## TAUBERIAN CONDITIONS FOR L¹-CONVERGENCE OF FOURIER SERIES

BY

## ČASLAV V. STANOJEVIĆ

Dedicated to Jovan Karamata

ABSTRACT. It is proved that Fourier series with asymptotically even coefficients and satisfying  $\lim_{\lambda \to 1} \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor} j^{p-1} |\Delta \hat{f}(j)|^p = 0$ , for some  $1 , converge in <math>L^1$ -norm if and only if  $\|\hat{f}(n)E_n + \hat{f}(-n)E_{-n}\| = o(1)$ , where  $E_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n e^{ikt}$ . Recent results of Stanojević [1], Bojanic and Stanojević [2], and Goldberg and Stanojević [3] are special cases of some corollaries to the main theorem.

1. Introduction. The space  $L^1(T)$  of complex functions integrable on  $T = \mathbb{R}/2\pi Z$  does not admit convergence in norm. Consequently, convergence in norm of the partial sums  $S_n(f) = S_n(f, t) = \sum_{|j| \le n} \hat{f}(j)e^{ijt}$  to  $f \in L^1(T)$  cannot be characterized in terms of Fourier coefficients without additional assumptions about the sequence  $\{\hat{f}(n)\}$ .

In the case of even coefficients ( $\hat{f}(n) = \hat{f}(-n)$  for all integers n) satisfying certain regularity and/or speed conditions, it is well known that

(1.1) 
$$||S_n(f) - f|| = o(1), \quad n \to \infty,$$

is equivalent with

$$\hat{f}(n)\lg n = o(1), \qquad n \to \infty.$$

(A survey of classical and recent results of this kind can be found in [1, 2 and 3].)

Most recent results concerning the equivalence between (1.1) and (1.2) are due to Stanojević [1], Bojanic and Stanojević [2] and Goldberg and Stanojević [3].

In [1] it is proved that if  $\{\hat{f}(n)\}\$  is even and satisfies

(1.3) 
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k |\Delta \hat{f}(k)| = o(1), \qquad n \to \infty,$$

and

$$(1.4) n\Delta \hat{f}(n) = O(1), n \to \infty,$$

then (1.1) is equivalent with (1.2).

Goldberg and Stanojević [3] proved that if

(1.5)  $\{(\hat{f}(n) - \hat{f}(-n)) | g_n\}$  is a null-sequence of bounded variation,

Received by the editors March 2, 1981.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42A20, 42A32.

Key words and phrases. L1-convergence of Fourier series.

and if for some 1

(1.6) 
$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=n}^{2n}j^{p}|\Delta\hat{f}(j)|^{p}=o(1), \qquad n\to\infty,$$

then (1.1) if and only if (1.2). An earlier result of Bojanic and Stanojević [2] is a corollary to the Goldberg-Stanojević theorem.

In this paper I shall extend and generalize the Goldberg-Stanojević theorem in two ways. Instead of (1.5), a weaker condition will be assumed, i.e.,

(AE) 
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j)| \lg j = o(1), \qquad n \to \infty,$$

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 1} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor} |\Delta(\hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j))| \lg j = 0,$$

and (1.6) will be relaxed as follows:

(HK) 
$$\lim_{\lambda \to 1} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\lceil \lambda n \rceil} j^{p-1} |\Delta \hat{f}(j)|^p = 0,$$

for some 1 .

A sequence of complex numbers satisfying (AE) is called *asymptotically even*. Clearly, every even sequence satisfies (AE).

The condition (HK) is a Tauberian condition of Hardy-Karamata [4] kind. Plainly (1.6) implies (HK).

As a consequence of the main theorem it will follow that the condition (1.3) is superfluous, and that (1.4) can be weakened if a certain speed of  $\|\sigma_n(f) - f\|$  is assumed, where  $\sigma_n(f)$  is the Fefér sum of  $S_n(f)$ .

**2. Main theorem.** Fourier series considered throughout this section are the series with asymptotically even coefficients. That is

(2.1.1) 
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j)| \lg j = o(1), \quad n \to \infty,$$

(2.1.2) 
$$\lim_{\lambda \to 1} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor} |\Delta(\hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j))| \lg j = 0.$$

MAIN THEOREM. Let  $S[f] \sim \sum_{|n| < \infty} \hat{f}(n)e^{int}$  be the Fourier series of  $f \in L^1(T)$  with asymptotically even coefficients.

If for some 1

(HK) 
$$\lim_{\lambda \to 1} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=n}^{[\lambda n]} j^{p-1} |\Delta \hat{f}(j)|^p = 0,$$

then  $||S_n(f) - f|| = o(1)$ ,  $n \to \infty$ , if and only if

(2.2) 
$$\|\hat{f}(n)E_n + \hat{f}(-n)E_{-n}\| = o(1), \quad n \to \infty,$$

where  $E_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n e^{ikt}$ .

PROOF. It suffices to show that

(2.3) 
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left| \|S_n(f) - f\| - \|\hat{f}(n)E_n + \hat{f}(-n)E_{-n}\| \right| = 0.$$

Let  $\lambda > 1$  and n > 1. Then the following identity can be established:

$$S_{n}(f,t) - f(t) - (\hat{f}(n)E_{n}(t) + \hat{f}(-n)E_{-n}(t))$$

$$= \frac{[\lambda n] + 1}{[\lambda n] - n} \left[ \sigma_{[\lambda n]}(f,t) - f(t) \right] - \frac{n+1}{[\lambda n] - n} \left[ \sigma_{n}(f,t) - f(t) \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{[\lambda n] - n} \sum_{j=n-1}^{[\lambda n]} \hat{f}(j)E_{j}(t) - \frac{1}{[\lambda n] - n} \sum_{j=n-1}^{[\lambda n]} \hat{f}(-j)E_{-j}(t)$$

$$- \sum_{j=n}^{[\lambda n] - 1} \frac{[\lambda n] + 1 - j}{[\lambda n] - n} \left[ \Delta \hat{f}(j) \right] E_{j}(t)$$

$$- \sum_{j=n}^{[\lambda n] - 1} \frac{[\lambda n] + 1 - j}{[\lambda n] - n} \left[ \Delta \hat{f}(-j) \right] E_{-j}(t).$$

The Dirichlet kernel can be written as

$$D_i(t) = E_i(t) + E_{-i}(t) - 1.$$

Thus the third and the fourth terms on the right-hand side of (2.4) can be grouped in the following way:

(2.5) 
$$I_{1n} = \frac{1}{[\lambda n] - n} \sum_{j=n}^{[\lambda n]} \hat{f}(j) D_{j}(t) - \frac{1}{[\lambda n] - n} \sum_{j=n}^{[\lambda n]} (\hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j)) E_{-j}(t) + \frac{1}{[\lambda n] - n} \sum_{j=n}^{[\lambda n]} \hat{f}(j);$$

and the fifth and the sixth terms as

$$I_{2n} = \sum_{j=n}^{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor - 1} \frac{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor + 1 - j}{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor \neq} \left[ \Delta \hat{f}(j) \right] D_{j}(t)$$

$$- \sum_{j=n}^{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor - 1} \frac{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor + 1 - j}{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor - n} \left[ \Delta \left( \hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j) \right) \right] E_{-j}(t)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=n}^{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor - 1} \frac{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor + 1 - j}{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor - n} \Delta \hat{f}(j).$$

Taking the norm of both sides of (2.5) we obtain

$$||I_{1n}|| \leq \frac{1}{[\lambda n] - n} \left\| \sum_{j=n}^{[\lambda n]} \hat{f}(j) D_{j}(t) \right\|$$

$$+ \frac{1}{[\lambda n] - n} \sum_{j=n}^{[\lambda n]} |\hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j)| \lg j$$

$$+ \frac{1}{[\lambda n] - n} \sum_{j=n}^{[\lambda n]} |\hat{f}(j)|$$

$$= J_{n} + \frac{[\lambda n]}{[\lambda n] - n} \left( \frac{1}{[\lambda n]} \sum_{j=1}^{[\lambda n]} |\hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j)| \lg j \right)$$

$$+ \frac{[\lambda n]}{[\lambda n] - n} \left( \frac{1}{[\lambda n]} \sum_{j=1}^{[\lambda n]} |\hat{f}(j)| \right).$$

Applying first the Hölder inequality and then the Hausdorff-Young equality to  $J_n$ , we have

$$J_n \leq A_p \frac{\left[\lambda n\right]^{1/q}}{\left[\lambda n\right] - n} \left( \sum_{j=n}^{\lceil \lambda n \rceil} \left| \hat{f}(j) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} = A_p \frac{\left[\lambda n\right]}{\left[\lambda n\right] - n} \left( \frac{1}{\left[\lambda n\right]} \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \lambda n \rceil} \left| \hat{f}(j) \right|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where  $A_p$  is an absolute constant depending on p, and 1/p + 1/q = 1. The last term in (2.7) is majorized by

$$\frac{[\lambda n]}{[\lambda n] - n} \left( \frac{1}{[\lambda n]} \sum_{j=1}^{[\lambda n]} |\hat{f}(j)|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Hence

$$||I_{1n}|| \le C_1 \frac{[\lambda n]}{[\lambda n] - n} \left( \frac{1}{[\lambda n]} \sum_{j=1}^{[\lambda n]} |\hat{f}(j)|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

$$+ C_2 \frac{[\lambda n]}{[\lambda n] - n} \left( \frac{1}{[\lambda n]} \sum_{j=1}^{[\lambda n]} |\hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j)| \lg j \right),$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are absolute constants.

In a similar manner we obtain

$$||I_{2n}|| \le C_3 \sum_{j=n}^{\lceil \lambda n \rceil} |\Delta(\hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j))| ||g||_j + C_4 \left( \sum_{j=n}^{\lceil \lambda n \rceil} j^{p-1} |\Delta \hat{f}(j)|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  are absolute constants.

Combining estimates for both  $||I_{1n}||$  and  $||I_{2n}||$  we get

$$\left| \| S_{n}(f) - f \| - \| \hat{f}(n) E_{n} + \hat{f}(-n) E_{-n} \| \right| \leq \frac{[\lambda n]}{[\lambda n] - n} \| \sigma_{[\lambda n]}(f) - f \|$$

$$+ \frac{n+1}{[\lambda n] - n} \| \sigma_{n}(f) - f \| + C_{1} \frac{[\lambda n]}{[\lambda n] - n} \left( \frac{1}{[\lambda n]} \sum_{j=1}^{[\lambda n]} |\hat{f}(j)|^{p} \right)^{1/p}$$

$$+ C_{2} \frac{[\lambda n]}{[\lambda n] - n} \left( \frac{1}{[\lambda n]} \sum_{j=1}^{[\lambda n]} |\hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j)| \lg j \right)$$

$$+ C_{3} \sum_{j=1}^{[\lambda n]} |\Delta(\hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j))| \lg j + C_{4} \left( \sum_{j=n}^{[\lambda n]} j^{p-1} |\Delta\hat{f}(j)|^{p} \right)^{1/p} .$$

Since for  $\lambda > 1$  we have  $\lambda n/([\lambda n] - n) \sim \lambda/(\lambda - 1)$ ,  $n \to \infty$ , it follows that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{[\lambda n]}{[\lambda n] - n} C_n = 0,$$

for any null-sequence  $\{C_n\}$ .

After taking the limit superior of both sides of (2.8) we get

(2.9) 
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left| \left\| S_n(f) - f \right\| - \left\| \hat{f}(n) E_n + \hat{f}(-n) E_{-n} \right\| \right|$$

$$\leq C_3 \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\lceil \lambda n \rceil} \left| \Delta \left( \hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j) \right) \right| \lg j$$

$$+ C_4 \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left( \sum_{j=n}^{\lceil \lambda n \rceil - 1} j^{p-1} \left| \Delta \hat{f}(j) \right|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

For  $\|\sigma_n(f) - f\| = o(1)$ ,  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\hat{f}(n) = o(1)$ ,  $n \to \infty$ , and

$$\frac{1}{\lceil \lambda n \rceil} \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \lambda n \rceil} |\hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j)| \lg j = o(1), \qquad n \to \infty,$$

because of (2.1.1).

Taking the limit as  $\lambda \to 1$  of both sides of (2.9) we obtain

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 1} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left| \|S_n(f) - f\| - \|\hat{f}(n)E_n + \hat{f}(-n)E_{-n}\| \right|$$

$$\leq C_3 \lim_{\lambda \to 1} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\lceil \lambda n \rceil} \left| \Delta \left( \hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j) \right) \right| \lg j$$

$$+ C_4 \lim_{\lambda \to 1} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left( \sum_{j=n}^{\lceil \lambda n \rceil} j^{p-1} |\Delta \hat{f}(j)|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Because of (2.1.2) and (HK) we finally have (2.3), i.e.

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left| \|S_n(f) - f\| - \|\hat{f}(n)E_n + \hat{f}(-n)E_{-n}\| \right| = 0.$$

This completes the proof of the main theorem.

3. Corollaries and additional results. By strengthening either (2.1.2) or (HK), or both, one can obtain a number of corollaries that, as a special case, contain the results of Stanojević [1], Bojanic and Stanojević [2], and Goldberg and Stanojević [3].

The class of complex null-sequences  $\{c_n\}$  satisfying

(3.1) 
$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}k|\Delta c_{k}|=o(1), \qquad n\to\infty,$$

includes as a proper subclass null-sequences of bounded variation.

COROLLARY 3.1. Let  $S[f] \sim \sum_{|n| < \infty} \hat{f}(n)e^{int}$  be the Fourier series of  $f \in L^1(T)$ , and let  $\{(\hat{f}(n) - \hat{f}(-n)) | g n\}$  satisfy (3.1). If (HK) holds then

$$||S_n(f)-f||=o(1), \quad n\to\infty,$$

if and only if

$$\hat{f}(n)\lg n = o(1), \qquad n \to \infty.$$

PROOF. The condition (2.1.1) is satisfied. It remains to show that (2.1.2) holds. Since for  $\lambda > 1$ 

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j=n}^{\lceil \lambda n \rceil} |\Delta(\hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j))| \lg j \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=n}^{\lceil \lambda n \rceil} j |\Delta(\hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j))| \lg j \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda}{\lceil \lambda n \rceil} \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \lambda n \rceil} j |\Delta(\hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j))| \lg j \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda}{\lceil \lambda n \rceil} \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \lambda n \rceil} j |\Delta(\hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j))| \lg j \Big] + \frac{\lambda}{\lceil \lambda n \rceil} \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \lambda n \rceil} |\hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j)| \lg (1 + 1/j)^{-j}, \end{split}$$

it follows that if  $\{(\hat{f}(n) - \hat{f}(-n)) | g_n\}$  satisfies (3.1) then (2.1.2) holds.

A special case of Corollary 3.1 is the Goldberg-Stanojević theorem. Indeed, let  $1 < \lambda \le 2$ . Then

$$\sum_{j=n}^{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor} j^{p-1} \left| \Delta \hat{f}(j) \right|^p \leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=n}^{2n} j^p \left| \Delta \hat{f}(j) \right|^p.$$

COROLLARY 3.2. Let  $S[f] \sim \sum_{|n| < \infty} \hat{f}(n)e^{int}$  be the Fourier series of  $f \in L^1(T)$ , and let (2.1.1) hold. If (HK) holds and if

(3.2) 
$$n\left[\Delta(\hat{f}(n) - \hat{f}(-n))\right] \lg n = O(1), \qquad n \to \infty,$$

then (1.1) is equivalent with (1.2).

PROOF. Due to (3.2) we have  $\sum_{j=n}^{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor} |\Delta(\hat{f}(j) - \hat{f}(-j))| \lg j \le C \lg \lambda$ , where C is an absolute constant. Hence  $\{\hat{f}(n)\}$  is an asymptotically even sequence.

Since (3.2) is a summability condition in the sense of Hardy [6], from (2.1.1) it follows that

$$(3.3) (f(n) - \hat{f}(-n)) \lg n = o(1), n \to \infty.$$

But (3.3) implies that (2.2) is equivalent with (1.2), for  $||D_n|| = (4/\pi^2) \lg n + O(1)$ ,  $n \to \infty$ .

COROLLARY 3.3. Let  $S[f] \sim \sum_{|n| < \infty} \hat{f}(n)e^{int}$  be the Fourier series of  $f \in L^1(T)$  with even coefficients. If (HK) holds then (1.1) is equivalent with (1.2).

A special case of this corollary is the main theorem of Bojanic and Stanojević [2]. PROOF. Every even sequence is asymptotically even.

COROLLARY 3.4. Let  $S[f] \sim \sum_{|n| < \infty} \hat{f}(n)e^{int}$  be the Fourier series of  $f \in L^1(T)$  with even coefficients. If  $n\Delta \hat{f}(n) = O(1)$ ,  $n \to \infty$ , then

$$||S_n(f)-f||=o(1), \quad n\to\infty$$

if and only if

$$\hat{f}(n)$$
lg  $n = o(1), \quad n \to \infty.$ 

**PROOF.** The condition  $n\Delta \hat{f}(n) = O(1)$ ,  $n \to \infty$  implies (HK), for

$$\sum_{j=n}^{[\lambda n]} j^{p-1} |\Delta \hat{f}(j)|^p \le C \lg \lambda,$$

where C is an absolute constant.

A special case of Corollary 3.4 is the Stanojević theorem.

In what follows it will be assumed that, for simplicity's sake,  $\{\hat{f}(n)\}\$  are even sequences.

All classical conditions as well as (HK) imply that

(3.4) 
$$n^{\alpha} \Delta \hat{f}(n) = o(1), \quad n \to \infty$$
, for some  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

It seems unlikely that (3.4) would imply that  $(1.1) \Leftrightarrow (1.2)$ . But a slightly stronger form of (3.4) such as

(3.5) 
$$n[\lg n]^{-1/p} \max_{n \le j \le n + [n/\lg n]} |\Delta \hat{f}(j)| = o(1), \quad n \to \infty,$$

for some 1 and <math>1/p + 1/q = 1, and certain conditions on the speed with which  $\|\sigma_n(f) - f\|$  goes to zero as  $n \to \infty$  could imply that  $(1.1) \Leftrightarrow (1.2)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let  $S[f] \sim \sum_{|n| < \infty} \hat{f}(n)e^{int}$  be the Fourier series of  $f \in L^1(T)$  with even coefficients. If, for some 1 , <math>1/p + 1/q = 1, (3.5) holds and

(3.6) 
$$\lg n \| \sigma_n(f) - f \| = o(1), \qquad n \to \infty,$$

$$\lg n \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=n}^{n+[n/\lg n]} |\hat{f}(j)|^p \right)^{1/p} = o(1), \qquad n \to \infty,$$

then (1.1) if and only if (1.2).

PROOF. Let m > n > 1. Then using the same technique as in the proof of the main theorem one can obtain the inequality

$$\left| \left\| S_{n}(f) - f \right\| - \left| \hat{f}(n) \right| \left\| D_{n} \right\| \right| \leq \frac{m+1}{m-n} \left\| \sigma_{m}(f) - f \right\| + \frac{n+1}{m-n} \left\| \sigma_{n}(f) - f \right\|$$

$$+ C_{1} \left( \sum_{j=n}^{m} j^{p-1} \left| \Delta \hat{f}(j) \right|^{p} \right)^{1/p} \left( \frac{m}{n} \right)^{1/q}$$

$$+ C_{2} \left( \frac{1}{m-n} \sum_{j=n}^{m} \left| \hat{f}(j) \right|^{p} \right)^{1/p} \left( \frac{m}{m-n} \right)^{1/q},$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are absolute constants.

Let  $m = n + \lfloor n/\lg n \rfloor$ . Then (3.7) becomes

$$\left| \| S_n(f) - f \| - |\hat{f}(n)| \| D_n \| \right| \leq B_1 \left[ \lg \left( n + \left[ \frac{n}{\lg n} \right] \right) \right] \| \sigma_{n + \lceil n / \lg n \rceil}(f) - f \|$$

$$+ B_2 [\lg n] \| \sigma_n(f) - f \| + B_3 \left( \sum_{j=n}^{n + \lceil n / \lg n \rceil} j^{p-1} |\Delta \hat{f}(j)|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

$$+ B_4 \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=n}^{n + \lceil n / \lg n \rceil} |\hat{f}(j)|^p \right)^{1/p} \lg n$$

where  $B_1, \ldots, B_4$  are absolute constants.

Due to (3.5) and (3.6), for sufficiently large n we have

$$\left| \| S_n(f) - f \| - |\hat{f}(n)| \lg n \right| = O\left( n [\lg n]^{-1/p} \max_{n \le j \le n + [n/\lg n]} |\Delta \hat{f}(j)| \right) + o(1).$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1.

If instead of  $\{[n/\lg n]\}$  we take a sequence of integers  $\{[n/L(n)]\}$  where L(n) is a slowly varying function in the sense of Karamata [5], such that  $L(n + [n/L(n)]) \ge L(n)$  for all n greater than some  $n_0$ , we can obtain a generalization of Proposition 3.1.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Časlav V. Stanojević, Classes of L<sup>1</sup>-convergence of Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes series, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1981), 209-215.
  - 2. R. Bojanic and Č. V. Stanojević, A class of L<sup>1</sup>-convergence, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- 3. R. R. Goldberg and Č. V. Stanojević, L<sup>1</sup>-convergence and Segal algebras of Fourier series, preprint (1980).
  - 4. J. Karamata, Teorija i praksa Stieltjes-ova integrala, Srpska Akademija Nauka, Beograd, 1949.
  - 5. \_\_\_\_\_, Sur un mode de croissance régulière des fonctions, Mathematica (Cluj) 4 (1930), 38-53.
- 6. G. H. Hardy, Theorems relating to the convergence and summability of slowly oscillating series, Proc. London Math. Soc. Ser. 2 8 (1909).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI-ROLLA, ROLLA, MISSOURI 65401