RIESZ POINTS OF THE SPECTRUM OF AN ELEMENT IN A SEMISIMPLE BANACH ALGEBRA⁽¹⁾

BY

LYNN D. PEARLMAN

ABSTRACT. Let A be a semisimple Banach algebra with unit element and let S_A denote the socle of A . For an element y in A , let L_y [R_y] denote the operator of left [right] multiplication by y on A . The operational calculus and A. E. Taylor's theory of the ascent $\alpha(T)$ and descent $\delta(T)$ of an operator T on A are used to show that the following conditions on a number λ in the spectrum of an element x in A are all equivalent. (1) λ is a pole of the resolvent mapping $z \rightarrow (z - x)^{-1}$ and the spectral idempotent f , for x at λ is in S_A . (2) $\lambda - x - c$ is invertible in A for some c in the closure of S_A such that $cx = xc$. (3) $\lambda - x$ is invertible modulo the closure of S_A and $0 < \alpha(L_{(\lambda-x)}) = \delta(L_{(\lambda-x)}) < \infty$. (4) $\lambda - x$ is invertible modulo the closure of S_A and $0 < \alpha(R_{(\lambda-x)}) = \delta(R_{(\lambda-x)}) = \alpha(L_{(\lambda-x)}) = \delta(L_{(\lambda-x)}) < \infty$. Such numbers λ are called *Riesz points*. An element x is called a *Riesz element* of A if it is topologically nilpotent modulo the closure of S_A . It is shown that x is a Riesz element if and only if every nonzero number in the spectrum of x is a Riesz point.

Introduction. Previous authors ([4], [14], [7]) have studied poles of the resolvent with finite rank for operators on Banach space. Many of their results are generalized here to study the spectra of elements in a semisimple Banach algebra A .

In §1, the generalized Fredholm theory [3] is outlined. It is then used to give a necessary and sufficient condition for an arbitrary element x in A to have an associated element s in the socle such that $x + s$ is invertible. In §2, numbers which are poles of the resolvent mapping are considered. The theorems from the first two sections are used in §3 to give several characterizations of Riesz points of the spectrum of an element. Finally in §4 the Riesz elements of A , the analogous to well-known Riesz operators on Banach space, are considered.

Presented to the Society, January 26, 1973 under the title *Riesz points of the spectrum*; received by the editors February 23, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46H05; Secondary 47B30, 47A55.

Key words and phrases. Semisimple Banach algebra, Fredholm element socle, ascent, descent, Riesz operator.

(1) Some of the results of this work form a portion of the author's doctoral dissertation directed by B. A. Barnes at the University of Oregon, 1972.

Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

1. The generalized Fredholm theory. Let A be a semisimple Banach algebra with unit element 1. The socle of A is denoted by S_A and the elements of the socle are called *finite*. Let $\pi: A \rightarrow A/\bar{S}_A$ be the quotient homomorphism and let J_A denote the Jacobson radical of the Banach algebra $A/\bar{S}_A$. The pre-image of J_A in A , $\pi^{-1}(J_A)$, is the intersection of all the primitive ideals of A containing S_A . This closed ideal is denoted by I_A and is called the ideal of *inessential elements*. The open semigroup $\Phi_A = \{x \in A: \pi(x) \text{ is invertible in } A/\bar{S}_A\}$ is called the set of *Fredholm elements*. For each two-sided ideal M of A such that $S_A \subseteq M \subseteq I_A$, $\Phi_A = \{x \in A: x + M \text{ is invertible in } A/M\}$ [3]. Then, for all x in Φ_A and y in I_A , x and $x + y$ are in the same component of Φ_A . The set of *minimal idempotents* of A is denoted by E_A . Of course E_A may be empty, in which case $S_A = \{0\}$. The subscripts will be omitted when the algebra A is understood.

For any subset K of A , let $L[K] = \{x \in A: xK = \{0\}\}$ and $R[K] = \{x \in A: Kx = \{0\}\}$. The set $L[K]$ ($R[K]$) is a closed left (right) ideal of A . Since A has no nonzero nilpotent left or right ideals, $L[A] = \{0\} = R[A]$. Hence, for each x in A , $L[xA] = \{y: yx = 0\}$ and $R[Ax] = \{y: xy = 0\}$.

Let K be a right (left) ideal of A contained in S . Any maximal orthogonal set of minimal idempotents in K has the same cardinality denoted by $\theta(K)$ and called the *order* of K . If $n = \theta(K)$ is finite and $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ is a maximal orthogonal set of minimal idempotents contained in K , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n e_i A = K \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^n A e_i = K \right).$$

A right (left) ideal K has finite order n if and only if K is the direct sum of n minimal right (left) ideals of A . If e is an idempotent in S , $\theta(Ae) = \theta(eA) < \infty$. Let $\theta(e)$ be this integer. For proofs see [2, §2]. The following crucial theorem was proved by Barnes in [3].

1.1. Theorem. An element x of A is a Fredholm element if and only if there are idempotents e and f in S such that

$$(1 - f)A = xA, \quad A(1 - e) = Ax, \quad A = Ax \oplus Ae, \quad A = xA \oplus fA.$$

For each x in Φ let $k(x) = \theta(L[xA]) - \theta(R[Ax])$. The integer $k(x)$ is called the *generalized Fredholm index* of x . The mapping $x \mapsto k(x)$ is a continuous function on Φ [3, Theorem 4.1]. Since k is integer valued, it is constant on components of Φ . Hence, for x in Φ and y in I , $k(x + y) = k(x)$. Another important property of the generalized Fredholm index is that it acts as a semigroup homomorphism of Φ into the integers, that is $k(xy) = k(x) + k(y)$ for all x and y in Φ [3, §3].

Let X be a Banach space and let $B(X)$ denote the primitive algebra of bounded operators on X . The socle of $B(X)$ is the ideal $\mathcal{F}(X)$ of operators with finite-

dimensional range. The closed ideal of compact operators contains $\mathcal{F}(X)$ and is contained in the ideal of inessential operators ([8, pp. 278–283] and [6, Theorem 1]). The operators T with closed range, finite nullity $n(T)$, and finite defect $d(T)$ are usually called Fredholm operators. They coincide with the semigroup of Fredholm elements of $B(X)$. In [3, p. 91], Barnes shows that, for a Fredholm operator T , $k(T) = d(T) - n(T)$ which is the usual index of T in Fredholm operator theory.

Schechter shows in his paper [11] that if T is a Fredholm operator of index zero there is an operator U in $\mathcal{F}(X)$ such that $T + U$ is invertible. Examples will be given at the end of this section to show that there are nonprimitive semisimple Banach algebras A containing Fredholm elements x of index zero such that $x + u$ is singular for all u in the socle of A . It is natural to ask: "Which elements of Φ_A can be carried into the invertible elements by adding an element of S ?" To answer this question some facts about primitive ideals of A are needed.

Let Q be a primitive ideal of A . Since Q is closed, A/Q is a primitive Banach algebra with unit element. Hence $S_{A/Q}$ and $\Phi_{A/Q}$ exist. Let $k_{A/Q}$ denote the generalized Fredholm index defined on $\Phi_{A/Q}$ and let $\zeta_Q: A \rightarrow A/Q$ be the quotient homomorphism. For each f in E , $\zeta_Q(f)$ is an idempotent and, if f is not in Q , $\zeta_Q(f)$ is in $E_{A/Q}$ (the set of minimal idempotents of the algebra A/Q) since fA is one dimensional. Then clearly $\zeta_Q(S_A) \subseteq S_{A/Q}$ and, since ζ_Q is continuous, $\zeta_Q(\bar{S}_A) \subseteq \bar{S}_{A/Q}$, where S_A and $S_{A/Q}$ denote the socles of A and A/Q respectively. Hence $\zeta_Q(\Phi_A) \subseteq \Phi_{A/Q}$. Let $I_{A/Q}$ be the ideal of inessential elements of A/Q . Let $\pi_Q: \zeta_Q(A) \rightarrow \zeta_Q(A)/\bar{S}_{\zeta_Q(A)}$ be the quotient homomorphism. Then $\pi_Q(\zeta_Q(I_A))$ is a quasi-regular ideal in $\pi_Q(\zeta_Q(A))$ because $\zeta_Q(\bar{S}_A) \subseteq \bar{S}_{\zeta_Q(A)}$ and so $\zeta_Q(I_A)$ is contained in $I_{A/Q}$. For any minimal idempotent e in E define $L^e = \{x \in A: xA \subseteq A(1 - e)\}$ and $R^e = \{x \in A: Ax \subseteq (1 - e)A\}$. These two ideals are primitive and in [1] Barnes proves that

$$L^e = R^e = L[Ae] = R[eA].$$

1.2. Lemma. If Q is a primitive ideal of A then either S is contained in Q or $Q = L^e$ for each e in $E \setminus Q$.

Proof. Suppose Q is a primitive ideal and S is not contained in Q . Let e be a minimal idempotent which is not in Q . Since $L[L^e]L^e = \{0\} \subseteq Q$, either $L[L^e] \subseteq Q$ or $L^e \subseteq Q$ by [9, 2.2.9]. Now e is in $L[L^e]$ but not in Q so Q contains L^e . Also eQ is a right ideal not containing e so $eQ \neq eA$, and since eA is a minimal right ideal this implies $eQ = \{0\}$. Then $eAQ \subseteq eQ = \{0\}$ so Q is contained in $R[eA] = L^e$.

1.3. Definition. Let $\psi = \{x \in \Phi: k_{A/Q}(\zeta_Q(x)) = 0 \text{ for all primitive ideals } Q\}$.

For each e in E abbreviate and write ζ_e for ζ_{Le} and let k_e denote the generalized Fredholm index on $\Phi_{\zeta_e(A)}$. Using Lemma 1.2 it is clear that $\psi = \{x \in A: k_e(\zeta_e(x)) = 0 \text{ for all } e \in E\}$. Let $\psi_n = \{x \in \psi: n = \theta(R[Ax])\}$ for each integer n . Then ψ is the union of the sets ψ_n .

1.4. Theorem. *For each x in ψ there is an s in S such that $x + s$ is invertible. In particular s can be chosen to be in fAe for finite idempotents e and f such that $L[xA] = Af$ and $R[Ax] = eA$.*

Proof. Let x be in ψ_0 , then $R[Ax] = \{0\}$. By Theorem 1.1, $A = Ax$. If also $L[xA] = \{0\}$ then, by [9, 1.6.9], x is invertible. Choosing $s = 0$ would satisfy the requirements of the theorem. Suppose $L[xA] \neq \{0\}$. Let $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ be a maximal orthogonal set of minimal idempotents in $L[xA]$. Since f_1 is not in L^{f_1} , and $\zeta_{f_1}(f_1)\zeta_{f_1}(x) = \zeta_{f_1}(0)$ it follows that the left ideal $\{\zeta_{f_1}(y): \zeta_{f_1}(yx) = \zeta_{f_1}(0)\} = L[\zeta_{f_1}(x)]$ has nonzero order. The right annihilator of the primitive algebra $\zeta_{f_1}(A)$ is zero and, since $Ax = A$, $\theta(R[\zeta_{f_1}(Ax)]) = 0$. Then

$$k_{f_1}(\zeta_{f_1}(x)) = \theta(L[\zeta_{f_1}(xA)]) - \theta(R[\zeta_{f_1}(Ax)]) \neq 0,$$

a contradiction. Hence $\theta(L[xA]) = \theta(R[Ax]) = 0$ for all x in ψ_0 .

Now suppose q is a nonnegative integer and for all $0 \leq n \leq q$ the theorem is true for all elements x of ψ_n . Let x be in ψ_{q+1} . Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{q+1}\}$ be a maximal orthogonal set of minimal idempotents contained in $R[Ax]$. Let $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m\}$ be a maximal orthogonal set of minimal idempotents in $L[xA]$ for some integer $m \geq 0$. Since e_1 is not in L^{e_1} , $\zeta_{e_1}(e_1)$ is a nonzero element of $R[\zeta_{e_1}(Ax)]$ so this right ideal has positive order. Let $f = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j$. Assume that $f_j A e_1 = \{0\}$ for all $0 \leq j \leq m$. Then $f A e_1 = \{0\}$ and $\zeta_{e_1}(1 - f) = \zeta_{e_1}(1)$. By Theorem 1.1, $(1 - f)A = xA$ and it follows that $\zeta_{e_1}(A) = \zeta_{e_1}(xA)$. Since $\zeta_{e_1}(A)$ is primitive, $\{\zeta_{e_1}(0)\} = L[\zeta_{e_1}(xA)]$, but this implies

$$k_{e_1}(\zeta_{e_1}(x)) = \theta(L[\zeta_{e_1}(xA)]) - \theta(R[\zeta_{e_1}(Ax)]) \neq 0,$$

contradicting the fact that x is in ψ . There must be some $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that $f_j A e_1 \neq \{0\}$. Reorder the $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^m$, if necessary, so that $f_1 A e_1 \neq \{0\}$.

Let t_1 be an element of A such that $f_1 t_1 e_1 \neq 0$. Let $x_1 = x + f_1 t_1 e_1$. Clearly x_1 is in Φ . For each primitive ideal Q of A , $\zeta_Q(f_1 t_1 e_1)$ is a finite element of A/Q so

$$\begin{aligned} k_{A/Q}(\zeta_Q(x_1)) &= k_{A/Q}(\zeta_Q(x) + \zeta_Q(f_1 t_1 e_1)) \\ &= k_{A/Q}(\zeta_Q(x)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then x_1 is in ψ .

Now we will show that x_1 is in ψ_q by showing that $R[Ax_1] = \sum_{i=2}^{q+1} e_i A$. Let y be in $R[Ax_1]$, then $xy = -f_1 t_1 e_1 y$. Multiplying by f_1 on the left we have $0 = f_1 xy = -f_1 t_1 e_1 y = xy$, so at least it is known that $y = \sum_{i=1}^{q+1} e_i y$. Since e_1 is a minimal idempotent and $f_1 t_1 e_1 \neq 0$, $A f_1 t_1 e_1 = A e_1$. Then $e_1 y = 0$ because $\{0\} = A f_1 t_1 e_1 y = A e_1 y$. This shows that $R[Ax_1]$ is contained in $\sum_{i=2}^{q+1} e_i y$. The reverse inclusion is obvious. Similarly it can be shown that $L[x_1 A] = \sum_{j=2}^m A f_j$.

Since x_1 is in ψ_q , we know that $m-1 = q$ and there is an s in $(\sum_{j=2}^{q+1} f_j)A(\sum_{i=2}^{q+1} e_i)$ such that $x_1 + s$ is invertible by the inductive assumption. Then $f_1 t_1 e_1 + s$ is in $(\sum_{j=1}^{q+1} f_j)A(\sum_{i=1}^{q+1} e_i)$ and $x + (f_1 t_1 e_1 + s) = x_1 + s$ is invertible.

1.5. Corollary. *Let N be an ideal of A such that $S \subset N \subset I$. An element x of A is in ψ if and only if there is an element z in N such that $x + z$ is invertible.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that if there is a z in N such that $x + z$ is invertible then x is in ψ . Let Q be any primitive ideal of A . Since $\zeta_Q(z)$ is in $I_{A/Q}$, as discussed earlier, it follows that

$$k_Q(\zeta_Q(x)) = k_Q(\zeta_Q(x) + \zeta_Q(z)) = 0.$$

Therefore x is in ψ .

1.6. Corollary. *If A is a primitive Banach algebra with unit element, $\psi = \{x \in \Phi: k(x) = 0\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.2, $\{0\} = L^e$ for all minimal idempotents e and every non-zero primitive ideal of A contains S . Then if Q is any nonzero primitive ideal of A and x is in Φ , $x + Q$ is invertible in A/Q and so $k_Q(x + Q) = 0$.

The following two examples were developed in [3]. They are used here to illustrate the fact that Corollary 1.6 is not necessarily true if A is not primitive.

1.7. Example. Let Δ be a compact Hausdorff space and let X be a Banach space. Let A be the algebra of continuous functions defined on Δ with values in $B(X)$. The norm of an element f in A is defined by

$$\|f\| = \sup_{y \in \Delta} \|f(y)\|$$

if $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the operator norm on $B(X)$. Then A is a semisimple Banach algebra with unit element. An idempotent e in A is minimal if and only if there is an isolated point y_0 in Δ and a projection E in $B(X)$ with one-dimensional range such that $e(y_0) = E$ and $e(y) = 0$ for all $y \neq y_0$. It follows that S_A is the set of all f in A such that f takes the value zero at all but a finite set of isolated points of Δ and $f(y)$ is an operator with finite-dimensional range for all y in Δ .

An element b of A is Fredholm if and only if $b(y)$ is a Fredholm operator for all y in Δ and is invertible except at perhaps a finite number of isolated points of Δ . For a Fredholm element b of A , $k(b) = \sum_{y \in \Delta} k'(b(y))$, where k' is the usual index on $\Phi_{B(X)}$.

For an e in E_A let y_0 be an isolated point such that $e(y) = 0$ if and only if $y \neq y_0$. Then $L^e = \{f \in A: f(y_0) = 0\}$ and the mapping $f + L^e \mapsto f(y_0)$ is an algebra isomorphism of A/L^e onto $B(X)$. If $b \in A$ is Fredholm of index zero modulo L^e , $b(y_0)$ is a Fredholm operator of index zero in $B(X)$. If b is in ψ , $b(y)$ is invertible if y is not isolated and $b(y)$ is a Fredholm operator of index zero at every point of Δ .

1.8. Example. For a Banach space X assume that η is an index set and $\{X_\mu: \mu \in \eta\}$ is a collection of closed subspaces of X with the following properties:

$$(a) \quad X_\alpha \cap \left(\overline{\sum_{\mu \in \eta, \mu \neq \alpha} X_\mu} \right) = \{0\} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \eta,$$

$$(b) \quad \left(\overline{\sum_{\mu \in \eta} X_\mu} \right) = X.$$

We define A to be the algebra of all operators T in $B(X)$ such that T is invariant on each X_μ for all $\mu \in \eta$. Then A is a semisimple closed subalgebra of $B(X)$ containing the identity and $S_A = \mathcal{F}(X) \cap A$, where $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is the ideal of operators with finite-dimensional range. For each $\alpha \in \eta$ let Y_α be the set of bounded linear functionals f_α on X_α such that

$$f_\alpha(X_\mu) = \{0\} \quad \text{for all } \mu \neq \alpha.$$

For any $0 \neq u_\alpha$ in X_α such that $f_\alpha(u_\alpha) = 1$, let $E: X \rightarrow X$ be defined $E(x) = f_\alpha(x)u_\alpha$. Then E is a minimal idempotent of A and every minimal idempotent in A arises in this way. An operator U is in S_A if and only if U vanishes on all but finitely many of the subspaces X_μ and U is in $\mathcal{F}(X)$. If T is a Fredholm element there exist operators R in A and U and V in S_A such that $TR = I - U$ and $RT = I - V$. Then the restriction of T to any of the subspaces X_μ , T_μ , is a Fredholm operator on X_μ and T_μ is invertible on X_μ for all but perhaps finitely many μ in η . The generalized index of T is given by $k(T) = \sum_{\mu \in \eta} k_\mu(T_\mu)$, where k_μ is the Fredholm index on $\Phi_{B(X_\mu)}$ for all μ in η . Let $E = f_\alpha(\cdot)u_\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \eta$ be a minimal idempotent of A as constructed above. The ideal $L^E = R[EA] = L[AE] = \{T \in A: T(X_\alpha) = \{0\}\}$. Then the mapping $(T + L^E) \rightarrow T_\alpha$ is an algebra isomorphism of A/L^E onto $B(X_\alpha)$. If T is Fredholm of index zero modulo L^E , then T_α is a Fredholm operator of index zero in $B(X_\alpha)$. For each T in ψ_A , T_μ is

a Fredholm operator of index zero in $B(X_\mu)$ for all μ in η , and T_μ is invertible in $B(X_\mu)$ for all but perhaps finitely many μ in η .

1.9. Example. Let $l^2(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ denote the square summable sequences. Let H be the Hilbert space direct sum $H = l^2(\mathbb{Z}^+) \oplus l^2(\mathbb{Z}^+)$. Let A be the subalgebra of $B(H)$ leaving each of the two direct summands invariant, constructed as in Example 1.8. For two operators U and W in $B(l^2(\mathbb{Z}^+))$ define $U \oplus W(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty, \{y_j\}_{j=1}^\infty) = (U\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty, W\{y_j\}_{j=1}^\infty)$. Then $U \oplus W$ is in A . Define T , F and P in $B(l^2(\mathbb{Z}^+))$ as follows:

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots),$$

$$F(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_2, x_3, \dots),$$

$$P(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_1, 0, 0, \dots).$$

Let I be the identity element of $B(H)$. Then

$$(T \oplus F)(F \oplus T) = I - (P \oplus 0) \quad \text{and} \quad (F \oplus T)(T \oplus F) = I - (0 \oplus P).$$

The operators $(0 \oplus P)$ and $(P \oplus 0)$ are in E_A and

$$L[(T \oplus F)A] = A(P \oplus 0), \quad R[A(T \oplus F)] = (0 \oplus P)A.$$

Hence $T \oplus F$ is in Φ_A and $k_A(T \oplus F) = 1 - 1 = 0$. Suppose there is a V in S_A such that $(T \oplus F) - V$ is invertible in A . Let V_1 and V_2 be the restrictions of V to the first and second coordinate spaces respectively. Then $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ and V_1 and V_2 are in $B(l^2(\mathbb{Z}^+))$. Since $(T \oplus F) - V = (T - V_1) \oplus (F - V_2)$ is invertible, each of the operators $T - V_1$ and $F - V_2$ is invertible in $B(l^2(\mathbb{Z}^+))$. Clearly V_1 and V_2 are in the socle of $B(l^2(\mathbb{Z}^+))$. Since the index is invariant on components of $\Phi_{B(l^2(\mathbb{Z}^+)}}$,

$$0 = k_{B(l^2(\mathbb{Z}^+)}}(T - V_1) = k_{B(l^2(\mathbb{Z}^+)}}(T) = 1$$

and

$$0 = k_{B(l^2(\mathbb{Z}^+)}}(F - V_2) = k_{B(l^2(\mathbb{Z}^+)}}(F) = -1.$$

Hence $T \oplus F$ is an element of Φ_A with index zero which cannot be carried into the invertible elements by adding an element of S_A .

1.10. Example. Let Δ be a compact Hausdorff space containing exactly two isolated points y_1 and y_2 . Let H , T and F be as in Example 1.9. Let A be the algebra of continuous functions on Δ with values in $B(H)$ constructed in Example 1.7. Define

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } y = y_1, \\ F & \text{if } y = y_2, \\ I & \text{if } y \neq y_i \ (i = 1, 2). \end{cases}$$

Clearly f is in Φ_A and $k_A(f) = k'(T) + k'(F) = 1 - 1 = 0$. Suppose there is an element u in S_A such that $f + u$ is invertible. Then $(f + u)(y)$ is invertible and $u(y)$ is in $\mathcal{F}(l^2(\mathbb{Z}^+))$ for all y in Δ and $u(y) = 0$ if y is not in $\{y_1, y_2\}$. Since k is constant on components of $\Phi_{B(l^2(\mathbb{Z}^+))}$,

$$0 = k'((f + u)(y_1)) = k'(T + u(y_1)) = k'(T) = 1$$

and

$$0 = k'((f + u)(y_2)) = k'(F + u(y_2)) = k'(F) = -1.$$

We must conclude that no such element u exists. Therefore f is an element of Φ_A of index zero which cannot be carried into the invertible elements by adding a finite element.

2. Poles of the resolvent. Let O be any open subset of $\mathbb{C}$ containing a compact set K . If $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow O$ is a piecewise continuously differentiable mapping, γ is called an *admissible cycle* for (O, K) if

(a) $\gamma[0, 1]$ is contained in $O \setminus K$, and

(b) $W(\gamma, z) = 0$ if z is not in O , and $W(\gamma, z) = 1$ if z is in K ,

where $W(\gamma, z)$ is the winding number of γ at z . For any x in A and z a complex number not in the spectrum of x , $\text{sp}(x)$, define $R_z(x)$ to be the inverse of $z - x$. The function $z \mapsto R_z(x)$, called the *resolvent mapping* for x , is analytic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{sp}(x)$. If O is an open set containing $\text{sp}(x)$ and γ is an admissible cycle for $(O, \text{sp}(x))$ define

$$f_\gamma(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma f(z) R_z(x) dz,$$

for each complex-valued function f analytic on O . If γ_1 and γ_2 are both admissible cycles for $(O, \text{sp}(x))$, one can use the general Cauchy theorem to show that $f_{\gamma_1}(x) = f_{\gamma_2}(x)$. We will write $f(x)$ to denote this element of A .

If f and g are complex-valued analytic functions in a neighborhood U of $\text{sp}(x)$ and g is a complex-valued function analytic in an open set V containing $\text{sp}(f(x))$ then $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$. The proofs of these facts can be easily generalized from the usual operational calculus where A is $B(X)$ for some Banach space X ; see [5].

2.1. A construction. Let x be an element of A . In the special case that $\text{sp}(x)$ contains an isolated point λ , we use the operational calculus to show necessary and sufficient conditions that λ is a pole of the resolvent mapping $z \mapsto R_z(x)$. The following construction will be used repeatedly in our arguments.

Let r be a positive number such that

$$\{z: |z - \lambda| \leq 2r\} \cap \text{sp}(x) = \{\lambda\}.$$

For each integer $m \leq -1$ let

$$f_m(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |z - \lambda| > r, \\ (z - \lambda)^{-(m+1)} & \text{if } |z - \lambda| < r. \end{cases}$$

For each integer $m \geq 0$ let

$$f_m(z) = \begin{cases} (z - \lambda)^{-(m+1)} & \text{if } |z - \lambda| > r, \\ 0 & \text{if } |z - \lambda| < r. \end{cases}$$

For all integers m , $f_m(z)$ is a function analytic in the open set

$$U = \{z: |z - \lambda| < r \text{ or } |z - \lambda| > r\}$$

which contains $\text{sp}(x)$. For any z in U ,

- (a) $(z - \lambda)f_{m+1}(z) = f_m(z)$ for $m \geq 0$,
- (b) $(z - \lambda)f_m(z) = f_{m-1}(z)$ for $m \leq -1$,
- (c) $(z - \lambda)f_0(z) = 1 - f_{-1}(z)$,
- (d) $(z - \lambda)^{-(m+1)}f_{-1}(z) = f_m(z)$ for $m \leq -1$.

Using the operational calculus defined above we have the following relations:

- (a) $(x - \lambda)f_{m+1}(x) = f_m(x)$ for $m \geq 0$,
- (b) $(x - \lambda)f_m(x) = f_{m-1}(x)$ for $m \leq -1$,
- (c) $(x - \lambda)f_0(x) = 1 - f_{-1}(x)$,
- (d) $(x - \lambda)^{-(m+1)}f_{-1}(x) = f_m(x)$ for $m \leq -1$.
- (e) $f_{-1}(x)$ is a nonzero idempotent.

For $0 < |z - \lambda| < r$ we have the Laurent expansion

$$R_z(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} -(z - \lambda)^k f_k(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (z - \lambda)^{-k} f_{-k}(x);$$

see [12, p. 305]. The nonzero idempotent $f_{-1}(x)$ is called the *spectral idempotent* for x at λ . If p is a positive integer and $f_{-p-1}(x) = 0$ but $f_{-p}(x) \neq 0$, then λ is called a *pole of $R_x(x)$ of order p* . If in addition, $f_{-1}(x)$ is a finite element with $\theta(f_{-1}(x)) = n < \infty$, then the pole λ is said to have finite rank n .

For any bounded operator T on A , define $\alpha(T)$, the *ascent* of T , to be the smallest nonnegative integer n such that

$$\{y \in A: T^{n+1}y = 0\} = \{y \in A: T^n y = 0\},$$

or $+\infty$ if no such n exists. Define $\delta(T)$, the *descent* of T , to be the smallest nonnegative integer m such that

$$\{T^{m+1}y: y \in A\} = \{T^m y: y \in A\},$$

or $+\infty$ if no such m exists. For any element x in A let L_x and R_x denote the left and right multiplication operators of x on A , and define

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_r(x) &= \alpha(R_x), & \delta_r(x) &= \delta(R_x), \\ \alpha_l(x) &= \alpha(L_x), & \delta_l(x) &= \delta(L_x). \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Theorem (Taylor [13, Theorems 3.6 and 3.7]). *Let X be a Banach space and let T be in $B(X)$. If $\alpha(T)$ and $\delta(T)$ are both finite, then $\alpha(T) = \delta(T)$. Let $q = \alpha(T) = \delta(T)$. Then*

$$\{x \in X: T^q x = 0\} \cap \{T^q y: y \in X\} = \{0\}$$

and

$$\{x \in X: T^q x = 0\} \oplus \{T^q y: y \in X\} = X.$$

Applying this theorem to the case of operators of left and right multiplication on a semisimple Banach algebra A we have the following useful corollary.

2.3. Corollary. *If $\alpha_l(x)$ and $\delta_l(x)$ are both finite, then they are equal and for $p = \alpha_l(x) = \delta_l(x)$ we have*

$$(a) \ x^p A \cap R[Ax^p] = \{0\} \text{ and } R[Ax^p] \oplus x^p A = A.$$

If $\alpha_r(x)$ and $\delta_r(x)$ are both finite, then they are equal and for $m = \alpha_r(x) = \delta_r(x)$ we have

$$(b) \ Ax^m \cap L[x^m A] = \{0\} \text{ and } L[x^m A] \oplus Ax^m = A.$$

2.4. Lemma. *If λ is a pole of $R_x(x)$ of order p and if $f_{-1}(x)$ is the spectral idempotent for x at λ , then*

$$(a) \ p = \alpha_l(\lambda - x) = \delta_l(\lambda - x) = \alpha_r(\lambda - x) = \delta_r(\lambda - x),$$

$$(b) \ (1 - f_{-1}(x))A = (\lambda - x)^p A,$$

$$(c) \ A(1 - f_{-1}(x)) = A(\lambda - x)^p,$$

$$(d) \ \{0\} \neq R[A(\lambda - x)^p] = f_{-1}(x)A,$$

$$(e) \ \{0\} \neq L[(\lambda - x)^p A] = Af_{-1}(x),$$

$$(f) \ (\lambda - x)^p A \text{ and } A(\lambda - x)^p \text{ are closed,}$$

$$(g) \ (\lambda - x)^p A \oplus R[A(\lambda - x)^p] = A,$$

$$(h) \ A(\lambda - x)^p \oplus L[(\lambda - x)^p A] = A.$$

Proof. For each integer n construct $f_n(x)$ for x at λ as in (2.1). Since $f_{-1}(x)$ is an idempotent

$$(1) \quad A = (1 - f_{-1}(x))A \oplus f_{-1}(x)A,$$

$$(2) \quad A = A(1 - f_{-1}(x)) \oplus Af_{-1}(x),$$

and each of these one-sided ideals is closed. For each $n \geq p$, $0 = f_{-n-1}(x) = (x - \lambda)^n f_{-1}(x)$ so we have $f_{-1}(x)$ contained in $R[A(\lambda - x)^n]$. If y is in $R[A(\lambda - x)^n]$ for some positive integer n ,

$$0 = f_{n-1}(x)(\lambda - x)^n y = (\lambda - x)f_0(x)y = (1 - f_{-1}(x))y.$$

Hence $R[A(\lambda - x)^n]$ is contained in $f_{-1}(x)A$ for all positive integers n . Then $R[A(\lambda - x)^n] = f_{-1}(x)A$ for all $n \geq p$ and $\alpha_r(\lambda - x) = p$ since $0 \neq f_{-p}(x) = (x - \lambda)^{p-1} f_{-1}(x)$. Similarly, $L[(\lambda - x)^n A] = Af_{-1}(x)$ for all $n \geq p$ and $\alpha_l(\lambda - x) = p$. This proves (d) and (e).

To see that $(1 - f_{-1}(x))A$ is contained in $(\lambda - x)^p A$, compute $1 - f_{-1}(x) = (x - \lambda)f_0(x) = (x - \lambda)^p f_{p-1}(x)$. If $z = (\lambda - x)^p y$ for some y in A then, using (1),

$$z = (1 - f_{-1}(x))(\lambda - x)^p y + f_{-1}(x)(\lambda - x)^p y = (1 - f_{-1}(x))z.$$

Therefore $(1 - f_{-1}(x))A = (\lambda - x)^p A$. Similarly $A(\lambda - x)^p = A(1 - f_{-1}(x))$. Now (b) and (c) are proved and they imply (f). Using (1), (b), and (d) we have (g), and using (2), (c) and (e) we have (h). Since

$$(\lambda - x)^{2p} A = (\lambda - x)^p (1 - f_{-1}(x))A = (1 - f_{-1}(x))^2 A = (\lambda - x)^p A,$$

we conclude $\delta_l(x) \leq p$. By Corollary 2.3, $\delta_l(x) = p$. Similarly $\delta_r(x) = p$. This proves (a).

2.5. Definition. Let n be a positive integer and g a nonzero idempotent in A . We will say that an element y of A generates an (n, g, R) -decomposition of the algebra A if

- (a) $gy = yg$,
- (b) $R[Ay^n] = gA$, and
- (c) $y^n A \oplus gA = A$.

Similarly, an element y generates an (n, g, L) -decomposition of A if

- (d) $gy = yg$,
- (e) $L[y^n A] = Ag$, and
- (f) $Ay^n \oplus Ag = A$.

2.6. Lemma. Let x be in A and let λ be a complex number. Assume that p is a positive integer, g is a nonzero idempotent in A , $(\lambda - x)$ generates a (p, g, R) -decomposition of A and p is the smallest positive integer for which this is true. Then:

- (a) $(\lambda - x)^p A = (1 - g)A$,
- (b) $p = \alpha_l(\lambda - x) = \delta_l(\lambda - x)$,
- (c) λ is a pole of $R_x(x)$ of order p ,
- (d) g is the spectral idempotent for x at λ .

Proof. Clearly $(1 - g)(\lambda - x)^p = (\lambda - x)^p = (\lambda - x)^p(1 - g)$, and hence (a) is true. Then $(\lambda - x)^p A = (\lambda - x)^p(1 - g)A = (\lambda - x)^{2p} A$ and so we have $\delta_l(\lambda - x) \leq p$.

Assume that $(\lambda - x)^p z \neq 0$ but $(\lambda - x)^{p+1} z = 0$. Let $y = (\lambda - x)^p z$. Then $(\lambda - x)^p y = 0$ since $p \geq 1$. Hence y is in $gA \cap (\lambda - x)^p A = \{0\}$, a contradiction. This shows that $\alpha_l(\lambda - x) \leq p$.

Let $B = (1 - g)A(1 - g)$. B is a semisimple Banach algebra with unit element $(1 - g)$. To see that $(\lambda - x)(1 - g)$ is an invertible element in B , use [9, Theorem 1.6.9] and the two following computations:

$$\begin{aligned} B &= (\lambda - x)^p A(1 - g) = (\lambda - x)^{p+1} A(1 - g) \\ &= (\lambda - x)(1 - g)A(1 - g) = (\lambda - x)B \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
R_B[B(\lambda - x)] &= \{y \in A: (1 - g)y(1 - g) = y \text{ and } B(\lambda - x)y = \{0\}\} \\
&\subseteq \{y \in A: gy = 0 \text{ and } (1 - g)(\lambda - x)^p y = 0\} \\
&= \{y \in A: gy = 0 \text{ and } (\lambda - x)^p y = 0\} \\
&= (1 + g)A \cap gA = \{0\}
\end{aligned}$$

where, for any subset W of B , $R_B[W] = \{z \in B: Wz = \{0\}\}$.

Since $0 = (\lambda - x)^p g = ((\lambda - x)g)^p$, the spectral radius in A of the element $(\lambda - x)g$ is zero and hence $\text{sp}_A((\lambda - x)g) = \{0\}$. Since $g \neq 0$, $\{0\} = \text{sp}_A((\lambda - x)g) = \{0\} \cup \text{sp}((\lambda - x)g)$ [9, p. 35]. Then $\text{sp}_{gAg}(xg)$ is just $\{\lambda\}$.

It is easy to check that $\text{sp}_A(x) = \text{sp}_B(x(1 - g)) \cup \text{sp}_{gAg}(xg)$. We know that $\text{sp}_B((1 - g)x)$ is compact and does not contain λ . Hence λ is an isolated point of $\text{sp}_A(x)$.

For each integer m , construct $f_m(x)$ at λ as in 2.1. Let $z \mapsto P_z(x(1 - g))$ be the resolvent mapping for $x(1 - g)$ in the subalgebra B . For each $z \notin \text{sp}_B(x(1 - g))$,

$$(1 - g) = P_z(x(1 - g))(z - x)(1 - g) = (z - x)(1 - g)P_z(x(1 - g)).$$

Then, if z is not in $\text{sp}_A(x)$, $P_z(x(1 - g)) = (1 - g)R_z(x) = R_z(x)(1 - g)$. The mapping $z \mapsto P_z(x(1 - g))$ is analytic on $\{z: |z - \lambda| < 2r\}$ if r is a positive number such that $\{z: |z - \lambda| < 2r\} \cap \text{sp}_A(x) = \{\lambda\}$. Then, by Cauchy's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z - \lambda| = r} P_z(x(1 - g)) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z - \lambda| = r} R_z(x)(1 - g) dz \\
&= (1 - g)f_{-1}(x) = f_{-1}(x)(1 - g).
\end{aligned}$$

We see that $f_{-1}(x) = f_{-1}(x)g = gf_{-1}(x)$. Then $f_{-p-1}(x) = (\lambda - x)^p f_{-1}(x) = 0$. Hence λ is a pole of $R_x(x)$ of order less than or equal to p .

Now let $m \leq p$ be the order of the pole of $R_x(x)$ at λ . By Lemma 2.4, $(1 - f_{-1}(x))A = (\lambda - x)^m A$ and $R[A(\lambda - x)^m] = f_{-1}(x)A$. Then since $f_{-1}(x)$ commutes with x and $m \geq 1$, $(\lambda - x)$ generates an $(m, f_{-1}(x), R)$ -decomposition of A , but p is the smallest such integer so $p = m$. This proves (c). Then (b) follows from Lemma 2.4. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
g(1 - f_{-1}(x)) &= g(x - \lambda)f_0(x) = g(x - \lambda)^p f_{p-1}(x) \\
&= 0 \cdot f_{p-1}(x) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

we see that $f = f_{-1}(x)g = f_{-1}(x)$. This proves (d).

2.7. Theorem. Let x be in A . For a positive integer p and a complex number λ these conditions are equivalent:

(a) λ is a pole of $R_x(x)$ of order p .

(b) *There is a nonzero idempotent g in A such that $(\lambda - x)$ generates a (p, g, R) -decomposition of A , and p is the smallest positive integer such that this is true for x and λ .*

(c) *There is a nonzero idempotent b in A such that $(\lambda - x)$ generates a (p, b, L) -decomposition of A , and p is the smallest positive integer such that this is true for x and λ .*

Proof. Conditions (a) and (b) are equivalent by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5. By Lemma 2.4 we know that (a) implies (c). The proof that (c) implies (a) is similar to that given for Lemma 2.5.

3. Riesz points of the spectrum. The singularities we are about to discuss are analogous to those considered by Lay in [7] and by West in [14]. They are especially easy to handle algebraically and will be important in the work taken up in §4.

3.1. Definition. For x in A , a number λ in $\text{sp}(x)$ is called a *Riesz point* of $\text{sp}(x)$ if and only if λ is a pole of $R_x(x)$ of finite rank.

3.2. Lemma. *If λ is in $\text{sp}(x)$, λ is a Riesz point of $\text{sp}(x)$ if and only if there is a positive integer p and an idempotent $g \neq 0$ in S_A such that $(\lambda - x)$ generates a (p, g, R) -decomposition of A or, equivalently, $(\lambda - x)$ generates a (p, g, L) -decomposition of A . For such numbers λ , $(\lambda - x)$ is a Fredholm element of index zero.*

Proof. Suppose λ is a Riesz point of $\text{sp}(x)$. Let p be the order of the pole of $R_x(x)$ at λ and let f denote the spectral idempotent for x at λ . By Lemma 2.4, $R[A(\lambda - x)^p] = fA$ and $L[(\lambda - x)^p A] = Af$. Since f is finite, $\theta(L[(\lambda - x)^p A]) = \theta(f) = \theta(R[A(\lambda - x)^p]) < \infty$. Hence $\lambda - x$ is a Fredholm element of index zero. By Theorem 2.7, the element $\lambda - x$ generates a (p, g, R) -decomposition of A and a (p, b, L) -decomposition for some nonzero idempotents g and b and, by the preceding remarks, g and b must be finite.

Conversely, if $\lambda - x$ generates a (p, g, R) -decomposition for some $p \geq 1$ and some finite idempotent g then, by Theorem 2.7, λ is a pole of $R_x(x)$ of order p . Again let f denote the spectral idempotent for x at λ . By Lemma 2.4, $fA = R[A(\lambda - x)^p]$ which is a right ideal of finite order. Hence f is in S_A and λ is a Riesz point. If $\lambda - x$ generates a (p, b, L) -decomposition for some $p \geq 1$ and non-zero finite idempotent b , the proof that λ is a Riesz point is similar.

3.3. Lemma. *Let N be a closed two-sided ideal of A and let $\phi: A \rightarrow A/N$ be the quotient homomorphism. For each x in A , if $f(z)$ is a complex-valued function analytic on an open set containing $\text{sp}(x)$ then $\phi(f(x)) = f(\phi(x))$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show $\text{sp}_A(x) \subseteq \text{sp}_{A/N}(x+N)$. Let W be an open set containing $\text{sp}_A(x)$ and let γ be an admissible cycle for $(W, \text{sp}(x))$. Then γ is an admissible cycle for $(W, \text{sp}_{A/N}(x+N))$. If z is not in $\text{sp}_{A/N}(x+N)$ let $P_z(\phi(x)) = P_z(x+N) = \phi(z-x)^{-1}$. Then, if $z \notin \text{sp}_A(x)$, $P_z(x+N) = \phi(R_z(x))$. Compute

$$\begin{aligned} f(\phi(x)) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) P_z(x+N) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) (\phi(R_z(x))) dz \\ &= \phi \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) R_z(x) dz \right) = \phi(f(x)), \end{aligned}$$

since the quotient mapping ϕ is continuous.

3.4. Lemma. *If λ is a Riesz point of $\text{sp}(x)$ and N is a closed two-sided ideal of A such that A/N is semisimple, either $\lambda - x$ is invertible modulo N or λ is a Riesz point in $\text{sp}_{A/N}(x+N)$.*

Proof. For N such a closed ideal of A , $\phi: A \rightarrow A/N$ is the quotient homomorphism. If $\phi(\lambda - x)$ is not invertible λ is an isolated point of $\text{sp}_{A/N}(\phi(x)) \subseteq \text{sp}_A(x)$. For each integer m construct the function $f_m(z)$ for x at λ as in §2.1. Since $f_{-1}(x)$ is in S_A , $\phi(f_{-1}(x))$ is in $S_{A/N}$. By Lemma 3.3, $f_m(\phi(x)) = \phi(f_m(x))$ for all m , so $f_{-1}(\phi(x))$ is in $S_{A/N}$. If p is the order of the pole of $R_z(x)$ at λ ,

$$\phi(0) = \phi(f_{-p-1}(x)) = f_{-p-1}(\phi(x)).$$

Let $P_z(\phi(x)) = (\phi(z-x))^{-1}$ for all $z \notin \text{sp}_{A/N}(\phi(x))$. Then λ is a pole of $z \rightarrow P_z(\phi(x))$ of order less than or equal to p and rank less than or equal to $\theta(f_{-1}(x))$. Hence λ is a Riesz point of $\text{sp}_{A/N}(\phi(x))$.

3.5. Theorem. *Let λ be a Riesz point of $\text{sp}(x)$ and let f be the spectral idempotent for x at λ . Then f is in S_A and $\lambda - x - f$ is invertible in A .*

Proof. Let p be the order of the pole of $R_z(x)$ at λ . By definition of a Riesz point, f is in S_A and it is a nonzero element of $R[A(\lambda - x)^p] \cap L[(\lambda - x)^p A]$. Suppose $\lambda - x - f$ is not invertible. It is at least Fredholm (of index zero) by Lemma 3.2. There is a minimal idempotent b in A such that either

$$b(\lambda - x - f) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (\lambda - x - f)b = 0,$$

by [3, Theorem 2.3]. Assume $b(\lambda - x - f) = 0$. Then $b(\lambda - x) = bf$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= b(\lambda - x)^p f = bf(\lambda - x)^{p-1} f = b(\lambda - x)^{p-1} f \\ &= b(\lambda - x)^{p-2} f = \dots = bf. \end{aligned}$$

Then $0 = b(\lambda - x)$ and so b is in $L[(\lambda - x)A] \subseteq L[(\lambda - x)^p A] = Af$. Hence $b = bf = 0$, which is a contradiction.

3.6. Definition. Let

$$\Phi_l^R = \{x \in \Phi_A : \alpha_l(x) = \delta_l(x) < \infty\},$$

$$\Phi_r^R = \{x \in \Phi_A : \alpha_r(x) = \delta_r(x) < \infty\},$$

$$\Phi^R = \{x \in \Phi_A : \alpha_r(x) = \delta_r(x) = \alpha_l(x) = \delta_l(x) < \infty\}.$$

Using the theory developed in this section we will soon show that these three sets are equal.

3.7. Theorem. If x is an element of A and λ is any complex number such that $\lambda - x$ is in Φ_l^R or Φ_r^R , then either $\lambda - x$ is invertible or λ is a Riesz point of $\text{sp}(x)$.

Proof. Assume λ is in $\text{sp}(x)$ and that $\lambda - x$ is in Φ_l^R . Let $n = \alpha_l(\lambda - x) = \delta_l(\lambda - x) < \infty$. Since $\lambda - x$ is a Fredholm element so is $(\lambda - x)^n$. Let g and b be idempotents in S_A such that $A(1 - g) = A(\lambda - x)^n$ and $(1 - b)A = (\lambda - x)^n A$. Then

$$R[A(\lambda - x)^n] = gA \quad \text{and} \quad L[(\lambda - x)^n A] = Ab.$$

By Corollary 2.3,

$$gA \cap (\lambda - x)^n A = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad A = (\lambda - x)^n A \oplus gA.$$

Hence

$$gA \cap (1 - b)A = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad A = (1 - b)A \oplus gA.$$

Each of the summands is closed because g and b are idempotents.

Since A is a Banach algebra, there exist projections P_1 and P_2 in $B(A)$ such that

$$P_1(gz + (1 - b)y) = gz \quad \text{and} \quad P_2(gz + (1 - b)y) = (1 - b)y$$

for all z and y in A . Since $(\lambda - x)^{n+1}A = (\lambda - x)^n A$ we see that $(\lambda - x)(1 - b)A = (1 - b)A$. In particular, $(\lambda - x)(1 - b) = (1 - b)(\lambda - x)(1 - b)$. Then $(1 - b)A$ is invariant under $L_{(\lambda - x)}$, the operator of left multiplication by $\lambda - x$ on A . Also

$$(\lambda - x)gA = (\lambda - x)R[A(\lambda - x)^{n+1}] = \{(\lambda - x)y : (\lambda - x)^{n+1}y = 0\}$$

$$\subseteq R[A(\lambda - x)^n] = gA.$$

So gA is also invariant under $L_{(\lambda - x)}$. Then $P_1 L_{(\lambda - x)} = L_{(\lambda - x)} P_1$ and $P_2 L_{(\lambda - x)} = L_{(\lambda - x)} P_2$. If there is a y in A such that $0 = (\lambda - x)^n(1 - b)y$, then $(1 - b)y$ is in $R[A(\lambda - x)^n] = gA$. We have shown that $(1 - b)A \cap gA = \{0\}$, so $(1 - b)y$ must be 0. Hence $L_{(\lambda - x)^n} : (1 - b)A \rightarrow (1 - b)A$ is a bijection. There is an operator K in $B((1 - b)A)$ such that

$$L_{(\lambda-x)^n} K((1-b)x) = (1-b)x = KL_{(\lambda-x)^n} ((1-b)x)$$

for all x in A . Extend K to be identically zero on gA . Then $L_{(\lambda-x)^n} KP_2 = KL_{(\lambda-x)^n} P_2 = P_2$.

Let $B = \{T \text{ in } B(A) : P_1 T = TP_1 \text{ and } P_2 T = TP_2\}$, i.e. the subalgebra of operators having $(1-b)A$ and gA as invariant subspaces. B is a semisimple Banach algebra with unit I and with the inherited norm topology. Notice that $L_{(\lambda-x)}$, L_x , K , P_1 , and P_2 are all in B .

Now clearly $P_1 B$ is contained in $R_B[B(\lambda I - L_x)^n]$. If T is in $R_B[B(\lambda I - L_x)^n]$ and if $P_2 T$ is not zero there is a nonzero z in A such that $0 \neq P_2 T(z) = P_2 TP_2(z)$. For some y in A , $P_2 TP_2(z) = (\lambda - x)^n y \neq 0$. But

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\lambda I - L_x)^n TP_2(z) = (\lambda I - L_x)^n P_2 TP_2(z) \\ &= (\lambda - x)^n (\lambda - x)^n y = (\lambda - x)^{2n} y. \end{aligned}$$

Then $0 \neq (\lambda - x)^n y \in R[A(\lambda - x)^n] \cap (\lambda - x)^n A = \{0\}$, a contradiction. Hence, for all T in $R_B[B(\lambda I - L_x)^n]$, $0 = P_2 T = (I - P_1)T$ so we see $R_B[B(\lambda I - L_x)^n] = P_1 B$. For any T in B ,

$$T = P_2 T + P_1 T = L_{(\lambda-x)^n} KP_2 T + P_1 T,$$

which is in $(\lambda I - L_x)^n B \oplus P_1 B \subseteq P_2 B \oplus P_1 B$, so $B = P_1 B \oplus (\lambda I - L_x)^n B$. Hence $(\lambda I - L_x)$ generates an (n, P_1, R) -decomposition of the algebra B . Let p be the smallest positive integer such that $(\lambda I - L_x)$ generates a (p, G, R) -decomposition of B for some nonzero idempotent G in B . By Lemma 2.6, λ is a pole of $R_z(L_x)$ of order p and G is the spectral idempotent for L_x at λ .

Now $\text{sp}_B(L_x) = \text{sp}_{B(A)}(L_x) = \text{sp}(x)$ by [9, Theorem 1.6.9]. The number λ is isolated in this set. For each integer m construct the open set U containing $\text{sp}(x)$ and functions $f_m(z)$ at λ as in (2.1). Let γ be an admissible cycle for $(U, \text{sp}(x))$. For all z not in $\text{sp}(x)$ we know $R_z(L_x) = L_{R_z(x)}$. Then, for each integer m ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_m(L_x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f_m(z) R_z(L_x) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f_m(z) L_{R_z(x)} dz \\ &= L_{((2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\gamma} f_m(z) R_z(x) dz)} = L_{f_m(x)}, \end{aligned}$$

since the mapping $\gamma \rightarrow L_{\gamma}$ from A into $B(A)$ is continuous.

Now $0 \neq f_{-p}(L_x)$ and $f_{-p-1}(L_x) = 0$, so $0 \neq L_{f_{-p}(x)}$ and $L_{f_{-p-1}(x)} = 0$. Then $f_{-p}(x) \neq 0$, and since A is semisimple, $f_{-p-1}(x) = 0$. Hence λ is a pole of $R_z(x)$ of order p . By Theorem 2.7, $n = p$. So, $P_1 = f_{-1}(L_x) = L_{f_{-1}(x)}$. Then

for all y in A , $P_1(y) = f_{-1}(x)y$. Hence $gA = f_{-1}(x)A$. Therefore $f_{-1}(x)$ is in S_A and $\theta(g) = \theta(f_{-1}(x))$. If $\lambda - x$ is a singular element of Φ_r^R the proof that λ is a Riesz point of $\text{sp}(x)$ is similar.

3.8. Corollary. $\Phi_l^R = \Phi^R = \Phi_r^R$.

Proof. Let y be in Φ_l^R . If y is invertible, $0 = \alpha_l(y) = \delta_l(y) = \alpha_r(y) = \delta_r(y)$ so y is in Φ^R . If y is not invertible, 0 is a Riesz point of $\text{sp}(y)$ by Theorem 3.5. Let n be the order of the pole of $R_x(y)$ at 0 . By Lemma 2.4, $n = \alpha_l(y) = \delta_l(y) = \alpha_r(y) = \delta_r(y)$. Hence, $\Phi_l^R \subseteq \Phi^R$. Similarly, $\Phi_r^R \subseteq \Phi^R$. Clearly $\Phi^R \subseteq \Phi_l^R \cap \Phi_r^R$.

3.9. Theorem. For x in A , c in I the ideal of inessential elements of A , and λ in $\text{sp}(x)$ if $xc = cx$ and $\lambda - x - c$ is invertible, then λ is a Riesz point of $\text{sp}(x)$.

Proof. Let $k = -(\lambda - x - c)^{-1}c$. Since $xc = cx$ we have also $k = -c(\lambda - x - c)^{-1}$. Since I is an ideal, k is in I .

$$1 - k = (\lambda - x - c)^{-1}(\lambda - x) = (\lambda - x)(\lambda - x - c)^{-1}.$$

For all integers $m \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} A(1 - k)^m &= A((\lambda - x - c)^{-1}(\lambda - x))^m \\ &= A(\lambda - x - c)^{-m}(\lambda - x)^m = A(\lambda - x)^m. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $(1 - k)^m A = (\lambda - x)^m A$ for all integers $m \geq 0$. Using a theorem of Barnes [2, Theorem 3.3], $\infty > \alpha_l(1 - k) = \delta_l(1 - k) = \alpha_r(1 - k) = \delta_r(1 - k)$. Let this integer be p . Since $(\lambda - x)$ is not invertible, p is greater or equal to one. By [2, Theorem 3.5], $1 - k$ is a Fredholm element of index 0. There are idempotents e and f in S_A such that $\theta(f) = \theta(e)$,

$$A(1 - e) = A(1 - k) = A(\lambda - x) \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - f)A = (1 - k)A = (\lambda - x)A.$$

Then $\lambda - x$ is Fredholm of index 0 and $p = \alpha_l(\lambda - x) = \delta_l(\lambda - x) = \alpha_r(\lambda - x) = \delta_r(\lambda - x)$. We see that $\lambda - x$ is in Φ^R . By Theorem 3.7, λ is a Riesz point of $\text{sp}(x)$.

The following corollary is immediate from Theorems 3.5 and 3.9.

3.10. Corollary. A complex number λ is a Riesz point of $\text{sp}(x)$ if and only if there is an element c in I_A such that $xc = cx$ and $\lambda - x - c$ is invertible in A .

3.11. Corollary. A complex number λ is a Riesz point of $\text{sp}(x)$ if and only if $\lambda - x$ is a singular element of Φ^R .

Proof. If $\lambda - x$ is a singular element of Φ^R , λ is a Riesz point of $\text{sp}(x)$ by Theorem 3.7. Suppose λ is a Riesz point in $\text{sp}(x)$. Then, by Lemmas 3.2 and

2.6, $\lambda - x$ is a Fredholm element and $\alpha_I(\lambda - x) = \delta_I(\lambda - x) < \infty$. Then $\lambda - x$ is in Φ^R .

4. Riesz elements of A .

4.1. Definition. An element y of a normed algebra is called a topological nilpotent or a quasi-nilpotent if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y^n\|^{1/n} = 0.$$

If A is a semisimple Banach algebra, S_A its socle, and $\pi: A \rightarrow A/\bar{S}_A$ the quotient homomorphism, an element y of A is called a Riesz element of A if and only if $\pi(y)$ is a topological nilpotent. Let $\mathcal{R}_A$ denote the Riesz elements of A .

T. T. West has shown [14, §§5 and 6] that $\mathcal{R}_A$ is not closed under the operations of addition and multiplication if A is $B(X)$ for some infinite-dimensional Banach space X , nor is $\mathcal{R}_{B(X)}$ closed in the uniform topology; but if H is an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, $B(H)$ is the algebra generated by $\mathcal{R}_{B(H)}$.

For each y in A let $\mathcal{F}_y = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \lambda - y \in \Phi_A\}$. $\mathcal{F}_y$ is called the generalized Fredholm region for y . When A is infinite-dimensional, as we are always assuming it to be, W. Pfaffenberger [8, Chapter 3] has shown y is in $\mathcal{R}_A$ if and only if $\mathcal{F}_y$ is the set of nonzero complex numbers. He also showed that I_A is contained in $\mathcal{R}_A$ [8].

Several theorems which follow in this section are due to B. Barnes. Some of them have not been published in this form although they are similar to theorems given in [2].

4.2. Lemma (Barnes [3, Proposition 2.2]). *If u is in the closure of S_A then $1 - u$ is invertible modulo S_A .*

4.3. Lemma (Barnes). *If x is a Riesz element of A then $1 - x$ is invertible modulo S_A .*

Proof. Since x is a Riesz element of A , $\pi(x)$ is a topological nilpotent in the Banach algebra $\pi(A) = A/\bar{S}_A$. For all $\lambda \neq 0$, $\pi(x)/\lambda$ is quasi-regular. Let v be in A such that

$$\pi(1 - x)\pi(1 - v) = \pi(1) = \pi(1 - v)\pi(1 - x).$$

There exist s_1 and s_2 in $\bar{S}_A$ such that

$$(1 - v)(1 - x) = (1 - s_1) \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - x)(1 - v) = (1 - s_2).$$

By Lemma 4.2 there exist c_1 and c_2 in S_A and y_1 and y_2 in A such that $(1 - y_1)(1 - s_1) = (1 - c_1)$ and $(1 - s_2)(1 - y_2) = (1 - c_2)$. Therefore

$$(1 - y_1)(1 - v)(1 - x) = (1 - c_1) \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - x)(1 - v)(1 - y_2) = (1 - c_2).$$

The following lemma was proved by Taylor in much greater generality than is needed here, and using the notation of operator theory. The proof given below is merely a translation into algebra notation.

4.4. Lemma (Taylor [13]). $\alpha_l(x) < \infty$ if and only if $R[Ax] \cap x^n A = \{0\}$ for some $n \geq 1$; and $\alpha_r(x) < \infty$ if and only if $L[xA] \cap Ax^n = \{0\}$ for some $n \geq 1$.

Proof. If $\alpha_l(x) = \infty$, then $R[Ax] \neq R[Ax^{n+1}]$ for all $n \geq 1$. So, for each $n \geq 1$, we may choose $0 \neq y_n$ in $R[Ax^{n+1}]$ which is not in $R[Ax^n]$. Let $z_n = x^n y_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then z_n is in $R[Ax] \cap x^n A$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Conversely if $R[Ax] \cap x^n A \neq \{0\}$ for all $n \geq 1$, then for all n there is a y_n in A such that $x^n y_n \neq 0$ and $x^{n+1} y_n = 0$. Then y_n is in $R[Ax^{n+1}]$ but not in $R[Ax^n]$. Hence $\alpha_l(x) = \infty$. The second statement is proved similarly.

4.5. Proposition (Barnes). Let x be a Riesz element of A . Then $\alpha_l(\lambda - x)$ and $\alpha_r(\lambda - x)$ are finite for all nonzero λ .

Proof. It is sufficient to show $\alpha_l(1 - x)$ and $\alpha_r(1 - x)$ are finite. We prove $\alpha_l(1 - x) < \infty$. The proof that $\alpha_r(1 - x) < \infty$ is similar.

For each positive integer n let $K_n = R[A(1 - x)] \cap (1 - x)^n A$. If $\alpha_l(1 - x)$ is not finite then, by Lemma 4.4, $K_n \neq \{0\}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since $(1 - x)$ is in $\Phi(A)$ by Lemma 4.3, $R[A(1 - x)]$ is of finite order. We have $K_{n+1} \subseteq K_n \subseteq R[A(1 - x)]$ for all positive n . By [2, p. 498] there is a $p \geq 1$ such that $K_n = K_p$ for all $n \geq p$. By assumption $\{0\} \neq K_p$, let e be a minimal idempotent of A contained in K_p . For all $n \geq p$,

$$e \in R[A(1 - x)] \cap Ae \cap (1 - x)^n A \subseteq K_n = K_p \subseteq K_{p-1} \subseteq K_1.$$

Then, for all positive integers n , e is in $K_n e$.

For each v in A define an operator $T_v: Ae \rightarrow Ae$ by $T_v(xe) = vxe$ for all x in A . Then T_v is in $B(Ae)$ for all v in A ; and clearly $|T_v| \leq \|v\|$ for all v in A , where $|\cdot|$ denotes the operator norm in $B(Ae)$.

Choose a sequence $\{s_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ in S_A such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x^m - s_m\|^{1/m} = 0$. Then, for each m , T_{s_m} is an operator with finite-dimensional range in $B(Ae)$ and

$$|(T_x)^m - T_{s_m}|^{1/m} = |T_{(x^m - s_m)}|^{1/m} \leq \|x^m - s_m\|^{1/m}.$$

Hence T_x is a Riesz operator in $B(Ae)$. The mapping T_1 is the identity operator on Ae . By [10, Lemma 3.2, p. 323] the ascent of $T_1 - T_x$ is finite. Then there is an integer q such that the nullity of $T_1 - T_x$ and the range of $(T_1 - T_x)^q$ have only 0 in common; see [13, p. 22] or Lemma 3.4. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\{0\} &= \{ye \in Ae : (T_1 - T_x)ye = 0\} \cap (T_1 - T_x)^q Ae \\
&= \{ye \in Ae : (1 - x)ye = 0\} \cap (1 - x)^q Ae \\
&= R[A(1 - x)] \cap Ae \cap (1 - x)^q Ae = K_q e,
\end{aligned}$$

contradicting the fact that $0 \neq e \in K_q e$.

4.6. Lemma. *Let x be a Fredholm element of A such that $\alpha_l(x) = m < \infty$ ($\alpha_r(x) = n < \infty$) then $\delta_r(x) = m$ ($\delta_l(x) = n$).*

Proof. Since x is Fredholm, x^m is in $\Phi(A)$ where $\alpha_l(x) = m$. There is an idempotent e_m in S_A such that $R[Ax^m] = e_m A$. For all integers $n \geq m$, $x^n - e_m$ is in $\Phi(A)$. By [3, Theorem 2.3] if $x^n - e_m$ is not left invertible in A there is a minimal idempotent g_n in A such that $(x^n - e_m)g_n = 0$. In this case $x^{m+n}g_n = x^m e_m g_n = 0g_n = 0$. Then $g_n \in R[Ax^{m+n}] = R[Ax^m] = e_m A$, so that $g_n = e_m g_n = x^n g_n = 0$, contradicting the fact that g_n is a minimal idempotent. Hence $x^n - e_m$ is left invertible in A for all $n \geq m$.

Now fix $n \geq m$. For each y in A there is a z_y in A such that $y = z_y(x^n - e_m)$. If y is in Ax^m , $0 - ye_m = z_y(x^n - e_m)e_m = -z_y e_m$. Then $y = z_y x^n \in Ax^n$. This shows that $\delta_r(x)$ is less than or equal to m . Let $k = \delta_r(x)$. $Ax^k = Ax^m$ so we have

$$R[Ax^{k+1}] = R[Ax^k] = R[Ax^m].$$

Then $k = m$ by definition of $\alpha_l(x)$.

4.7. Theorem. *Let x be a Riesz element of A . Then every nonzero point of $\text{sp}(x)$ is a Riesz point.*

Proof. Suppose $\lambda \neq 0$ is in $\text{sp}(x)$. Then x/λ is a Riesz element of A . Then, using Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 4.5, we see that $(1 - x/\lambda)$ is in $\Phi(A)$, so $\lambda - x$ is also, and $\alpha_l(\lambda - x)$ and $\alpha_r(\lambda - x)$ are finite. Then, by Lemma 4.6, $\alpha_l(\lambda - x) = \delta_r(\lambda - x) < \infty$ and $\alpha_r(\lambda - x) = \delta_l(\lambda - x) < \infty$ similarly. Then, by Corollary 2.3,

$$\alpha_l(\lambda - x) = \delta_l(\lambda - x) = \alpha_r(\lambda - x) = \delta_r(\lambda - x) < \infty.$$

So we have $(\lambda - x)$ in Φ^R . Using Theorem 3.7 we see that λ is a Riesz point of $\text{sp}(x)$.

4.8. Corollary (Barnes). *If x is a Riesz element of A , the spectrum of x is finite or a sequence converging to zero.*

4.9. Corollary (Barnes). *If x is a Riesz element of A , $1 - x$ is Fredholm of index 0.*

Proof. If $1 - x$ is invertible then it is certainly Fredholm of index 0. If 1 is in $\text{sp}(x)$ it is a Riesz point; so, by Corollary 3.11, $1 - x$ is Fredholm of index 0.

We will show in this section that x is in $\mathcal{R}_A$ if and only if every nonzero point of $\text{sp}(x)$ is a Riesz point. This was shown by A. Ruston in the case that $B(X) = A$ for some Banach space X ; see [14, p. 133]. We will need the following lemma.

4.10. Lemma. *Let λ_1 and λ_2 be two distinct Riesz points in $\text{sp}(x)$. Let g_1 and g_2 be the respective spectral idempotents for x at λ_1 and λ_2 . Then $g_1 g_2 = 0 = g_1 g_2$.*

Proof. λ_1 and λ_2 are distinct isolated points of $\text{sp}(x)$. There exist positive numbers r_1 and r_2 such that

$$\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\} = \text{sp}(x) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^2 \{z: |z - \lambda_i| < 2r_i\}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} W_i &= \{z: |z - \lambda_i| < r_i\} \quad (i = 1, 2); \\ V &= \{z: |z - \lambda_1| > r_1 \text{ and } |z - \lambda_2| > r_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $U = V \cup W_1 \cup W_2$. Then $\text{sp}(x) \subseteq U$. If z is in U , let

$$\chi_i(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z \text{ is in } W_i \ (i = 1, 2), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then χ_1 and χ_2 are analytic on U . Let γ be an admissible cycle for $(U, \text{sp}(x))$. Then

$$g_j = \chi_j(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \chi_j(z) R_z(x) dz \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

Hence $g_1 g_2 = \chi_1(x) \chi_2(x) = (\chi_1 \chi_2)(x) = 0(x) = 0$.

Let x be an element of A such that every nonzero point in $\text{sp}(x)$ is a Riesz point. Then for any positive ϵ let $p_{\epsilon} = \{z: |z| \geq \epsilon\} \cap \text{sp}(x)$. p_{ϵ} is either empty or finite, since Riesz points are isolated. Either p_{ϵ} is empty for some $\epsilon > 0$ or else x is a topological nilpotent in A , in which case x is certainly a topological nilpotent modulo $\bar{S}_A$, and hence is a Riesz element.

Suppose that, for some positive ϵ , p_{ϵ} is nonempty. Let $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m\} = p_{\epsilon}$, for some integer $m \geq 1$. Assume that $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ if $i \neq j$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. For each $1 \leq j \leq m$, let g_j be the spectral idempotent for x at λ_j , and let n_j be the order of the pole of $R_x(x)$ at λ_j . Let $t_{\epsilon} = x(1 - \sum_{j=1}^m g_j)$.

4.11. Lemma. x, ϵ, p_ϵ and t_ϵ be as above. Then $\text{sp}(t_\epsilon) \subseteq \{z: |z| \leq \epsilon\}$ and hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t_\epsilon^n\| \leq \epsilon$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.10, $g_i g_j = 0$ if $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ and $i \neq j$. Then $\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - g_i) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m g_i$; and, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, $A = g_i A \oplus (1 - g_i)A$. Using induction on m we see

$$A = g_1 A \oplus g_2 A \oplus \cdots \oplus g_m A \oplus \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - g_i)A.$$

We want to show that, for each $|\mu| \geq \epsilon$, $(\mu - t_\epsilon)$ is invertible in A . By [9, (1.6.9)], it is enough to show that $R[A(\mu - t_\epsilon)] = \{0\}$ and $(\mu - t_\epsilon)A = A$.

Using the orthogonality of the idempotents $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^m$, any element w of A can be written in the form $w = \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - g_i)w + \sum_{i=1}^m g_i w$. Compute using this fact and the definition of t_ϵ to see that

$$(3) \quad \mu - t_\epsilon = \mu \sum_{i=1}^m g_i + (\mu + x) \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - g_i).$$

Now for any $\mu \neq 0$ and for each y in $R[A(\mu - t_\epsilon)]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\mu - t_\epsilon)y \\ &= \left(\mu \sum_{i=1}^m g_i + (\mu + x) \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^m g_i \right) \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^m g_i y + \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^m g_i \right) y \right) \\ &= (\mu + x) \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^m g_i \right) y + \mu \sum_{i=1}^m g_i y. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\sum_{i=1}^m g_i y$ is in $\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - g_i)A$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^m g_i y = 0$, y is in $\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - g_i)A$, and $0 = (\mu + x) \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - g_i) y = (\mu + x)y$. Hence $R[A(\mu - t_\epsilon)] \subseteq R[A(\mu + x)]$.

Now if $|\mu| \geq \epsilon$ there are two possible cases: either $\mu + x$ is invertible or μ is in p_ϵ . The lemma will be proved if it is shown that in either case $\mu - t_\epsilon$ is invertible. Assume $\mu + x$ is invertible and $\mu \neq 0$. Then $\{0\} = R[A(\mu + x)] = R[A(\mu - t_\epsilon)]$. For all w in A use (3) to see that

$$w = (\mu - t_\epsilon) \left[\sum_{i=1}^m g_i \frac{w}{\mu} + \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - g_i) (\mu + x)^{-1} w \right].$$

Hence $A = (\mu - t_\epsilon)A$. This shows that $\mu - t_\epsilon$ is invertible if $\mu + x$ is invertible.

If μ is in p_ϵ , without loss of generality assume $\mu = \lambda_1$. Then

$$g_1 A = R[A(\lambda_1 - x)^n] \supseteq R[A(\lambda_1 - x)] \supseteq R[A(\lambda_1 - t_\epsilon)].$$

We have already proved that $\sum_{i=1}^m g_i R[A(\lambda_1 - t_\epsilon)] = \{0\}$. Since $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m\}$ is a pairwise orthogonal set of idempotents this implies $\{0\} = R[A(\lambda_1 - t_\epsilon)]$. It remains only to show $A = (\lambda_1 - t_\epsilon)A$. Since $(\lambda_1 - x)(1 - g_1)$ is invertible in $(1 - g_1)A(1 - g_1)$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.6, there is a c in A such that

$$(\lambda_1 - x)(1 - g_1)c(1 - g_1) = 1 - g_1 = (1 - g_1)c(1 - g_1)(\lambda_1 - x).$$

Since $\lambda_1 - t_\epsilon = \lambda_1 - \sum_{i=1}^m g_i + (\lambda_1 - x)(1 - \sum_{i=1}^m g_i)$ we see that, for all w in A ,

$$(\lambda_1 - t_\epsilon) \left[\sum_{i=1}^m g_i \frac{w}{\lambda_1} + \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - g_i) c(1 - g_1) w \right] = w.$$

Hence $A = (\lambda_1 - t_\epsilon)A$.

4.12. Theorem. *Let x be in A such that every nonzero point of $\text{sp}(x)$ is a Riesz point. Then x is a Riesz element of A .*

Proof. We have already noticed that if p_ϵ is empty for all positive ϵ , then x is a Riesz element. Now assume p_ϵ is nonempty for some $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m\}$ be the distinct elements of p_ϵ and for each $1 \leq i \leq m$ let g_i be the spectral idempotent for x at λ_i as in Lemma 4.11. Since g_j is in S_A for all $1 \leq j \leq m$, we see that, for all positive ϵ , $\pi(x) = \pi(t_\epsilon)$. By Lemma 4.11,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\pi(x)^n\|^{1/n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\pi(t_\epsilon)^n\|^{1/n} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t_\epsilon^n\|^{1/n} \leq \epsilon.$$

Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\pi(x)^n\|^{1/n} = 0$.

4.13. Corollary. *If x is in A these are equivalent conditions:*

- (a) x is in $\mathcal{R}_A$.
- (b) Every nonzero point in $\text{sp}(x)$ is a Riesz point.
- (c) Every nonzero complex number is in $\mathcal{F}_x$, the generalized Fredholm region for x .
- (d) For each nonzero complex number, $\lambda - x$ is in Φ^R .

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) Theorem 4.7. (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) Theorem 4.12. (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c) [8, Chapter 3]. (d) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b) Corollary 3.11.

If x is in A and $p(x)$ is a polynomial with complex coefficients and zero constant term it is possible to have $p(x)$ in $\mathcal{R}_A$ without having x in $\mathcal{R}_A$. For example, let x be the identity element and $p(z) = z - z^2$. Then $p(x) = 0 \in \mathcal{R}_A$ but 1 is not in $\mathcal{R}_A$. (We are assuming A is infinite-dimensional.)

4.14. Theorem. *If x is in A and f is a complex valued analytic on a neighborhood of $\text{sp}(x)$ which has no zeroes in the set $\text{sp}(x) \sim \{0\}$ and if $f(x) \in \mathcal{R}_A$ then x is in $\mathcal{R}_A$.*

Proof. Let $\pi: A \rightarrow A/\overline{S}_A$ be the quotient homomorphism. Then $\text{sp}_{\pi(A)}(\pi(x)) \subseteq \text{sp}_A(x)$ and $\{0\} = \text{sp}_{\pi(A)}(\pi f(x)) = \text{sp}_{\pi(A)}(f(\pi(x)))$ by Lemma 3.3. By the spectral mapping theorem

$$f(\text{sp}_{\pi(A)}(\pi(x))) = \text{sp}_{\pi(A)}(f(\pi(x))).$$

Then $\text{sp}_{\pi(A)}(\pi(x)) = \{0\}$. Hence x is in $\mathcal{R}_A$.

Lastly, here are descriptions of Riesz elements in the Banach algebras considered earlier in §1.

4.15. Example. Let $A = B(X)$ for some Banach space X , let $K(X)$ be the ideal of compact operators and let $\mathcal{F}(X)$ be the ideal of operators with finite-dimensional range. A. F. Ruston [10] has shown that an operator is topologically nilpotent modulo $K(X)$ if and only if it is topologically nilpotent modulo $\overline{\mathcal{F}(X)}$. Since $S_{B(X)} = \mathcal{F}(X)$, an operator T is topologically nilpotent modulo $K(X)$ if and only if it is a Riesz element of $B(X)$ [14].

4.16. Example. Let Δ be a compact Hausdorff space and let X be a Banach space. Let A be the algebra of continuous functions from Δ into $B(X)$ defined in Example 1.7. Let b be in A . Clearly $\text{sp}(b) = \bigcup_{y \in \Delta} \text{sp}(b(y))$. Fix λ in $\text{sp}(b)$. Suppose there is a finite set of isolated points $F_\lambda = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\} \subseteq \Delta$ such that, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, λ is a Riesz point in $\text{sp}(b(y_i))$, and if $y_0 \notin \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$, $\lambda \notin \text{sp}(b(y_0))$. Then λ is an isolated point in $\text{sp}(b)$. Let r be a positive number such that $\text{sp}(b) \cap \{z: |z - \lambda| \leq 2r\} = \{\lambda\}$. Then for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ the spectral idempotent for $b(y_i)$ at λ is

$$f_i = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-\lambda|=r} R_z(b(y_i)) dz,$$

a nonzero idempotent in $\mathcal{F}(X)$. The spectral projection for b at λ is $f = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{|z-\lambda|=r} R_z(b) dz$. Since A is an algebra of continuous functions, and $R_z(b)(y) = R_z(b(y))$ for all z not in $\text{sp}(b)$ and all y in Δ ,

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y \notin F \\ f_i & \text{if } y = y_i \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Hence f is in the socle of A . By Theorem 3.5, $(\lambda I - b - f)(y) = \lambda I - b(y) - f(y)$ is invertible in $B(X)$ for all y in Δ . Let U be the set of invertible operators in $B(X)$. Let $\phi: U \rightarrow U$ be the homeomorphism $\phi(T) = T^{-1}$. Let $g: \Delta \rightarrow B(X)$ be the mapping $g(y) = (\lambda I - b(y) - f(y))^{-1}$ for all y in Δ . Then g is the composition of the two continuous mappings ϕ and $(\lambda I - b - f)$. Hence g is in A . Therefore $\lambda I - b - f$ is invertible and, by Theorem 3.9, λ is a Riesz point in $\text{sp}(b)$.

Now suppose that b is in A and λ is a Riesz point in $\text{sp}(b)$. Let f be the spectral idempotent for b at λ . Let r be a positive number such that

$$\{\lambda\} = \text{sp}(b) \cap \{z: |z - \lambda| \leq 2r\}.$$

Then $f = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{|z-\lambda|=r} R_z(b) dz$. By Lemma 3.4, $\lambda - b - f$ is invertible in A . Since f is in S_A , there is an orthogonal set of minimal idempotents of A , $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$, such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$. As in Example 1.7, there is a finite set of isolated points $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \subseteq \Delta$ and a finite set of projections with one-dimensional range $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n\} \subseteq B(X)$ such that if $i \neq j$ but $w_i = w_j$, then $E_i \neq E_j$, and, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all y in Δ ,

$$e_i(y) = \begin{cases} 0, & y \neq w_i, \\ E_i, & y = w_i. \end{cases}$$

(Notice that we cannot assume the sets $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^n$ or $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n$ contain distinct elements.) Now for each y in $\Delta \sim \{w_i\}_{i=1}^n$, $f(y) = 0$ and $\lambda I - b(y) = (\lambda - b - f)(y)$ is invertible in $B(X)$ so λ is not in $\text{sp}(b(y))$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, $f(w_i) \neq 0$, so λ is in $\text{sp}(b(w_i)) \subset \text{sp}(b)$. Then λ is an isolated point of $\text{sp}(b(w_i))$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. As before, $f(w_i)$ is the spectral idempotent for $b(w_i)$ at λ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Now $\lambda I - b(w_i) - f(w_i)$ is invertible and $f(w_i) \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ so, by (3.10), λ is a Riesz point in $\text{sp}(b(w_i))$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

We see that for b in A and λ in $\text{sp}(b)$, λ is a Riesz point if and only if there is a finite set of isolated points F_λ contained in Δ such that λ is a Riesz point in $\text{sp}(b(y))$ for all y in F_λ , and if y is not in F_λ , then λ is not in $\text{sp}(b(y))$. Clearly, the order of the pole of the resolvent mapping $z \rightarrow R_z(b)$ at λ is the maximum of the orders of the poles of $z \rightarrow R_z(b(y))$ at λ for all y in F_λ .

Therefore an element b of A is a Riesz element of A if and only if $b(y)$ is a Riesz operator for all y in Δ and for each $0 \neq \lambda \in \text{sp}(b)$ there is a finite set F_λ of isolated points of Δ such that $\lambda \notin \text{sp}(b(y))$ for all $y \notin F_\lambda$.

4.17. Example. Let A be the algebra defined in Example 1.8. Let T be an operator in A . Suppose λ is an isolated point in $\text{sp}_A(T) = \text{sp}_{B(X)}(T)$. Let f be the spectral idempotent for T at λ . Since $R_z(T)$ is in A for all $z \notin \text{sp}(T)$, f is also in A . Since $S_A = A \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$, we see that f is in S_A if and only if f is in $\mathcal{F}(X)$. Then $\lambda I - T - f$ is invertible in A if and only if it is invertible in $B(X)$. Hence, by Theorems 3.9 and 3.5, λ is a Riesz point in $\text{sp}(T)$ with respect to the algebra A if and only if it is with respect to $B(X)$. Therefore $\mathcal{R}_A = \mathcal{R}_{B(X)} \cap A$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. B. A. Barnes, *Modular annihilator algebras*, Canad. J. Math. 18 (1966), 566–578. MR 33 #2681.
2. ———, *A generalized Fredholm theory for certain maps in the regular representations of an algebra*, Canad. J. Math. 20 (1968), 495–504. MR 38 #534.
3. ———, *The Fredholm elements of a ring*, Canad. J. Math. 21 (1969), 84–95. MR 38 #5823.

4. F. E. Browder, *On the spectral theory of elliptic differential operators. I*, *Math. Ann.* 142 (1960/61), 22–130. MR 35 #804.
5. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. I. General theory*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 7, Interscience, New York, 1958. MR 22 #8302.
6. D. Kleinecke, *Almost-finite, compact, and inessential operators*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 14 (1963), 863–868. MR 27 #5136.
7. D. Lay, *Characterizations of the essential spectrum of F. E. Browder*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 74 (1968), 246–248. MR 36 #4370.
8. W. Pfaffenberger, *Operator algebras and related topics*, Doctoral Dissertation, University of Oregon, Eugene, Ore., 1969.
9. C. Rickart, *General theory of Banach algebras*, University Series in Higher Math., Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960. MR 22 #5903.
10. A. F. Ruston, *Operators with a Fredholm theory*, *J. London Math. Soc.* 29 (1954), 318–326. MR 15, 965.
11. M. Schechter, *On the essential spectrum of an arbitrary operator. I*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 13 (1966), 205–215. MR 32 #6230.
12. A. E. Taylor, *Introduction to functional analysis*, Wiley, New York; Chapman & Hall, London, 1958. MR 20 #5411.
13. ———, *Theorems on ascent, descent, nullity and defect of linear operators*, *Math. Ann.* 163 (1966), 18–49. MR 32 #8169.
14. T. T. West, *Riesz operators in Banach spaces*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 16 (1966), 131–140. MR 33 #1742.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OREGON 97403

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803