$.

Set $[v_i(t), v_{i+2}(u)] = f(t, u)$, $[v_i(t), v_{i+4}(u)] = g(t, u)$. Since f and g are A -valued, they are bilinear functions of t and u . In the first case conjugate by $b_{i+4}(\lambda)$, and in the second, conjugate by $b_i(\lambda)$. This yields $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda^{2\theta}u)$. So, $1 = f(t, (1 - \lambda^{2\theta})u)$. Since t and u are arbitrary and $q \geq 8$, f is identically 1. Also, $g(t, u) = g(\lambda^2 t, u)$. Likewise, bilinearity forces $g \equiv 1$. These relations now imply that $f(t, u)$ is bilinear in $[v_i(t), v_{i+6}(u)] = v_{i+2}(t^{2\theta}u) v_{i+4}(tu^{2\theta}) f(t, u)$, and conjugation

by $b_{i+4}(\lambda)$ implies $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda^{2\theta} u)$; then $f \equiv 1$, as above.

Working out relations for $v_i(t)$, i odd, is a bit more subtle. $Z(U)$ consists of $\{u_5(t)^2 \mid t \in K\}$ by [12, p. 414]. By arguments like the ones in the preceding paragraph, we can easily establish $[v_i(t), u_{i+1}(u)] = 1$, i even, and $[u_i(t), u_{i+2}(u)] = v_{i+1}(tu)$, i odd (the u_i 's denote cosets of A). Inspection of the table of commutator relations shows $u_3(t)u_5(u) \subseteq AV'$ for some $t \neq 0$, all $u \neq 0$. Such elements commute with elements of $\{u_5(t)^2 \mid t \in K\} \subseteq Z_2(V)$, the second center of V . Since we already know that for all t', u' , $[u_3(t'), u_5(u')^2] = 1$ in $\tilde{G}$, by the above two relations, we get $[u_5(t), u_5(u)^2] = 1$ for all $t, u \in K$. This holds in all U_i , i odd, by W -symmetry.

The subgroup $S = S_i = \langle U_i, U_{i+8} \rangle$, i odd, G is isomorphic to the simple Suzuki group defined over K . By Alperin-Gorenstein [1], $m(S) = 1$, unless $q = 8$. If $\tilde{S}$ denotes the induced extension of S in $\tilde{G}$, we claim S splits. This is clear if $q > 8$: $\tilde{S} = A \times (\tilde{S})'$. But if $q = 8$ and $\tilde{S}$ does not split, the analysis in [1] shows that in $\tilde{S}$, $[u_i(t), u_i(u)^2] \neq 1$ for some t, u , contrary to the previous paragraph. So, $\tilde{S} = A \times (\tilde{S})'$, $(\tilde{S})' \cong S$ in all cases. For i odd, we choose $v_i(t)$ by the rule $\{v_i(t)\} = u_i(t) \cap (\tilde{S})'$. Then $\langle v_i(t) \mid t \in K \rangle \cong \langle u_i(t) \mid t \in K \rangle \subseteq G$, and we have the conjugacy properties for these $v_i(t)$ under H and W as for i even.

The remaining relations to be lifted to the $v_i(t)$ are of the form $[u_i(t), u_{i+j}(u)]$, where i is odd and $3 \leq j \leq 7$. By W -symmetry, we may assume $i, i+j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$, and even that $i = 1$.

$[v_1(t), v_4(u)] = v_3(v)^2 f(t, u)$, $v^{2\theta+1} = tu$. Using previous relations, $f(t, u)$ is bilinear. Conjugation by, say, $b_5(\lambda)$ gives $f \equiv 1$ via the usual argument.

$[v_1(t), v_5(u)] = 1$ because the commutator lies in $[\tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_5]$. The latter commutator is trivial because S_1 and S_5 are commuting perfect subgroups of G .

$$[v_1(t), v_6(u)] = v_3(v)^2 v_4(t^{2\theta} u) v_5(w)^2 f(t, u), \\ v^{2\theta+1} = t^{2\theta+1} u, \quad w^{2\theta+1} = tu^{2\theta}.$$

Using previous relations and taking $u_1 \neq u_2$, we get, by the usual commutator identities, $[v_1(t), v_6(u_1 + u_2)] = [v_1(t), v_6(u_2)][v_1(t), v_6(u_1)]$. Comparing each side, we get $f(t, u_1 + u_2) = f(t, u_2)f(t, u_1)$. Conjugating the original relation by $b_5(\lambda)$, we get $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda u)$, all $t, u \in K$, $\lambda \in K^\times$. So $1 = f(t, (1 - \lambda)u)$ gives $f \equiv 1$.

$$[v_1(t), v_7(u)] = v_2(t^{\theta+1} u) v_3(t^{2\theta} u) v_5(tu^{2\theta})^3 v_6(tu^{2\theta+1}) f(t, u).$$

Conjugating this relation by $b_5(\lambda)$, we get $f(t, u) = f(t, \lambda^{-1} \lambda^{2\theta} u)$. Conjugating by $b_3(\lambda)$ gives $f(t, u) = f(\lambda^{-1} \lambda^{2\theta} t, u)$. These imply $f(t, u) = f(t', u')$, for all t, u, t', u' ,

$u' \in K^\times$. Now, $[v_1(t), v_7(u)] = [v_7(u), v_1(t)]^{-1} = ([v_1(u), v_7(t)]^{w_4})^{-1}$. So, $f(t, u) = f(u, t)^{-1} = f(t, u)^{-1}$, i.e., $f(t, u)^2 = 1$ for all $t, u \in K^\times$. This fact and previous relations allow us to compute that $[v_1(t), v_7(u)^2] = [v_1(t), v_7(u)][v_1(t), v_7(u)]^{v_7(u)} = v_3(t^{2\theta}u)^2 v_3(v)^2 v_4(t^{\theta+1}u^{2\theta+1}) v_5(tu^{2\theta})^2 v_5(v_0)^2 v_6(tu^{2\theta+1})$ for some v, v_0 (the point is, f is not present). Also, $v_7(u')^2$ commutes with each term on the right, for any $u' \in K$. Select $u_1 \neq u_2$ in $K^\times$. Then, for some u_3 ,

$$\begin{aligned} [v_1(t), v_7(u_1 + u_2)] &= [v_1(t), v_7(u_1)v_7(u_2)v_7(u_3)^2] \\ &= [v_1(t), v_7(u_3)^2][v_1(t), v_7(u_2)]^{v_7(u_3)^2}[v_1(t), v_7(u_1)]^{v_7(u_2)v_7(u_3)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using previous relations, we compute both sides and find $f(t, u_1 + u_2) = f(t, u_1)f(t, u_2)$. Since all these f 's are equal, $f \equiv 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} [v_1(t), v_8(u)] \\ = v_2(t^{2\theta+2}u)v_3(t^{2\theta+1}u)^2 v_4(t^{4\theta+2}u^{2\theta+1})v_5(t^{2\theta+1})^3 v_6(t^{2\theta+2}u^{2\theta+1})v_7(tu)f(t, u) \end{aligned}$$

is the last relation, and we handle it as before. Using previous relations, we calculate $[v_1(t), v_8(u_1 + u_2)] = [v_1(t), v_8(u_2)][v_1(t), v_8(u_1)]^{v_8(u_2)}$, which gives $f(t, u_1 + u_2) = f(t, u_1)f(t, u_2)$. Conjugating the original relation by $b_5(\lambda)$ gives $f \equiv 1$ as usual.

We have shown that the $v_i(t)$ satisfy the relations (A), (B), the requirement for showing $m_2(G) = 1$, $n \geq 1$.

The simple Tits group ${}^2F_4(2)'$ and ${}^2F_4(2)$. We now let G be the simple group F' , $F = {}^2F_4(2)$, and prove $m(G) = 1$. Since $F/G \cong Z_2$, cyclic, this is enough to give $m(F) = 1$ as well (11).

We use previous notation as much as possible. Let $u_i = u_i(1)$, $z = u_5^2$. Now, $C_F(z) \supseteq \langle u_1, u_2, \dots, u_9 \rangle$. Since the latter group is a maximal parabolic subgroup in F , the containment is equality. By the table of commutators $O_2(C_F(z)) = \langle u_2, u_3, \dots, u_8 \rangle$, $\langle u_1, u_3 \rangle$ is a Frobenius group of order 20 [19], and $C_F(z) = O_2(C_F(z)) \langle u_1, u_9 \rangle$.

The homomorphism of F onto Z_2 is induced by sending $u_i \rightarrow \bar{0} \in Z/2Z$ for i even, $u_i \rightarrow \bar{1} \in Z/2Z$, for i odd [19]. So $z \in G$, the kernel of this map, and it is easy to determine the elements of $C = C_G(z) = G \cap C_F(z)$. Letting $T = O_2(C)$, $T_1 = T$, $T_{i+1} = [T_i, T]$ in the usual lower central series notation, we can easily get

$$T_1 = \langle u_2, u_4, u_6, u_8, u_i u_j \mid i, j \in \{3, 5, 7\} \rangle.$$

$$T_2 = \langle u_4, u_6, u_3^2, u_5^2, u_7^2 \rangle, \quad T_3 = \langle u_5^2 \rangle.$$

$|T_1| = 2^9$, $|T_2| = 2^5$, $|T_3| = 2$. Let y be any element of order 5 in C (say $y = u_1^{-1}u_9$). By the multiplication table, y is nontrivial on $X = T_1/T_2$. Since $|X| = 2^4$, X is y -irreducible and $X = [X, y]$. Similarly, $T_2/T_3 = [T_2/T_3, y]$.

Set $E = \langle u_1 u_5, u_5 u_9 \rangle \subseteq G$. E is a complement in C to T , and E is a Frobenius group of order 20 because $\langle u_1, u_9 \rangle \subseteq F$ is, and u_5 centralizes $\langle u_1, u_9 \rangle$. The normalizer in C of the cyclic group of order 5 in E is $\langle E, u_5^2 \rangle$.

Let $\tilde{G}$ be a central extension of G by a 2-group A . We shall prove that if $A \neq 1$, $A \not\subseteq \tilde{G}'$, from which $m_2(G) = 1$ follows.

As usual, $\tilde{K}$ denotes the induced extension of $K \subseteq G$. $\tilde{g}$ denotes an arbitrary (unless specified otherwise) representative in G for $g \in G$. We write $\tilde{g} \in g$, regarding g as an A -coset in G . Since commutators and conjugating elements depend only on their A -cosets, elements and subgroups of G act on elements, etc. of $\tilde{G}$. *A word of caution:* while elements $u_1 u_3$, etc., lie in G , u_1 and u_3 do not. So $u_1 u_3$ is represented in $\tilde{G}$ by $\widetilde{u_1 u_3}$, not $\tilde{u}_1 \tilde{u}_3$.

Consider $\tilde{C}$ and $S = \tilde{T}$. The major step is to show $A \cap S' = 1$. We analyze the S_i by the action of E on the S_i/S_{i+1} .

Since A is central, $T_4 = 1$ implies $S_5 = 1$. $\tilde{T}_3$ is clearly abelian since T_3 is cyclic. We claim $\tilde{T}_2$ is abelian. It suffices to prove that $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$ commute, where $u, v \in \{u_3^2, u_4, u_5^2, u_6, u_7^2\}$, a set of generators for T_2 .

(a) u_5^2 commutes with the others because $[\tilde{T}_2, \tilde{T}_3] = [AS_2, AS_3] \subseteq S_5 = 1$.

(b) Let $a = [\widetilde{u_3 u_7}, \tilde{u}_7^2] \in A$. The commutator is bilinear, so $a^2 = 1$ as $(u_7^2)^2 \in A$. Thus, $1 = [(\widetilde{u_3 u_7})^2, \tilde{u}_7^2] = [\tilde{u}_3^2, \tilde{u}_7^2][\tilde{u}_7^2, \tilde{u}_7^2] = [\tilde{u}_3^2, \tilde{u}_7^2]$. So, $\tilde{u}_3^2$ and $\tilde{u}_7^2$ commute.

(c) $\tilde{u}_4$ and $\tilde{u}_6$ commute because $[\tilde{u}_4, \tilde{u}_6] = [\tilde{u}_4, \tilde{u}_6]^{u_1^0} = [\tilde{u}_4 \tilde{u}_6 \tilde{u}_8, \tilde{u}_6] = [\tilde{u}_4, \tilde{u}_6][\tilde{u}_8, \tilde{u}_6] = [\tilde{u}_4, \tilde{u}_6]^2$ by W -conjugation. So, $[\tilde{u}_4, \tilde{u}_6] = 1$.

(d) u_3^2 and $\tilde{u}_4$ commute because $[\tilde{u}_3^2, \tilde{u}_4]^{w_8 w_1} = [\tilde{u}_5^2, \tilde{u}_6] = 1$, by (a).

(e) Let $a = [\widetilde{u_3 u_7}, \tilde{u}_6]$. As in (b), $a^2 = 1$ and $1 = [(\widetilde{u_3 u_7})^2, \tilde{u}_6] = [\tilde{u}_3^2, \tilde{u}_6][\tilde{u}_7^2, \tilde{u}_6]$. Since $[\tilde{u}_7^2, \tilde{u}_6]^{w_1 w_8} = [\tilde{u}_5^2, \tilde{u}_4] = 1$ by (a) we see that $\tilde{u}_3^2$ and $\tilde{u}_6$ commute.

All other pairs of generators u, v commute because $[u, v]$ is conjugate under W to a pair covered in (a)–(e). So, $\tilde{T}_2$ is abelian. Writing $\tilde{T}_2 = [\tilde{T}_2, y] \times C_{\tilde{T}_2}(y)$ by Fitting's lemma, $S_3, A \subseteq C_{\tilde{T}_2}(y)$, $S_3 \cap A = 1$ implies that $\tilde{T}_2$ is elementary abelian. In particular, if g is an involution in G , then $\tilde{g}$ is an involution as g is conjugate to either u_4 or u_5^2 .

Assume $A \subseteq S' = S_2$. Let L be the Lie algebra associated with S , $L = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3 \oplus L_4$. $A \subseteq S_2$ implies $L_1 \cong T_1/T_2$ as E -groups. Let $L_0 = L_{01} \oplus L_{02} \oplus \dots$ be the tensor product of L with an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2. Let ξ_i be an eigenvector in L_{01} for the eigenvalue λ^i of y and let η_i be an eigenvector for λ^i on L_{02} . The ξ_i form a basis for L_{01} . We may assume that $\xi_i^\nu = \xi_{2i}$ upon altering the ξ_i by scalars, where $\nu \in E$, $y^\nu = y^3$. Similarly on L_{02} , $\eta_i^\nu = \eta_{2i}$. Since $L_{03} = [L_{01}, L_{02}]$, $\xi_i \eta_j$ with $i + j \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ are the only nontrivial products among the $\xi_i \eta_j$ because 1 is the only eigenvalue for y on L_{03} . But $(\xi_1 \eta_4)^{\nu^e} = \xi_{2^e} \eta_{2^e}$, $e = 0, 1, 2, 3$ (indices taken mod 5). Thus $\dim L_{03} \leq 1$. The dimension is 1 because $1 \neq u_5^2 \in T_3$. Say ζ generates L_{03} . $L_{04} = 0$ because $[L_{02}, L_{02}] = 0$ follows from T_2 abelian, and $[L_{01}, L_{03}] = 0$ follows from $\xi_i \zeta$ being an eigenvector for $\lambda^i \neq 1$, all i , against $L_{04} \subseteq A$ being centralized by y . So, $|S_3| = 2$.

Our analysis shows that the image of $A \subseteq S_2$ in L (resp. L_0) must lie in L_2 (resp. L_{02}). Since L_{01} generates L_0 as an algebra, $A \neq 1$ implies some $\xi_i \xi_j$, $i + j \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ must be nonzero. Conjugating this product by ν implies all $\xi_i \xi_j$ with $i + j \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ are equal and generate the image of A . So, $|A| \leq 2$ and $2^4 \leq |S_2| \leq 2^5$.

We now analyze the structure of $C_G(u_4)$ and its induced extension in $\tilde{G}$. Similar results will hold for any $g \in G$ conjugate to u_4 ; in particular, the u_i , i even. $C_F(u_4)$ contains $X_0 = \langle u_1^2, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7^2, u_8, u_{16} \rangle$; $X_0 = O_2(X_0) \langle u_8, u_{16} \rangle$ and $|X_0| = 2^{10} \cdot 3$. $C_G(u_4)$ contains $X = G \cap X_0 = \langle u_1^2, u_2, u_3 u_5, u_7^2, u_4, u_6, u_7^2, u_8, u_{16} \rangle$ of order $2^9 \cdot 3$. By the character table of G (M. Hall-J. McKay, unpublished), X is the full centralizer, so the containments are equalities.

In what follows, we shall prove that, for a given $\tilde{u}_4 \in u_4$ in G ,

(i) precisely one of $\tilde{u}_4, \tilde{u}_4 \alpha$ is expressible as a commutator in $\tilde{X}$ (equivalently, in a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\tilde{X}$); call it $\hat{u}_4$,

(ii) $\hat{u}_4$ has a square root in $\tilde{G}$ and $\hat{u}_4 \cdot \alpha$ does not.

Let $R = O_2(X) = \langle u_1^2, u_2, u_3 u_5, u_7^2, u_5^2, u_4, u_6, u_7^2 \rangle$, $D = \langle u_8, u_{16} \rangle \cong D_6$. $|R| = 2^8$, $|R_2| = 2^3$, $R_3 = 1$, R/R_2 and $R_2 = \langle u_3^2, u_4, u_5^2 \rangle$ are elementary abelian. The elements $u_1^2, u_2, u_3 u_5, u_6, u_7^2$ generate R . The element $r = u_8 u_{16}$ of order 3 in D acts on R_2 with indecomposable constituents $R_{21} = \langle u_4 \rangle$ and $R_{22} = \langle u_3^2, u_5^2 \rangle$. It acts on $\bar{R} = R/R_2$ with indecomposable constituents of orders 2, 2^2 , 2^2 ; namely $E_1 = \langle \bar{u}_3 \bar{u}_5 \bar{u}_2 \bar{u}_6 \rangle$, $E_2 = \langle \bar{u}_2, \bar{u}_6 \rangle$, $E_3 = \langle \bar{u}_1^2 \bar{u}_7^2, \bar{u}_2 \bar{u}_3 \bar{u}_5 \bar{u}_6 \bar{u}_7^2 \rangle$ and these are each D -invariant.

Our first step is to show $\alpha \notin V_2$, where $V = \tilde{R}$. Assume $1 \neq \alpha \in V_2$. We argue that $\tilde{u}_4$ remains central in V . Since $R \subseteq T = O_2(C_G(u_5^2))$, $u_4 \in T_2$, if $\tilde{u}_4$ were

noncentral in V , then $[\widetilde{u}_4, x] = \alpha$, for some $x \in V$. But this violates $[S_2, S] = S_3$, $\alpha \notin S_3$. Now, $V_4 = 1$ as $R_3 = 1$. Let $L(V) = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3$ be the Lie algebra associated with V ($L_1 = V/V_2 \cong R/R_2$ here). If $\alpha \in V_3 = L_3$, then $\langle \alpha \rangle = V_3$ and $\alpha = [x_1^*, x_2^*]$, where $x_1 \in V_1 \setminus V_2$, $x_2 \in V_2$ (* denotes images in $L(V)$). Since $\widetilde{u}_4$ is central in V , we may take $x_2 \in \widetilde{R}_{22}$. This means $x_2 \in u_3^2, u_5^2$ or $u_3^2 u_5^2$, all conjugate in X to u_5^2 . Replacing x_1, x_2 by conjugates, we may assume $[x_1, \widetilde{u}_5^2] = \alpha$, a contradiction to $[S_1, S_2] = 1$. So, $\alpha \notin V_3 = L_3 = 1$.

As $\alpha \in V_2$, α^* is a nontrivial element of L_2 . Denoting by $L_0(V) = L_{01} \oplus L_{02}$ the tensor product of $L(V)$ with an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2, we get $\alpha^* = \xi \xi'$ in $L(V)$, where ξ, ξ' are r -eigenvectors in L_{01} for eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2 respectively; $\lambda_1^3 = \lambda_2^3 = 1$, and $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 1$ since α is central. $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$ is impossible since the eigenspace in L_{01} for the eigenvalue 1 is one-dimensional, generated by the image of E_1 . So, $\lambda_1 \neq 1 \neq \lambda_2$, $\lambda_1^2 = \lambda_2$. Let ξ_1, ξ_3 be eigenvectors for λ_1 and let ξ_2, ξ_4 be eigenvectors for λ_2 in L_{01} . Then $\xi_1 \xi_2, \xi_1 \xi_4, \xi_3 \xi_2, \xi_3 \xi_4$ span the eigenspace for 1 on L_{02} .

We may choose notation so that ξ_1, ξ_2 lie in the subspace of L_{01} generated by E_2 and ξ_3, ξ_4 lie in the subspace of L_{01} generated by E_3 . Now, we examine commutators involving elements of V corresponding to E_2 and E_3 . Note that these commutators depend only on the V_2 -cosets of the arguments as $V_3 = 1$.

Since $[u_2, u_6] = 1$, if $\alpha^* = \xi_1 \xi_2$, then $\alpha = [\widetilde{u}_2, \widetilde{u}_6] \in [AS_2, AS_2] = 1$, contradiction; so $\xi_1 \xi_2 = 0$. Now, $\xi_3 \xi_4 \neq 0$, because it corresponds to the image in L_{02} of $\widetilde{u}_4 = [\widetilde{u}_1^2 u_7^2, \widetilde{u}_2 u_3 u_5 \widetilde{u}_6 u_7^2]$.

This leaves $\xi_1 \xi_4$ and $\xi_2 \xi_3$; so we look at $[\widetilde{e}_2, \widetilde{e}_3]$, $\bar{e}_2 \in E_2$, $\bar{e}_3 \in E_3$. All these commutators lie in $\widetilde{R}_{22}$. Replacing e_2, e_3 by conjugates, we may assume $[\widetilde{e}_2, \widetilde{e}_3] \in u_5^2$; $e_1, e_2 \in C_G(u_5^2)$ since $V_3 = 1$. We now list all pairs $(\bar{e}_2, \bar{e}_3)$ for which $[\widetilde{e}_2, \widetilde{e}_3] \in u_5^2$:

$$(\bar{u}_2, u_1^2 u_7^2), (\bar{u}_6, \bar{u}_2 \bar{u}_3 \bar{u}_5 \bar{u}_6 u_7^2), (\bar{u}_2 \bar{u}_6, \bar{u}_1^2 \bar{u}_2 \bar{u}_3^3 \bar{u}_5 \bar{u}_6).$$

$[\widetilde{u}_2, \widetilde{u}_1^2 u_7^2]$ is conjugate to $[\widetilde{u}_2 \widetilde{u}_6, \widetilde{u}_1^2 \widetilde{u}_2 \widetilde{u}_3^3 \widetilde{u}_5 \widetilde{u}_6]$ in X via $u_8 \in C_G(u_5^2)$; hence, they are equal. Now, $[\widetilde{u}_6, \widetilde{u}_2 \widetilde{u}_3 \widetilde{u}_5 \widetilde{u}_6 u_7^2] \in [S_2, S] = S_3$ and, using the commutator identity and previous results, $[\widetilde{u}_2, \widetilde{u}_1^2 u_7^2] = [\widetilde{u}_2', u_7^2]$, an element of $[S, S_2] = S_3$. Since $|u_5^2 \cap S_3| = 1$, all three commutators are equal. The same holds for the commutators $[\widetilde{e}_2, \widetilde{e}_3]$ in u_3^2 and in $u_3^2 u_5^2$. Let $\widehat{u}_5^2$ denote the unique element of $u_5^2 \cap [u_2, u_1^2 u_7^2]$, and define $\widehat{u}_3^2, \widehat{u}_3^2 u_5^2$ similarly for appropriate $[\widetilde{e}_2, \widetilde{e}_3]$.

We now assert that the three distinct commutators $[\tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3] \neq 1$, $\tilde{e}_2 \in E_2$, $\tilde{e}_3 \in E_3$, together with the identity element, form a subgroup (a four-group). By symmetry under D -conjugation, the following argument suffices: for

$$\widehat{u_5^2} = [\widetilde{u_2}, \widetilde{u_1^2 u_7^2}], \quad \widehat{u_3^2 u_5^2} = [\widetilde{u_2}, \widetilde{u_2 u_3^3 u_5 u_6 u_7^2}]$$

we have

$$\widehat{u_5^2} \cdot \widehat{u_3^2 u_5^2} = [\widetilde{u_2}, \widetilde{u_1^2 u_7^2}] [\widetilde{u_2}, \widetilde{u_2 u_3^3 u_5 u_6 u_7^2}] = [\widetilde{u_2}, \widetilde{u_1^2 u_2 u_3^3 u_5 u_6 u_7^2}] = \widehat{u_3^2}.$$

So, α does not lie in the subgroup of V_2 generated by the commutators $[e_2, e_3]$. This means $\xi_1 \xi_4 = \xi_2 \xi_3 = 0$ and $\alpha \notin V_2$. Now, denote by $\widehat{u_4}$ the unique element of $u_4 \cap V_2$.

Let $\langle \bar{\alpha} \rangle$ be the image of $\langle \alpha \rangle$ on V/V_2 . $\langle \bar{\alpha} \rangle$ splits off the fixed point free $\langle \tau \rangle$ -submodules of V/V_2 by Fitting's lemma. So, if $\alpha \in Y'$, where $Y = \langle v, u_8 \rangle$, a Sylow 2-subgroup of X , then we must have $[\widetilde{u_2 u_3 u_5 u_6}, \widetilde{u_8}] \equiv \alpha \pmod{V_2}$ (recall that E_1 is the $\langle \tau \rangle$ -fixed point space of $R/R_2 = V/V_2$). Taking preimages, this implies $[\widetilde{u_2 u_3 u_5 u_6}, \widetilde{u_8}] = \widehat{u_4} \widehat{u_5^2} \alpha$. We also have $[\widetilde{u_2 u_3 u_5 u_6}, \widetilde{u_6 u_8}] = \widehat{u_4} \alpha$.

Now, $\rho = \widetilde{u_2 u_3 u_5 u_6}$ has square $\widehat{u_4}$ or $\widehat{u_4} \alpha$, and $\sigma = \widetilde{u_6 u_8}$ is an involution. Therefore, $(\sigma \rho)^2 = \sigma^2 \rho^2 [\rho, \sigma] = \rho^2 \widehat{u_4} \alpha$. Since α has no square root in G , $\rho^2 = \widehat{u_4} \alpha$. Now, $[\widetilde{u_1^2 u_2}, \widetilde{u_6 u_7^2}] = \widehat{u_4}$ (not $\widehat{u_4} \alpha$) since the arguments lie in V . The arguments being involutions, we have, for $\tau = \widetilde{u_1^2 u_2 u_6 u_7^2}$, $\tau^2 = \widehat{u_4}$. So, $(\rho \tau)^2 = \rho^2 \tau^2 [\rho, \tau] = (\widehat{u_4} \alpha)(\widehat{u_4}) \cdot 1 = \alpha$, a contradiction. Therefore $\alpha \notin Y'$, which establishes assertion (i).

We can also see that $\langle \alpha \rangle$ splits off V . For $\alpha \notin V_2$ and AV_2/V_2 splits off the fixed point free $\langle \tau \rangle$ -submodule, and off $\langle \bar{\rho} \rangle$ as well since $\alpha \notin Y'$ and $\rho^2 = \widehat{u_4} \alpha \in V_2$ can be established by repeating the argument with σ, ρ , using $[\rho, \sigma] = \widehat{u_4}$. In particular $\mathcal{U}^1(V) \subseteq V_2$.

Since we know V_2 and enough about the action of u_8 on V/V_2 , a corollary is $|Y'| = 2^5$. In fact $Y' = \langle V_2, x_1, x_2 \rangle$, where the x_i are suitable elements of the cosets $\widetilde{u_6}, \widetilde{u_2 u_3 u_5 u_6 u_7^2}$. Another corollary is that $\widehat{u_4} \alpha$ has no square root in $\widetilde{G}$. If it did, $\tau^2 = \widehat{u_4} \alpha$, $\tau \in Y$. Write $\tau = \widetilde{u_8} u$, $u \in V$. Since $\tau^2 \in AV_2$, τ must fix u modulo AV_2 ; hence $[u, u_8] \in AV_2 \subseteq C(u)$. So, $\tau^2 = u_8^2 u^2 [u, u_8] = u^2 [u, u_8]$. Since $u^2 \in V_2$, $\widehat{u_4} \alpha = \tau^2 \in Y'$ follows, a contradiction. At this point, (ii) is clear, and so both assertions have been proven.

For later use, let $\hat{u}_6$ denote the above-mentioned suitable element $x_1 \in u_6$; i.e., $\hat{u}_6 = [\tilde{u}_7, \tilde{u}_8]$. Since $\tilde{u}_2, \tilde{u}_8 \in C_{\tilde{G}}(u_6)$, as is easily seen, and u_6 is conjugate to u_4 , the use of $\hat{}$ here coincides with the previous meaning for conjugates of u_4 .

We now return to S and compute S_2 . Let $L = C_S(\hat{u}_4) = \langle S_2, \tilde{u}_2\tilde{u}_4, \tilde{u}_3^2\tilde{u}_5, \tilde{u}_8 \rangle$. $|S:L| = 2$, and a representative for the nontrivial coset of L in S is $\rho = \tilde{u}_4\tilde{u}_5\tilde{u}_7\tilde{u}_8$. From our analysis of $\tilde{X}$, we can calculate that $L' = \langle \hat{u}_5^2, \hat{u}_6, \hat{u}_4, \hat{u}_3^2 \rangle$ and $|L'| = 2^4$. Since $S_3 \subset L' \subset S_2$, $L' \triangleleft S$ and S/L' has class 2. So, $S_2 = \langle L', [\sigma, \rho] \mid \sigma \in \{\tilde{u}_2\tilde{u}_4, \tilde{u}_3^2\tilde{u}_5, \tilde{u}_8\} \rangle$.

We proceed to determine the $[\sigma, \rho]$. $[\tilde{u}_2\tilde{u}_4, \rho]$ is conjugate via w_1w_8 to $[\tilde{u}_{16}\tilde{u}_2, \tilde{u}_2\tilde{u}_3\tilde{u}_5\tilde{u}_6] \in u_4$, and the arguments lie in $C(\tilde{u}_4)$. By (i), this conjugate equals $\hat{u}_4$. Conjugating back, $[\tilde{u}_4\tilde{u}_6, \rho] = \hat{u}_6 \in L'$.

Next, define $\tilde{u}_4 = [\tilde{u}_3^2\tilde{u}_5, \rho] \in u_4$. Set $\sigma = \tilde{u}_3^2\tilde{u}_5$. Then, $\sigma^2 = \hat{u}_3^2\hat{u}_4\hat{u}_5^2$, as $\sigma \in V$. Also $\rho^2 = \hat{u}_6$ because $\rho^{w_1w_8} \in V$ and $(\rho^{w_1w_8})^2 = \hat{u}_4$. So, $(\rho\sigma)^2 = \rho^2\sigma^2[\sigma, \rho] = \hat{u}_3^2\hat{u}_4\hat{u}_5^2\hat{u}_6\tilde{u}_4 = \hat{u}_3^2\hat{u}_5^2\hat{u}_6(\hat{u}_4\tilde{u}_4)$. Note $\hat{u}_4\tilde{u}_4 \in A$. Conjugating both sides by $\tilde{u}_3\tilde{u}_5\tilde{u}_1^2 = x$, we get $(\rho^x\sigma^x)^2 = \hat{u}_6(\hat{u}_4\tilde{u}_4)$. If $\hat{u}_4 \neq \tilde{u}_4$, we have a contradiction to (ii). Hence, $[\tilde{u}_3^2\tilde{u}_5, \rho] = \hat{u}_4 \in L'$.

This means S_2 is generated by L' (order 2^4) and the remaining $[\sigma, \rho]$; viz., $[\tilde{u}_8, \rho] \in u_7^2$. We conclude $|S_2| = 2^5 = |T_2|$, and that $A = 1$ under the hypothesis $A \subseteq S_2$.

The remainder of the proof is easy. In our central extension $\tilde{G}$ of G by a 2-group A , we have $A \cap S_2 = 1$. Let $\bar{A}$ denote the image of A in $\bar{S} = S/S_2$. By the action of γ on T/T_2 , Fitting's lemma yields $\bar{S} = [\bar{S}, \langle \gamma \rangle] \oplus \bar{A}$, the direct sum as $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -modules. Since the element v of order 4 in E normalizes $\langle \gamma \rangle$ and S , v leaves $[\bar{S}, \langle \gamma \rangle]$ invariant. Therefore S_0 , the preimage in S of $[\bar{S}, \langle \gamma \rangle]$, is a normal subgroup of $U = \langle S, v, A \rangle$, a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\tilde{G}$. Furthermore, U/S_0 is abelian, since the image is covered by $\langle v, A \rangle$. As $A \cap U' = 1$, $A \subseteq Z(\tilde{G})$, a transfer lemma (2) implies that $A \cap \tilde{G}' = 1$.

Since $\tilde{G}$ is an arbitrary central extension of G by a 2-group A , we infer $m_2(G) = 1$.

Showing $m_p(G) = 1$ for $p \neq 2$ is not hard. Since a Sylow 13-subgroup is cyclic, $m_{13}(G) = 1$. Since $G \cap (\langle u_1, u_9 \rangle \times \langle u_5, u_{13} \rangle)$ contains a normal subgroup S of order 5^2 , which is a Sylow 5-subgroup of G , we see that there is an element in $N_G(S)$, say $u_1^2 \in G$, which effects a nonspecial transformation on S . By (7), $m_5(G) = 1$. G contains $H = \langle u_8, u_{16} \rangle \times \langle u_4, u_{12} \rangle \cong D_6 \times D_6$. H contains a normal elementary abelian subgroup P of order 9. Take $z \in P^\#$; $|C_G(z)| = 2^2 \cdot 3^3$. Let R

be a Sylow 3-subgroup of $C_G(z)$, $|R| = 27$. Since $|C_G(z):R| = 4$, if R is not normal in $C_G(z)$, $C_G(z)$ has $\text{Alt}(4)$ as a quotient. Since R has no elements of order 9, the extension splits, $C_G(z) = K \cdot \text{Alt}(4)$ by the Frattini argument. We may assume $z \in K$. But then some involution i of $\text{Alt}(4)$ centralizes K , a contradiction to $9 \nmid |C_G(i)|$. So, $R \triangleleft C_G(i)$. Checking centralizer orders of $3'$ -elements, $N_G(R)/R$ is faithful on $R/\Phi(R)$. If R is elementary abelian, $|N_G(R)/R| = 2^3 \cdot 13$ since all elements of order 3 are conjugate. But this implies $13 \mid |C_G(i)|$, some involution i , impossible. So, R is extra special of order 3^3 , $\langle z \rangle = R'$. This forces $C_G(z)/R \cong Z_4$, hence an involution inverts R/R' , centralizes R' . By 2.1 of [20], $m_3(G) = 1$.

This completes the proof that $m(G) = 1$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. L. Alperin and D. Gorenstein, *The multipliers of certain simple groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), 515–519. MR 33 #1362.
2. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique*. Fasc. XXXIV. *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1337, Hermann, Paris, 1968. MR 39 #1590.
3. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956. MR 17, 1040.
4. R. W. Carter, *Simple groups and simple Lie algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. 40 (1965), 193–240. MR 30 #4855.
5. C. Chevalley, *Sur certains groupes simples*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) 7 (1955), 14–66. MR 17, 457.
6. B. Fischer, *Finite groups generated by 3-transpositions*, Invent. Math. (to appear).
7. D. Gorenstein, *Finite groups*, Harper & Row, New York, 1968. MR 38 #229.
8. R. Griess, *Schur multipliers of the known finite simple groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1972), 68–71.
9. ———, *Schur multipliers of some sporadic simple groups*, J. Algebra (to appear).
10. B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 134, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967. MR 37 #302.
11. J. Lindsey, *On a six dimensional projective representation of $PSU(4, 3)$* (to appear).
12. R. Ree, *A family of simple groups associated with the simple Lie algebra of type (F_4)* , Amer. J. Math. 83 (1961), 401–420; *A family of simple groups associated with the simple Lie algebra of type (G_2)* , Amer. J. Math. 83 (1961), 432–462. MR 24 #A2617; MR 25 #2123.
13. I. Schur, *Über die Darstellung der endlichen Gruppen durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 127 (1904), 20–50; *ibid.* 132 (1907), 85–137.
14. ———, *Über die Darstellung der symmetrischen und der alternierenden Gruppe durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 139 (1911), 155–250.
15. R. Steinberg, *Générateurs, relations, et revêtements de groupes algébriques*, Colloq. Théorie des Groupes Algébriques (Bruxelles, 1962), Librairie Universitaire, Louvain; Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1962, pp. 113–127. MR 27 #3638.

16. R. Steinberg, *Representations of algebraic groups*, Nagoya Math. J. 22 (1963), 33–56. MR 27 #5870.
17. ———, *Variations on a theme of Chevalley*, Pacific J. Math. 9 (1959), 875–891. MR 22 #79.
18. ———, *Lectures on Chevalley groups*, Yale University Notes, New Haven, Conn., 1967.
19. J. Tits, *Algebraic and abstract simple groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) 80 (1964), 313–329. MR 29 #2259.
20. D. Wales and J. McKay, *The multipliers of the simple groups of order 604, 800 and 50, 232, 960*, J. Algebra 17 (1971), 262–272. MR 43 #340.
21. H. N. Ward, *On the triviality of primary parts of the Schur multiplier*, J. Algebra 10 (1968), 377–382. MR 38 #1181.
22. K. Yamazaki, *On projective representations and ring extensions of finite groups*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 10 (1964), 147–195. MR 31 #4842.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN
48104