## FINITE CODIMENSIONAL IDEALS IN FUNCTION ALGEBRAS

## BY KRZYSZTOF JAROSZ

ABSTRACT. Assume S is a compact, metric space and let M be a finite codimensional closed subspace of a complex space C(S). In this paper we prove that if each element from M has at least k zeros in S, then for some  $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in S$ ,  $M \subseteq \{f \in C(S): f(s_1) = \cdots = f(s_k) = 0\}$ .

**0.** Let M be a subspace of codimension 1 in a commutative, complex Banach algebra with unit. A. M. Gleason [4] and independently J. P. Kahane and W. Żelazko [5] proved that M is an ideal if and only if M consists of noninvertible elements or equivalently if and only if each element x in M belongs to an ideal  $I_x$  which may depend on x.

In [8 and 9] C. R. Warner and R. Whitley considered the following more general problem:

Problem 1. Let A be a commutative, complex Banach algebra with unit and let M be a closed n codimensional subspace of A. Assume that every element from M belongs to at least n different maximal ideals. Is M then an ideal?

They showed that the answer to the above problem is positive if A is any of  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$  and C(S) where S is a compact subset of  $\mathbf{R}$ , and they also gave simple examples showing that this does not hold in general (e.g.: S a compact Hausdorff space such that there is an  $s_0 \in S$  with  $\{s_0\}$  not a  $G_\delta$  set and M any subspace of  $\{f \in C(S): f(s_0) = 0\}$ ).

Chang-Pao Chen [2] generalized the above results to some other commutative Banach algebras.

The original Gleason-Kahane-Żelazko work has also been extended in another direction by B. Aupetit [1], S. Kowalski and Z. Słodkowski [6], and by M. Roitman and Y. Sternfeld [7].

C. R. Warner and R. Whitley asked whether the answer to the above problem is positive for A = C(D) where D is a disc.

In this paper we give a positive answer to this problem for A = C(S), S being an arbitrary metric space (Theorem 1). We also consider the following problem:

Problem 2. Let A be a commutative complex Banach algebra with unit and let M be a finite codimensional subspace of A consisting of noninvertible elements. Is M contained in some maximal ideal of A?

We prove that the answer to the second problem is positive for A = C(S), for S any compact, Hausdorff space (Theorem 2). The author does not know any function algebra A which fails to possess the property described in Problem 2.

In the paper we consider only complex algebras. Simple examples prove that in the real case the original Gleason-Kahane-Żelazko theorem does not work [3].

1. THEOREM 1. Let S be a compact, Hausdorff space such that each point of S is a  $G_{\delta}$  set, let M be a closed finite codimensional subspace of C(S) and let k be a positive integer. Suppose that each element from M has at least k different zeros in S. Then there are k different elements  $s_1, \ldots, s_k$  in S such that

$$M \subset \{f \in C(S) : f(s_1) = \cdots = f(s_k) = 0\}.$$

The theorem answers Problem 1 for A = C(S) with S a metric, compact space:

COROLLARY. Let S be a compact, Hausdorff space such that each point of S is a  $G_{\delta}$  set, let M be a closed subspace of C(S) with  $\operatorname{codim}(M) = k < +\infty$ . Suppose that each element from M has at least k different zeros in S. Then M is an ideal of the algebra C(S).

PROOF. By Theorem 1 there are k different elements  $s_1, \ldots, s_k$  in S such that

$$M \subset M' = \{ f \in C(S) \colon f(s_1) = \cdots = f(s_k) = 0 \}.$$

We have  $\operatorname{codim}(M) = k = \operatorname{codim}(M')$  and hence M = M'.

As the main step in proving Theorem 1 we prove the following theorem, valid for any compact, Hausdorff space S.

THEOREM 2. Let S be a compact, Hausdorff space and let M be a finite codimensional subspace of C(S). Suppose that each element from M has at least one zero in S. Then there is an  $s_0$  in S such that

$$M \subset \{ f \in C(S) \colon f(s_0) = 0 \}.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Without loss of generality we assume that M is a closed subspace of C(S). For a compact subset K of the complex plane we denote by  $\mathcal{P}(K)$  the linear space of all restrictions to K of polynomials; if K is an infinite set we define the norm on  $\mathcal{P}(K)$  by  $|p| = \max_{z \in K} |p(z)|$ , and by P(K) we denote the completion of  $\mathcal{P}(K)$  in this norm. We regard P(K) as a closed subalgebra of C(K).

LEMMA 1. Let K be a compact subset of the real line in the complex plane and let B be a linear subspace of  $\mathcal{P}(K)$ . Assume that there is a  $p_0$  in B with  $p_0'(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in K$  and that any element from B has at least one zero in K. Then there is a  $z_0 \in K$  such that

$$B\subset \{p\in \mathcal{P}(K)\colon p(z_0)=0\}.$$

PROOF. It is sufficient to prove that there is a common zero for any finite subset of B, so without loss of generality we can assume that B is finite dimensional and we let  $\{p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_m\}$  be a linear basis of B. Next we can assume that there is an open, connected, bounded neighbourhood G of K in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\inf_{z \in G} |p'_0(z)| \geq 1$ . We define an entire function  $F \colon \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$F(z, w) = p_0(z) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j p_j(z)$$
 where  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$ .

We assume that there is no common zero for B and we define, by induction two sequences:  $\{U_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$  of open, connected subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^m$ , and  $\{g_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$  of analytic functions defined on  $U_j$ , respectively, such that

$$\emptyset \neq \overline{U}_{j+1} \subset U_j$$
 and  $g_j(U_{j+1}) \cap K = \emptyset$  for  $j = 0, 1, ...$ 

and

$$F(g_j(w), w) = 0$$
 for  $j = 0, 1, \ldots$  and  $w \in U_j$ 

and

$$g_j(U_j) \cap g_i(U_j) = \emptyset$$
 for any  $i < j$ .

Let  $V_0 = \{w \in \mathbf{C}^m : \sum_{j=1}^m |w_j| \sup_{z \in G} |p_j'(z)| < 1\}$ . By our assumption for any  $w \in \mathbf{C}^m$  there is a z in K such that F(z,w) = 0 and for any  $(z,w) \in G \times V_0$  we have  $\partial F(z,w)/\partial z \neq 0$ , so by the rank theorem there is an open, nonvoid, connected subset  $U_0$  of  $V_0$  and an analytic function  $g_0 : U_0 \to G$  such that  $F(g_0(w),w) = 0$  for  $w \in U_0$ .

Suppose we have defined  $U_j$  and  $g_j$  for  $j=0,1,\ldots,p$ . If  $g_p$  were constant then  $g_p(w)$  would be a common zero for B, so  $g_p$  is a nonconstant analytic function defined on a connected set; so  $g_p(U_p)$  is open. Hence there is an open, nonvoid set  $V_{p+1}$  such that  $\overline{V}_{p+1}\subset U_p$  and  $g_p(\overline{V}_{p+1})\cap K=\varnothing$ . In the same manner as before we prove that there is an open, nonvoid, connected subset  $U_{p+1}$  of  $V_{p+1}$  and an analytic function  $g_{p+1}\colon U_{p+1}\to G$  such that  $F(g_{p+1}(w),w)=0$  for  $w\in U_{p+1}$  and  $g_{p+1}(w)\in K$  for some  $w\in U_{p+1}$ . Taking  $U_{p+1}$  smaller if necessary we can assume that

$$g_{p+1}(U_{p+1}) \cap \bigcup_{j=0}^{p} g_j(U_{p+1}) = \emptyset$$
.

Let  $\tilde{w} = (\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_m) \in \bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} U_j$ . The function  $z \mapsto F(z, \tilde{w})$  is a polynomial which has infinitely many different zeros:  $g_0(\tilde{w}), g_1(\tilde{w}), \dots$  in G, so it is the zero function, which proves that  $p_0$  is a linear combination of  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  and contradicts the fact that  $\{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m\}$  is a linear basis of B.

LEMMA 2. Let K be a compact subset of the real line in the complex plane and let M be a finite codimensional subspace of C(K). Suppose that each element from M has at least one zero in K. Then there is a  $z_0 \in K$  such that

$$M\subset\{f\in C(K)\colon f(z_0)=0\}.$$

PROOF. Without loss of generality we can assume that M is a closed subspace of C(K) and, since the lemma is trivial for K being a finite set, we can assume that K is an infinite subset of the complex plane. Put  $M_0 = \mathcal{P}(K) \cap M$ . Since P(K) = C(K) and M is a finite codimensional closed subspace of C(K), then  $M_0$  is a finite codimensional closed subspace of P(K) and  $M_0$  is dense in M. To end the proof it is sufficient to show that  $M_0$  has a common zero in K. Put

$$n = \max\{j \in \mathbf{N} \colon \forall p \in M_0 \,\, \exists z \in K \,\, p^{(j)}(z) = 0\}.$$

Since  $M_0$  consists of polynomials evidently  $n < \infty$ . By Lemma 1 there is a  $z_0 \in K$  such that

$${p^{(n)}: p \in M_0} \subset {p \in \mathcal{P}(K): p(z_0) = 0}.$$

Assume  $n \geq 1$ .  $M_0$  is a finite codimensional closed subspace of the normed space  $\mathcal{P}(K)$  which is contained in the kernel of a discontinuous functional  $\mathcal{P}(K) \ni p \mapsto p^{(n)}(z_0)$ , which is impossible. Therefore n = 0 and this proves

$$M_0 \subset \{p \in \mathcal{P}(K) \colon p(z_0) = 0\}.$$

LEMMA 3. Let  $\mu$  be a finite, positive, Borel measure on a compact subset of the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For any subspace E of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we define a measure  $\mu_E$  on E

by  $\mu_E(B) = \mu(\pi_E^{-1}(B))$  for any Borel subset B of E where  $\pi_E$  is the orthogonal projection from  $\mathbf{R}^n$  onto E. Assume that for every one dimensional subspace E of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  the measure  $\mu_E$  has at least one atom. Then  $\mu$  has at least one atom.

PROOF. We prove the lemma by induction. It is trivial for n=1. Assume that it is true for n-1 but not for n and let  $\mu$  be as in the lemma. Fix an n-1 dimensional subspace  $E_0$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and denote  $\mu_0 = \mu_{E_0}$ . For any one dimensional subspace E of  $E_0$  the measure  $(\mu_0)_E = \mu_E$  has at least one atom so by the induction hypothesis  $\mu_0$  has an atom. Thus we have shown that for any n-1 dimensional subspace  $E_0$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  the measure  $\mu_{E_0}$  has an atom. By the definition of  $\mu_E$  this means that for any nonzero vector v in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  there is a segment l in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  parallel to v and such that  $\mu(l) > 0$ . Hence there is an uncountable family  $\mathcal{A}$  of pairwise nonparallel segments in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , each of positive  $\mu$ -measure. There is an infinite subfamily  $\mathcal{A}_0$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that each segment in  $\mathcal{A}_0$  has  $\mu$ -measure greater than some positive constant c. Assuming that  $\mu$  has no atoms, we get that the measure of the common part of any two elements of  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is zero so  $\bigcup \mathcal{A}_0$  contains a subset of infinite  $\mu$ -measure which contradicts the assumption  $\mu$  is finite.

LEMMA 4. Let S be a compact subset of the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let M be a finite codimensional closed subspace of C(S). Assume that any f in M has at least one zero in S. Then there is an  $s_0 \in S$  such that

$$M \subset \{ f \in C(S) \colon f(s_0) = 0 \}.$$

PROOF. Let measures  $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m$  on S constitute a linear basis of  $(C(S)/M)^*$ . Put  $\mu_0 = \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i|$ . Let E be a one dimensional subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ; by an appropriate choice of coordinates we can assume E is the first coordinate axis. Put

$$M_E = \{ f \in M : f \text{ depends only on the first coordinate} \}.$$

 $M_E$  can be regarded as a finite codimensional subspace of  $C(\pi_E(S))$ . Since each element of  $M_E$  has at least one zero in  $\pi_E(S) \subset \mathbf{R}$  by Lemma 2, a linear combination of the measures  $(\mu_i)_E$  for  $i=1,2,\ldots,m$  gives a Dirac measure; so at least one of the measures  $(\mu_i)_E$  has an atom and consequently  $(\mu_0)_E$  has an atom. Since E was an arbitrary one dimensional subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , Lemma 3 ensures that  $\mu_0$ , hence at least one of the measures  $\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_m$ , has an atom. We can assume that  $\mu_1=\delta_0+\nu,\ \nu(\{\mathbf{0}\})=0$  and  $\mu_i(\{\mathbf{0}\})=0$  for  $i=2,\ldots,m$ , where  $\delta_0$  is a Dirac measure concentrated at the point  $\mathbf{0}=\{0,\ldots,0\}\in S\subset \mathbf{R}^n$ . Put  $I=\{s=(s_1,\ldots,s_n)\in S: s_1=0\}$ .

For any  $0 \le t < \infty$  let  $\varphi_t : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$  be defined by

$$\varphi_t(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) = \left(t \sum_{i=2}^n |s_i| + s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\right).$$

Any such map is an automorphism of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\varphi_{t_1}(I) \cap \varphi_{t_2}(I) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  if  $t_1 \neq t_2$ . Hence there is  $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}^+$  such that  $|\mu|(I) = 0$  where  $\mu = |\nu| + |\mu_1| + \cdots + |\mu_m|$ . On the other hand any automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  defines an isometric isomorphism of  $M \subset C(S)$  onto  $M_{\varphi} = \{f \circ \varphi \colon f \in M\} \subset C(\varphi^{-1}(S))$ . So by applying an automorphism  $\varphi_{t_0}$  (it is just a curvilinear coordinate) we can assume that

(1) 
$$|\nu|(I) = 0$$
 and  $|\mu_i|(I) = 0$  for  $i = 2, ..., m$ .

We end the proof of the lemma by an induction with respect to m = codim(M). Put

$$M' = \{ f \in C(S) \colon \mu_i(f) = 0 \text{ for } i = 2, \dots, m \}.$$

M' is an m-1 codimensional subspace of C(S) so we have two possibilities:

- 1. Any element from M' has at least one zero in S.
- 2. There is an  $f_0$  in M' without zeros in S.

If the first possibility holds, then by the induction assumption there is an  $s_0 \in S$  such that  $M \subset M' \subset \{f \in C(S) \colon f(s_0) = 0\}$ , so we can restrict ourselves to examining the second possibility. We assume that it prevails and deduce a contradiction. There is no loss of generality in supposing that  $\nu$  is not contained in the linear span of  $\mu_2, \ldots, \mu_m$  (otherwise  $M \subset \{f \in C(S) \colon f(\mathbf{0}) = 0\}$ ). Then there is a  $g_0 \in M'$  with  $\nu(g_0) \neq 0$  and we can assume  $\|g_0\| < \inf_{s \in S} |f_0(s)|$ . Moreover, in the case that  $\nu(f_0) \neq 0$  we can require  $\|g_0\|$  to be small enough that  $|\nu(g_0)| < |\nu(f_0)|$ . Therefore in any case we shall have  $\nu(g_0 + f_0) \neq 0$ . Put  $h_0 = f_0 + g_0 \in M' \cap (C(S))^{-1}$ . Notice that by putting  $\{f/h_0 \colon f \in M\}, \{f/h_0 \colon f \in M'\}$  and  $h_0\nu$ ,  $h_0\mu_i$  for  $i=2,\ldots,m$  in the place of M,M',  $\nu$  and  $\mu_i$  for  $i=2,\ldots,m$ , respectively, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$1 \in M'$$
 and  $\nu(1) \neq 0$ .

Let  $\pi$  be an orthogonal projection on the first coordinate, and put as before  $M_E = \{f \in M : f \text{ depends only on the first coordinate}\}$ . By Lemma 2 there is a linear combination

(2) 
$$\lambda_1 \delta_0 + \lambda_1 \cdot (\nu)_E + \sum_{i=2}^m \lambda_i(\mu_i)_E = \delta_t$$

for some  $t \in \pi(S)$ .

We have again two possibilities:

- 1.  $\lambda_1 = 0$ ,
- 2.  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ .

If the first one holds, then upon applying (2) to the function  $\mathbf{1} \in M'$  we get the contradiction

$$0 = \sum_{i=2}^m \lambda_i \mu_i(\mathbf{1}) = \sum_{i=2}^m \lambda_i(\mu_i)_E(\mathbf{1}) = \delta_t(\mathbf{1}) = 1.$$

If the second possibility holds, then from evaluating (2) at  $\{0\}$  we get via (1) that t = 0 and  $\lambda_1 = 1$ , and so

$$\sum_{i=2}^m \lambda_i(\mu_i)_E = -(\nu)_E.$$

Then applying, as before, the above to the function 1, we get

$$0 = \sum_{i=2}^m \lambda_i(\mu_i)_E(\mathbf{1}) = -(
u)_E(\mathbf{1}) = -
u(\mathbf{1}) 
eq 0.$$

The contradictions above end the proof of the lemma and now we are ready to end the proof of Theorem 2. We can assume S is a closed subset of some Tichonov cube  $[0,1]^I$ , where I is a set of indices. For any finite subset  $I_0$  of I let

$$M(I_0) = \{ f \in M \colon f \text{ depends only on the coordinates from } I_0 \}$$

and

$$S(I_0) = \{ s \in S : \forall f \in M(I_0) \ f(s) = 0 \}.$$

Let  $I_1, \ldots, I_m$  be any finite subsets of I and put  $I_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^m I_i$ . We have  $S(I_0) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^m S(I_i)$ . By Lemma 4 the set  $S(I_0)$  is nonvoid and evidently compact. Hence there is an  $s_0 \in \bigcap \{S(I_0) \colon I_0 \subset I, \operatorname{card}(I_0) < \infty\}$ . Notice that since  $\tilde{C}(S) = \{f \in C(S) \colon f \text{ depends on a finite number of coordinates only}\}$  is a dense subspace of C(S) and C(S) and C(S) is a closed subspace of finite codimension,  $C(S) \cap C(S) \cap C(S)$  and hence  $C(S) \cap C(S) \cap C(S) \cap C(S) \cap C(S)$  and hence  $C(S) \cap C(S) \cap C(S) \cap C(S) \cap C(S)$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let S and M be as in the theorem and let  $s_1,\ldots,s_l\in S$  be all of the common zeros of M. By Theorem 2,  $l\geq 1$ . Assume l< k and put  $n=\operatorname{codim}(M)$ . We have  $M\not\subseteq \{f\in C(S)\colon f(s_1)=\cdots=f(s_l)=0\}$  so n>l. Let  $\mu_1=\delta_{s_1},\ldots,\mu_l=\delta_{s_l}$  together with  $\mu_{l+1},\ldots,\mu_n\in M^\perp$  be a basis in the n-dimensional space  $[C(S)/M]^*=M^\perp$ . We can replace each  $\mu_j$  by

$$\mu_j - \sum_{i=1}^l \mu_j(\{s_i\}) \delta_{s_i} \qquad (j = l+1, \dots, n)$$

and thereby assume without loss of generality that

(3) 
$$\sum_{j=l+1}^{n} |\mu_j|(\{s_1,\ldots,s_l\}) = 0.$$

Form

$$M' = \{ f \in C(S) : \mu_j(f) = 0 \text{ for } j = l+1, \ldots, n \}.$$

If s were a common zero of M', then  $\delta_s$  would be in the linear span of  $\{\mu_{l+1}, \ldots, \mu_n\}$  and then by  $(3), s \in S \setminus \{s_1, \ldots, s_l\}$ . Since  $M' \supset M$ , s would be an (l+1)st common zero of M, contrary to the definition of l. Hence no such s exists, so by Theorem 2 there is some invertible function  $f_0$  in M'. Putting  $\{f/f_0: f \in M\}$  in place of M we can assume, as in the proof of Lemma 4, that  $f_0 \equiv 1$ . The measures  $\mu_{l+1}, \ldots, \mu_n$  are linearly independent elements of the dual space  $A = \{f \in C(S): f(s_i) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, l\}$ , so there are  $f_{l+1}, \ldots, f_n$  in A such that

$$\mu_i(f_i) = 1$$
 for  $i = l + 1, \ldots, n$ 

and

$$\mu_i(f_j) = 0$$
 for  $i \neq j$ ;  $i, j = l + 1, ..., n$ .

Put  $K = \max\{\|f_j\|: l+1 \le j \le n\}$ , fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  with  $n\varepsilon K < 1$  and let  $V \subset S$  be an open neighbourhood of the set  $\{s_1, \ldots, s_l\}$  such that

$$\sum_{j=l+1}^{n} |\mu_i|(V) < \varepsilon.$$

By our assumption  $\{s_1, \ldots, s_l\}$  is a  $G_\delta$  subset of S so there is a real valued, continuous function  $g_0$  on S such that

$$g_0^{-1}(1) = \{s_1, \dots, s_l\}, \qquad g_0|_{S \setminus V} \equiv 0,$$

and

$$0 \le g_0(s) < 1 - \varepsilon \sum_{j=l+1}^n |f_j(s)| \quad \text{for } s \in V \setminus \{s_1, \dots, s_l\}.$$

Put

$$h_0 = \mathbf{1} - g_0 + \sum_{j=l+1}^n \mu_j(g_0) f_j.$$

We have  $h_0(s_j) = 0$  for j = 1, ..., l and (recalling that  $\mathbf{1} \in M'$ )  $\mu_j(h_0) = 0$  for j = l + 1, ..., n, so  $h_0 \in M$ . To get a contradiction it is sufficient now to show that  $h_0$  has only l zeros in S, namely  $s, ..., s_l$ . We have

$$|h_0(s)| \ge 1 - \sum_{j=l+1}^n |\mu_j|(V)||f_j|| > 0 \text{ for } s \in S \setminus V$$

and

$$|h_0(s)| \geq 1 - g_0(s) - arepsilon \sum_{j=l+1}^n |f_j(s)| > 0 \quad ext{for } s \in V \backslash \{s_1, \ldots, s_l\}.$$

2.

REMARK. The assumption in Problem 2 that M is of finite codimension is essential, as the following example, due to W. Żelazko, shows: Let A be a disc algebra, i.e., A = P(D) where D is the closed unit disc in the complex plane and put  $M = \text{span}\{B_1, B_2\}$  where  $B_1, B_2$  are nonconstant Blaschke factors with disjoint zero sets. By the Rouché Theorem any element from M has a zero in D, but M is not contained in any maximal ideal. Since A can be isometrically embedded into C([0,1]), M can be also regarded as a two dimensional subspace of C([0,1]).

## REFERENCES

- B. Aupetit, Une généralisation du théorème de Gleason-Kahane-Zelazko pour les algèbres de Banach, Pacific J. Math. 85 (1979), 11-17.
- Chang-Pao Chen, A generalization of the Gleason-Kahane-Zelazko theorem, Pacific J. Math. 107 (1983), 81-87.
- 3. N. Farnum and R. Whitley, Functionals on real C(S), Canad. J. Math. 30 (1978), 490-498.
- 4. A. M. Gleason, A characterization of maximal ideals, J. Analyse Math. 19 (1967), 171-172.
- J. P. Kahane and W. Żelazko, A characterization of maximal ideals in commutative Banach algebras, Studia Math. 29 (1968), 339-343.
- S, Kowalski and Z. Słodkowski, A characterization of multiplicative linear functionals in Banach algebras, Studia Math. 67 (1980), 215–223.
- M. Roitman and Y. Sternfeld, When is a linear functional multiplicative?, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 267 (1981), 111-124.
- C. R. Warner and R. Whitley, A characterization of regular maximal ideals, Pacific J. Math. 30 (1969), 277-281.
- 9.  $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ , Ideals of finite codimension in C[0,1] and  $L^1(R)$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1979), 263-267.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, WARSAW UNIVERSITY, PKIN, 00-901 WARSAW, POLAND