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#### Abstract

Weighted $L^{p}$-norm inequalities are derived for multiplier operators on Euclidean space. The multipliers are assumed to satisfy conditions of the Hörmander-Mikhlin type, and the weight functions are generally required to satisfy conditions more restrictive than $A_{p}$ which depend on the degree of differentiability of the multiplier. For weights which are powers of $|x|$, sharp results are obtained which indicate such restrictions are necessary. The method of proof is based on the function $f^{\#}$ of C. Fefferman and E. Stein rather than on Littlewood-Paley theory. The method also yields results for singular integral operators.


1. Let $m(x)$ be a bounded function on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and consider the multiplier operator Tf defined initially for functions $f$ in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}$ by $(T f)^{\wedge}(x)=m(x) \hat{f}(x)$, where $\hat{g}$ is the Fourier transform of $g$. Denote by $s$ a real number greater than or equal to $1, l$ a positive integer, and $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ a multi-index of nonnegative integers $\alpha_{j}$ with length $|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}$. We say $m \in M(s, l)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{R>0}\left(R^{s|\alpha|-n} \int_{R<|x|<2 R}\left|D^{\alpha} m(x)\right|^{s} d x\right)^{1 / s}<+\infty \quad \text { for all }|\alpha|<l . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition (1.1) has been known to be related to multipler theorems for some time. The classic works in this direction are the theorems of Marcinkiewicz (see [18]) and Hörmander-Mikhlin (see [7]):

Theorem A. Let $n=1,1<p<\infty$, and $m \in M(1,1)$. Then there exists a constant $C$, independent of $f$, such that $\|T f\|_{p} \leqslant C\|f\|_{p}$.

Theorem B. Let $l>n / 2,1<p<\infty$, and $m \in M(2, l)$. Then there exists a constant $C$, independent of $f$, such that $\|T f\|_{p} \leqslant C\|f\|_{p}$.

Much work has been done to extend these results. Using interpolation methods, Calderón and Torchinsky [2] have considered the condition $m \in$ $M(s, l)$ for $s \geqslant 2$ and $l>n / s$. Hirschman [6], Krée [11], and Triebel [20] have extended these results in various directions to weighted $L^{p}$ spaces for weights which are powers of $|x|$. More recently, Kurtz [12] extended Theo-

[^0]rems A and B to $L^{p}$ spaces with more general weights by using the weighted norm inequalities derived in [15] for the function $g_{\lambda}^{*}$.

The purpose of this paper is two-fold. We consider $s<2$ and present a method of proof based on the function $f^{\#}$ of Fefferman and Stein [5] rather than on Littlewood-Paley theory.

We say $f \in L_{w}^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right), 1 \leqslant p<\infty$ and $w(x) \geqslant 0$, if

$$
\|f\|_{p, w}=\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}|f(x)|^{p} w(x) d x\right)^{1 / p}<+\infty
$$

The weights $w$ we will consider satisfy an $A_{r}$ condition; i.e., $w \in A_{r}$ if there is a constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x) d x\right)\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x)^{-1 /(r-1)} d x\right)^{r-1}<C, \quad 1<r<\infty \\
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x) d x<C \underset{Q}{\operatorname{ess} \inf } w, \quad r=1
\end{gathered}
$$

for all cubes $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$. When $r=1$, the condition that $w \in A_{1}$ means $w^{*}(x)<$ $C w(x)$ for almost every $x$, where $g^{*}$ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of $g$. Finally, $w \in A_{\infty}$ if there exist positive constants $C$ and $\delta$ such that for any cube $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ and for any measurable set $E \subset Q$,

$$
\frac{m_{w}(E)}{m_{w}(Q)}<C\left(\frac{|E|}{|Q|}\right)^{\delta}
$$

where $m_{w}(E)=\int_{E} w(x) d x$. Results concerning $A_{p}$ functions can be found in Muckenhoupt [13] and Coifman and Fefferman [3]. Note, in particular, that $w \in A_{p}$ implies $w \in A_{\infty}$.

We use $p^{\prime}$ to denote the index conjugate to $p: 1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1, p>1$.
The main result of this paper is:
Theorem 1. Let $1<s \leqslant 2, n / s<l \leqslant n$, and $m \in M(s, l)$. If
(1) $n / l<p<\infty$ and $w \in A_{p l / n}$, or
(2) $1<p<(n / l)^{\prime}$ and $w^{-1 /(p-1)} \in A_{p^{\prime} l / n}$,
then there is a constant $C$, independent of $f$, such that

$$
\|T f\|_{p, w} \leq C\|f\|_{p, w}
$$

When $l<n$, we may take $p=n / l$ in (1) and $p=(n / l)^{\prime}$ in (2). If
(3) $w^{n / l} \in A_{1}$,
there is a constant $C$, independent of $f$ and $\lambda$, such that

$$
m_{w}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:|T f(x)|>\lambda\right\}\right)<\frac{C}{\lambda}\|f\|_{1, w}, \quad \lambda>0
$$

Using interpolation, other conditions on the weight can be found which guarantee that $T$ is a bounded operator. One result which we will prove is:

Theorem 2. If $1<p<\infty, 1<s<2, n / s<l \leqslant n, m \in M(s, l)$, and $w^{n / l} \in A_{p}$ then

$$
\|T f\|_{p, w}<C\|f\|_{p, w}
$$

for a constant independent of $f$.
This result does not give the best possible condition on the weight. When $w(x)=|x|^{\beta}$, we have $w \in A_{p}$ if $-n<\beta<n(p-1)$. Interpreting Theorem 1 for such $w$ and using interpolation with change of measures, we will show:

Theorem 3. Let $1<s<2, n / s<l<n$, and $m \in M(s, l)$. If $1<p<\infty$ and $\max \{-n,-l p\}<\beta<\min \{n(p-1), l p\}$, then there is a constant $C$, independent of $f$, such that

$$
\|T f\|_{p,|x|^{\beta}} \leqslant C\|f\|_{p,|x|^{\beta}} .
$$

In particular, if $n / l<p<(n / l)^{\prime}$, we get $-n<\beta<n(p-1)$; we may also take $p=n / l$ and $p=(n / l)^{\prime}$ if $l<n$.

We will show that this result is sharp with the possible exception of the endpoint values of $\beta$.

Let $\check{g}$ denote the inverse Fourier transform of $g$. If we set $K=\check{m}$, then for $f \in \delta, T f(x)=(K * f)(x)$. Our proof of Theorem 1 is based on using information about $m$ to get estimates on approximations to $K$, so it is not surprising that the technique carries over to convolution operators.

Denote by $\Sigma=\Sigma_{n-1}=\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:|x|=1\right\}, x^{\prime}=x /|x| \in \Sigma(x \neq 0)$, and $\rho$ any rotation of $\Sigma$ with magnitude $|\rho|=\sup _{x \in \Sigma}|\rho x-x|$. Let $1<r \leqslant \infty$ and $\Omega \in L^{r}(\Sigma)$ be positively homogeneous of degree zero. We say that $\Omega$ satisfies the $L^{r}$-Dini condition if

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \omega_{r}(\delta) \frac{d \delta}{\delta}<+\infty,
$$

where

$$
\omega_{r}(\delta)=\sup _{|\rho|<\delta}\left(\int_{\Sigma}|\Omega(\rho x)-\Omega(x)|^{r} d \sigma_{x}\right)^{1 / r}
$$

Set $K(x)=\Omega\left(x^{\prime}\right) /|x|^{n}$, with $\int_{\Sigma} \Omega(x) d \sigma_{x}=0$, and $T f(x)=(K * f)(x)$ in the usual principal-value sense. If $\Omega$ satisfies the $L^{r}$-Dini condition then it also satisfies the $L^{1}$-Dini condition, which by [1] implies $T$ is a bounded operator on $L^{p}, 1<p<\infty$. Recently, Kaneko and Yano [10] have shown that if $\Omega$ satisfies the $L^{\infty}$-Dini condition then $T$ maps $L_{w}^{p}$ into itself for $1<p<\infty$ and $w \in A_{p}$. We have extended this to:

Theorem 4. Let $1<r \leqslant \infty, \Omega \in L^{r}(\Sigma)$, and $\int_{\Sigma} \Omega(x) d \sigma_{x}=0$. Suppose $\Omega$ satisfies the $L^{r}$-Dini condition. If
(1) $r^{\prime}<p<\infty$ and $w \in A_{p / r}$, or
(2) $1<p<r$ and $w^{-1 /(p-1)} \in A_{p^{\prime} / r}$
then there is a constant $C$, independent of $f$, such that

$$
\|T f\|_{p, w}<C\|f\|_{p, w} .
$$

When $r<\infty$, we may take $p=r^{\prime}$ in (1) and $p=r$ in (2). If
(3) $w^{r^{r}} \in A_{1}$, then

$$
m_{w}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:|T f(x)|>\lambda\right\}\right) \leqslant \frac{C}{\lambda}\|f\|_{1, w}, \quad \lambda>0
$$

where $C$ is independent of $f$ and $\lambda$.
Theorem 4 is a direct analogue of Theorem 1. (We could also have stated a version of Theorem 3. See also [14].) In fact, when $r>2, r^{\prime}$ plays the same role as $n / l$. For example, notice the similarity between $m \in M(s, n), 1<s \leqslant$ 2 , and $\Omega$ satisfying the $L^{\infty}$-Dini condition. Our technique, however, does not allow for either $r$ or $s$ to be equal to 1 .
$\$ 2$ contains the basic lemma and a collection of results used in the proof of Theorem 1. This theorem and Theorems 2 and 3 are proved in §3. The proof of Theorem 4 is found in $\S 4$. The paper concludes with a counterexample showing Theorem 3 is best possible except for the question of endpoint equalities for $\beta$. The basic lemma and the counterexample are generalizations to $n>1$ of results in [16], and we gratefully acknowledge many helpful discussions with W.-S. Young and B. Muckenhoupt.
2. Following [7], we select an approximation to the identity

$$
\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi\left(2^{-j} x\right)=1, \quad x \neq 0
$$

where $\varphi$ is an infinitely differentiable, nonnegative function supported in $\frac{1}{2}<|x|<2$. Let $m_{j}(x)=m(x) \varphi\left(2^{-j} x\right)$, so that

$$
m(x)=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} m_{j}(x), \quad x \neq 0
$$

Notice that $m_{j}(x)$ is supported in $2^{j-1}<|x|<2^{j+1}$ and that for such $x$, $m_{k}(x)=0$ unless $k=j-1, j$, or $j+1$. It follows easily that if $m \in M(s, l)$ and $|\alpha| \leqslant l$, then

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|D^{\alpha} m_{j}(x)\right|^{s} d x\right)^{1 / s} \leqslant C\left(2^{j}\right)^{n / s-|\alpha|}
$$

with $C$ independent of $j$.
We also have that $m_{j} \in L^{1} \cap L^{\infty}$. Define $k_{j}(x)$ by $k_{j}(x)=\check{m}_{j}(x)$, and let

$$
m^{N}(x)=\sum_{j=-N}^{N} m_{j}(x), \quad K_{N}(x)=\left(m^{N}\right)^{ソ}(x)=\sum_{j=-N}^{N} k_{j}(x) .
$$

It follows that $\left\|m^{N}\right\|_{\infty}<C$, uniformly in $N$, and that $m^{N}(x) \rightarrow m(x), x \neq 0$,
as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Now define $T_{N} f$ by $T_{N} f=\left(m^{N} \hat{f}\right)$, so that $T_{N} f=f * K_{N}$ for $f \in L^{2}$, say. The following lemma shows how conditions on $m$ can be interpreted as conditions on $K_{N}$.

Lemma 1. Let $1<s \leqslant 2, m \in M(s, l)$ for a positive integer $l$, and let $K_{N}$ be defined as above. If $d$ is an integer such that $0<d<l, 1<t<s, n / t<d<$ $n / t+1$, and $1 \leqslant p \leqslant t^{\prime}$, then

$$
\left(\int_{R<|x|<2 R}\left|K_{N}(x-y)-K_{N}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant C R^{-d+n / p-n / t^{\prime}}|y|^{d-n / t}
$$

for all $|y|<\frac{R}{2}$,
with $C$ independent of $N, R$, and $y$.
Proof. Since $K_{N}(x)=\sum_{j=-N}^{N} k_{j}(x)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\int_{R<|x|<2 R}\left|K_{N}(x-y)-K_{N}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \quad<\sum_{j}\left(\int_{R<|x|<2 R}\left|k_{j}(x-y)-k_{j}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, $|y|<R / 2$ and $R<|x|<2 R$ imply $R / 2<|x-y|<5 R / 2$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{R<|x|<2 R}\left|k_{j}(x-y)-k_{j}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
&<\left(\int_{R<|x|<2 R}\left|k_{j}(x-y)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}+\left(\int_{R<|x|<2 R}\left|k_{j}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \quad<2\left(\int_{R / 2<|x|<5 R / 2}\left|k_{j}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we need to estimate

$$
\left(\int_{R / 2<|x|<5 R / 2}\left|k_{j}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \text { and }\left(\int_{R<|x|<2 R}\left|k_{j}(x-y)-k_{j}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Let $d$ be an integer such that $0<d \leqslant l$ and $1<t \leqslant s$ such that $p<t^{\prime}$. It is easy to see that $m \in M(t, d)$. Let $x^{\alpha}=x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\int_{R / 2<|x|<5 R / 2}\left|k_{j}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant C R^{-d}\left(\left.\left.\int_{R / 2<|x|<5 R / 2}| | x\right|^{d} k_{j}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
<C R^{-d} \sum_{|\alpha|=d}\left(\int_{R / 2<|x|<5 R / 2}\left|x^{\alpha} k_{j}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}
\end{gathered}
$$

Using the fact that $\check{m}_{j}=\boldsymbol{k}_{j}$, Hölder's inequality, and the Hausdorff-Young
theorem, we have for $|\alpha|=d$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.\left(\int_{R / 2<|x|<5 R / 2}\left|x^{\alpha} k_{j}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}=\left.\left(\int_{R / 2<|x|<5 R / 2} \mid\left(D^{\alpha} m_{j}\right)\right)^{-}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
&=R^{n / p}\left(R^{-n} \int_{R / 2<|x|<5 R / 2}\left|\left(D^{\alpha} m_{j}\right)^{-}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
&<C R^{n / p}\left(R^{-n} \int_{R / 2<|x|<5 R / 2}\left|\left(D^{\alpha} m_{j}\right)^{n}(x)\right|^{t} d x\right)^{1 / t^{\prime}} \\
&<C R^{n / p-n / r}\left(\int_{R^{n}}\left|D^{\alpha} m_{j}(x)\right|^{t} d x\right)^{1 / t} \\
&<C R^{n / p-n / t}\left(2^{j}\right)^{n / t-d} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining these estimates gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{R / 2<|x|<5 R / 2}\left|k_{j}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}<C R^{-d+n / p-n / t}\left(2^{j}\right)^{n / t-d} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the integral of the difference of the $k_{j}$ 's we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{R<|x|<2 R}\left|k_{j}(x-y)-k_{j}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
&=\left(\int_{R<|x|<2 R}\left|\left\{m_{j}(x)\left(e^{i x \cdot y}-1\right)\right\}^{p}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
&<C R^{-d}\left(\left.\left.\int_{R<|x|<2 R}| | x\right|^{d}\left\{m_{j}(x)\left(e^{i x \cdot y}-1\right)\right\}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
&<C R^{n / p-d} \sum_{|\alpha|=d}\left(R^{-n} \int_{R<|x|<2 R}\left|x^{\alpha}\left\{m_{j}(x)\left(e^{i x \cdot y}-1\right)\right\}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
&<C R^{n / p-d} \sum_{|\alpha|=d}\left(R^{-n} \int_{R<|x|<2 R} \mid\left\{D^{\alpha}\left[m_{j}(x)\left(e^{i x \cdot y}-1\right)\right]\right\}^{\prime p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
&<C R^{n / p-d} \sum_{|\alpha|=d}\left(R^{-n} \int_{R<|x|<2 R^{\prime}}\left|\left\{D^{\alpha}\left[m_{j}(x)\left(e^{i x \cdot y}-1\right)\right]\right\}^{v}\right|^{t} d x\right)^{1 / t} \\
&<C R^{n / p-d-n / t} \sum_{|\alpha|=d}\left(\int_{R^{n}}\left|D^{\alpha}\left[m_{j}(x)\left(e^{i x \cdot y}-1\right)\right]\right|^{t} d x\right)^{1 / t} \\
&<C R^{n / p-d-n / t} \sum_{|\beta|+|\gamma|=d}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|D^{\beta} m_{j}(x) \cdot D^{\gamma}\left(e^{i x \cdot y}-1\right)\right|^{t} d x\right)^{1 / t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider first $|\gamma|=0,|\beta|=d$. Since $\left|e^{i x \cdot y}-1\right| \leqslant|x||y|$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\left(D^{\beta} m_{j}(x)\right)\left(e^{i x \cdot y}-1\right)\right|^{t} d x\right)^{1 / t} & <\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}| | x| | y\left|D^{\beta} m_{j}(x)\right|^{t} d x\right)^{1 / t} \\
& <C 2^{j}|y|\left(2^{j}\right)^{n / t-d}=C|y|\left(2^{j}\right)^{n / t-d+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $|\gamma|>0,\left|D^{\gamma}\left(e^{i x \cdot y}-1\right)\right|<|y|^{|\gamma|}$ and $|\beta|=d-|\gamma|$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|D^{\beta} m_{j}(x) \cdot D^{\gamma}\left(e^{i x \cdot y}-1\right)\right|^{t} d x\right)^{1 / t} & <\left(\left.\left.\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}| | y\right|^{|\gamma|} D^{\beta} m_{j}(x)\right|^{t} d x\right)^{1 / t} \\
& \leqslant C|y|^{|\gamma|}\left(2^{j}\right)^{n / t-|\beta|}=C|y|^{|\gamma|}\left(2^{j}\right)^{n / t-d+|y|}
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding these estimates, we obtain
$\left(\int_{R<|x|<2 R}\left|k_{j}(x-y)-k_{j}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}<C R^{n / p-d-n / t^{\prime}} \sum_{m=1}^{d}|y|^{m}\left(2^{j}\right)^{n / t-d+m}$.

But, if $2^{j} \leqslant|y|^{-1}\left(|y|<2^{-j}\right)$,

$$
|y|^{m}\left(2^{j}\right)^{n / t-d+m}<|y|\left(2^{j}\right)^{n / t-d+1}
$$

so for these values of $j$, the estimate (2.3) becomes $C R^{n / p-d-n / t}|y|\left(2^{j}\right)^{n / t-d+1}$.

Using (2.2) and (2.3) in (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{R<|x|<2 R}\left|K_{N}(x-y)-K_{N}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
& <C \sum_{2^{j}<|y|^{-1}} R^{n / p-d-n / t^{\prime}}|y|\left(2^{j}\right)^{n / t-d+1}+C \sum_{2^{j}>|y|^{-1}} R^{n / p-d-n / t^{\prime}\left(2^{j}\right)^{n / t d}} \\
& <C R^{n / P-d-n / t^{\prime}}|y|^{d-n / t}
\end{aligned}
$$

as long as $n / t<d<n / t+1$. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.
Although we will not use it, we would like to point out that if $l>$ $\max \left\{n / p^{\prime}, n / s\right\}$, then

$$
\left(\int_{R<|x|<2 R}\left|K_{N}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}<C R^{n / p-n}
$$

This follows from (2.2) with $d=l$ and the estimate

$$
\left(\int_{R<|x|<2 R}\left|k_{j}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}<C 2^{j n} R^{n / p}
$$

which is a consequence of $\left|k_{j}(x)\right|=\left|\check{m}_{j}(x)\right| \leqslant\left\|m_{j}\right\|_{1} \leqslant C 2^{j n}$.
Remark 1. We may replace the domain of integration in Lemma 1 by $\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}: R<|x|\right\}$; that is, under the conditions of Lemma 1 ,

$$
\left(\int_{R<|x|}\left|K_{N}(x-y)-K_{N}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}<C R^{-d+n / p-n / t^{\prime}}|y|^{d-n / t}
$$

For, if $t, d$, and $y$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 1 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{R<|x|}\left|K_{N}(x-y)-K_{N}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
&<\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{2^{j} R<|x|<2^{j+1} R}\left|K_{N}(x-y)-K_{N}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C\left(2^{j} R\right)^{-d+n / p-n / t^{\prime}}|y|^{d-n / t} \\
&=C R^{-d+n / p-n / t^{\prime}}|y|^{d-n / t} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(2^{j}\right)^{-d+n / p-n / t^{\prime}} \\
&=C R^{-d+n / p-n / t^{\prime}|y|^{d-n / t}}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $-d+n / p-n / t^{\prime}<0$ for $n / t<d$.
Remark 2. The Hörmander-Mikhlin theorem follows easily from Lemma 1. To see this, let $m \in M(s, l), 1<s \leqslant 2$ and $l>n / s$. Choose $t \leqslant s$ so that $n / t<l<n / t+1$. By Remark 1 with $p=1$ and $R=2|y|$, we have

$$
\int_{|x|>2|y|}\left|K_{N}(x-y)-K_{N}(x)\right| d x<C(2|y|)^{-l+n-n / t^{\prime}}|y|^{l-n / t}=C .
$$

Thus, the kernels $K_{N}$ satisfy, uniformly in $N$, the Hörmander condition

$$
\int_{|x|>2|y|}|K(x-y)-K(x)| d x<C \quad \text { for all } y \neq 0
$$

so that $T_{N} f=K_{N} * f$ is bounded on $L^{p}$, uniformly in $N$, for $1<p<\infty$.
For $f \in \mathcal{S}$, we have $T f=(m \hat{f})^{\prime}$. It follows that

$$
\left\|T f-T_{N} f\right\|_{\infty}<\left\|\left(m-m^{N}\right) \hat{f}\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0
$$

since $m^{N}$ converges pointwise and boundedly to $m$. Then, applying Fatou's lemma, we get

$$
\|T f\|_{p} \leqslant C\|f\|_{p},
$$

for $f \in \delta$, where $C$ is the uniform bound for the $T_{N}$ on $L^{p}$. The result extends to all of $L^{p}$ by continuity.

Part (1) of Theorem 1 is proved using Lemma 1 and the following three known results.

Lemma 2. Set $f_{r}^{*}(x)=\left(\left(f^{r}\right)^{*}\right)^{1 / r}(x)$. If $0<r<p<\infty$ and $w \in A_{p / r}$, then $\left\|f_{r}^{*}\right\|_{p, w}<C\|f\|_{p, w}$
with $C$ independent of $f$.

This is an immediate corollary of results in [13].
Lemma 3. Let

$$
f^{\#}(x)=\sup _{Q \ni x}|Q|^{-1} \int_{Q}\left|f(y)-\operatorname{av}_{Q} f\right| d y
$$

where $\operatorname{av}_{Q} f=|Q|^{-1} \int_{Q} f(z) d z$. Let $0<p<\infty$ and $w \in A_{\infty}$. Then

$$
\left\|f^{*}\right\|_{p, w}<C\left\|f^{\#}\right\|_{p, w}
$$

with $C$ independent of $f$.
This is proved in [4]. The following result is a special case of interpolation with change of measures. It is proved in [17] and [19].

Lemma 4. Let $1<r<q<\infty$ and let $w_{0}$ and $w_{1}$ be two positive weights. If $T$ is a bounded linear operator from $L_{w_{0}}^{r}$ into itself and $L_{w_{1}}^{q}$ into itself, then $T$ is bounded from $L_{w}^{p}$ into itself for $r \leqslant p \leqslant q$ and $w=w_{0}^{t} w_{1}^{1-t}$, provided $t=$ $(q-p) /(q-r)$ for $r \neq q$ and $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$ for $r=q$.

We would like to point out that $w^{n / l} \in A_{p}, n / l \geqslant 1$, if and only if $w \in A_{p}$ and satisfies the reverse Hölder's inequalities

$$
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w^{n / l}(x) d x<C\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x) d x\right)^{n / l}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left(w(x)^{-1 /(p-1)}\right)^{n / t} d x<C\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x)^{-1 /(p-1)} d x\right)^{n / l}
$$

when $p=1$, we only need the first inequality. For $p>1$, if $w \in A_{p}$ and satisfies the above inequalities, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w^{n / l}(x) d x\right) & \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left(w^{n / l}(x)\right)^{-1 /(p-1)} d x\right)^{p-1} \\
& <C\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x) d x\right)^{n / l}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x)^{-1 /(p-1)} d x\right)^{(p-1) n / l}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $w^{n / l} \in A_{p}$. For $p=1$, if $w \in A_{1}$ and satisfies the first inequality above, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w^{n / l}(x) d x & <C\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x) d x\right)^{n / l} \\
& <C(\underset{Q}{\operatorname{essinf}} w)^{n / l}=C \underset{Q}{\operatorname{essinf}} w^{n / l}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $w^{n / l} \in A_{1}$. For the other implication, note first that $w^{n / l} \in A_{p}$ implies $w \in A_{p}$ since $n / l \geqslant 1$. If $p>1$, by the $A_{p}$ condition,

$$
\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w^{n / l}(x) d x\right)^{l / n} \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x)^{-(n / l)(1 /(p-1))} d x\right)^{-(l / n)(p-1)}
$$

Thus, the first reverse Hölder's inequality will follow if we show

$$
\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x)^{-(n / l)(1 /(p-1))} d x\right)^{-(l / n)(p-1)}<\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x) d x
$$

or equivalently

$$
1<\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x) d x\right)\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x)^{-n / l(p-1)} d x\right)^{l(p-1) / n}
$$

But, if $s>1$, using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} d x=\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w^{1 / s}(x) w^{-1 / s}(x) d x \\
& \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x) d x\right)^{1 / s}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x)^{-1 /(s-1)} d x\right)^{(s-1) / s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $s-1=l(p-1) / n$, or $s=1+l(p-1) / n>1$, we get the desired inequality. Since $w^{n / l} \in A_{p}$ implies $\left(w^{-1 /(p-1)}\right)^{n / l} \in A_{p^{\prime}}$, we also obtain the other reverse Hölder's inequality from the argument above. Finally, when $p=1$, by the $A_{1}$ condition

$$
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w^{n / l}(x) d x<c \underset{Q}{\operatorname{ess} \inf } w^{n / l}=c(\operatorname{ess} \inf w)^{n / l}<c\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x) d x\right)^{n / l}
$$

Notice that the above is true if we replace $n / l$ by any $t \geqslant 1$.
3. We begin the proof of Theorem 1 by noting that (2) is a consequence of (1) by duality. To see this, suppose $1<p<(n / l)^{\prime}$ and $w^{-1 /(p-1)} \in A_{p^{\prime} l / n}$. Then, for $f \in \mathcal{\delta}$,

$$
\|T f\|_{p, w}=\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}|T f(x)|^{p} w(x) d x\right)^{1 / p}=\sup \left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} T f(x) g(x) d x\right|
$$

where the supremum is taken over all functions $g \in \delta$ such that $\|g\|_{p^{\prime}, w^{-1 /(p-1)}}$ $=1$.
Let $\bar{T}$ be the operator with multiplier $\bar{m}$, the complex conjugate of $m$. Then $\bar{m}$ satisfies the same estimates as $m$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T f\|_{p, w} & =\sup \left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} f(x) \bar{T} g(x) d x\right|<\sup \|f\|_{p, w}\|\bar{T} g\|_{p^{\prime}, w^{-1 /(p-1)}} \\
& \leqslant C\|f\|_{p, w} \sup \|g\|_{p^{\prime}, w^{-1 /(p-1)}}=C\|f\|_{p, w}
\end{aligned}
$$

by (1), since $p^{\prime} \geqslant n / l$ and $w^{-1 /(p-1)} \in A_{p^{\prime} / / n^{\prime}}$.
Turning to the proof of (1), fix $p>n / l$ and $w \in A_{p l / n}$. Choose an $r \leqslant s$ such that $n / r$ is not an integer, $n / l<r<p$ and $w \in A_{p / r}$. There is an
integer $d \leqslant l$ for which $n / r<d<n / r+1$. We will show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{N} f\right)^{\#}(x)<C f_{r}^{*}(x) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a $C$ independent of $f$ and $N$.
Fix $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and let $Q$ be a cube centered at $x$ with diameter $\delta$. Write

$$
f(y)=f_{0}(y)+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_{j}(y)
$$

where

$$
f_{0}(y)=f(y) \chi\left(\left\{y \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:|x-y|<2 \delta\right\}\right)
$$

and

$$
f_{j}(y)=f(y) x\left(\left\{y \in \mathbf{R}^{n}: 2^{j} \delta<|x-y| \leqslant 2^{j+1} \delta\right\}\right), \quad j=1,2, \ldots
$$

For $y \in Q$,

$$
\left(K_{N} * f\right)(y)=\left(K_{N} * f_{0}\right)(y)+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(K_{N} * f_{j}\right)(y)
$$

By Hölder's inequality and Remark 2, for any $q>1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|\left(K_{N} * f_{0}\right)(y)\right| d y & <\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|\left(K_{N} * f_{0}\right)(y)\right|^{q} d y\right)^{1 / q} \\
& <C \frac{\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{q}}{|Q|^{1 / q}}<C f_{q}^{*}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $C$ independent of $N$. For any $j$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(K_{N} * f_{j}\right)(y) & =\left(K_{N} * f_{j}\right)(x)+\int\left\{K_{N}(y-z)-K_{N}(x-z)\right\} f_{j}(z) d z \\
& \equiv c_{j}+\varepsilon_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

say. Note that $c_{j}$ is independent of $y$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\varepsilon_{j}\right| & <\int_{2^{j} \delta<|x-z|<2^{j+1} \delta}\left|K_{N}(y-z)-K_{N}(x-z)\right||f(z)| d z \\
& <\left(\int_{2 j<|x-z|<2^{j+1} \delta}\left|K_{N}(y-z)-K_{N}(x-z)\right|^{r} d z\right)^{1 / r^{\prime}} \\
& \cdot\left(\int_{|x-z|<2^{j+1} \delta}|f(z)|^{r} d z\right)^{1 / r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemma 1 with $p=r^{\prime}$ and $t=r$ and noting that $|x-y|<\delta$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\varepsilon_{j}\right| & <C|x-y|^{d-n / r}\left(2^{j} \delta\right)^{-d}\left(2^{j+1} \delta\right)^{n / r}\left\{\left(2^{j+1} \delta\right)^{-n} \int_{|x-z|<2^{j+1} \delta}|f(z)|^{r} d z\right\}^{1 / r} \\
& <C\left(2^{j}\right)^{n / r-d} f_{r}^{*}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|\left(K_{N} * f\right)(y)-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{j}\right| d y=\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(K_{N} * f_{j}\right)(y)-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{j}\right| d y \\
\leqslant \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|\left(K_{N} * f_{0}\right)(y)\right| d y+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|\left(K_{N} * f_{j}\right)(y)-c_{j}\right| d y \\
\leqslant C f_{r}^{*}(x)+C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(2^{j}\right)^{n / r-d} f_{r}^{*}(x)=C f_{r}^{*}(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

since $n / r-d<0$. The fact that this estimate is true for any cube centered at $x$ implies (3.1). Now, using Lemmas 2 and 3, since $w \in A_{p / r}$, we obtain

$$
\left\|\left(K_{N} * f\right)\right\|_{p, w} \leqslant\left\|\left(K_{N} * f\right)^{*}\right\|_{p, w} \leqslant C\left\|\left(K_{N} * f\right)^{\sharp}\right\|_{p, w} \leqslant C\left\|f_{r}^{*}\right\|_{p, w} \leqslant C\|f\|_{p, w},
$$

uniformly in $N$. Arguing as in Remark 2, we have

$$
\|T f\|_{p, w}=\|(K * f)\|_{p, w}<C\|f\|_{p, w} .
$$

When $l<n$ and $p=n / l$, the above proof fails. However, using Lemma 4 and the fact that $w \in A_{1}$ implies there is a $b>1$ such that $w^{b} \in A_{1}$, we will prove the result. So, fix such a $b$. Then $w^{b} \in A_{q l / n}$ for any $q>n / l$. Setting $w_{0}(x)=1$ and $w_{1}(x)=w^{b}(x)$, we need to find $q$ and $r$ so that $r<n / l<q$ and $w(x)=\left(w^{b}(x)\right)^{(n / l-r) /(q-r)}$. Thus we need $b((n / l-r) /(q-r))=1$ or $b(n / l-r)=q-r$. Then, choosing $r, 1<r<n / l$, and solving for $q$, which is necessarily greater than $n / l$ since $b>1$, completes the proof.

The proof of Theorem 1 will be finished once we show the weak-type ( 1,1 ) result. This will be done using standard techniques which are included for completeness. Fix a nonnegative $f$ in $L^{1} \cap L_{w}^{1}$ and $\lambda>0$. Applying the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition to $f$, we get a sequence of disjoint cubes $\left\{Q_{k}\right\}$ and functions $g$ and $b, f(x)=g(x)+b(x)$, satisfying
(i) $\left.\left|Q_{k}\right| \leqslant(C / \lambda)\right\}_{Q_{k}} f(y) d y$,
(ii) $\|g\|_{2, w}^{2} \leqslant \lambda\|f\|_{1, w}$,
(iii) $b(y)=f(y)-\left|Q_{k}\right|^{-1} \int_{Q_{k}} f(z) d z$ for $y \in Q_{k}, \operatorname{supp} b \subset \cup Q_{k}$ and $\int_{Q_{k}} b(y) d y=0$.
Since $T_{N} f=T_{N} g+T_{N} b$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{w}(\{x & \left.\left.\in \mathbf{R}^{n}:\left|T_{N} f(x)\right|>2 \lambda\right\}\right) \\
& \leqslant m_{w}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:\left|T_{N} g(x)\right|>\lambda\right\}\right)+m_{w}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:\left|T_{N} b(x)\right|>\lambda\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can apply (1) of Theorem 1 to the first term on the right because $w \in A_{1}$. Then, using (ii), we get

$$
m_{w}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:\left|T_{N} g(x)\right|>\lambda\right\}\right)<\frac{C}{\lambda^{2}}\|g\|_{2, w}^{2}<\frac{C}{\lambda}\|f\|_{1, w} .
$$

Let $Q_{k}^{*}$ be $Q_{k}$ expanded concentrically twice. Then using (i) and the fact that
$w \in A_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{w}\left(\bigcup Q_{k}^{*}\right) & \leqslant \sum m_{w}\left(Q_{k}^{*}\right) \leqslant C \sum m_{w}\left(Q_{k}\right)<C \sum \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{Q_{k}} f(y) \frac{m_{w}\left(Q_{k}\right)}{\left|Q_{k}\right|} d y \\
& \leqslant \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum \int_{Q_{k}} f(y) w(y) d y<\frac{C}{\lambda}\|f\|_{1, w} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have only to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{w}\left(\left\{x \notin \bigcup Q_{k}^{*}:\left|T_{N} b(x)\right|>\lambda\right\}\right)<\frac{C}{\lambda}\|f\|_{1, w} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y_{k}$ and $\delta_{k}$ be the center and diameter of $Q_{k}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{x \notin \cup Q_{k}^{*}}\left|T_{N} b(x)\right| w(x) d x=\int_{x \notin \cup Q_{k}^{*}}\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} K_{N}(x-y) b(y) d y\right| w(x) d x \\
&=\int_{x \notin \cup Q_{k}^{*} \mid}\left|\sum_{k} \int_{Q_{k}} K_{N}(x-y) b(y) d y\right| w(x) d x \\
&=\int_{x \notin \cup Q_{k}^{*}}\left|\sum_{k} \int_{Q_{k}}\left\{K_{N}(x-y)-K_{N}\left(x-y_{k}\right)\right\} b(y) d y\right| w(x) d x \\
& \leqslant \sum_{k} \int_{Q_{k}}\left(\int_{x \notin Q_{k}^{*}}\left|K_{N}(x-y)-K_{N}\left(x-y_{k}\right)\right| w(x) d x\right)|b(y)| d y
\end{aligned}
$$

If we can show, for any $y \in Q_{k}$, that the inner integral is bounded by a constant independent of $k$ and $N$ times ess $\inf _{\boldsymbol{Q}_{k}} w$, then our result will follow, as we now show. For, by (iii),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{w}\left(\left\{x \notin \cup Q_{k}^{*}:\left|T_{N} b(x)\right|>\lambda\right\}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{x \notin \cup Q_{k}^{*}}\left|T_{N} b(x)\right| w(x) d x \\
& \leqslant \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum \int_{Q_{k}}|b(x)| \underset{Q_{k}}{\operatorname{ess} \inf } w d x<\frac{C}{\lambda} \sum \int_{Q_{k}}|b(x)| w(x) d x \\
& \leqslant \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum \int_{Q_{k}} f(x) w(x) d x+\frac{C}{\lambda} \sum \int_{Q_{k}}\left(\frac{1}{\left|Q_{k}\right|} \int_{Q_{k}} f(z) d z\right) w(x) d x \\
& \leqslant \frac{C}{\lambda}\|f\|_{1, w}+\frac{C}{\lambda} \sum \int_{Q_{k}} f(z) \frac{m_{w}\left(Q_{k}\right)}{\left|Q_{k}\right|} d z \\
& \leqslant \frac{C}{\lambda}\|f\|_{1, w}+\frac{C}{\lambda} \sum \int_{Q_{k}} f(z) w(z) d x<\frac{2 C}{\lambda}\|f\|_{1, w} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{w}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:\left|T_{N} f(x)\right|>\lambda\right\}\right)<\frac{C}{\lambda}\|f\|_{1, w} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a constant independent of $N, f$, and $\lambda$. If $f \in \mathcal{S}, f=f^{+}-f^{-}$where $f^{+}$ and $f^{-}$and nonnegative and in $L^{1} \cap L_{w}^{1}$, so that (3.3) holds for $f \in \mathcal{S}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{w}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:|T f(x)|>\lambda\right\}\right) \\
&<m_{w}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:\left|T_{N} f(x)\right|+\left|T f(x)-T_{N} f(x)\right|>\lambda\right\}\right) \\
&<m_{w}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:\left|T_{N} f(x)\right|>\frac{\lambda}{2}\right\}\right) \\
&+m_{w}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:\left|T f(x)-T_{N} f(x)\right|>\frac{\lambda}{2}\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T_{N} f$ converges uniformly to $T f$ for $f \in \delta$, choosing $N$ large enough the second term on the right is zero. By (3.3),

$$
m_{w}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:|T f(x)|>\lambda\right\}\right) \leqslant \frac{C}{\lambda}\|f\|_{1, w} \quad \text { for } f \in \delta
$$

which extends to $L_{w}^{1}$.
To complete the proof of Theorem 1 we need to show

$$
\int_{x \notin Q_{k}^{*}}\left|K_{N}(x-y)-K_{N}\left(x-y_{k}\right)\right| w(x) d x<C \underset{Q_{k}}{\operatorname{ess} \inf w} \quad \text { if } y \in Q_{k}
$$

with $C$ independent of $k$ and $N$. Choose $r<s$ so that $n / r<l<n / r+1$ and $w^{r} \in A_{1}$. Then, using Lemma 1 with $p=r^{\prime}$ and $t=r$ and noting that $x \notin Q_{k}^{*}$ implies $\left|x-y_{k}\right|>2 \delta_{k}$, we have for $y \in Q_{k}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left|x-y_{k}\right|>2 \delta_{k}}\left|K_{N}(x-y)-K_{N}\left(x-y_{k}\right)\right| w(x) d x \\
& \quad=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2 \delta_{k}<\left|x-y_{k}\right|<2^{j+1} \delta_{k}}\left|K_{N}(x-y)-K_{N}\left(x-y_{k}\right)\right| w(x) d x \\
& \quad<\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\int_{2^{j} \delta_{k}<\left|x-y_{k}\right|<2^{j+1} \delta_{k}}\left|K_{N}(x-y)-K_{N}\left(x-y_{k}\right)\right|^{r} d x\right)^{1 / r} \\
& \quad \cdot\left(\int_{\left|x-y_{k}\right|<2^{j+1} \delta_{k}} w^{r}(x) d x\right)^{1 / r} \\
& \quad<C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(2^{j \delta_{k}}\right)^{-l}\left(\delta_{k}\right)^{l-n / r}\left(2^{j+1} \delta_{k}\right)^{n / r}\left\{\left(2^{j+1} \delta_{k}\right)^{-n} \int_{\left|x-y_{k}\right|<2^{j+1} \delta_{k}} w^{r}(x) d x\right\}^{1 / r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, since $w^{r} \in A_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left|x-y_{k}\right|>2 \delta_{k}} \mid K_{N}(x-y)-K_{N}\left(x-y_{k}\right) \mid w(x) d x \\
&<C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(2^{j}\right)^{n / r-l} \underset{\left|x-y_{k}\right|<2^{j+1} \delta_{k}}{\text { ess inf }} w(x) \\
&<C \underset{\left|x-y_{k}\right|<\delta_{k}}{\operatorname{ess} \inf } w(x) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(2^{j}\right)^{n / r-l}<C \text { ess inf } w \\
& Q_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $C$ independent of $k$ and $N$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
We will derive Theorem 2 from Theorem 1 by using Lemma 4 and a characterization of $A_{p}$ functions proved by P. Jones [9]. He has shown that if $w \in A_{p}$ then there are $A_{1}$ weights $u$ and $v$ such that $w=u v^{1-p}$.

Fix $p, 1<p<\infty$, and $w$ so that $w^{n / l} \in A_{p}$. We have $w^{n / l}=u v^{1-p}$, $u, v \in A_{1}$, or $w=u^{l / n} v^{l(1-p) / n}$. Next, write this as

$$
w=u^{l / n} v^{l(1-p) / n}=\left(u^{\alpha} v^{\beta}\right)^{t}\left(u^{\gamma} v^{\delta}\right)^{1-t}=w_{0}^{t} w_{1}^{1-t} .
$$

For this to make sense, we need

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha t+\gamma(1-t)=\frac{l}{n}  \tag{3.4}\\
\beta t+\delta(1-t)=\frac{l}{n}(1-p) \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, in order to use Lemma 4 for weights which satisfy Theorem 1, we require

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{0}^{-1 /(r-1)} \in A_{r l / n}, & 1<r<\min \left\{\left(\frac{n}{l}\right)^{\prime}, p\right\},  \tag{3.6}\\
w_{1} \in A_{q l / n}, \quad & q>\max \left\{\frac{n}{l}, p\right\}  \tag{3.7}\\
t= & \frac{q-p}{q-r} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that $u \in A_{1}$ (similarly $v \in A_{1}$ ) implies

$$
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} u(y) d y<C u(x) \text { for almost all } x \in Q
$$

Therefore, if $\alpha>0$ and $\beta<0$, letting $s=r^{\prime} l / n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w_{0}(x)^{-1 /(r-1)} d x\right)\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w_{0}(x)^{(1 /(r-1))(1 /(s-1))} d x\right)^{s-1} \\
&=\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} u(x)^{-\alpha /(r-1)} v(x)^{-\beta /(r-1)} d x\right) \\
& \cdot\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} u(x)^{(\alpha /(r-1))(1 /(s-1))} v(x)^{(\beta /(r-1))(1 /(s-1))} d x\right)^{s-1} \\
& \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} u(x) d x\right)^{-\alpha /(r-1)}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} v(x)^{-\beta /(r-1)} d x\right) \\
& \cdot\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} v(x) d x\right)^{\beta /(r-1)}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} u(x)^{(\alpha /(r-1))(1 /(s-1))} d x\right)^{s-1} \\
&= C
\end{aligned}
$$

if

$$
\alpha=(r-1)\left(\frac{r^{\prime} l}{n}-1\right)=\frac{r l}{n}-r+1 \quad \text { and } \beta=-(r-1)
$$

that is $w_{0}^{-1 /(r-1)} \in A_{r^{\prime} / n}$ for these values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Similarly, we can show $w_{1} \in A_{q l / n}$ if $\gamma=1$ and $\delta=-((q l / n)-1)$. Using these values of $\alpha$ and $\gamma$, we have (3.4) if $t=1 / r$. Next, solving (3.5) for $q$, we get $q=r^{\prime}(p-1)$. This value of $q$ also satisfies (3.8). Therefore, if we choose $r<\min \left\{(n / l)^{\prime}, p\right\}$ so close to 1 that $q=r^{\prime}(p-1)>\max \{n / l, p\}$, we can satisfy (3.4)-(3.8), proving Theorem 2.

Before proving Theorem 3, notice that $-n \geqslant-l p$ if $n / l \leqslant p$, and $n(p-1) \leqslant l p$ if $p \leqslant(n / l)^{\prime}$. Therefore, for $l<n$ the conclusion of Theorem 3 can be divided into three cases:

$$
\begin{gather*}
1<p<\frac{n}{l} \quad \text { and } \quad-l p<\beta<n(p-1)  \tag{3.9}\\
\frac{n}{l}<p \leqslant\left(\frac{n}{l}\right)^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad-n<\beta<n(p-1)  \tag{3.10}\\
\left(\frac{n}{l}\right)^{\prime}<p<\infty \quad \text { and }-n<\beta<l p \tag{3.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since (3.11) is the dual of (3.9), we need only concern ourselves with (3.9) and (3.10).

Next, let us interpret Theorem 1 when $w(x)$ is a power of $|x|$. Because $|x|^{\beta} \in A_{p}$ if and only if $-n<\beta<n(p-1)$, we have $(l<n)$ that $T$ is bounded on $L_{x| |^{\beta}}^{p}$ if

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{n}{l} \leqslant p<\infty \quad \text { and }-n<\beta<p l-n  \tag{3.12}\\
1<p \leqslant\left(\frac{n}{l}\right)^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad-n+p(n-l)<\beta<n(p-1) \tag{3.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

However, combining (3.12) and (3.13), we have (3.10) and are left with only proving (3.9).

Let $q=n / l$ and $r<n / l$; then also $r<(n / l)^{\prime}$. By (3.13) and (3.10), $T$ is bounded on $L_{|x|^{\beta_{0}}}^{r}$ and $L_{|x|^{\beta_{1}}}^{q}$ for $-n+r(n-l)<\beta_{0}<n(r-1)$ and $-n<$ $\beta_{1}<n(q-1)$. Using Lemma 4, if $r<p<q$ we see that $T$ is bounded on $L_{x \mid}^{p}{ }^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ for

$$
\beta=\beta_{0}\left(\frac{q-p}{q-r}\right)+\beta_{1}\left(\frac{p-r}{q-r}\right)
$$

Thus $\beta$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{-n+r(n-l)\}\left(\frac{q-p}{q-r}\right)-n\left(\frac{p-r}{q-r}\right) \\
&<\beta<n(r-1)\left(\frac{q-p}{q-r}\right)+n(q-1)\left(\frac{p-r}{q-r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Simplifying and using the fact that $q=n / l$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n^{2}(r-1)}{n-l r}+\frac{p l r(l-n)}{n-l r}<\beta<n(p-1) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, as $r \rightarrow 1$, the left-hand side of (3.14) approaches - $l p$. So, taking $r$ sufficiently close to 1 allows us to choose any $\beta$ satisfying $-l p<\beta<$ $n(p-1)$.

When $l=n$, the restriction in Theorem 3 is $-n<\beta<n(p-1)$ for $1<p<\infty$. But, when $l=n$ in Theorem 1 , we require $w \in A_{p}$, and $|x|^{\beta} \in$ $A_{p}$ if $-n<\beta<n(p-1)$.
4. The proof of Theorem 4 is based on an analogue of Lemma 1.

Lemma 5. Let $\Omega \in L^{r}(\Sigma)$ and satisfy the $L^{r}$-Dini condition. Set $K(x)=$ $\Omega\left(x^{\prime}\right) /|x|^{n}$. There exists a constant $\alpha_{0}>0$ such that if $|y|<\alpha_{0} R$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{R<|x|<2 R}|K(x-y)-K(x)|^{r} d x\right)^{1 / r} \\
&<C R^{n / r-n}\left\{\frac{|y|}{R}+\int_{|y| / 2 R<\delta<|y| / R} \omega_{r}(\delta) \frac{d \delta}{\delta}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We may choose $\alpha_{0}<\frac{1}{2}$; then, since $|x|>R,|x-y|$ is equivalent to $|x|$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|K(x-y)-K(x)| & =\left|\frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n}}-\frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^{n}}\right| \\
& \leqslant C\left\{|\Omega(x)| \frac{|y|}{|x|^{n+1}}+\frac{|\Omega(x-y)-\Omega(x)|}{|x|^{n}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\int_{R<|x|<2 R}|K(x-y)-K(x)|^{r} d x\right)^{1 / r} \\
&<C\left(\int_{R<|x|<2 R}|\Omega(x)|^{r} \frac{|y|^{r}}{|x|^{(n+1) r}} d x\right)^{1 / r} \\
&+C\left(\int_{R<|x|<2 R} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)-\Omega(x)|^{r}}{|x|^{n r}} d x\right)^{1 / r} \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The first term on the right side of (4.1) is bounded by

$$
C\|\Omega\|_{L^{\prime}(\Sigma)}|y| R^{-(n+1)} R^{n / r}=C R^{n / r-n}\left(\frac{|y|}{R}\right) .
$$

Changing to polar coordinates, we see the second term equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C\left(\int_{R}^{2 R} t^{-n r+n-1}\left(\int_{\Sigma}\left|\Omega\left(t x^{\prime}-y\right)-\Omega\left(t x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{r} d \sigma_{x^{\prime}}\right) d t\right)^{1 / r} \\
&<C R^{n / r-n}\left(\int_{R}^{2 R}\left(\int_{\Sigma}\left|\Omega\left(\frac{x^{\prime}-\alpha}{\left|x^{\prime}-\alpha\right|}\right)-\Omega\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{r} d \sigma_{x^{\prime}}\right) \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / r},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha=y / t$. Arguing as in Calderón, Weiss, and Zygmund [1, pp. 65-72], we see the inner integral is bounded by

$$
C \sup _{|\rho|<|\alpha|} \int_{\Sigma}\left|\Omega\left(\rho x^{\prime}\right)-\Omega\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{r} d \sigma_{x^{\prime}}=C \omega_{r}^{r}\left(\frac{|y|}{t}\right)
$$

as long as $|\alpha|=|y| / t<\alpha_{0}$. Thus, the second term is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
C R^{n / r-n}\left(\int_{R}^{2 R} \omega_{r}^{r}\left(\frac{|y|}{t}\right) \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / r} & =C R^{n / r-n}\left(\int_{|y| / 2 R}^{|\nu| / R} \omega_{r}^{r}(\delta) \frac{d \delta}{\delta}\right)^{1 / r} \\
& \leqslant C R^{n / r-n}\left(\int_{|y| / 2 R<\delta<|y| / R} \omega_{r}(\delta) \frac{d \delta}{\delta}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\omega_{r}$ is essentially constant on intervals of the form ( $a, 2 a$ ), $a>0$. Lemma 5 is now proved.

Notice that when $R=2^{j}|y|$, with a $j$ such that $1 / \alpha_{0}<2^{j}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{2^{j}|y|<|x|<2^{j+1}|y|} \mid\right. & \left.|K(x-y)-K(x)|^{r} d x\right)^{1 / r} \\
& <C\left(2^{j}|y|\right)^{n / r-n}\left\{\frac{1}{2^{j}}+\int_{2^{-(+1)}}^{2^{-j}} \omega_{r}(\delta) \frac{d \delta}{\delta}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4 is proved in exactly the same manner as Theorem 1. Using Lemma 5, we show

$$
(K * f)^{\sharp}(x)<C f_{r}^{*}(x),
$$

which proves the result for $p>r^{\prime}$. The only change necessary is in the decomposition $f=f_{0}+\Sigma f_{j}$. For Theorem 4,

$$
f_{0}(y)=f(y) \chi\left(\left\{y \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:|x-y|<\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}} \delta\right\}\right)
$$

and the sum of $f_{j}$ 's is over $j \geqslant \log _{2}\left(1 / \alpha_{0}\right)$. We get the case $p=r^{\prime}$ by interpolation, and $1<p<r$ follows by duality. In the weak-type $(1,1)$ proof, we may have to replace the weak-type $(2,2)$ result for the good function by a weak-type ( $r^{\prime}, r^{\prime}$ ) result.
5. We conclude by showing that Theorem 3 is best possible, except for endpoint equalities for $\beta$. We prove the result for $p>(n / l)^{\prime}$; the case $p<n / l$ follows by duality. For $n / l \leqslant p \leqslant(n / l)^{\prime}$, the Riesz transforms and
an argument like that in [8] show the range of $\beta$ is best possible.
Let $1<s<2, n / s<l<n,(n / l)^{\prime}<p$ and $\beta>l p$. Define a multiplier $m$ by

$$
m(x)=e^{i x \cdot \eta}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-l / 2}
$$

for a fixed $\eta$ of length 1 . Note that $\check{m}(x)=G_{l}(x-\eta)$ (the Bessel kernel of order $l$ ) and that $\left|D^{\alpha} m(x)\right| \leqslant C_{\alpha} /(1+|x|)^{l}$, so $m \in M(s, l), 1 \leqslant s \leqslant \infty$. Moreover, $G_{l} \geqslant 0$ and there exist $c, \mu>0$ such that $G_{l}(x)>c|x|^{l-n}$ if $|x|<\mu$ (see Stein [19, p. 132] for details).

Set

$$
f(x)=|x|^{-((n+\beta) / p)}|\log | x| |^{-\delta} \chi\left(\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:|x|<\mu\right\}\right) .
$$

If $\delta p>1, f \in L_{|x|}^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. Since $T f(x)=\left(G_{l}(\cdot-\eta) * f\right)(x)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T f(x) & =\int_{|\nu|<\mu}|y|^{-((n+\beta) / p)}|\log | y| |^{-\delta} G_{l}(x-y-\eta) d y \\
& =\int_{|x-\eta-z|<\mu}|x-\eta-z|^{-((n+\beta) / p)}|\log | x-\eta-z| |^{-\delta} G_{l}(z) d z
\end{aligned}
$$

by setting $z=x-\eta-y$. Now, if we restrict the integration to $|z|<$ $\frac{1}{2}|x-\eta|,|x-\eta-z|$ is equivalent to $|x-\eta|$ and, if $|x-\eta|<\mu / 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T f(x) & \geqslant C|x-\eta|^{-((n+\beta) / p)}|\log | x-\eta| |^{-\delta} \int_{|z|<\frac{1}{2}|x-\eta|} \frac{d z}{|z|^{n-l}} \\
& =C|x-\eta|^{l-((n+\beta) / p)}|\log | x-\eta| |^{-\delta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, Tf $\notin L_{|x|}^{p}{ }^{\beta}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ if $\{l-((n+\beta) / p)\} p<-n$; i.e., if $\beta>l p$.
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