NECESSARY CONDITIONS FOR THE CONVERGENCE OF CARDINAL HERMITE SPLINES AS THEIR DEGREE TENDS TO INFINITY

BY

T. N. T. GOODMAN

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{S}_{n,s}$ denote the class of cardinal Hermite splines of degree n having knots of multiplicity s at the integers. In this paper we show that if $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $\mathbf{R}$, where $f_n \in \mathcal{S}_{n,s}$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, and f is bounded, then f is the restriction to $\mathbf{R}$ of an entire function of exponential type $< s$. In proving this result, we need to derive some extremal properties of certain splines $\mathcal{S}_{n,s} \in \mathcal{S}_{n,s}$, in particular that $\|\mathcal{S}_{n,s}\|_\infty$ minimises $\|S\|_\infty$ over $S \in \mathcal{S}_{n,s}$ with $\|S^{(r)}\|_\infty = \|\mathcal{S}_{n,s}^{(r)}\|_\infty$.

1. Introduction. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $1 \leq s \leq n$, let

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,s} = \{f \in C^{n-s}(\mathbf{R}): f|(\nu, \nu+1) \in C^{n-1}[(\nu, \nu+1)] \text{ and}$$

$$f^{(n-1)} \text{ absolutely continuous on } (\nu, \nu+1), \forall \nu \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

We let $\mathcal{S}_{n,s}$ denote the set of all cardinal spline functions of degree n in $\mathcal{F}_{n,s}$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{S}_{n,s} = \{S \in C^{n-s}(\mathbf{R}): S|(\nu, \nu+1) \in \pi_n, \forall \nu \in \mathbf{Z}\},$$

where π_n denotes the set of all polynomials of degree at most n .

Throughout this paper, $\|f\|$ will denote $\text{ess sup}_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |f(x)|$.

In [6] Lipow and Schoenberg have shown that for odd n , $1 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}(n+1)$, and any function f with $f^{(\nu)}$ of power growth on $\mathbf{R}$, $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$, there is a unique $S_{n,s} \in \mathcal{S}_{n,s}$ of power growth such that $S_{n,s}^{(\nu)}$ interpolates $f^{(\nu)}$ at the integers. In [8] Marsden and Riemenschneider have shown that if f is the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of a measure on $(-s\pi, s\pi)$, then $S_{n,s}^{(\nu)} \rightarrow f^{(\nu)}$ uniformly on $\mathbf{R}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$. The case $s = 1$ had previously been proved by Schoenberg [10] who established in [11] the partial converse that if f is bounded on $\mathbf{R}$ and $S_{n,1} \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $\mathbf{R}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then f is the restriction to $\mathbf{R}$ of an entire function of exponential type $< \pi$.

In §4 of this paper we generalise Schoenberg's result by showing, in particular, that for any $s = 1, 2, \dots$, if f is bounded on $\mathbf{R}$ and $S_{n,s} \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $\mathbf{R}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then f is the restriction to $\mathbf{R}$ of an entire function

Received by the editors August 18, 1978.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 41A15; Secondary 41A05.

Key words and phrases. Cardinal spline interpolation, cardinal Hermite splines, Euler splines, Chebyshev polynomials.

© 1979 American Mathematical Society
0002-9947/79/0000-0509/\$03.75

of exponential type $\leq s\pi$. To establish this result we need some extremal properties of certain splines $\mathfrak{E}_{n,s} \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,s}$ which may be regarded as generalisations of the Euler splines employed in [11]. For odd s these were defined by Cavaretta in [1]. In §2 we define $\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}$ for even s and show that for all s , $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,s}$, $\|f\| < 1 = \|\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}\|$ and $\|f^{(n)}\| < \|\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}^{(n)}\|$ implies

$$|f^{(k)}(\nu)| < |\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}^{(k)}(\nu)|, \quad \forall \nu \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } k = n - s, \dots, n - 1.$$

In [1] Cavaretta shows that for odd s , $S = \mathfrak{E}_{n,s}$ minimises $\|S\|$ over all $S \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,s}$ with

$$S^{(n)}(\nu, \nu + 1) = (-1)^\nu \|\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}^{(n)}\|, \quad \forall \nu \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In §3 we show that for all s , $S = \mathfrak{E}_{n,s}$ actually minimises $\|S\|$ over all $S \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,s}$ with $\|S^{(n)}\| = \|\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}^{(n)}\|$.

2. The Euler-Chebyshev splines. In [1] Cavaretta shows there are functions $\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}$ in $\mathfrak{S}_{n,s}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and odd $s < n$, characterised by the following properties:

$$\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}(x + 1) = (-1)^s \mathfrak{E}_{n,s}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.1)$$

$\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}(x)$ equioscillates between -1 and 1 at points

$$0 < \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_s < 1, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\mathfrak{E}_{n,s} \text{ is even or odd about } x = \frac{1}{2} \text{ as } n \text{ is even or odd,} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}^{(n)}(x) > 0 \text{ on } (0, 1). \quad (2.4)$$

We now construct functions $\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}$ in $\mathfrak{S}_{n,s}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and even $s < n$ which are also characterised by properties (2.1)–(2.4).

We shall need the following lemma. Its proof is almost identical to that of Proposition 1 in [1] and so will be omitted.

LEMMA 1. Let $\{f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x)\}$ be a Chebyshev system in $[a, b]$ and define

$$g_i(x) = (x - a)(x - b)f_i(x), \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Let $F(x)$ be a continuous function on $[a, b]$ which vanishes at a and b . Then there exists a unique linear combination $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i g_i(x)$ of best approximation in the uniform norm to $F(x)$. This best approximation is uniquely characterised by a $(k + 1)$ -point equioscillation property, i.e. there exist $k + 1$ points $a < x_1 < \dots < x_{k+1} < b$ where the error function assumes the value of its norm with alternating signs.

We first consider the case of odd n . For any p, q , $1 < q < p$, we define

$$V_{2p+1,2q} = \left\{ f \in \pi_{2p+1} \left[0, \frac{1}{2} \right] : f^{(2i)}(0) = 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, p - q, \right. \\ \left. f^{(2j)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, p \right\}.$$

It follows from the theory of Jerome and Schumaker [3] and Lorentz [7]

that $\dim V_{2p+1,2q} = q$ and any f in $V_{2p+1,2q}$ has at most $q + 1$ zeros in $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Thus if $x(x - \frac{1}{2})f_i(x)$, $i = 1, \dots, q$, form a basis for $V_{2p+1,2q}$, then $\{f_1(x), \dots, f_q(x)\}$ form a Chebyshev system on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$.

Now take any odd n and even s , $4 \leq s < n$, and take any f in $V_{n,s}$ with $f^{(n)} > 0$. Let F denote the best approximation to f in the uniform norm in $V_{n-2,s-2}$. Then by Lemma 1, $f - F$ equioscillates at points $0 < \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_{s/2} < \frac{1}{2}$. Let $G = (f - F)/\|f - F\|$ and define $\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}$ in $\mathfrak{S}_{n,s}$ by

$$\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}(x) = \begin{cases} G(x), & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ (-1)^n G(1-x), & \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}(x+1) = \mathfrak{E}_{n,s}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.5)$$

For $s = 2$, let G be the element of $V_{n,2}$ with $\|G\| = 1$ and $G^{(n)} > 0$, and again define $\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}$ by (2.5). Since $G(0) = G(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$, $\exists \beta_1 \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ with $|G(\beta_1)| = 1$, and so $\mathfrak{E}_{n,2}$ equioscillates at β_1 and $\beta_2 = 1 - \beta_1$. Thus for all even s , $\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}$ is characterised by properties (2.1) to (2.4).

Next consider even n . For any p, q , $0 < q < p$, define

$$V_{2p,2q} = \left\{ f \in \pi_{2p} \left[0, \frac{1}{2} \right] : f^{(2i+1)}(0) = 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, p - q - 1, \right. \\ \left. f^{(2j+1)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, p - 1 \right\}.$$

Then $\dim V_{2p,2q} = q + 1$ and any f in $V_{2p,2q}$ has at most q zeros in $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Thus any basis for $V_{2p,2q}$ forms a Chebyshev system.

Now take even n and even s , $2 \leq s < n$, and take any f in $V_{n,s}$ with $f^{(n)} > 0$. Let F denote the best approximation to f in the uniform norm in $V_{n-2,s-2}$. Then $f - F$ equioscillates at points $0 \leq \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_{s/2+1} < \frac{1}{2}$. Now $f' - F'$ is in $V_{n-1,s}$ and so has at most $\frac{1}{2}s - 1$ zeros in $(0, \frac{1}{2})$. Thus $\beta_1 = 0$ and $\beta_{s/2+1} = \frac{1}{2}$. Let $G = (f - F)/\|f - F\|$ and define $\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}$ in $\mathfrak{S}_{n,s}$ by (2.5). Then again $\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}$ is characterised by properties (2.1)–(2.4).

We note that, for $m = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\mathfrak{E}_{2m-1,1}(x) = (-1)^m \mathfrak{E}_{2m-1}(x),$$

$$\mathfrak{E}_{2m,1}(x) = (-1)^m \mathfrak{E}_{2m}\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad (2.6)$$

where $\mathfrak{E}_n$ denotes the Euler spline of degree n , see [11].

We also note that, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\mathfrak{E}_{n,n}(x) = T_n(2x - 1), \quad \forall x \in [0, 1],$$

where T_n denotes the Chebyshev polynomial of degree n .

It therefore seems appropriate to refer to $\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}$ as Euler-Chebyshev splines, or ET-splines, following the similar terminology introduced by Cavaretta in [1]. They satisfy the following extremal property which is related to a theorem of Kolmogorov (see [2]).

THEOREM 1. Suppose f in $\mathcal{F}_{n,s}$ satisfies

$$\|f\| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|f^{(n)}\| < \|\mathcal{E}_{n,s}^{(n)}\|, \quad (2.7)$$

then

$$|f^{(k)}(\nu +)| < |\mathcal{E}_{n,s}^{(k)}(\nu +)|, \quad \forall \nu \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad k = n - s, \dots, n - 1.$$

PROOF. We use an elementary and powerful technique introduced by Cavaretta [2].

Without loss of generality we may take $\nu = 0$. Suppose f in $\mathcal{F}_{n,s}$ satisfies (2.7) and is periodic of period an even integer K . We shall assume $|f^{(k)}(0 +)| > |\mathcal{E}_{n,s}^{(k)}(0 +)|$ for some k , $n - s < k < n - 1$, and reach a contradiction. Choose λ so that $\lambda f^{(k)}(0 +) = \mathcal{E}_{n,s}^{(k)}(0 +)$ and let $g = \mathcal{E}_{n,s} - \lambda f$, noting that g is also periodic of period K .

Since $\|\lambda f\| < \|\mathcal{E}_{n,s}\|$ and because of the equioscillation of $\mathcal{E}_{n,s}$, g has at least Ks distinct zeros per period. Thus, by repeated application of Rolle's theorem, $g^{(n-s)}$ has at least Ks distinct zeros per period. If $k = n - s$, then $g^{(n-s)}(0) = 0$ and so $g^{(n-s+1)}$ has at least $K(s - 1) + 1$ zeros per period which are not at integers. If $k > n - s$, then $g^{(n-s+1)}$ has at least $K(s - 1)$ zeros per period which are not at integers, and so $g^{(k)}$ has at least $K(n - k)$ zeros per period which are not at integers. But $g^{(k)}(0 +) = 0$ and so $g^{(k+1)}$ has at least $K(n - k - 1) + 1$ changes of sign per period which are not at integers. Thus for all k , $g^{(n)}$ has at least one change of sign per period which is not at an integer. But this contradicts $|\lambda f^{(n)}(x)| < |\mathcal{E}_{n,s}^{(n)}(x)|$ in every interval $(\nu, \nu + 1)$, $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We may extend to nonperiodic f in precisely the same manner as in [2]. $\square$

3. An extremal property of ET-splines. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $1 < s < n$, and numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s, \lambda$, we define

$$\Pi_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s; \lambda)$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & (1 - \lambda) & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \alpha_1 & & \alpha_s & 1 & (1 - \lambda) & 0 & & & & \cdot \\ \alpha_1^2 & \cdots & \alpha_s^2 & 1 & \binom{2}{1} & (1 - \lambda) & & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \alpha_1^{n-s} & \cdots & \alpha_s^{n-s} & 1 & \binom{n-s}{1} & \binom{n-s}{2} \cdots \binom{n-s}{n-s-1} & (1 - \lambda) & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \alpha_1^n & \cdots & \alpha_s^n & 1 & \binom{n}{1} & \binom{n}{2} \cdots \binom{n}{n-s-1} & \binom{1}{n-s} & & & \cdot \end{vmatrix}$$

This determinant has the following properties, which follow from the work of Micchelli [9] or by using the method of Lee and Sharma [5].

For fixed $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_s < 1$, $\Pi_n(\lambda) \equiv \Pi_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s; \lambda)$ is a polynomial in λ with real distinct roots of sign $(-1)^s$. If $\alpha_1 > 0$, $\Pi_n(\lambda) = a\lambda^{n-s+1} + \dots$, where $\text{sign } a = (-1)^{(s+1)(n+s+1)}$. If $\alpha_1 = 0$, $\Pi_n(\lambda) = a\lambda^{n-s} + \dots$, where $\text{sign } a = (-1)^{(s+1)(n+s)}$. If the nonzero α_i , $i = 1, \dots, s$, are symmetric about $\frac{1}{2}$, then $\Pi_n(\lambda)$ is reciprocal.

Now fix $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_s < 1$ and take r , $1 \leq r \leq s$. For $x \in [0, 1]$ we define

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi(x, \lambda) &= \Pi_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}, x, \alpha_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha_s; \lambda) \\ &= p_0(x)\lambda^{n-s+1} + p_1(x)\lambda^{n-s} + \dots + p_{n-s+1}(x).\end{aligned}$$

Then it is easy to show that

$$\frac{\partial^j}{\partial x^j} \Pi(1, \lambda) = \lambda \frac{\partial^j}{\partial x^j} \Pi(0, \lambda), \quad j = 0, \dots, n-s, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\Pi(\alpha_i, \lambda) = 0, \quad i \neq r. \quad (3.2)$$

We now define the 'B-spline'

$$B_r(x) = \begin{cases} p_r(x - \nu), & x \in [\nu, \nu + 1), \quad \nu = 0, \dots, n-s+1, \\ 0, & x < 0 \text{ and } x \geq n-s+2. \end{cases}$$

From (3.1) we see that $B_r \in \mathcal{S}_{n,s}$ and from (3.2) we have $B_r(\alpha_i + \nu) = 0$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \neq r$. Also

$$\sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} B_r(x + \nu)t^\nu = t^{n-s+1}\Pi(x, t^{-1}), \quad x \in [0, 1). \quad (3.3)$$

Now assume

$$\Pi_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s; (-1)^s) \neq 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Then following the method of Schoenberg [11], we may write

$$\left\{ \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} B_r(\nu + \alpha_r)t^\nu \right\}^{-1} = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega_\nu t^\nu, \quad (3.5)$$

where the series is convergent on some annulus about $|t| = 1$ and $|\omega_\nu| = O(\beta^\nu)$ as $\nu \rightarrow \pm\infty$ for some $0 < \beta < 1$.

We now define the 'fundamental spline'

$$L_r(x) = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega_\nu B_r(x - \nu).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}L_r(k + \alpha_r) &= \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega_\nu B_r(k + \alpha_r - \nu) \\ &= \delta_{k0}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ by (3.5).}\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the theory of [9] that if $S \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,s}$ is of power growth, then

$$S(x) = \sum_{r=1}^s \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} S(k + \alpha_r) L_r(x - k). \quad (3.6)$$

Now take x in $(0, 1)$. Then

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} \Pi(x, \lambda) = (-1)^{n+r+1} n! \Pi_{n-1}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}, \alpha_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha_s; \lambda).$$

So, by (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} B_r^{(n)}(v+x)t^r \\ = (-1)^{n+r+1} n! t^{n-s+1} \Pi_{n-1}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}, \alpha_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha_s; t^{-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Now

$$L_r^{(n)}(k+x) = \sum_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega_v B_r^{(n)}(k+x-v)$$

and so

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} L_r^{(n)}(k+x)t^k = \left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega_i t^i \right) \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} B_r^{(n)}(j+x)t^j \right).$$

So by (3.7), (3.5) and (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} L_r^{(n)}(k+x)t^k \\ = \frac{(-1)^{n+r+1} n! \Pi_{n-1}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}, \alpha_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha_s; t^{-1})}{\Pi_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s; t^{-1})}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Then from (3.8) and the properties of $\Pi_n(\lambda)$, we have the following result.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} L_r^{(n)}(k+x)t^k &= \frac{(-1)^{r+s} K \Pi_{i=1}^{n-s+1}(1 + \mu_i t)}{\Pi_{j=1}^{n-s+1}(1 - \lambda_j t)} \quad \text{if } \alpha_1 > 0, \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{r+s+1} K \Pi_{i=1}^{n-s}(1 + \mu_i t)}{\Pi_{j=1}^{n-s}(1 - \lambda_j t)} \quad \text{if } \alpha_1 = 0, \quad r > 1, \\ &= \frac{K \Pi_{i=1}^{n-s+1}(1 + \mu_i t)}{t \Pi_{j=1}^{n-s}(1 - \lambda_j t)} \quad \text{if } \alpha_1 = 0, \quad r = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where K, μ_i, λ_j are constants (depending on $r, n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$) with $K > 0$ and $\text{sign } \mu_i = \text{sign } \lambda_j = (-1)^s, \forall i, j$.

We therefore have (see [4, p. 395]),

$$\text{sign } L_r^{(n)}(k+x) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{q+r+k} & s \text{ odd,} \\ (-1)^{q+r+1}, & s \text{ even,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$q = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \alpha_1 > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \alpha_1 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

We are now in a position to prove our result.

THEOREM 2. *If $S \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,s}$ satisfies $\|S\| < 1$, then $\|S^{(n)}\| < \|\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}^{(n)}\|$.*

PROOF. Take $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s$ as in (2.2). By (2.3) we know the nonzero β_i , $i = 1, \dots, s$, are symmetric about $\frac{1}{2}$ and so $\Pi_n(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; \lambda)$ is a reciprocal polynomial in λ . If n and s are both even or both odd, then $\beta_1 = 0$. Otherwise $\beta_1 > 0$. Thus in all cases, $\Pi_n(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; \lambda)$ is a polynomial in λ of even degree and so

$$\Pi_n(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; (-1)^s) \neq 0.$$

Since (3.4) is satisfied, we may define the 'fundamental spline' L_r for $r = 1, \dots, s$. Then for any $S \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,s}$ satisfying $\|S\| < 1$, we have from (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned} |S^{(n)}(x)| &= \left| \sum_{r=1}^s \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} S(k + \beta_r) L_r^{(n)}(x - k) \right| \\ &< \sum_{r=1}^s \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |L_r^{(n)}(x - k)|, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

But it follows from (3.9) and (2.2) that equality is attained in (3.10) for $S = \mathfrak{E}_{n,s}$. $\square$

For $s = 1$ this result was proved by Schoenberg [11], and for $s = n$ the result follows immediately from the properties of Chebyshev polynomials.

It is clear from the proof of Theorem 2 that the condition $\|S\| < 1$ in the statement of the theorem can be replaced by the weaker condition

$$|S(k + \beta_i)| < 1, \quad \forall k \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad i = 1, \dots, s.$$

4. Limits of cardinal splines. We need a further property of ET-splines.

LEMMA 2. *For $s = 1, 2, \dots$, there are constants K_s such that $\|\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}^{(v)}\| < K_s (s\pi)^n$ for all $n \geq s$ and $v = 0, \dots, n$.*

PROOF. First suppose s is odd, $s = 2t - 1$. It follows from the work of [1] that for any $n \geq s$,

$$\mathfrak{E}_{n,s} = \mathfrak{E}_{n,1} + \mu_1 \mathfrak{E}_{n-2,1} + \dots + \mu_{t-1} \mathfrak{E}_{n-2t+2,1}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{t-1}$ are chosen to minimise $\|\mathfrak{E}_{n,s}\|$.

We first consider odd $n \geq s$. Then it follows from (4.1) and (2.6) that we may write

$$\mathfrak{E}_{n,s} = (-1)^{(n+1)/2} \phi_n / \|\phi_n\|,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_n(x) &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2r-1)\pi x}{(2r-1)^{n+1}} + \lambda_1^{(n)} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2r-1)\pi x}{(2r-1)^{n-1}} \\ &\quad + \dots + \lambda_{t-1}^{(n)} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2r-1)\pi x}{(2r-1)^{n-2t+3}} \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2r-1)\pi x}{(2r-1)^{n+1}} \{1 + \lambda_1^{(n)}(2r-1)^2 + \dots + \lambda_{t-1}^{(n)}(2r-1)^{2t-2}\},\end{aligned}$$

and $\lambda_1^{(n)}, \dots, \lambda_{t-1}^{(n)}$ are chosen to minimise $\|\phi_n\|$.

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{t-1}$ be the unique solution of the equations

$$1 + (2r-1)^2\lambda_1 + \dots + (2r-1)^{2t-2}\lambda_{t-1} = 0, \quad r = 1, \dots, t-1.$$

Let

$$\psi_n(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2r-1)\pi x}{(2r-1)^{n+1}} \{1 + \lambda_1(2r-1)^2 + \dots + \lambda_{t-1}(2r-1)^{2t-2}\}.$$

Then $\|(2t-3)^{n+1}\psi_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\|\phi_n\| \leq \|\psi_n\|$, $\|(2t-3)^{n+1}\phi_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and so for $r = 1, \dots, t-1$,

$$\left(\frac{2t-3}{2r-1}\right)^{n+1} \{1 + \lambda_1^{(n)}(2r-1)^2 + \dots + \lambda_{t-1}^{(n)}(2r-1)^{2t-2}\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

So $\lambda_i^{(n)} \rightarrow \lambda_i$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $i = 1, \dots, t-1$. Thus

$$(2t-1)^{n+1}\phi_n(x) = f_n(x) + a_n \cos(2t-1)\pi x + O\left(\left[\frac{2t-1}{2t+1}\right]^n\right)$$

where $f_n(x)$ is of the form $\sum_{r=1}^{t-1} b_r \cos(2r-1)\pi x$ and

$$a_n \rightarrow a = 1 + (2t-1)^2\lambda_1 + \dots + (2t-1)^{2t-2}\lambda_{t-1} \neq 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now for each n , there is an integer j , $1 \leq j \leq 2t-1$, such that

$$f_n\left(\frac{j}{2t-1}\right) a_n \cos j\pi > 0,$$

and so

$$(2t-1)^{n+1} \left| \phi_n\left(\frac{j}{2t-1}\right) \right| > |a_n| + O\left(\left[\frac{2t-1}{2t+1}\right]^n\right).$$

So $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$s^{n+1} \|\phi_n\| > \delta, \quad \forall n \geq s. \quad (4.2)$$

Writing

$$g_n(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2r-1)\pi x}{(2r-1)^{n+1}},$$

we have

$$\|g_n^{(\nu)}\| < \pi^\nu \left(1 + \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{5^2} + \cdots\right) < 2\pi^\nu$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\nu < n$. Also $\|g_n^{(n)}\| = 2\|g_n^{(n-1)}\| < 4\pi^{n-1}$. So

$$\|\phi_n^{(\nu)}\| < 4\pi^{n-1} \{1 + |\lambda_1^{(n)}| + \cdots + |\lambda_{t-1}^{(n)}|\}, \quad \nu < n,$$

and so there is a constant K such that

$$\|\phi_n^{(\nu)}\| < K\pi^n \quad \text{for all } n > s \text{ and } \nu < n. \quad (4.3)$$

Thus

$$\|\mathfrak{G}_{n,s}^{(\nu)}\| = \|\phi_n^{(\nu)}\| / \|\phi_n\| < \frac{Ks}{\delta} (s\pi)^n, \quad \forall n > s, \quad \nu < n,$$

by (4.2) and (4.3).

The result for even n follows similarly.

Next suppose s is even, $s = 2t$. We first note that

$$\mathfrak{G}_{n,2}^{(n-1)}(x) / \|\mathfrak{G}_{n,2}^{(n)}\| = x - \frac{1}{2}, \quad \forall x \in (0, 1).$$

So

$$\mathfrak{G}_{n,2} = (-1)^{[n/2]} h / \|h\|,$$

where

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^n} \cos 2k\pi(x - \frac{1}{2}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k)^n} & \text{if } n \text{ even,} \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^n} \sin 2k\pi(x - \frac{1}{2}) & \text{if } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that for even n ,

$$\mathfrak{G}_{n,s} = (-1)^{n/2} \phi_n / \|\phi_n\|,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(x) = & \mu + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^n} \cos 2k\pi(x - \frac{1}{2}) + \lambda_1^{(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^{n-2}} \cos 2k\pi(x - \frac{1}{2}) \\ & + \cdots + \lambda_{t-1}^{(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^{n-2t+2}} \cos 2k\pi(x - \frac{1}{2}), \end{aligned}$$

and $\mu, \lambda_1^{(n)}, \dots, \lambda_{t-1}^{(n)}$ are chosen to minimise $\|\phi_n\|$.

For odd n ,

$$\mathfrak{G}_{n,s} = (-1)^{(n-1)/2} \phi_n / \|\phi_n\|,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_n(x) = & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^n} \sin 2k\pi\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \lambda_1^{(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^n} \sin 2k\pi\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ & + \cdots + \lambda_{t-1}^{(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^{n-2t+2}} \sin 2k\pi\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right),\end{aligned}$$

and $\lambda_1^{(n)}, \dots, \lambda_{t-1}^{(n)}$ are chosen to minimise $\|\phi_n\|$.

The result now follows by the same method as for odd s . $\square$

We now apply Lemma 2 and Theorems 1 and 2 in proving the following:

LEMMA 3. *For $s = 1, 2, \dots$, there are constants L_s such that if S in $\mathfrak{S}_{n,s}$ satisfies $\|S\| < 1$, then $\|S^{(k)}\| < L_s(s\pi)^k$, for all $n > s$ and $k \leq n - s$.*

PROOF. Take S in $\mathfrak{S}_{n,s}$ with $\|S\| < 1$. Then by Theorem 2, $\|S^{(n)}\| < \|\mathfrak{S}_{n,s}^{(n)}\|$. So by Theorem 1,

$$|S^{(k)}(\nu +)| \leq |\mathfrak{S}_{n,s}^{(k)}(\nu +)|, \quad \forall \nu \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad k = n - s + 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

So by Lemma 2,

$$\|S^{(n)}\| < K_s(s\pi)^n \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$|S^{(k)}(\nu +)| < K_s(s\pi)^n, \quad \forall \nu \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad k = n - s + 1, \dots, n - 1. \quad (4.5)$$

It follows from (4.4) and (4.5) for $k = n - 1$ that $\|S^{(n-1)}\| < 2K_s(s\pi)^n$. Proceeding in this manner we deduce that

$$\|S^{(n-s+1)}\| < sK_s(s\pi)^n. \quad (4.6)$$

Let $T(x) = S(Mx)$, where $M = [\frac{1}{2}K_s s^{n+1}\pi^s]^{-1/(n-s+1)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}|T^{(n-s+1)}(x)| &= M^{n-s+1} |S^{(n-s+1)}(x)| \\ &< \left[\frac{1}{2}K_s s^{n+1}\pi^s\right]^{-1} sK_s(s\pi)^n \quad (\text{by (4.6)}) \\ &= 2\pi^{n-s} < \|\mathfrak{S}_{n-s+1}^{(n-s+1)}\|.\end{aligned}$$

So by a theorem of Kolmogorov (see [2]), for $k \leq n - s$,

$$\|T^{(k)}\| \leq \|\mathfrak{S}_{n-s+1}^{(k)}\| < 2\pi^k \quad (\text{see [11]}). \quad (4.7)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}\|S^{(k)}\| &= M^{-k} \|T^{(k)}\| < M^{-k} 2\pi^k \quad (\text{by (4.7)}) \\ &= 2 \left[\frac{1}{2}K_s(s\pi)^s\right]^{k/(n-s+1)} (s\pi)^k \leq L_s(s\pi)^k,\end{aligned}$$

where $L_s = \max\{2, K_s(s\pi)^s\}$. $\square$

By the method of Schoenberg [11], we may deduce from Lemma 3 our final result.

THEOREM 3. *For a given natural number s , suppose $f_n \in \mathcal{S}_{i_n, s}$, where $i_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$ and f is bounded, then f is the restriction to $\mathbb{R}$ of an entire function of exponential type $< s$.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author wishes to thank S. L. Lee for drawing his attention to the problems considered here and for many helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

1. A. S. Cavaretta, Jr., *On cardinal perfect splines of least sup-norm on the real axis*, J. Approximation Theory **8** (1973), 285–303.
2. ———, *An elementary proof of Kolmogorov's theorem*, Amer. Math. Monthly **82** (1974), 480–486.
3. J. W. Jerome and L. L. Schumaker, *On Lg-splines*, J. Approximation Theory **2** (1969), 29–49.
4. S. Karlin, *Total positivity*, vol. 1, Stanford Univ. Press, Stanford, California, 1968.
5. S. L. Lee and A. Sharma, *Cardinal lacunary interpolation by g-splines. I, The characteristic polynomials*, J. Approximation Theory **16** (1976), 85–96.
6. P. R. Lipow and I. J. Schoenberg, *Cardinal interpolation and spline functions. III, Cardinal Hermite interpolation*, Linear Algebra and Appl. **6** (1973), 273–304.
7. G. G. Lorentz, *Zeros of splines and Birkhoff's kernel*, Math. Z. **142** (1975), 173–180.
8. M. J. Marsden and S. D. Riemenschneider, *Cardinal Hermite spline interpolation. Convergence as the degree tends to infinity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **235** (1973), 221–244.
9. C. A. Micchelli, *Oscillation matrices and cardinal spline interpolation*, Splines and Approximation Theory, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
10. I. J. Schoenberg, *Notes on spline functions. III, On the convergence of the interpolating cardinal splines as their degree tends to infinity*, Israel J. Math. **16** (1973), 87–93.
11. ———, *Notes on spline functions. IV, A cardinal spline analogue of the theorem of the brothers Markov*, Israel J. Math. **16** (1973), 94–102.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE OF MALAYSIA, PENANG, MALAYSIA

Current address: Department of Mathematics, The University, Dundee DD1 4HN, Scotland