

FIELDS OF FRACTIONS FOR GROUP ALGEBRAS OF FREE GROUPS

BY
JACQUES LEWIN⁽¹⁾

ABSTRACT. Let KF be the group algebra over the commutative field K of the free group F . It is proved that the field generated by KF in any Mal'cev-Neumann embedding for KF is the universal field of fractions $U(KF)$ of KF . Some consequences are noted. An example is constructed of an embedding $KF \subset D$ into a field D with $D \neq U(KF)$. It is also proved that the generalized free product of two free groups can be embedded in a field.

I. Introduction. P. M. Cohn has recently shown [3, Chapter 7] that if R is a semifir then there is an embedding of R in a (not necessarily commutative) field $U(R)$ which is universal in the sense that if $R \subset D$ is another embedding of R in a field then there is a specialization of $U(R)$ onto D which extends the identity map of R . In particular, free associative algebras and free group algebras have universal fields of fractions.

Let now F be a free group and K a commutative field. I. Hughes [5] singles out a class of "free" embeddings (see definition below, §II) of KF into fields and shows that any two free embeddings which are both generated (qua fields) by KF are KF -isomorphic. This makes it plausible that $U(KF)$ is a free embedding and we show that this is indeed the case. Oddly enough this is not proved by verifying directly the freedom property of $U(KF)$, but by first proving a subgroup theorem: If G is a subgroup of F , then KG generates, in $U(KF)$, its universal field $U(KG)$.

The significance of our theorem is that it shows that $U(KF)$ is in fact the field generated by KF in any Mal'cev-Neumann embedding of KF [10]. If R is a free K -algebra on the generators of F , then it is easily seen that $U(R) = U(KF)$. Thus we have both $U(R)$ and $U(KF)$ represented in power series over F . This has several interesting consequences: $U(F)$ can be ordered; the center of $U(F)$ is K (if F is not commutative); there is a homomorphism of the multiplicative group $U(F)^*$ onto the free group F . (F is actually a retract of $U(F)^*$.)

Going back to groups, we show that any generalized free product G of two free groups can be embedded in a field. However, using an example of M. Dunwoody [4], we show that there need not exist a fully inverting (definition below) embedding for G , even if the amalgamated subgroup is cyclic.

Hughes [5] asks whether there exists a nonfree embedding of the free group algebra KF . We close by exhibiting such an embedding.

Received by the editors December 11, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 16A26, 20E05, 16A06.

Key words and phrases. Free algebras, free group algebras, universal fields of fractions, generalized free products of free groups.

(1) This work was supported by NSF grant GP 33050.

Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

II. $U(KF)$ is Hughes-free. If R is a semifir, we denote by $U(R)$ the universal field of fractions of R . $R \subseteq U(R)$ is fully inverting: every full R -matrix inverts over $U(R)$. Every element u_1 of $U(R)$ is rational over R , i.e. u_1 is the first component of a solution \mathbf{u} of a matrix equation $A\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{a} = 0$ where A is a full $n \times n$ R -matrix and $\mathbf{a} \in R^n$. (Recall that A is full if A is not a product of two matrices of smaller size.) If S is a subring of R , the inclusion $S \rightarrow R$ is honest if every full S -matrix is still full as an R -matrix.

If $h: R \rightarrow D$ is a homomorphism into a field D , then there is universal specialization $p: U(R) \rightarrow D$ which extends h . The domain of p consists of the set of entries of inverses of those R -matrices whose image is invertible over D .

Details and proofs may be found in Cohn [3, Chapter 7].

In particular, if F is a free group and K a commutative field, then KF is a semifir so the above results apply. We write $U(F)$ for $U(KF)$.

If H is a subgroup of F and KF is embedded in a field D , we denote by $\text{Div}_D(H)$ the smallest subfield of D which contains H and K . Note that $\text{Div}_D(H)$ is rational over H .

Our aim is to show that for any subgroup G of a free group F , $\text{Div}_{U(F)}(G) = U(G)$.

The universal specialization from $U(G)$ into $U(F)$ will be a monomorphism $U(G) \rightarrow U(F)$ provided the inclusion $KF \rightarrow KG$ is honest. It is this that we shall prove. We first deal with a special case.

Lemma 1. *Let F be a free group and G a normal subgroup of finite index in F . Then the inclusion $KG \rightarrow KF$ is honest.*

Proof. Let $s_1 = 1, s_2, \dots, s_n$ be a set of coset representatives for G in F . Then $KF = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (KG)s_i$. Right multiplication by an element of KF is a left KF , and hence a left KG , module homomorphism. Thus we have a faithful representation $\varphi: KF \rightarrow (KG)_n$, the $n \times n$ matrices over KG .

If $v \in KG$, then $s_i v = s_i v s_i^{-1} \cdot s_i = v^{s_i} \cdot s_i$. Since G is normal in F , $v^{s_i} \in KG$. Thus $v\varphi$ is the diagonal matrix

$$(1) \quad v\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} v & & & 0 \\ & v^{s_1} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & & v^{s_n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the obvious way, we extend φ to matrices over KF . Let now M be a KG -matrix which is full over KG . Since conjugation by s_i is an automorphism of KG , M^{s_i} is also full over KG for $i = 1, \dots, n$. KG is again a free group algebra, so KG is a fir. It follows [3, Theorem 6.4, p. 282] that the diagonal sum $N = M \dot{+} M^{s_1} \dot{+} \dots$

$\dagger M^{s_n}$ is full. But, by (1), $M\varphi$ is similar, via a permutation matrix, to N . So M , as a KF -matrix, maps under φ to a full matrix. So M is full over KF and the lemma is proved.

The next step is to drop the normality assumption on G . We first need an easy lemma.

If S is a subset of a K -algebra, denote by $K\langle S \rangle$ the subalgebra it generates.

Lemma 2. *Let G be a normal subgroup of finite index in the group F , and suppose KF is embedded in a field D . Then $\text{Div}_D(F)$ has finite dimension as a left $\text{Div}_D(G)$ vector space. Further $\text{Div}_D(F) = K\langle \text{Div}_D(G), F \rangle$.*

Proof. Let $s_1 = 1, s_2, \dots, s_n$ be a set of coset representatives for G in F , and consider $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{Div}_D(G)s_i$. Now s_i induces, by conjugation, an automorphism of $\text{Div}_D(F)$ which leaves G , and hence $\text{Div}_D G$, invariant. Thus for $d \in \text{Div}_D(G)$, $d^{s_i} \in \text{Div}_D(G)$. Thus since $s_i d = d^{s_i} s_i$ and $s_i s_j = g_{ij} s_k$ for some k and some $g_{ij} \in G$, A is a K -algebra. Since A has finite left $\text{Div}_D(G)$ dimension, and A is an integral domain, A is a field. Since A contains F , $A = \text{Div}_D(F)$. Clearly A is generated by $\text{Div}_D(G)$ and F . \square

Lemma 3. *Let F be a free group, and L a subgroup of finite index in F . Then $\text{Div}_{U(F)}(L) = U(L)$.*

Proof. Let G be the intersection of the conjugates of L . Then G is still of finite index in F and is of course normal. Then, by Lemma 1, $\text{Div}_{U(F)}(G) = U(G)$ and $\text{Div}_{U(L)}(G) = U(G)$.

Let p be the universal specialization $p: U(L) \rightarrow \text{Div}_{U(F)}(L)$. Since a full KG -matrix inverts over $U(G)$ it inverts over both $U(L)$ and $\text{Div}_{U(F)}(L)$. So the entries of inverses of full KG -matrices are in the domain \mathfrak{L} of p . So $U(G) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}$. Since p is an L -specialization, also $L \subseteq \mathfrak{L}$. So, by Lemma 2, $U(L) = K\langle U(G), L \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{L}$. Since p is onto $\text{Div}_{U(F)} L$, p is an L -isomorphism $\text{Div}_{U(F)} L \simeq U(L)$.

The next two lemmas allow us to go from subgroups of finite index to arbitrary finitely generated subgroups.

Lemma 4. *Suppose the free group F is the free product $H_1 * H_2$ of two subgroups. Then $\text{Div}_{U(F)}(H_1) = U(H_1)$.*

Proof (P. M. Cohn). Embed $KH_1 *_K KH_2$ in $U(H_1) *_K U(H_2)$. If M is a KH_1 -matrix which is full over KH_1 , then M inverts over $U(H_1)$ and hence over $U(H_1) * U(H_2)$. So M is full over $KH_1 * KH_2 = KF$. So M inverts over $U(F)$ and hence inverts over $\text{Div}_{U(F)}(H_1)$. \square

Lemma 5. *Let H be a finitely generated subgroup of the free group F . Then H is a free factor in a subgroup L of finite index in F . \square*

A proof may be found in [6].

Theorem 1. *If H is a subgroup of the free group F , then $\text{Div}_{U(F)}(H) = U(H)$.*

Proof. If H is finitely generated, this is the contents of Lemmas 3, 4 and 5. Let now H be an arbitrary subgroup of F , and let M be a KH -matrix which is full over KH . Then there is a finitely generated subgroup H' of H such that M is a matrix over KH' . M is still full over KH' . Thus, by the finitely generated case, M inverts over $U(F)$, and hence over $\text{Div}_{U(F)}(H)$. \square


Recall that a group G is indexed at (H, t) if H is normal in G and G/H is the infinite cyclic group generated by the coset tH . Let F be a free group and $KF \subset D$ an embedding of KF in a field. I. Hughes [5] makes the following definition: the embedding $KF \subset D$ is free if for any finitely generated subgroup G of F , and indexing (H, t) of G , then the powers of t are left $\text{Div}_D(KH)$ -independent. He then shows

Hughes' theorem. *If $KF \subset D_1, KF \subset D_2$ are two free embeddings of KF then there is a KF -isomorphism $\text{Div}_{D_1}(KF) \approx \text{Div}_{D_2}(KF)$.* \square

Proposition 6. *$KF \subset U(F)$ is a Hughes-free embedding.*

Proof. Let G be a finitely generated subgroup of F , (H, t) an indexing of G . Since H is normal in G , conjugation by t induces an automorphism τ of $U(H) \subseteq U(F)$. Form the skew Laurent polynomial ring $P = U(H)[z, z^{-1}]$ with the commutation rule $dz = z(d\tau)$. Then P is an Ore domain with quotient field D . Since P is a principal ideal domain, the embedding $P \subset D$ is fully inverting so that $D = U(P)$.

Now we have a homomorphism $\varphi: P \rightarrow K\langle U(H), t, t^{-1} \rangle$ which maps z to t and hence, composing with the inclusion $K\langle U(H), t, t^{-1} \rangle \rightarrow U(G)$, a homomorphism $h: P \rightarrow U(G)$.



h then extends to a specialization $p: U(P) \rightarrow U(G)$. But, identifying $KG = K\langle H, t, t^{-1} \rangle$ with $K\langle H, z, z^{-1} \rangle$, p is a KG -specialization. Since $U(G)$ is fully inverting for KG , so is $U(P)$ (Cohn [3, Theorem 2.3, p. 257]). Hence $U(G)$ and $U(P)$ are KG isomorphic. Clearly, a KG -isomorphism is the identity on H , and hence maps $U(H)$ onto itself. Since $\{z^i\}$ is left $U(H)$ independent, $\{t^i\}$ is left $U(H)$ independent and the proposition is proved.

III. A series representation and applications. We first note that if $R = K\langle X \rangle$ is the free K -algebra on a set X and F is the free group on X , then $U(R) = U(F)$;

since $x \in X$ has an inverse in $U(R)$, there is a homomorphism $KF \rightarrow U(R)$ which is the identity on R . This homomorphism extends to a specialization $p: U(F) \rightarrow U(R)$. So every matrix over R which inverts over $U(R)$ inverts over $U(F)$, i.e. every full R -matrix inverts over $U(F)$. Since $U(F)$ is generated by R, p is an isomorphism.

Recall the Mal'cev-Neumann method for embedding a free group algebra KF in a field [10]: order F and let D be the set of formal series over F , with coefficients in K , whose support is well ordered. If $0 \neq p \in D$, then p can be written uniquely as $p = kf(1 + p')$, $k \in K, f \in F, p' = 0$ or p' with positive support. Then $p^{-1} = (1 - p' + p'^2 - \dots)g^{-1}k^{-1}$. Thus if H is a subgroup of F , $\text{Div}_D(H)$ consists of power series whose support is in H . This makes it clear that D is Hughes-free. Thus, applying Hughes' theorem and the proposition, we obtain

Theorem 2. *Let F be a free group on the set $X, R = K\langle X \rangle$ the free K -algebra on $X; D$ any Mal'cev-Neumann embedding of F . Then $U(R) = U(F) \simeq \text{Div}_D(F)$. \square*

Theorem 3. *If R is a free algebra over the ordered (commutative) field K , then $U(R)$ can be ordered.*

Proof. We need only note that a Mal'cev-Neumann field can be ordered if K can be. \square

Theorem 4 (cf. Klein [8]). *If R is a noncommutative free K -algebra, then the center of $U(R)$ is K .*

Proof. Let R be freely generated by $X, |X| > 1$, and let F be the free group on X . We may consider $U(R)$ as embedded in a Mal'cev-Neumann field for F . Let $z = \sum_{f \in F} k_f f$ be in the center of $U(R)$, and say f_1 is the least element in the support of z . Then, for $x \in X, xf_1$ and f_1x are the least elements in the supports of xz and zx . So f_1 is in the center of F , i.e. $f_1 = 1$. So $k_1 - z$ is again in the center of $U(R)$. But then $k_1 - z = 0$ or its support consists of positive elements. This last leads to a contradiction and hence $z \in K$. \square

Theorem 5. *Let R be a free K -algebra, F the corresponding free group, and let $U(R)^*$ be the multiplicative group of nonzero elements of $U(R)$. Then the free group F is a retract of $U(R)^*$.*

Proof. We regard $U(R)$ as embedded in a Mal'cev-Neumann field for F . Let N be the subset of $U(R)^*$ of elements $k + P$, where $0 \neq k \in K$, and $P = 0$ or P has positive support. An easy calculation shows that N is normal in $U(R)^*$. If g_1, g_2 are different elements of F , then $g_1g_2^{-1} \neq 1$. So $g_1g_2^{-1} \notin N$ and thus $g_1 \neq g_2 \pmod N$. Also, if $Q \in U(R)^*, Q$ can be written uniquely as $Q = gk(1 + Q')$ with $k(1 + Q') \in N, g \in F$. Then $Q = g \pmod N$ and $Q \rightarrow g$ is the required retraction of $U(R)^*$ onto F . \square

Corollary. *Let $U(R)_{ab}^*$ be the commutator factor group of $U(R)^*$. The projection $U(R)^* \rightarrow U(R)_{ab}^*$ is injective on the generators of R . \square*

(This provides a partial answer to problem 10 on p. 286 of [3].)

IV. Generalized free products of free groups. Recall from [3] the following fundamental property of free products of rings over a (skew) field D . Let R_1, R_2 be D -rings and let $\{1\} \cup S_i$ be a left D -basis for R_i . Then the monomials on $S_1 \cup S_2$, no two successive letters of which are in the same factor, form, together with 1, a left D -basis for the free product $R_1 *_D R_2$.

Theorem 6 (cf. [1, Corollary 3.1], [7, Theorem 9], [9]). *Let F_1, F_2 be two free groups with a common subgroup H and let G be the generalized free product $F_1 *_H F_2$. Then the group algebra KG can be embedded in a field.*

Proof. If $\{1\} \cup S_i$ is a left transversal for H in F_i then it is clear by looking in Mal'cev-Neumann fields that $\{1\} \cup S_i$ is a left $\text{Div}_{U(F_i)}(H)$ -independent set. Further there are KH isomorphisms $\text{Div}_{U(F_1)}(H) \simeq \text{Div}_{U(F_2)}(H) \simeq U(H)$. These observations and the remark preceding the theorem show that the free product $R = U(F_1) *_U(H) U(F_2)$ makes sense and embeds KG . But R is a free ideal ring [2] and so has a universal field of fractions $U(R)$. Thus $KG \subseteq U(R)$. \square

Unfortunately, $U(R)$ need not be fully inverting for KG . Indeed KG need not have a fully inverting embedding. For Dunwoody [4] has shown that if $G = \langle a, b; a^2 = b^3 \rangle$, then KG has a nonfree finitely generated projective module P . Such a ring has a full matrix which is not invertible in any field which embeds it: let M be a free module of least rank such that $M = P_1 \oplus P$. The projection $M \rightarrow P$ is given by an idempotent matrix μ which is not the identity. Thus μ does not become invertible in any overfield. However, μ is full. For otherwise $P \subseteq N$, a submodule of M with fewer generators. Since P is a direct summand of M , it is a direct summand of N , and hence needs fewer generators than M , contradicting the minimality of the rank of M .

V. An example. We now construct a nonfree embedding of a free group algebra in a field.

Let F be the free group $F_1 * F_2$ where F_1 is free on z and F_2 is free on x and w . We embed kF_i in $R_i = U(F_i)$. In R_1 we choose a K -basis $\{1\} \cup S_1$ such that $\{(1+z)^{-1}, z^i; i = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\} \subset S_1$ and in R_2 we choose a K -basis $\{1\} \cup S_2$ with $F_2 \setminus \{1\} \subset S_2$. Let $b = (1+z)^{-1}$. In $R = R_1 *_K R_2$ let T' be the set of elements $r = f_1 b f_2 b \cdots f_n b f_{n+1}$ where $f_i \in F$ is a reduced word which neither begins or ends with $z^{\pm 1}$ for $i = 2, \dots, n-1, f_1 = 1$ or f_1 does not end in $z^{\pm 1}, f_2 = 1$ or f_2 does not begin with $z^{\pm 1}$. We extend the length function l of F to a length function on $T = T' \cup F \setminus \{1\}$ by declaring $l(r) = n + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} l(f_i)$. It is clear that a set of elements of T that are spelled differently is left K -independent. We embed R in its universal field $U(R)$. In $U(R)$ we may choose a basis $\{1\} \cup S$ with $T \subset S$. Let $u = bw(1+x)$, and let $Q = \text{Div}_{U(R)}(k[u])$. We claim that in $U(R)$ the set F is left Q -independent.

We first note that Q is the field of right quotients of $k[u]$ so that a set is left Q -independent if and only if it is $k[u]$ -independent. So we need only show that F is left $k[u]$ -independent. We note next that bw and $bwxf$ freely generate a free subalgebra of $U(R)$. Let then f_α be distinct elements of F and suppose that there are polynomials $p_\alpha(u)$, not all zero with $\sum p_\alpha(u)f_\alpha = 0$. Choose α such that $p_\alpha(u)$ has maximal u degree, say n , and f_α has maximal length among the f_β for which $p_\beta(u)$ has degree n . Two cases arise.

1. f_α does not begin with x^{-1} . Then $p_\alpha(u)f_\alpha$ gives rise to a term $t = (bwxf_\alpha)^{n-1}bwxf_\alpha$. This term has length $4n + l(f_\alpha)$ and has degree n on b . Also it is clear that this length is maximal among the monomials in the expansion of $\sum p_\alpha(u)f_\alpha$ which have degree n on b . So since the sum vanishes, for some $\beta \neq \alpha$, $p_\beta(u)$ has degree n and the term t also appears in the expansion of $u^n f_\beta$. Since f_α had maximal length, this forces $f_\alpha = f_\beta$, a contradiction.

2. We may then assume that f_α starts with x^{-1} . Now $p_\alpha(u)f_\alpha$ gives rise to a term $(bwxf_\alpha)^{n-1}bwf_\alpha$ of length $4n + l(f_\alpha) - 1$, and this is the only term of this length in the expansion of $p_\alpha(u)f_\alpha$ (since all other terms in the expansion of $p_\alpha(u)f_\alpha$ either end with x or are too short). It is again easy to see that this implies that $f_\beta = f_\alpha$ for some $\alpha \neq \beta$, and this contradiction proves the claim.

We now provide ourselves with another copy $U(R)'$ of $U(R)$ and consider the free product $V = U(R) * U(R)'$ amalgamating Q with Q' . Then the group words on the letters z, x, w, z', x', w' are left Q -independent. and hence K -independent. Thus the group G generated by these letters is free on them and the K -algebra generated by G in $U(V)$ is the group algebra KG . Now V is still a free ideal ring [2] and hence we may embed V in $U(V)$. Clearly G generates $U(V)$ qua skew fields. However, in $U(V)$,

$$(1 + z)^{-1}w(1 + x) = (1 + z')^{-1}w'(1 + x')$$

so that

$$x = w^{-1}(1 + z)(1 + z')^{-1}w'(1 + x') - 1.$$

Hence $\text{Div}(gp(x, z, w, x', z', w')) = \text{Div}(gp(z, w, x', z', w'))$ and $U(V)$ does not distinguish G from a free factor of G . However, it is clear by looking in a Mal'cev-Neumann embedding of KG that if H_1 and H_2 are distinct subgroups of G , then $\text{Div}_{U(G)}(H_1) \neq \text{Div}_{U(G)}(H_2)$. Thus $G \subseteq U(V)$ is not a free embedding.

REFERENCES

1. G. Baumslag, *Positive one relator groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **156** (1971), 165-183. MR **43** #325.
 2. P. M. Cohn, *Free ideal rings*, J. Algebra **1** (1964), 47-69; correction *ibid.* **6** (1967), 410. MR **28** #5095; **35** #214.
 3.—, *Free rings and their relations*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1971.
 4. M. Dunwoody, *Relation modules*, Soc. **4** (1972), 151-155.

5. I. Hughes, *Division rings of fractions for group rings*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **23** (1970), 181–188. MR **41** #8533.
6. A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *On finitely generated subgroups of a free group*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22** (1969), 209–213. MR **39** #6961.
7. ———, *The subgroups of a free product of two groups with an amalgamated subgroup*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **150** (1970), 227–255. MR **41** #5499.
8. A. Klein, *Involutorial division rings with arbitrary center*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **34** (1972), 38–42.
9. J. Lewin, *A note on zero divisors in group rings*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **31** (1972), 357–359.
10. B. H. Neumann, *On ordered division rings*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **66** (1949), 202–252. MR **11**, 311.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY, SYRACUSE, NEW YORK 13210