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#### Abstract

We complete the proof of the height conjecture for $p$-solvable groups, using the classification of finite simple groups.


Introduction. The height conjecture is the statement that a $p$-block of a finite group has an abelian defect group if and only if all ordinary irreducible characters in the block have height zero.

While a proof of this conjecture for general finite groups seems remote, considerable progress has been made toward proving it for $p$-solvable groups. Fong [5] proved that all characters in a block with abelian defect group have height zero in a $p$-solvable group, and he proved the converse direction for the principal block [5] and for solvable groups in the case that $p$ is the largest prime divisor of the group order [6].

Recently [24, 8], the converse direction has been established for all solvable groups. In this paper we prove the converse direction for all $p$-solvable groups, assuming the classification of finite simple groups.

In its general outline this paper resembles [8], where we proved the height conjecture for solvable groups. The reader is assumed to have some familiarity with [8].

Now we state our main results, the analogs of the main results of [8].
Theorem A. Suppose that $N \triangleleft G$, that $G / N$ is p-solvable, that $\varphi \in \operatorname{Irr}(N)$, and that $p \nmid(\chi(1) / \varphi(1))$ for all $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G \mid \varphi)$. Then the $p$-Sylow subgroups of $G / N$ are abelian.

Theorem B. Let B be a p-block of a p-solvable group with defect group D. If every ordinary irreducible character in $B$ has height zero, then $D$ is abelian.

Theorem C. Suppose that $N \triangleleft G$, that $G / N$ is p-solvable, and that $\varphi \in \operatorname{Irr}(N)$. Suppose that $e$ is an integer such that $p^{e+1}$ does not divide $\chi(1) / \varphi(1)$ for all $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G \mid \varphi)$. Then the derived length of a p-Sylow subgroup of $G / N$ is at most $2 e+1$.

Theorem D. Let $B$ and $D$ be as in Theorem B. If every ordinary irreducible character in $B$ has height at most e, then the derived length of $D$ is at most $2 e+1$.

[^0]Theorems B, C and D follow from Theorem A as in [8], so the rest of this paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem A.

The next proposition, essentially proved by Fong [6], describes the minimal counterexample to Theorem A. Note that $N$ and $\varphi$ in the statement of Theorem A correspond to $Z$ and $\lambda$ in the statement of Proposition 0 , and that $N$ in the statement of Proposition 0 does not correspond to any subgroup in the statement of Theorem A.

Proposition 0. Let $G$ be a minimal counterexample to Theorem A. Then $G$ has normal subgroups $Z \leqslant N \leqslant K$, and $Z$ has a faithful linear character $\lambda$, such that the following conditions are satisfied:
(1) $Z=O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$ is cyclic and central in $G$.
(2) $N / Z$ is a self-centralizing $p$-chief factor of $G$.
(3) $p \nmid|K: N|$ and $|G: K|=p$.
(4) $G=O^{p^{\prime}}(G)$.
(5) If $V=\operatorname{Irr}(N / Z)$, the irreducible $G F(p)[G / N]$-module dual to $N / Z$, then every element of $V$ is centralized by some $p$-Sylow subgroup of $G / N$.
(6) $p \nmid \chi(1)$ for all $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G \mid \lambda)$.

Proof. This follows as in Steps 1-4 of the proof of [8, Theorem 4.4]. The assumption in that theorem, that $p=3$, is irrelevant in Steps $1-4$, as is the assumption that $G / Z$ is solvable rather than merely $p$-solvable.

The notation of Proposition 0 is used in the following summary of the contents of this paper.

After some preliminary lemmas on simple groups in $\S 1$, we consider in $\S 2$ the case that $V$ is an imprimitive $G F(p)[G / N]$-module. We use a variety of facts about permutation groups and character degrees of groups of Lie type to show that $G$ must be solvable.

In §3 we consider the case that $V$ is a primitive $G F(p)[G / N]$-module and $F(G / N)=F^{*}(G / N)$, where $F$ and $F^{*}$ denote the Fitting and generalized Fitting subgroups. We use a variant of the estimation technique in $[8, \S 2]$ to show that $G$ must be solvable.

In §4 we examine the remaining case that $V$ is primitive and $F(G / N) \neq F^{*}(G / N)$. We use standard facts about orders, automorphisms, and multipliers of groups of Lie type and a result on permutation groups from $\S 2$ to show that $\operatorname{Irr}(G \mid \lambda)$ contains a character of degree divisible by $p$. This contradicts condition (6) in Proposition 0 and so completes the proof of Theorem A.

1. This section contains some general lemmas which are useful in working with nonsolvable $p$-solvable groups.

Lemma 1.1. Let $p$ be a prime number and let $n$ be a positive integer. Suppose that neither of the following situations occurs:
(i) $n=6$ and $p=2$.
(ii) $n=2$ and $p$ is a Mersenne prime.

Then there is a prime number $r$ such that $r \mid p^{n}-1$ and $r \nmid p^{m}-1$ for $0<m<n$. Such a prime number $r$ is called a primitive divisor of $p^{n}-1$.

Proof. See [8, Lemma 3.3].
Lemma 1.2. Let $S$ be a simple adjoint group of Lie type. Let $d=|Z(G)|$, where $G$ is the universal group of the same type as $S$. Then:
(i) $d||S|$.
(ii) If $p$ is a prime number and $p \nmid S \mid$, then $p>d$.
(iii) There exists a prime number $r>3$ such that $r \| S \mid, r \nmid d$, and $r$ is greater than the order $l$ of the group of field automorphisms of $S$.

Proof. To prove (i) and (ii) we may assume that $G=A_{n}(q)$ or $G={ }^{2} A_{n}(q)$ (see [9, p. 491]). Then $d=(n+1, q \pm 1)$, and we may assume that $n \geqslant 3$. Since $q^{j}-1$ divides $|G|$ whenever $j$ is even and $j \leqslant n+1$, it follows that $\left(q^{4}-1\right)\left(q^{2}-1\right)$ divides $|G|$ and $n+1 \leqslant p-1$. Then $d^{2}| | G|, d||G / Z(G)|$, and $p>n+1 \geqslant d$. This proves (i) and (ii).

To prove (iii), write $q=q_{0}^{l}$ for a prime number $q_{0}$ and a positive integer $l$. If $G$ is not $A_{n}(q)$ or ${ }^{2} A_{n}(q)$, there is an integer $m \geqslant 2$ such that $\left(q^{m}+1\right) \| G \mid$. If $G={ }^{2} A_{n}(q)$, there is an integer $m \geqslant 3$ such that $m \geqslant n$ and $\left(q^{m}+1\right) \| G \mid$. In either case, let $r$ be a primitive divisor of $q^{2 m}-1=q_{0}^{2 m l}-1$, allowing $r=7$ if $q^{2 m}=2^{6}$. Then $r \| G \mid$, $2 m l \leqslant r-1$, and $r \geqslant 5$. Then $r \| S \mid$ by (i), and $r>l$, the order of the group of field automorphisms of $S$. Also $r \geqslant 5>d$ if $G \not \neq 2_{2} A_{n}(q)$ and $r>2 m \geqslant n+1 \geqslant d$ if $G \cong{ }^{2} A_{n}(q)$.

Thus, we may assume that $G \cong A_{n}(q)$, so that $q^{n+1}-1$ divides $|G|$. If $l(n+1) \geqslant$ 3, let $r$ be a primitive divisor of $q^{n+1}-1=q_{0}^{l(n+1)}-1$. Then $l(n+1) \leqslant r-1$, $r \geqslant 5, r>l$, and $r>n+1 \geqslant d$. If $l(n+1) \leqslant 3$, then $d \leqslant 3$ and $l \leqslant 3$, so we can let $r$ be any prime greater than 3 which divides $|S|$.

Lemma 1.3. Let $S$ be a nonabelian simple group which admits a coprime automorphism of prime order $p$. Then $S$ is an adjoint group of Lie type, $S$ admits a field automorphism of order $p$, and $\operatorname{Out}(S)$ has a cyclic and central p-Sylow subgroup.

Proof. By [10, p. 169] the sporadic and alternating groups have no coprime automorphisms. By [12] the simple group ${ }^{2} F_{4}(2)^{\prime}$ has no coprime automorphism. Thus $S$ is an adjoint group of Lie type. If $S$ is a Suzuki or Ree group then $\operatorname{Aut}(S)$ is generated by the inner and field automorphisms of $S$ (see [23, 18, 19]). Thus, we may assume that $S$ is not a Suzuki or Ree group. In particular, $p>3$.

By [20, p. 608], we have $D \triangleleft F \triangleleft \operatorname{Out}(S)$, where $D$ is the image in $\operatorname{Out}(S)$ of the group of diagonal automorphisms of $S$, and $F$ is the image in $\operatorname{Out}(S)$ of the group generated by the diagonal and field automorphisms of $S$. Moreover $|D|=d$, where $d$ is as in Lemma 1.2, and $\operatorname{Out}(S) / F$ is isomorphic to the group of graph automorphisms of $S$, a $\{2,3\}$-group.

Since $p>3$ and $p>d$ by Lemma 1.2 (ii), it follows that $S$ admits a field automorphism of order $p$. Since graph and field automorphisms commute [10, p. 169] and since $D \triangleleft F$ and $p>d$, the rest of Lemma 1.3 follows.

Corollary 1.4. Let $S$ be a nonabelian simple group with Schur multiplier M. Then there is a prime number $r$ such that $r \| S|, r \nmid| M \mid$, and $r \nmid|\operatorname{Out}(S)|$.

Proof. This is clear if $S$ is sporadic, alternating, or ${ }^{2} F_{4}(2)^{\prime}$, since then both $M$ and $\operatorname{Out}(S)$ are $\{2,3\}$-groups.

Otherwise, $S$ is an adjoint group of Lie type. By [11, p. 280], any prime divisor of $|M|$ is 2,3 , or a divisor of $d$. Thus the result follows from Lemma 1.2 and the description of $\operatorname{Out}(S)$ in the proof of Lemma 1.3.

Lemma 1.5. Let $G$ be a finite group. Let $F(G)$ and $F^{*}(G)$ denote the Fitting and generalized Fitting subgroups of $G$. If $L / W$ is a chief factor of $G$ such that $L=L^{\prime}$ and $W=Z(L)$ then $L \leqslant F^{*}(G)$. Conversely, if $F^{*}(G) \neq F(G)$, then $F^{*}(G)$ contains a perfect subgroup $L$ such that $L / Z(L)$ is a chief factor of $G$.

Proof. See [3, p. 128].
2. In this section we show that the $G F(p)[G / N]$-module $V$ of Proposition 0 must be primitive. We first record several lemmas which will be needed in the proof of Theorem 2.5 , the main result of this section.

Lemma 2.1. Let $G$ be a nonsolvable group which acts faithfully on a finite vector space $V$. Suppose $G$ acts transitively on $V-\{0\}$. Then the (unique) nonsolvable composition factor of $G$ is not a Suzuki group.

Proof. See the discussion preceding [13, Proposition 5.1].
Lemma 2.2. Let $G$ be a transitive permutation group on a set $\Omega$ of $n$ points, and let $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$ for some prime $p$ dividing $|G|$. If $P$ has $f$ fixed points on $\Omega$, then $f \leqslant(n-1) / 2$.

Proof. This follows from [14, Corollary 2].
Lemma 2.3. Let $G$ be a primitive permutation group on $\Omega$, with degree $n$ and socle $N$. Then one of the following occurs:
(i) $N$ is elementary abelian of order $p^{d}$ and regular; $n=p^{d}$ where $p$ is prime.
(ii) $N=T_{1} \times \cdots \times T_{m}$, where $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}$ are isomorphic to a fixed simple group $T$. Moreover, either
(a) $T$ is the socle of a primitive group $G_{0}$ of degree $n_{0}$ and $G \leqslant G_{0} \mathrm{Wr} S_{m}$ (with the product action), where $n=n_{0}^{m}$, or
(b) $m=k l$ and $n=|T|^{(k-1) l}$. The permutation group induced by $G$ on $\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right\}$ has $\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{k}\right\}$ as a block of imprimitivity. The group induced on the set of blocks is transitive.

Proof. See Theorem 4.1 and Remark 2 following Theorem 4.1 in [4]. In (ii)(a) the statement that $G_{0} \mathrm{Wr} S_{m}$ acts with the product action means that $G_{0} \mathrm{Wr} S_{m}$ acts on $\Omega=\Omega_{0}^{m}$, where $\left|\Omega_{0}\right|=n_{0}$. The base group of the wreath product acts componentwise on $\Omega_{0}^{m}$, while $S_{m}$ acts by permuting coordinates. See [4, p. 5] for a formal definition of "product action".

The following impressive result does not depend on the classification of simple groups.

Lemma 2.4. Let $G$ be a uniprimitive permutation group of degree $n$. Then

$$
|G|<\exp \left(4 \sqrt{n} \log ^{2} n\right)
$$

Proof. This is [2, Corollary 3.3].
Another important ingredient in the proof of Theorem 2.5 will be the lower bounds found by Landazuri and Seitz for the smallest degree of a nontrivial projective representation of a simple group of Lie type. Their results are tabulated in [17, p. 419]. We will not reproduce their table here, except to note a misprint; the bound for $\operatorname{PSO}(2 n+1, q)^{\prime}, q>5$, should read $q^{2(n-1)}-1$, as in [17, Lemma 3.3].

Definition. In this paper $J$ denotes the affine semilinear group over $G F(8)$. Thus $J$ is a solvable group of order 168 , which acts 2 -transitively on 8 points.

Theorem 2.5. Let $G$ be a transitive permutation group on a finite set $\Omega$. Suppose $\left|G: O_{p^{\prime}}(G)\right|=p$ and $G=O^{p^{\prime}}(G)$ for an odd prime $p$. Suppose each subset of $\Omega$ is stabilized by an element of order $p$ in $G$. Then $p=3,|\Omega|=8$, and $G \cong J$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a counterexample to the theorem. The proof will be carried out in a series of steps.

Step $1 . G$ is primitive on $\Omega$.
Proof. We write $\Omega$ as a disjoint union of blocks so that $G$ acts as a primitive group on the set of blocks. We may assume that each block contains more than one point.

By induction on $|\Omega|$, the conclusion of the theorem is valid for the action of $G$ on the set of blocks. Thus $p=3$, we may write $\Omega=B_{1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{8}$, and $G$ acts as $J$ on the set of blocks. Choose $\Delta \leqslant \Omega$ to consist of 2 points from $B_{1}$, one point from $B_{2}$, and one point from $B_{3}$. Any element of order 3 in $G$ which stabilizes $\Delta$ must stabilize $B_{1}, B_{2}$ and $B_{3}$. This contradicts the fact that elements of order 3 in $J$ have only two fixed points in the action of $J$ on 8 points.

Step 2. Let $|\Omega|=n$. Then:
(i) $2^{n / 3}<\left|\operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)\right|$.
(ii) $2^{4 n}<\left|\operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)\right|$ if $p>3$.
(iii) If $G$ is not 2 -transitive on $\Omega$, then $n \leqslant 10^{8}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, an element of order $p$ in $G$ fixes less than $n / 2$ points of $\Omega$. Thus, an element of order $p$ in $G$ has at most $2 n / 3$ cycles on $\Omega$ if $p=3$ and at most . $6 n$ cycles on $\Omega$ if $p>3$. It follows that the number of ordered pairs ( $\langle g\rangle, \Delta$ ), such that $\langle g\rangle \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G), \Delta \leqslant \Omega$, and $g$ fixes $\Delta$, is at $\operatorname{most} 2^{2 n / 3}\left|\operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)\right|$ if $p=3$ and at most $2^{26 n}\left|\operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)\right|$ if $p>3$. Since the number of such ordered pairs must exceed the number of subsets of $\Omega$, parts (i) and (ii) follow.

If $G$ is not 2 -transitive, then part (i) and Lemma 2.4 imply (iii).
Step 3. $G$ does not have an elementary abelian regular normal subgroup.
Proof. Assume first that $G$ is not solvable. Let $n=q^{m}$ for a prime number $q$. Since $|G L(m, q)|<q^{m^{2}}$, Step 2 yields $2^{q^{m} / 3}<q^{m^{2}+m}$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\log 2 / 3) q^{m}<\left(m^{2}+m\right) \log q \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $G$ is nonsolvable, $m \geqslant 2$, and it is easy to see that (*) holds only if $q^{m}$ is $3^{2}, 3^{3}$, $3^{4}, 5^{2}, 7^{2}$ or $2^{m}$ for some $m \leqslant 7$. In none of these cases is $|G L(m, q)|$ divisible by the cube of the order of a simple group or by the order of a simple group which admits a coprime automorphism. Thus $G=O^{p^{\prime}}(G)$ can't have a nonsolvable chief factor.

Hence $G$ is solvable and [8, Lemma 3.1] implies that $p=3, n=8$ and $G \cong J$.
Step 4. $G$ has a simple socle.
We adopt the notation of Lemma 2.3. Assume $G$ does not have a simple socle. By Step 3, $G$ falls under case (ii)(a) of Lemma 2.3 for $m>1$, or under case (ii)(b) of Lemma 2.3.

Suppose first that $G$ falls under case (ii)(a) with $m>1$. Let $\Omega_{0}$ be the set permuted by $G_{0}$, so that $\Omega$ may be identified with the cartesian product $\Omega_{0}^{m}$. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be distinct points in $\Omega_{0}$. For $\Delta \leqslant\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$, define $\omega \in \Omega$ by the condition that $\omega_{i}=\alpha$ for $i \in \Delta$ and $\omega_{i}=\beta$ for $i \notin \Delta$. Define $\eta \in \Omega$ by the condition that $\eta_{i}=\alpha$ for all $i \leqslant m$. Choose $x \in G$ such that $x$ has order $p$ and $x$ stabilizes the subset $\{\omega, \eta\}$ of $\Omega$. Then $x$ must stabilize $\Delta$ in its action on $\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$.

Since $G$ acts transitively on $\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right\}$, the action of $G$ on $\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right\}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5. By induction on $n$, it follows that $m=8$ and $p=3$. Thus $T$ is a Suzuki group. The classification of the maximal subgroups of the Suzuki groups [23, Theorem 9] yields that $n_{0} \geqslant 8^{2}+1=65$. Thus $n>10^{8}$, contradicting Step 2(iii).

Next suppose (ii)(b) of Lemma 2.3 holds. It is possible that $T$ admits a coprime automorphism of order $p$. In this case $|T| \geqslant|\operatorname{Sz}(8)|=29,120$ and $|T|^{2}>10^{8}$. By Step $2, n=|T|^{(k-1) l}<10^{8}$, so $k=2, \quad l=1$, and $\operatorname{Soc}(G)=T_{1} \times T_{2}$. Then $G \leqslant$ $O^{p^{\prime}}\left(\operatorname{Aut}\left(T_{1} \times T_{2}\right)\right) \leqslant$ Aut $T_{1} \times$ Aut $T_{2}$. Since $O^{p^{\prime}}(G)=G$, Lemma 1.3 implies that $|G|=p|T|^{2}$ and $\left|\operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)\right|<|T|^{2}$. Since $|T| \geqslant 29,120$, this contradicts Step 2(i).

Thus we assume that $T$ does not admit a coprime automorphism of order $p$. If $l>1$, our assumption that $O^{p^{\prime}}(G)=G$ implies that an element of order $p$ in $G$ permutes the $l$ blocks $T_{1} \times \cdots \times T_{k}, \ldots, T_{k(l-1)+1} \times \cdots \times T_{k l}$ nontrivially. Hence $l \geqslant p$. If $l=1$, an element of order $p$ in $G$ permutes $\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{k}\right\}$ nontrivially, since $O^{p^{\prime}}(G)=G$ and $T$ does not admit a coprime automorphism of order $p$. Hence $k \geqslant p$. In either case $(k-1) l \geqslant p-1$.

If $p \geqslant 7$, then $n=|T|^{(k-1) /} \geqslant 60^{6}>10^{8}$. If $p=5$, then $|T| \neq 60$ and so $n=$ $|T|^{(k-1) /} \geqslant|T|^{4}>10^{8}$. If $p=3$, then $|T| \geqslant|\mathrm{Sz}(8)|=29,120$ and $n=|T|^{(k-1) l} \geqslant|T|^{2}$ $>10^{8}$. Hence, $n>10^{8}$ and we are done by Step 2(iii).

Step 5. Conclusion.
By Lemmas 2.3 and $1.2,|G|=p|T|$ and $T$ admits a field automorphism of order p.

First suppose $T=\operatorname{Sz}(q)$ for an odd power $q$ of 2 . Let $\alpha \in \Omega$. Then $n=\left|G: G_{\alpha}\right|=$ $\left|T: T_{\alpha}\right|$. By [23, Theorem 9], $n=\left|T: T_{\alpha}\right| \geqslant q^{2}+1$, so Step 2(i) yields a contradiction. Hence, for the rest of this step we suppose $T \neq \operatorname{Sz}(q)$ and, in particular, $p>3$.

Let $L(T)$ be the lower bound for the smallest degree of a nontrivial projective representation of $T$ given in [17, p. 419]. Thus in the notation of [17], $L(T) \leqslant l(T, p)$ and $L(T)$ is the number which actually appears in the table in [17, p. 419]. Let $T=\mathbf{G}(q)$ be an adjoint group of type $\mathbf{G}$ over the field of $q$ elements. Since $p>3$,
$q \geqslant 32$ and $q \geqslant 243$ if $T$ has type ${ }^{2} G_{2}$. If $\mathbf{G}$ is of exceptional Lie type then $L(T) \geqslant 10^{4}$ and $|T| \leqslant L(T)^{10}$. Thus $2^{4 L(T)}>|T|$, which contradicts Step 2(ii).

Hence, $T$ is a classical group. If $T$ is of type $A_{m}, B_{m}, C_{m}, D_{m},{ }^{2} A_{m}$ or ${ }^{2} D_{m}$ for $m \geqslant 2$, then it is immediate from [17, p. 419] that $n>L(T) \geqslant\left(q^{m}-1\right) / 2>500$. As $q \geqslant 32$, this implies that $\log (2 n)>m \log q>3 m$. By the order formulas,

$$
|T| \leqslant\left|B_{m}(q)\right| \leqslant q^{4 m^{2}}=\left(q^{m}\right)^{4 m} \leqslant(3 n)^{4 m} \leqslant 3 n^{2 \log (3 n)}
$$

By Step $2,|T|>2^{4 n}$. Thus $3 n^{2 \log (3 n)}>2^{4 n}$, contradicting $n>500$.
Thus $T=\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$. If $q$ is odd then $q \geqslant 243$ and $2^{4 L(T)}>|T|$. If $q$ is even then $2^{.4 L(T)}=2^{.4(q-1)}>|T|$ for $q>32$. Thus $T=S L_{2}(32)$. Since $G$ is primitive on $\Omega$, $T \triangleleft G$ is transitive on $\Omega$. If $T$ were not doubly transitive on $\Omega$, then $n>2(q-1)>$ 60. Since $2^{4(60)}>|T|, T$ must be doubly transitive on $\Omega$. By [4, Theorem 5.3], $n=33$.

Now let $x \in G=\operatorname{Aut}\left(S L_{2}(32)\right)$ have order $p=5$. Since $5 \dagger|T|$ and $T$ is transitive on $\Omega$, it follows from [16, Lemma 13.8] that the fixed points of $x$ in $\Omega$ form a single orbit under $C_{T}(x) \cong S_{3}$. Since the number of fixed points of $x$ is congruent to $3 \bmod 5, x$ has 3 fixed points in $\Omega$. Then no set of size 4 in $\Omega$ is stabilized by an element of order 5 in $G$. This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 2.5.

Corollary 2.6. Suppose $\left|G: O_{p^{\prime}}(G)\right|=p$ and $G=O^{p^{\prime}}(G)$ for an odd prime $p$. Suppose $G$ acts faithfully and imprimitively on a finite vector space $V$ of characteristic $p$ so that each $v \in V$ is centralized by a p-Sylow subgroup of $G$. Then $G$ is solvable.

Proof. Let $V=V_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n}$ be an imprimitivity decomposition for the action of $G$. Let $G_{1}$ be the stabilizer in $G$ of $V_{1}$ and let $C=\operatorname{Core}_{G}\left(G_{1}\right)$. Let $\Omega=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Let $\Delta \leqslant \Omega$. By choosing a vector whose nonzero components correspond to $\Delta$, we see that $(G / C, \Omega)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5. As in [8, Lemma 3.2] $C$ acts transitively on $V_{1}-\{0\}$. By Lemma 2.1, $C$ is solvable. Thus $G$ is solvable.
3. Let $G$ and $N$ be as in Proposition 0. Suppose that $F^{*}(G / N)=F(G / N)$. Theorem 3.1 below shows that $G$ must be solvable. The groups $G$ and $K$ below correspond to $G / N$ and $K / N$ in Proposition 0.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\left|G: O_{p^{\prime}}(G)\right|=p$ and $G=O^{p^{\prime}}(G)$ for an odd prime $p$. Suppose that $V$ is a faithful irreducible primitive $G F(p)[G]$-module. Suppose $p \| C_{G}(x) \mid$ for all $x \in V$. If $F^{*}(G)=F(G)$, then $G$ is solvable.

Proof. Let $K=G^{\prime}$. The hypotheses imply that $K$ is the unique maximal normal subgroup of $G$. The proof is carried out in a series of steps.

Step 1. There is a unique maximal normal abelian subgroup $Z$ of $G$. Furthermore, $Z$ is cyclic and $Z=Z(K)$.

Proof. As in Step 2 of [8, Theorem 2.3].
Step 2. Let $E / Z$ be a chief factor of $G$, let $B=C_{G}(E)$ and let $C=C_{G}(E / Z)$. Then:
(i) $E / Z$ is an elementary abelian $q$-group for a prime $q$ and $E \leqslant K$.
(ii) $B E=C \leqslant K$ and $B \cap E=Z$.
(iii) $|E / Z|=q^{2 n}$ for an integer $n$.
(iv) $K / C$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the symplectic group $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n, q)$.
(v) If $P \leqslant C_{G}(Z)$, then $G / C$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n, q)$.

Proof. If $Z=K$, the conclusion of the theorem is satisfied, so we assume $Z<K$. Since $K$ is the unique maximal normal subgroup of $G, E \leqslant K$. Since $E / Z$ is a chief factor of $G$ and $Z \leqslant Z(K), E$ is nilpotent or $E / Z$ is a direct sum of isomorphic nonabelian simple groups. In either case $E \leqslant F^{*}(G)$ by Lemma 1.5. The hypotheses and Step 1 yield that $E$ is nilpotent but nonabelian. The rest of the proof follows that of Step 4 in [8, Theorem 2.3].

Step 3. There exist $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{m} \leqslant G$ such that:
(i) $E_{i} / Z$ is a chief factor of $G$ for each $i$.
(ii) $\left[E_{i}, E_{j}\right]=1$ when $i \neq j$.
(iii) $M / Z=E_{1} / Z \times \cdots \times E_{m} / Z$, where $M$ is defined to be $E_{1} E_{2} \cdots E_{m}$.
(iv) $C_{G}(M)=Z$ and $C_{G / Z}(M / Z)=M / Z$.

Proof. As in Step 6 of [24, Theorem 3.3]. We remark that $M=F(G)$.
Step 4. Let $W \neq 0$ be an irreducible $Z$-submodule of $V$ and let $e=|M: Z|^{1 / 2}$. Then $\operatorname{dim} V=t e(\operatorname{dim} W)$ for an integer $t$.

Proof. As in Step 6 of [8, Theorem 2.3].
Step 5. Let $W$ be as in Step 4 and let $q_{i}$ be the prime divisor of $E_{i} / Z$. Then:
(i) $|Z| \mid(|W|-1)$.
(ii) $q_{i} \mid(|W|-1)$ for each $i$.

Proof. As in Step 14 of [24, Theorem 3.3].
Step 6. $|E / Z|=4$ if and only if $C=K$. In this situation, $p=3$.
Proof. As in Step 7 of [24, Theorem 3.3].
Step 7. Assume that $|E / Z| \neq 4$. Let $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$. Then:
(i) If $s$ is a prime divisor of $|F(G / C)|$, then $s \mid q^{2 n}-1$.
(ii) If $1 \neq S \in \operatorname{Syl}_{s}(F(G / C))$ and if $C_{S}(P)=1$, then $\operatorname{dim} C_{E / Z}(P)=2 n / p$.
(iii) If $G / C$ is solvable, then $1 \neq C_{G / C}(F(G / C)) \leqslant F(G / C) \leqslant K / C$.
(iv) If $F(G / C)$ is cyclic and $G / C$ is solvable, then $F(G / C)=K / C$ and $\operatorname{dim} C_{E / Z}(P)=2 n / p$.

Proof. As in Step 11 of [24, Theorem 3.3].
Step 8. Let $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$. Then:
(i) $\left|\operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G) \| C_{V}(P)\right| \geqslant|V|$.
(ii) $\left|\operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)\right|>|V|^{1 / 2}$.

Proof. As in Step 7 of [8, Theorem 2.3]. Note that we may replace the $\geqslant \operatorname{sign}$ in (ii) by a $>$ sign, since $|V|^{1 / 2}$ is not a $p^{\prime}$-integer.

Step 9. Let $q=2, p=3, n \neq 1$. Then:
(i) $n \geqslant 6$.
(ii) If $n \leqslant 7$ and $K / C$ is nonsolvable, then $|K / C| \leqslant 2^{28}$.

Proof. We first assume that $K / C$ is solvable. Suppose that $n=5$. Since $p=3$ and $|\operatorname{Sp}(10,2)|=3^{6} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 31 \cdot 2^{25}$, it follows from Steps 2(iv) and 7(i),(iv) that $\mid F(G / C) \| 11 \cdot 31$ and $3 \mid 10$. Thus, $n \neq 5$ and similarly, $n \neq 2$. If $n=4$, then $\mid F(G / C) \| 5^{2} \cdot 17$ by Steps 2(iv) and $7(\mathrm{i})$. Since $C_{G / C}(F(G / C)) \leqslant K / C$, a 3-Sylow subgroup of $G$ must act nontrivially on the 5 -Sylow subgroup of $F(G / C)$. Then Step

7 (iii) yields a contradiction. Thus $n \neq 4$. If $n=3$, then Step 7 yields that $F(G / C)=$ $K / C$ is cyclic of order 7 and $G / C$ is a Frobenius group of order 21 . It is easy to see that $G / C$ has exactly two nonisomorphic faithful irreducible representations over $G F(2)$, both of degree 3 . Thus, $E / Z$ is not an irreducible $G / C$-module and not a chief factor of $G$, a contradiction.

Thus, we may assume that $K / C$ is nonsolvable and $2 \leqslant n \leqslant 7$. There exists an integer $d$, and a chief factor $R / T$ of $G / C$ such that $R / T$ is isomorphic to the direct product of $d$ copies of a nonabelian simple group. Since $|K / C|$ divides $|\operatorname{Sp}(14,2)|$ and $3||K / C|$, it follows that $| K / C \mid$ divides $2^{49} \cdot 5^{3} \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 43 \cdot 127$. Hence, $d \leqslant 2$ and since $O^{3^{\prime}}(G / C)=G / C$ we have $d=1$. Hence $R / T$ is isomorphic to a Suzuki group which admits an automorphism of order 3. By the order formulas for the Suzuki groups and the bound on $|K / C|$ above, it follows that $R / T \cong \mathrm{Sz}(8)$ and $K / R$ and $T / C$ are both solvable. Since $|\mathrm{Out}(\mathrm{Sz}(8))|=3$, we may replace $R$ and $T$ by $K$ and $C_{G}(R / T)$, respectively, so that $K / T \cong \mathrm{Sz}(8)$ and $T / C$ is solvable.

Since $13+|\mathrm{Sp}(2 n, 2)|$ for $n \leqslant 5$, it follows that $n \geqslant 6$. By the preceding paragraph $T / C$ divides $2^{43} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 31 \cdot 43 \cdot 127$. By Step 7(i), $|F(T / C)|$ divides $5^{2} \cdot 7$ if $n=6$ and $|F(T / C)|$ divides $43 \cdot 127$ if $n=7$. A cyclic Sylow subgroup of $F(T / C)$ is central in $(G / C)^{\prime}=K / C$ and in $T / C$. Since $C_{T / C} F(T / C)=F(T / C)$, it follows that $|T / C|$ divides $2^{3} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7$ if $n=6$ and $|T / C|$ divides $43 \cdot 127$ if $n=7$. In either case $|T / C| \leqslant 2^{13}$ and so $|K / C|=|\operatorname{Sz}(8) \| T / C| \leqslant 2^{28}$.

Step 10. Let $q=2, p \neq 3$ and $n \neq 1$. Then:
(i) $n \geqslant 4$.
(ii) If $n=4$, then $K / C$ is solvable.
(iii) If $n=5$ and $K / C$ is nonsolvable, then $p=5$ and $K / C \cong S L_{2}(32)$.

Proof. First suppose that $n=3$. Since $|K / C|$ divides $|\operatorname{Sp}(6,2)|=2^{9} \cdot 3^{4} \cdot 5 \cdot 7$, the order formulas [9, p. 491] and Lemma 1.2 show that $K / C$ involves no simple group which admits a coprime automorphism. Since $G=O^{p^{\prime}}(G)$, it follows that $K / C$ has no nonabelian simple chief factor. As $G=O^{p^{\prime}}(G)$ and $|K / C|$ is not divisible by the fifth power of the order of a nonabelian simple group, every chief factor of $K / C$ must be solvable, so $K / C$ is solvable. As $p \| \operatorname{Aut}(E / Z) \mid$ and $p \neq 3, p$ must be 5,7 or 31. By Step 7(i),(iii), $O_{3}(G / C)$ is elementary abelian of order $3^{4}$ and $p=5$. Hence, an element of order $p$ in $G$ has no fixed points on $O_{3}(G / C)$. By Step 7 (ii), $5 \mid 6$, a contradiction. Thus $n \neq 3$. Similarly $n \neq 2$.

If $n=4$, the arguments of the preceding paragraph show that $K / C$ is solvable. If $n=5$, the arguments of the preceding paragraph show that $K / C$ is solvable or that a composition series for $K / C$ has a unique nonsolvable factor, which is isomorphic to $S L_{2}(32)$.

Thus, we may assume that $n=5, p=5$, and $K / C$ has a unique nonsolvable composition factor, isomorphic to $S L_{2}(32)$. If $F(G / C)=1$, then $F^{*}(G / C) \cong$ $S L_{2}(32)$. Since $C_{G / C}\left(F^{*}(G / C)\right) \leqslant F^{*}(G / C)$, by [3, Theorem 13.12], it follows that $G / C \cong \operatorname{Aut}\left(S L_{2}(32)\right)$ and $K / C \cong S L_{2}(32)$.

We may assume that $F(G / C) \neq 1$. Under this assumption we will show that $K / C$ acts faithfully on an extraspecial group of order $2^{11}$.

Since $E / Z$ is elementary abelian and $Z \leqslant Z(E)$, each commutator of $E$ has order 2 and $\left|E^{\prime}\right|=2$. An application of Fitting's lemma to the coprime action of $F(G / C)$ on $O_{2}(E) / E^{\prime}$ yields that $E / E^{\prime}=\left(E_{0} / E^{\prime}\right) \times\left(Z / E^{\prime}\right)$ for some $E_{0} \triangleleft G$. Since $E / Z$ is chief and $E$ is nonabelian, $E^{\prime}=Z\left(E_{0}\right)=\Phi\left(E_{0}\right)$. Since $\left|E^{\prime}\right|=2, E_{0}$ is extraspecial of order $2^{11}$ and $K / C$ acts faithfully on $E_{0}$.

By [15, p. 357], $K / C$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of one of the two orthogonal groups $O^{+}(10,2)$ or $O^{-}(10,2)$. By [15, p. 248], neither $\left|O^{+}(10,2)\right|$ nor $\left|O^{-}(10,2)\right|$ is divisible by $\left|S L_{2}(32)\right|$. Thus $K / C$ has no nonsolvable composition factor, completing the proof of this step.

Step 11. If $q^{n}$ is $5,7,11,3^{2}$ or $3^{3}$, then $K / C$ is solvable. Also $q^{n} \neq 3$.
Proof. Suppose that $q^{n}$ is $5,7,11,3^{2}$ or $3^{3}$ and $K / C$ is nonsolvable. Our assumption that $O^{p^{\prime}}(G)=G$ implies that $K / C$ involves a simple group which admits a coprime automorphism of order $p \neq q$, or that $|K / C|$ is divisible by the $p$ th power of the order of a nonabelian simple group. Since $K / C$ is subgroup of $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n, q)$, the order formulas [9, p. 491] yield a contradiction.

If $q^{n}=3$, then $\mid \operatorname{Aut}(E / Z)=48$. Since $p$ divides $|\operatorname{Aut}(E / Z)|$, this contradicts the hypothesis that $p \neq 2$ and $p \nmid|K|$.

Step 12. Conclusion.
We may choose an integer $k \geqslant 0$ such that $\left|E_{i} / Z\right|=4$ if and only if $i \leqslant k$. We let $C_{0}=K$ and define $C_{i}$ to be the centralizer in $C_{i-1}$ of $E_{i} / Z$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. By Step 2(iv) applied to $E_{i} / Z, C_{i-1} / C_{i}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\operatorname{Sp}\left(2 n_{i}, q_{i}\right)$ for each $i$. By Steps 6 and $3, C_{k}=K$ and $C_{m}=M$. Since $|\operatorname{Sp}(2 n, q)|<q^{2 n^{2}+n}$, we have $\left|\operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)\right| \leqslant|K|$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(\left|\operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)\right|\right) \leqslant \log |Z|+2 k \log 2+\sum_{i=k+1}^{m}\left(2 n_{i}^{2}+3 n_{i}\right) \log q_{i} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Steps 4 and 8, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(\left|\operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)\right|\right)>t 2^{k-1}\left(\prod_{i=k+1}^{m} q_{i}^{n_{i}}\right) \log |W| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Step $5, q_{i} \leqslant|Z|<|W|$ for all $i$ and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 k \log 2+\sum_{i=k+1}^{m}\left(2 n_{i}^{2}+3 n_{i}\right) \log q_{i}>\left(-1+2^{k-1} \prod_{i=k+1}^{m} q_{i}^{n_{i}}\right) \log |W| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+2 k+\sum_{i=k+1}^{m}\left(2 n_{i}^{2}+3 n_{i}\right)>2^{k-1} \prod_{i=k+1}^{m} q_{i}^{n_{i}} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We let $l=\sum_{k+1}^{m} n_{i}$, so that (4) yields $1+2 k+2 l^{2}+3 l>2^{k+l-1}$ and hence $k+l \leqslant 8$. If $l=0$, then $K=C_{m}=M$ and $G$ is solvable. We may assume that $l \geqslant 1$.

Suppose first that $n_{k+1}=1$. By Step 11, $q_{k+1} \geqslant 5$. Then (4) yields

$$
6+2 k+2(l-1)^{2}+3(l-1)>2^{k-1} \cdot 5 \cdot 3^{l-1}
$$

Hence $l \leqslant 2$. If $l=2$, then $q_{k+2} \geqslant 5$ by Step 11 , and (4) gives the contradiction $11+2 k>2^{k-1} 5^{2}$. Thus $l=1$ and $q_{k+1}=5,7$ or 11 by (4). Since $C_{K}=K$ and
$C_{k+1}=M$, it follows from Step 11 that $G$ is solvable. We may assume that $n_{i} \geqslant 2$ for all $i>k$.

Suppose $n_{k+1}=2$, so that $q_{k+1} \geqslant 3$ by Step 10. Now (4) becomes

$$
15+2 k+2(l-2)^{2}+3(l-2)>2^{k-1} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 2^{l-2}
$$

and $l \leqslant 5$. But then Step 10 yields that $q_{i} \geqslant 3$ for $i>k+1$ and (4) implies that

$$
15+2 k+2(l-2)^{2}+3(l-2)>2^{k-1} \cdot 3^{l}
$$

and $l \leqslant 3$. By the last paragraph $l=2$. Then $q_{k+1}$ is 3 or 5 by inequality (4). If $q_{k+1}=5$, then (3) and (4) yield that $k=0$ and $5^{14}>|W|^{23 / 2}$, whence $|W|<11$, contradicting Step 5. Thus $q_{k+1}=3$. Since $C_{k}=K$ and $C_{k+1}=M$, Step 11 implies that $K / C$ and $G$ are solvable. We may assume that $n_{i} \geqslant 3$ for all $i>k$.

Suppose that $n_{k+1}=3$, so that $q_{k+1} \geqslant 3$ by Step 10. Inequality (4) yields that

$$
28+2 k+2(l-3)^{2}+3(l-3)>2^{k-1} \cdot 3^{3} \cdot 2^{l-3}
$$

and that $l<6$. By the last paragraph $l=3$. Then $28+2 k>2^{k-1} q_{k+1}^{3}$ by (4). Hence $q_{k+1}=3$ and $k \leqslant 1$. Since $C_{k}=K$ and $C_{k+1}=M$, Step 11 implies that $K / C$ and $G$ are solvable. Hence $n_{i} \geqslant 4$ for all $i>k$.

Suppose $n_{k+1}=4$. Then

$$
45+2 k+2(l-4)^{2}+3(l-4)>2^{k-1} q_{k+1}^{4} 2^{l-4}
$$

by (4) and $l<8$. By the last paragraph $l=4$. Then $q=2$ or 3 and $k=0$ if $q=3$. If $q=3$, then inequality (3) becomes $3^{44}>|W|^{79 / 2}$, contradicting Step 5. Hence $q=2$, and $K / M$ and $G$ are solvable. Hence $n_{i} \geqslant 5$ for all $i>k$.

Now $m=k+1$, since $k<k+l \leqslant 8$. If $n_{k+1}=8$, then $k=0$ and $q_{1}=2$ by (4). Inequality (3) becomes $2^{152}>|W|^{127}$, contradicting Step 5 . Thus $5 \leqslant n_{k+1} \leqslant 7$.

Suppose $n_{k+1}=6$. By (4), $k \leqslant 1$. If $k=1$, then $q_{k+1}=2$ and (3) implies that $2^{92}>|W|^{63}$, a contradiction. Thus $k=0$ and (3) becomes $2^{90}>|W|^{31}$. Since $|W|$ is a power of $p$, it follows that $|W|=p$ and $p$ is 3,5 or 7 . Since $|Z|<|W|=p$, we have $P \leqslant C_{G}(Z)$. By Step $2,|K / M|$ divides $|\operatorname{Sp}(12,2)|$ and thus $\mid K / Z \| 2^{48} \cdot 3^{8} \cdot 5^{3} \cdot 7^{2}$. $11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 31$. If $p$ is 5 or 7 , then $P$ must fix and centralize an $r$-Sylow subgroup of $K / Z$, whenever $r$ is $5,7,13$ or 17 . Thus

$$
\left|\operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)\right|=\left|K / Z: C_{K / Z}(P)\right| \leqslant 2^{48} \cdot 3^{8} \cdot 11 \cdot 31 \leqslant 2^{70}
$$

Inequality (2) now has $2^{70}>|W|^{32}$ and so $|W|<5$, a contradiction. Thus $p=3=$ $|W|$. By Step $9,\left|\operatorname{Syl}_{3}(G)\right| \leqslant|K / Z| \leqslant 2^{40}$ and inequality (2) yields $2^{40}>3^{32}$, a contradiction. Hence $n_{k+1} \neq 6$. Similarly $n_{k+1} \neq 7$.

Thus $n_{k+1}=5$ and $q_{k+1}=2$. By Steps 9 and 10 , we may assume that $p=5$ and $K / M \cong S L_{2}(32)$. Since $|K / M|<2^{15}$, a modification of the argument used to derive inequality (3) shows that

$$
2 k(\log 2)+25 \log 2>\left(-1+16 \cdot 2^{k}\right) \log |W| .
$$

This contradicts the fact that $|W| \geqslant p=5$ and completes the proof of the theorem.
4. In this section we consider the case that $V$ is a primitive $G F(p)[G / N]$-module and $F^{*}(G / N) \neq F(G / N)$. The group $G$ in the statements of Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 corresponds to $G / O_{p}(N)$ in the setting of Proposition 0.

Proposition 4.1. Let $G=O^{p^{\prime}}(G)$ and $\left|G: O_{p^{\prime}}(G)\right|=p$ for an odd prime $p$. Suppose that $L / W$ is a nonabelian simple chief factor of $G$. Suppose that $\mu \in \operatorname{Irr}(W)$ is invariant in $G$. Then some character in $\operatorname{Irr}(G \mid \mu)$ has degree divisible by $p$.

Proof. By applying a character triple isomorphism [16, Theorem 11.28] we may suppose that $\mu$ is linear and faithful, so that $W \leqslant Z(G)$. We must produce $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(L \mid \mu)$ such that $p \nmid\left|I_{G}(\chi)\right|$. We will do this in a series of steps.

Step 1 . We may assume that $L=L^{\prime}$.
Proof. Since $(L / W)^{\prime}=L / W$, we have $L=L^{\prime} W$ and $L^{\prime} / L^{\prime} \cap W \cong L / W$. Suppose there were a character $\chi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(L^{\prime} \mid \mu_{L^{\prime} \cap W}\right)$ such that $p \nmid\left|I_{G}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right|$. Then $L=$ $L^{\prime} W \leqslant I_{G}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)$, and since $L / L^{\prime} \cong W / L^{\prime} \cap W$ is cyclic, there exists $\chi_{1} \in \operatorname{Irr}(L)$ which extends $\chi^{\prime}$. Since $\left.\chi_{1}\right|_{W}$ extends $\chi^{\prime}(1) \mu_{L^{\prime} \cap W}$, we may choose a linear character $\nu$ of $L / L^{\prime} \cong W / L^{\prime} \cap W$ so that $\chi_{1} \nu \in \operatorname{Irr}(L \mid \mu)$. Set $\chi=\chi_{1} \nu$. Then $\chi$ extends $\chi^{\prime}$, $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(L \mid \mu)$, and $I_{G}(\chi) \leqslant I_{G}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)$. Thus $p \nmid\left|I_{G}(\chi)\right|$.

Step 2. $L / W$ is an adjoint group of Lie type.
Proof. This follows from $G=O^{p^{\prime}}(G)$ and Lemma 1.3.
Step 3. There is an automorphism $\sigma$ of $L / W$ and a prime number $r$ such that $|\sigma|=p, r \dagger|W|, r| | L \mid$, and $r \dagger\left|C_{L / W}(\sigma)\right|$.

Proof. By Step 2, $L / W$ is an adjoint group over $G F\left(q^{p}\right)$ where $q$ is a prime power; of course, $q$ is not a power of $p$. Let $\mathbf{G}\left(q^{p}\right)$ be the simply connected group of the same type, so that $\mathbf{G}\left(q^{p}\right)$ is a central extension of $L / W$. Then there is a simply connected and simple (in the sense of algebraic groups) algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$ and an endomorphism $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ of $\mathbf{G}$ such that $\mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$, the fixed point group, is $\mathbf{G}(q)$ (see [22, pp. 82-83]). Let $\tau=\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{p}$. Then $\mathbf{G}_{\tau}$ is finite [21, 10.6] and $\mathbf{G}_{\tau}=\mathbf{G}\left(q^{p}\right)$ by [21, 11.16, 11.13]. Moreover, $\mathbf{G}_{\tau}$ admits $\sigma$ and the restriction of $\sigma$ to $\mathbf{G}_{\tau}$ has order $p$. Let $d_{\tau}=d\left(q^{p}\right)=\left|Z\left(\mathbf{G}_{\tau}\right)\right|$. By Lemma 1.2, $d_{\tau}| | \mathbf{G}_{\tau} / Z\left(\mathbf{G}_{\tau}\right) \mid$, so $p \nmid\left|\mathbf{G}_{\tau}\right|$. Consequently, $C_{\mathbf{G}_{\tau} / Z\left(\mathbf{G}_{\tau}\right)}(\sigma) \cong \mathbf{G}_{\sigma} / \mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cap Z\left(\mathbf{G}_{\tau}\right)$ and $\sigma$ induces an automorphism of order $p$ on $\mathbf{G}_{\tau} / Z\left(\mathbf{G}_{\tau}\right) \cong L / W$.

By the order formulas [2, 11.16], $\left|\mathbf{G}\left(q^{p}\right)\right|$ has the form $\left(q^{p}\right)^{N} \Pi_{j \geqslant 1}\left(q^{p m_{j}}-\varepsilon_{j}\right)$ where each $\varepsilon_{j}$ is a root of 1 of order 1,2 or 3 . Choose notation so that $m_{1} \geqslant m_{2} \geqslant \cdots$. If $\mathbf{G}$ is untwisted let $r$ be a primitive divisor of $q^{p m_{1}}-1$. If $\mathbf{G}$ is of type ${ }^{2} B_{2},{ }^{2} D_{n}$, ${ }^{3} D_{4},{ }^{2} G_{2},{ }^{2} F_{4},{ }^{2} E_{6}$, let $r$ be a primitive divisor of $q^{4 p}-1, q^{2 n p}-1, q^{12 p}-1$, $q^{6 p}-1, q^{12 p}-1, q^{18 p}-1$, respectively. If $\mathbf{G}$ is of type ${ }^{2} A_{n}$, let $r$ be a primitive divisor of $q^{2 p(n+1)}-1$ if $n$ is even and $q^{2 n p}-1$ if $n$ is odd. Since $p \geqslant 3$, the exceptional cases $2^{6}-1$ and $p^{2}-1$ in Lemma 1.1 do not arise. Also $r>3$.

By the order formulas, $r \| \mathbf{G}\left(q^{p}\right) \mid$ and $r \nmid d\left(q^{p}\right)$. Hence $r \| L / W \mid$. Let $M$ be the Schur multiplier of $\mathbf{G}\left(q^{p}\right)$. By [11, p. 280], any prime greater than 3 which divides $|M|$ must divide $d\left(q^{p}\right)$. Since $r \nmid d\left(q^{p}\right)$ and $L=L^{\prime}$ it follows that $r \nmid|W|$.

Finally, we show that $r \nmid\left|C_{L / W}(\sigma)\right|$. Note that $\left|C_{L / W}(\sigma)\right||\mathbf{G}(q)|$ and $|\mathbf{G}(q)|$ has the form $q^{N} \Pi_{j \geqslant 1}\left(q^{m_{j}}-\varepsilon_{j}\right)$. If $\mathbf{G}$ is untwisted, the definition of $r$ makes it clear that $r \nmid \mathbf{G}(q) \mid$. If $\mathbf{G}$ has type ${ }^{3} D_{4}$, then any prime divisor of $|\mathbf{G}(q)|$ divides $q^{12}-1$, so
$r \dagger|\mathbf{G}(q)|$. The verification for the other twisted types is equally trivial and is omitted.

Step 4. Let $r$ be as in Step 3 and let $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(L / W)$ we have order $p$. Then $r \nmid C_{L / W}(\alpha) \mid$.

Proof. Let $\sigma$ be as in Step 3. By Lemma 1.3 and Sylow's theorem, $\langle\sigma\rangle$ and $\langle\alpha\rangle$ are conjugate in $\operatorname{Aut}(L / W)$. Thus $r \nmid C_{L / W}(\alpha) \mid$.

Step 5. Any two elements of order $p$ in $G$ fix the same irreducible characters of $L$.
Proof. Let $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G$ have order $p$. We may assume that $g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} \in O^{p}(G)$. By Lemma 1.3, $g_{1} g_{2}^{-1}$ induces an inner automorphism of $L / W$. Hence we may choose $x \in L$ so that $g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} x$ centralizes $L / W$. Since $W \leqslant Z(G), g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} x$ also centralizes $W$. Therefore $\left[g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} x, L, L\right]=\left[L, g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} x, L\right]=1$. The three subgroup lemma yields $1=\left[L, L, g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} x\right]=\left[L, g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} x\right]$ so $g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} x$ centralizes $L$. Hence $g_{1} g_{2}^{-1}$ induces an inner automorphism of $L$, and the result follows.

Step 6. Let $g \in G$ be a fixed element of order $p$. Let $x \in L$ be a fixed element of order $r$. Suppose that $\left\langle x^{g}\right\rangle$ and $\langle x\rangle$ are conjugate in $L$. Then the conclusion of Proposition 4.1 holds.

Proof. Since $p \nmid|L|, g$ must normalize an $L$-congugate $\langle y\rangle$ of $\langle x\rangle$. By Step 4, $g$ does not centralize $\langle y\rangle$. By Step $3,\langle y, W\rangle=\langle y\rangle \times W$. Let $\nu$ be a faithful linear character of $\langle y\rangle$. Let $\theta=(\mu \times \nu)^{L}$, let $c=\left|C_{L}(y)\right| /|\langle y\rangle \times W|$, and let $\varepsilon=\nu(y)$. By the definition of induced characters, $\theta(y)=c \Sigma_{\gamma \in S} \varepsilon^{\gamma}$, where $S$ is a $p^{\prime}$-subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon) / \mathbf{Q})$. Also

$$
\boldsymbol{\theta}^{g}(y)=\sum_{\gamma \in S} \varepsilon^{\beta \gamma}
$$

where $\beta \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon) / \mathbf{Q})$ has order $p$. Since the primitive $r$ th roots of 1 are linearly independent over $\mathbf{Q}$, it follows that $\theta^{g}(y) \neq \theta(y)$, so $\theta^{g} \neq \theta$.

Let $\chi$ be an irreducible constituent of $\theta$ such that $\chi^{g} \neq \chi$. Then $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(L \mid \mu)$. By Step $5, \chi$ is fixed by no element of order $p$ in $G$, so $p \nmid\left|I_{G}(\chi)\right|$.

Step 7. Let $g$ and $x$ be as in Step 6. Suppose that $\left\langle x^{g}\right\rangle$ and $\langle x\rangle$ are not conjugate in $L$. Then the conclusion of Proposition 4.1 holds.

Proof. As in Step 6, $\langle x, W\rangle=\langle x\rangle \times W$. Let $\theta=\left(1_{\langle x\rangle} \times \mu\right)^{L}$. Then $\theta(x)$ $=\left|N_{L}\langle x\rangle:\langle x, W\rangle\right| \neq 0$, while $\theta\left(x^{g}\right)=0$. Hence $\theta \neq \theta^{g}$. The conclusion of Proposition 4.1 follows as in Step 6.

Proposition 4.2. Let $G=O^{p^{\prime}}(G)$ and $\left|G: O_{p^{\prime}}(G)\right|=p$ for an odd prime $p$. Suppose that $L / W$ is a nonabelian nonsimple chief factor of $G$. Suppose that $\mu \in \operatorname{Irr}(W)$ is invariant in $G$. Then some character in $\operatorname{Irr}(G \mid \mu)$ has degree divisible by $p$.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 4.1, we may assume that $\mu$ is linear and faithful and that $L=L^{\prime}$. We have $L / W=\prod_{i=1}^{n} S_{i} / W$, where the $S_{i} / W$ are isomorphic simple groups. The $S_{i}$ are transitively permuted by the action of $G$.

Step $1 . L$ is the central product of the $S_{i}$.
Proof. For $i \neq j, x \in S_{i}, y \in S_{j}$, the map $y \rightarrow[x, y]$ defines a homomorphism from $S_{j}$ to $W$ whose kernel contains $W$. Since $S_{j} / W$ is simple, this homomorphism must be trivial. Thus $\left[S_{i}, S_{j}\right]=1$. Since $\cap S_{i}=W$, the result follows.

Step 2. Each $S_{i}$ is perfect.

Proof Since $L$ is perfect, $L$ is the product of the $S_{i}^{\prime}$. Since $G$ permutes the $S_{i}^{\prime}$ transitively, $S_{i}^{\prime} \cap W$ is the same group $W_{0}$ for all $i$. Then $L / W_{0}$ is the direct product of the $S_{i}^{\prime} / W_{0}$. Thus $|L|=\left|W_{0}\right| \Pi\left|S_{i} / W\right|$, so $W_{0}=W$ and so $S_{i}^{\prime}=S_{i}$ for all $i$.

To make the remaining steps of the proof clearer we introduce an "abstract" group $S$, isomorphic to each $S_{i}$. Thus $S$ is perfect and $Z(S) \cong W$.

Step 3. Let $\mu_{0}$ be a faithful linear character of $Z(S)$. Let $A$ be the centralizer in $\operatorname{Aut}(S)$ of $Z(S)$. Then $A$ has more than one orbit on $\operatorname{Irr}\left(S \mid \mu_{0}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose not. Then every character in $\operatorname{Irr}\left(S \mid \mu_{0}\right)$ has the same degree $d$. Let $m=\left|\operatorname{Irr}\left(S \mid \mu_{0}\right)\right|$. By [16, p. 84], $|S: Z(S)|=m d^{2}$.

By the argument in Step 5 of Proposition 4.1, any element of $A$ which induces an inner automorphism of $S / Z(S)$ lies in $\operatorname{Inn}(S)$, so that $A / \operatorname{Inn}(S)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}(S / Z(S))$. Therefore, $m$ divides $|\operatorname{Out}(S / Z(S))|$.

Let $r$ be as in Corollary 1.4, applied to $S / Z(S)$. Since $r \| S / Z(S) \mid$ and $r \nmid$ $|\operatorname{Out}(S / Z(S))|$, it follows that $r \nmid m$ and $r \mid d$. Let $R \in \operatorname{Syl}_{r}(S)$. Since $r \dagger|Z(S)|$, $R \times Z(S)$ is a subgroup of $S$. Let $\theta=\left(1_{R} \times \mu_{0}\right)^{S}$. Then $r+\theta(1)$, which contradicts the fact that every irreducible constituent of $\theta$ lies in $\operatorname{Irr}\left(S \mid \mu_{0}\right)$.

Step 4. Let $U$ be the permutation group on $\left\{S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right\}$ induced by the action of $G$. Then the conclusion of Proposition 4.2 holds if $p>3$ or if $U \neq J$.

Proof. Since $O^{p^{\prime}}(G)=G$ we have $p \| U \mid$. By Theorem 2.5 we can choose $\Delta \leqslant\left\{S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right\}$ so that no element of order $p$ in $G$ fixes $\Delta$. Fix isomorphisms $f_{i}$ : $S \rightarrow S_{i}$ so that the restrictions $f_{i}: Z(S) \rightarrow W$ are the same function for all $i$. Then $\mu_{0}=f_{i}^{-1}(\mu)$ is a well-defined linear character of $Z(S)$. By Step 3, we may choose $\chi$, $\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(S \mid \mu_{0}\right)$ to lie in different $A$-orbits. Define $\eta \in \operatorname{Irr}(L \mid \mu)$ by requiring that $\left.\eta\right|_{S_{i}}=(\eta(1) / \chi(1)) f_{i}(\chi)$ for $S_{i} \in \Delta$ and $\left.\eta\right|_{S_{i}}=(\eta(1) / \psi(1)) f_{i}(\psi)$ for $S_{i} \notin \Delta$.
Suppose $g \in G$ fixes $\eta$. Then there exist indices $i, j$ such that $S_{i} \in \Delta, S_{j} \notin \Delta$ and $S_{i}^{g}=S_{j}$. Let $c(g): S_{i} \rightarrow S_{j}$ be the isomorphism given by conjugating by $g$. Then $f_{i} c(g) f_{j}^{-1}: S \rightarrow S, f_{i} c(g) f_{j}^{-1} \in A$, and $f_{i} c(g) f_{j}^{-1}$ takes $\chi$ to $\psi$, a contradiction.

Step 5. Conclusion.
Let $S, A$ and $U$ be as above. We may assume by Step 4 that $U \cong J, p=3, n=8$ and $S / Z(S) \cong \mathrm{Sz}(q)$ for some odd power $q$ of 2 . If $q>8$ then $\mathrm{Sz}(q)$ has a trivial Schur multiplier, so $L$ is the direct product of 8 copies of $\operatorname{Sz}(q)$ and $\mu=1$. We can write $\left\{S_{1}, \ldots, S_{8}\right\}$ as the disjoint union of 3 sets $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}$ so that no element of order 3 in $G$ stabilizes all 3 sets. Now choose irreducible characters $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}, \chi_{3}$ of $S \cong \operatorname{Sz}(q)$ whose degrees are all different. Define $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(L \mid \mu)$ to be the direct product whose $j$ th component is $\chi_{i}$ if $S_{j} \in \Delta_{i}$. Then $\chi$ is not fixed by an element of order 3 in $G$.

Thus we may assume that $S / Z(S) \cong \mathrm{Sz}(8)$. By the argument in the preceding paragraph, we may assume that $Z(S) \neq 1$. Since $S$ is perfect, $Z(S)$ is cyclic, and the multiplier of $\mathrm{Sz}(8)$ is $\mathbf{Z}_{2} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2}$ by [1, Theorem 2], we have $|Z(S)|=2$. Since $|\operatorname{Out}(\mathrm{Sz}(8))|=3$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathrm{Sz}(8))$ has a trivial multiplier [1, Theorem 2], it follows that every automorphism of $S$ is inner. Let $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}$ be as in the preceding paragraph. Since $|S / Z(S)|=29,120$ is not the sum of two squares, we can choose distinct characters $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}, \chi_{3} \in \operatorname{Irr}(S \mid \mu)$. Fix isomorphisms $f_{i}: S \rightarrow S_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 8$ and define $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(L \mid \mu)$ by the condition that $\left.\chi\right|_{S_{j}}=\left(\chi(1) / \chi_{i}(1)\right) f_{j}\left(\chi_{i}\right)$ for $S_{j} \in \Delta_{i}$.

Since $A=\operatorname{Inn}(S)$, it follows that $\chi$ is fixed by no element of order 3 in $G$. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.2.

Proof of Theorem A. Let $G$ be a minimal counterexample to Theorem A. Then $G$ is nonsolvable by [8, Theorem A] and satisfies conditions (1)-(6) of Proposition 0 . Let $V$ be as in Proposition 0.

We may apply Corollary 2.6 and Theorem 3.1 to the action of $G / N$ on $V$ to deduce that $V$ is a primitive $G F(p)[G / N]$-module and $F^{*}(G / N) \neq F(G / N)$. By Lemma 1.5, there is a perfect subgroup $\bar{L}$ of $G / N$ such that $\bar{L} / Z(\bar{L})$ is a nonsolvable chief factor of $G / N$. Any prime divisor of $|Z(\bar{L})|$ divides $|M(S)|$, the order of the Schur multiplier of a nonabelian simple composition factor of $\bar{L}$. By Lemma 1.2 and the table in [11, p. 280], we conclude that $p$ exceeds every prime divisor of $|Z(\bar{L})|$. Since $V$ is a primitive $G F(p)[G / N]$-module, $Z(\bar{L})$ is cyclic, and thus every element of order $p$ in $G$ centralizes $Z(\bar{L})$.

Let $L$ and $W$ be the inverse images in $G / O_{p}(N)$ of $\bar{L}$ and $Z(\bar{L})$. We identify the central cyclic subgroup $Z$ of $G$ with its image in $G / O_{p}(N)$. Thus $W$ is a normal abelian subgroup of $G / O_{p}(N)$, and $W / Z \cong Z(\bar{L})$.

Any element of order $p$ in $G / O_{p}(N)$ centralizes both $Z$ and $W / Z \cong Z(\bar{L})$. As $p|W|$ and $G=O^{p^{\prime}}(G)$, it follows that $W \leqslant Z\left(G / O_{p}(N)\right)$. Thus, any linear character $\mu$ of $W$ which extends $\lambda$ is invariant in $G / O_{p}(N)$. We may apply Proposition 4.1 or 4.2 to $G / O_{p}(N), L, W$ and $\mu$ to obtain $\chi \in \operatorname{lrr}\left(G / O_{p}(N) \mid \mu\right)$ such that $p \mid \chi(1)$. Since $\chi$ may be viewed as a character in $\operatorname{Irr}(G \mid \lambda)$, this contradicts (6) in Proposition 0 and completes the proof of Theorem A.
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