

VARIETIES OF AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF ORDERS

BY

W. CHARLES HOLLAND¹

ABSTRACT. The group $A(\Omega)$ of automorphisms of a totally ordered set Ω must generate either the variety of all groups or the solvable variety of class n . In the former case, $A(\Omega)$ contains a free group of rank 2^{\aleph_0} ; in the latter case, $A(\Omega)$ contains a free solvable group of class $n - 1$ and rank 2^{\aleph_0} .

1. Introduction. This work was prompted by the following question of A. Ehrenfeucht (see the footnote on p. 47 of [8]); I thank J. Mycielski for calling it to my attention:

Must the group of automorphisms of an ordered set have a free subgroup of rank 2^{\aleph_0} whenever it has a free subgroup of rank 2?

The answer is *yes*. In fact, if the group $A(\Omega)$ of automorphisms of the (totally) ordered set Ω fails to satisfy every nontrivial equational group law, then $A(\Omega)$ must contain a free subgroup of rank 2^{\aleph_0} (Theorem 5.6).

Phrased in the last way, the question suggests an analogous question for groups $A(\Omega)$ which do satisfy some equational laws. In the first place, one should ask what varieties (equationally defined classes) can be generated by the groups $A(\Omega)$. We will show that these are just the varieties \mathfrak{S}_n of n -solvable groups, together with the variety \mathfrak{G} of all groups (Theorem 2.10). Then we show that if $A(\Omega)$ generates \mathfrak{S}_n , $A(\Omega)$ contains a free- \mathfrak{S}_{n-1} subgroup of rank 2^{\aleph_0} (Theorem 5.3). As a by-product of this investigation, we can describe precisely the structure of a group $A(\Omega)$ if $A(\Omega)$ generates \mathfrak{S}_n (Corollaries 4.3 and 2.6), thus generalizing a theorem of Chang and Ehrenfeucht [1] from the case $\mathfrak{S}_1 = \text{abelian}$. In the special cases that $A(\Omega)$ is transitive on Ω , we characterize not only the group $A(\Omega)$ but the set Ω if $A(\Omega)$ generates \mathfrak{S}_n (Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 2.6), thus generalizing a theorem of Ohkuma [10], again from the case \mathfrak{S}_1 .

In many ways the most natural context for the study of $A(\Omega)$ is as a lattice ordered group. The lattice operations are defined pointwise: for $f, g \in A(\Omega)$ and $\alpha \in \Omega$, $\alpha(f \vee g) = (\alpha f) \vee (\alpha g)$, and dually. The questions posed above have analogues in the language of lattice ordered groups (l -groups), where the operations \wedge, \vee together with the group operations can be used in equational laws for $A(\Omega)$. In Corollary 2.6 we show that the group $A(\Omega)$ generates \mathfrak{S}_n iff the l -group $A(\Omega)$ generates \mathcal{A}^n (the l -group analogue of \mathfrak{S}_n), and in this case $A(\Omega)$ contains a free- \mathcal{A}^{n-1} l -subgroup of rank 2^{\aleph_0} (Theorem 5.2). Finally, the group $A(\Omega)$ generates \mathfrak{G} iff the l -group $A(\Omega)$ generates either \mathcal{N} or \mathcal{L} , where \mathcal{N} is the join of all \mathcal{A}^n

Received by the editors June 11, 1984.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 06A05; Secondary 20B27.

¹Work done while the author was on sabbatical leave at Simon Fraser University.

©1985 American Mathematical Society
0002-9947/85 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

and \mathcal{L} is the variety of all l -groups (Corollary 2.8). In each case $A(\Omega)$ contains an appropriate free l -subgroup of rank 2^{\aleph_0} (Theorems 5.4 and 5.5).

2. The varieties of $A(\Omega)$. For general background in the theory of ordered permutation groups and l -groups, the reader is referred to Glass [2]. We deal first with varieties of l -groups. Let \mathcal{A} denote the variety of abelian l -groups, \mathcal{A}^0 the variety of one-element l -groups, and, for each positive integer n , \mathcal{A}^n the variety of those l -groups G which have an l -ideal H (convex normal sublattice subgroup) such that $H \in \mathcal{A}^{n-1}$ and $G/H \in \mathcal{A}$ (see Martinez [6]). Let \mathcal{N} be the join of all \mathcal{A}^n . Then \mathcal{N} is covered by the variety \mathcal{L} of all l -groups [4, 5]. We show that the variety of l -groups generated by $A(\Omega)$, $l\text{-var } A(\Omega)$, is either \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{N} , or \mathcal{A}^n for some n .

We make use of the (orbital) wreath product, defined as follows. Let (K, Λ) be an ordered permutation group, that is, K is a subgroup of $A(\Lambda)$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ let H_λ be a group with $H_\lambda = H_\mu$ when λ and μ lie in the same K -orbit. Let $H = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda$. The wreath product $\{H_\lambda\} \text{Wr } (K, \Lambda)$ is the splitting extension of H by K where conjugation by an element of K permutes the indices of H in the obvious way. If K is a sublattice of $A(\Lambda)$ and each H_λ is an l -group, then G becomes an l -group with the order $e \leq hk$ (for e the identity element, $h \in H$, $k \in K$) iff for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\lambda < \lambda k$ or both $\lambda = \lambda k$ and $h_\lambda \geq e$. If each H_λ is given as an l -subgroup of $A(\theta_\lambda)$ for some totally ordered set θ_λ , the wreath product can be described another way. Let $\Omega = \{(\sigma, \lambda) \in (\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \theta_\lambda) \times \Lambda : \sigma \in \theta_\lambda\}$, and order Ω lexicographically from the right. Then $\{H_\lambda\} \text{Wr } (K, \Lambda)$ consists of all $g \in A(\Omega)$ having the form $(\sigma, \lambda)g = (\sigma g_\lambda, \lambda \bar{g})$, where $\bar{g} \in K$ and $g_\lambda \in H_\lambda$ for each λ .

Of special interest are the iterated wreath products of the l -group Z of integers (permuting itself), $\text{Wr}^0 Z = \{e\}$, $\text{Wr}^1 Z = Z$, $\text{Wr}^2 Z = \{Z\} \text{Wr } (Z, Z)$, and, generally, $\text{Wr}^n Z = \{\text{Wr}^{n-1} Z\} \text{Wr } (Z, Z)$.

An *orbital* of an ordered permutation group $A(\Omega)$ is the convexification of an orbit $\alpha A(\Omega)$, $\alpha \in \Omega$. Note that if Ω_i is an orbital of $A(\Omega)$, then the restriction of $A(\Omega)$ to Ω_i is $A(\Omega_i)$, and $A(\Omega)$ is the full direct product of its restrictions to orbitals. A *natural congruence* on an orbital Ω_i is an equivalence relation on Ω_i whose classes are convex, which is respected by the action of $A(\Omega_i)$, and which satisfies certain technical conditions (see McCleary [7] or Glass [2] for details). For our purpose here, the important properties are that the natural congruences on any orbital form a complete tower under containment, and if $\mathcal{C}_i \subseteq \mathcal{C}^i$ are natural congruences such that \mathcal{C}^i covers \mathcal{C}_i (a *covering pair*) and if C is a \mathcal{C}^i class, then $A(\Omega)$ induces a *primitive component* corresponding to C and \mathcal{C}_i by restriction to C followed by the natural homomorphism arising from the congruence \mathcal{C}_i . The primitive component $K = K(C, \mathcal{C}_i)$ is again an ordered permutation group, and either (i) K is *regular*, that is, K is a subgroup of the real numbers R , and the set permuted by K is a union of cosets of K in R , or (ii) K is *0-2-transitive* on one of its orbits, which means that if $\alpha < \beta$ and $\gamma < \delta$ are points of that orbit, then there exists $k \in K$ such that $\alpha k = \gamma$ and $\beta k = \delta$ (see McCleary [7] or Glass [2, especially Corollary 4.4.1]). It is also useful to note that if \mathcal{C} is a congruence, then $A(\Omega_i) \approx \{A(C_\lambda)\} \text{Wr } (G, \Omega_i/\mathcal{C})$, where $\{C_\lambda : \lambda \in \Omega_i/\mathcal{C}\}$ are the \mathcal{C} classes and the induced group $G \approx A(\Omega_i/\mathcal{C})$ in case $A(\Omega_i)$ is transitive (though not in general).

We call an element $e < f \in A(\Omega)$ *join irreducible* if $f = a \vee b$ and $a \wedge b = e$ imply $a = e$ or $b = e$.

LEMMA 2.1. *If on one orbital of $A(\Omega)$ there are covering pairs (C_i, C^i) , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, of natural congruences with $C^i \subseteq C_{i+1}$, and there are C^i classes C^i with $C^i \subseteq C^{i+1}$, and induced primitive components $K_i = K_i(C^i, C_i)$ all nontrivial, then $A(\Omega)$ contains an l -subgroup isomorphic to $\text{Wr}^n Z$; moreover, we can assume the generator of the upper copy of Z is join irreducible.*

PROOF. We prove by induction that $A(C^i)$ contains a copy of $\text{Wr}^i Z$. Since K_1 is nontrivial, there exists a join irreducible $e < g \in A(C^1)$. Then g generates an infinite cyclic totally ordered subgroup, so $A(C^1)$ contains an l -subgroup isomorphic to $Z = \text{Wr}^1 Z$. Assume $A(C^i)$ contains an l -subgroup H_0 isomorphic to $\text{Wr}^i Z$. We may identify $A(C^i)$ with a subgroup of $A(\Omega)$ fixing each point not in C^i . Let C be the C_{i+1} class containing C^i . Since K_{i+1} is not trivial, there exists a join irreducible $e < g \in A(C^{i+1})$ such that $Cg \neq C$ (this follows from the technical definition of natural congruence; see [7 or 2]). Then the set $\{Cg^j\}$ is pairwise disjoint. Let $H_j = g^{-j}H_0g^j$. Clearly $A(C^{i+1})$ contains the full direct product $\prod H_j$, and conjugation by g permutes the indices of this product. Thus $A(C^{i+1})$ contains an l -subgroup isomorphic to $H_0 \text{Wr} Z \approx \text{Wr}^{i+1} Z$.

If $f \in A(\Omega)$, $\text{supp } f = \{\alpha \in \Omega : \alpha f \neq \alpha\}$ and, for any subgroup $G \subseteq A(\Omega)$, $\text{supp } G = \{\alpha \in \Omega : \exists f \in G, \alpha f \neq \alpha\}$.

LEMMA 2.2. *If some primitive component of $A(\Omega)$ is not regular then $A(\Omega)$ has l -subgroups $G_n \approx \text{Wr}^n Z$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that if $n \neq m$, $\text{supp } G_n \cap \text{supp } G_m = \emptyset$.*

PROOF. If K is a nonregular primitive component, K is 0-2-transitive on one of its orbits. Let $\alpha < \beta$ be any two points of this orbit. We construct $G_n \approx \text{Wr}^n Z$ with $\text{supp } G_n \subseteq (\alpha, \beta)$. There exists $k \in K$ such that $e < k$ and $\text{supp } k \subseteq (\alpha, \beta)$. Hence K contains $\langle k \rangle \approx Z$. If $\gamma k \neq \gamma$, the intervals $(\gamma k^i, \gamma k^{i+1})$ are pairwise disjoint, and $(\gamma, \gamma k)$ contains $\text{supp } k'$ for some $e < k' \in K$. Then $\text{supp } k^{-i}k'k^i \subseteq (\gamma k^i, \gamma k^{i+1})$ and K contains the full direct product of the groups $k^{-i}\langle k' \rangle k^i$. Hence K contains an l -subgroup isomorphic to $Z \text{Wr} Z = \text{Wr}^2 Z$. The construction is completed by induction. We can then choose disjoint intervals $(\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2), \dots$ and find $G_n \approx \text{Wr}^n Z$ with $\text{supp } G_n \subseteq (\alpha_n, \beta_n)$.

We let $l\text{-var } G$ denote the variety of l -groups generated by G , and $g\text{-var } G$ the variety of groups.

LEMMA 2.3. $l\text{-var } \text{Wr}^n Z = \mathcal{A}^n$ [4, Theorem 4.6] and $g\text{-var } \text{Wr}^n Z = \mathfrak{S}_n$ (see Neumann [9, 22.24 plus 17.6]).

LEMMA 2.4. *If $A(\Omega)$ has just one orbital and there are only a finite number of natural congruences, then $A(\Omega)$ can be embedded (as an l -group) in the iterated wreath product of its primitive components (see Glass [2]).*

We also note the following connection between wreath products and varieties. If $H \in \mathcal{A}$ and each $G_\lambda \in \mathcal{A}^i$ then $\{G_\lambda\} \text{Wr } (H, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{A}^{i+1}$.

THEOREM 2.5. *If $A(\Omega)$ has just one orbital, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exist at least n nontrivial primitive components or some primitive component is not regular.*
- (ii) $\text{Wr}^n Z \subseteq A(\Omega)$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{A}^n \subseteq l\text{-var } A(\Omega)$.
- (iv) $\mathfrak{S}_n \subseteq g\text{-var } A(\Omega)$.

PROOF. That (i) implies (ii) follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, while (ii) implies both (iii) and (iv) by Lemma 2.3. If there are no more than $m < n$ covering pairs of natural congruences and all primitive components are regular, then Lemma 2.4 implies $A(\Omega)$ can be embedded in the iterated wreath product of m regular, hence abelian, l -groups, and so $A(\Omega) \in \mathcal{A}^m \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_m$, contradicting both (iii) and (iv). Hence (iii) implies (i), and (iv) implies (i).

COROLLARY 2.6. *If $A(\Omega)$ has just one orbital then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exist exactly n nontrivial primitive components and all are regular.*
- (ii) *$\text{Wr}^n Z \subseteq A(\Omega)$ but $\text{Wr}^{n+1} Z \not\subseteq A(\Omega)$.*
- (iii) *$l\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathcal{A}^n$.*
- (iv) *$g\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathfrak{S}_n$.*

COROLLARY 2.7. *For any $A(\Omega)$, $l\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathcal{A}^n$ iff $g\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathfrak{S}_n$.*

COROLLARY 2.8. *For any $A(\Omega)$, $l\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathcal{N}$ or \mathcal{L} iff $g\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathfrak{G}$.*

PROOF. \mathcal{N} is the join of all \mathcal{A}^n and \mathcal{L} covers \mathcal{N} . Hence $l\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathcal{N}$ or \mathcal{L} is equivalent to the statement: for all n there is an orbital Ω_i such that $l\text{-var } A(\Omega_i) \supseteq \mathcal{A}^n$. In turn, this is equivalent to $g\text{-var } A(\Omega_i) \supseteq \mathfrak{S}_n$; and the corollary follows because \mathfrak{G} is the join of all \mathfrak{S}_n (any free group is a subdirect product of solvable groups).

THEOREM 2.9. *$l\text{-var } A(\Omega)$ is either \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{N} , or \mathcal{A}^n for some n .*

PROOF. Since the named varieties form a complete tower, and since $A(\Omega)$ belongs to a variety \mathcal{V} iff the restriction of $A(\Omega)$ to each of its orbitals belongs to \mathcal{V} , it suffices to prove the theorem assuming $A(\Omega)$ has just one orbital. If some primitive component is not regular, then $l\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathcal{L}$ [5]. If all primitive components are regular then $A(\Omega) \in \mathcal{N}$ (Read [11]). The theorem now follows from Corollary 2.6 and the fact that \mathcal{N} is the join of all \mathcal{A}^n .

THEOREM 2.10. *$g\text{-var } A(\Omega)$ is either \mathfrak{G} or \mathfrak{S}_n for some n .*

PROOF. Immediate from Theorem 2.9 and Corollaries 2.7 and 2.8.

COROLLARY 2.11. *$A(\Omega) \in \mathcal{A}^n$ iff $A(\Omega) \in \mathfrak{S}_n$.*

It follows from Theorem 2.5 that if $l\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathcal{N}$ then, for each n , $A(\Omega)$ contains an l -subgroup isomorphic to $\text{Wr}^n Z$. In §5 we need the following strong version of this fact.

LEMMA 2.12. *If $l\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathcal{N}$ then $A(\Omega)$ contains l -subgroups G_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $G_n \approx \text{Wr}^n Z$ and $\text{supp } G_i \cap \text{supp } G_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$.*

PROOF. *Case 1.* Suppose, for every orbital Ω_λ , $l\text{-var } A(\Omega_\lambda) \neq \mathcal{N}$. Inductively, suppose $\text{Wr}^i Z \approx G_i \subseteq A(\Omega_{\lambda_i})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, with $\Omega_{\lambda_i} \neq \Omega_{\lambda_j}$ when $i \neq j$. Then $l\text{-var } A(\Omega_{\lambda_i}) = \mathcal{A}^{m_i}$, so there exists $\Omega_{\lambda_{n+1}}$ such that $l\text{-var } A(\Omega_{\lambda_{n+1}}) \not\supseteq \mathcal{A}^{m_i}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence $\Omega_{\lambda_{n+1}}$ is different from all Ω_{λ_i} , $i \leq n$, and $A(\Omega_{n+1})$ contains an l -subgroup G_{n+1} isomorphic to $\text{Wr}^{n+1} Z$ by Theorem 2.5.

Case 2. Suppose $l\text{-var } A(\Omega_\lambda) = \mathcal{N}$ for some orbital Ω_λ .

Subcase 2a. Suppose Ω_λ has no largest proper natural congruence. Inductively, suppose $\text{Wr}^i Z \approx G_i \subseteq A(\Omega_\lambda)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, with each G_i having a join-irreducible generator g_i for its uppermost copy of Z . Then $\text{supp } g_i$ is a congruence class B_i (because $A(\Omega_i) \in \mathcal{N}$; see [11]). There exists a join irreducible g'_{n+1} which moves B_n . Let $\text{supp } g'_{n+1} = B'_{n+1}$. Then the conjugates of G_n by powers of g'_{n+1} have disjoint support, so $A(\Omega_\lambda)$ contains their full direct product which, together with g'_{n+1} , generates an l -subgroup G'_{n+1} isomorphic to $\text{Wr}^{n+1} Z$. There is a proper congruence class $B \supseteq B'_{n+1}$. Let g be any element of $A(\Omega_\lambda)$ which moves B . Let $G_{n+1} = g^{-1} G'_{n+1} g$.

Subcase 2b. Suppose Ω_λ has a largest proper natural congruence \mathcal{C} . Then $A(\Omega_\lambda)$ induces $A(C)$ on each \mathcal{C} class C . It cannot be that $l\text{-var } A(C) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^n$ for a fixed n and all C , for then $A(\Omega_\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}^{n+1}$. Hence for each n there exists a \mathcal{C} class C_n such that $\text{Wr}^n Z \subseteq A(C_n)$, and we may assume $C_n \neq C_m$ when $n \neq m$, since each \mathcal{C} class has infinitely many isomorphic translates. The proof can now be completed just as in Case 1.

3. The structure of transitive $A(\Omega) \in \mathcal{A}^n$. Ohkuma [10] showed that if $A(\Omega)$ is uniquely transitive (for each $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$ there exists a unique $g \in A(\Omega)$ such that $\alpha g = \beta$), then $A(\Omega)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the additive ordered group of real numbers and $\Omega \approx A(\Omega)$ as ordered sets. Nonzero subgroups of the reals with this property have since been called *Ohkuma groups* and extensively studied ([3]; see also Glass [2]). Since it is easily seen that a transitive abelian $A(\Omega)$ must be uniquely transitive, Ohkuma's result may be stated as follows: if $A(\Omega)$ is transitive and nontrivial, then $l\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathcal{A}$ iff Ω is an Ohkuma group, and in this case $A(\Omega) \approx \Omega$. In this section we study the analogous problem when $l\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathcal{A}^n$.

The heart of the main theorem of this section is contained in the following technical lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n be Ohkuma groups, and $\Omega = O_1 \times O_2 \times \dots \times O_n$ ordered lexicographically from the right. Then (i) Ω is not isomorphic to any proper segment of itself, and (ii) no proper initial segment of Ω is isomorphic to any proper final segment of Ω .*

PROOF. We deal first with the case $n = 1$. The result is clearly true if $\Omega = O_1 \approx Z$. Since all other (noncyclic) subgroups of the reals are dense, we assume $\Omega = O_1$ is a dense subgroup of the reals. If $\phi: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$ is an isomorphism (of ordered sets) where Ω' is a proper segment of Ω , let f be any nontrivial member of $A(\Omega)$. Then $\phi^{-1} f \phi$ is a nontrivial member of $A(\Omega')$, which can be extended to $f' \in A(\Omega)$, fixing all points of $\Omega \setminus \Omega'$. This contradicts the unique transitivity of $A(\Omega)$, hence (i) holds.

Since Ω is a dense subset of the real numbers, each initial segment of Ω has the form $(-\infty, \alpha) = \{x \in \Omega: x < \alpha\}$, where α is a real number (not necessarily in Ω). Likewise, each final segment has the form (β, ∞) . Suppose $\psi: (-\infty, \alpha) \approx (\beta, \infty)$. Let g be any negative member of $A(\Omega)$. Then $(-\infty, \alpha) \approx (-\infty, \alpha g)$, and if we let $\beta' = \alpha g \psi$, there is an isomorphism $\phi: (\beta, \beta') \approx (\beta, \infty)$, which can be extended to an isomorphism of the real intervals $(\beta, \beta') \approx (\beta, \infty)$. Because Ω is dense, there exists a positive $t \in A(\Omega)$ such that $\beta < \beta t < \beta'$. We may also consider t extended to a map from the reals to the reals. Because both t and ϕ preserve order, their extensions are continuous. Since $\beta t < \beta' = \beta \phi$ and $\beta' t < \infty = \beta' \phi$, there exists a

real number γ such that $\gamma t = \gamma\phi$ and $\beta < \gamma < \beta'$. Now define $f: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ by

$$xf = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x < \beta, \\ x\phi & \text{if } \beta \leq x \leq \beta', \\ xt & \text{if } \beta' < x. \end{cases}$$

Then $f \in A(\Omega)$. But f fixes some points without fixing all, denying unique transitivity of $A(\Omega)$. Hence (ii) is satisfied. This takes care of the case $n = 1$.

Now let $\Omega = O_1 \times O_2 \times \cdots \times O_n$, $n > 1$, and suppose the result is true for $\Lambda = O_1 \times O_2 \times \cdots \times O_{n-1}$. Let \mathcal{C}_n be the equivalence relation on Ω given by $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \mathcal{C}_1 (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ iff $a_n = b_n$. If $\phi: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$ is an isomorphism of Ω onto a proper segment of itself and ϕ preserves \mathcal{C}_n classes, then ϕ induces an isomorphism of O_n onto a proper segment of itself, contradicting the result for $n = 1$. Hence for some \mathcal{C}_n class $x \mathcal{C}_n$, $x\phi \mathcal{C}_1 \neq x \mathcal{C}_n \phi$. Each of these two classes is a convex segment of Ω and is isomorphic to Λ . Further, $x\phi$ belongs to both. Hence either one is contained in the other, or they overlap so that an initial segment of one is a final segment of the other. But each of these possibilities contradicts the inductive assumption about Λ . Hence (i) is satisfied by Ω . A similar argument shows that (ii) is satisfied, completing the proof of the lemma.

THEOREM 3.2. *If $A(\Omega)$ is transitive,*

$$l\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathcal{A}^n \quad \text{iff } \Omega \approx O_1 \times O_2 \times \cdots \times O_n,$$

a lexicographically ordered product of Ohkuma groups; in this case $A(\Omega) \approx O_1 \text{ Wr } O_2 \text{ Wr } \cdots \text{ Wr } O_n$.

PROOF. If $l\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathcal{A}^n$, then there are exactly n primitive components O_i and are all regular by Corollary 2.6. But a transitive regular primitive component must be an Ohkuma group (see Glass [2]). Hence $A(\Omega)$ can be embedded in the wreath product $O_1 \text{ Wr } O_2 \text{ Wr } \cdots \text{ Wr } O_n$, which acts as an ordered permutation group on the set $O_1 \times O_2 \times \cdots \times O_n$ ordered lexicographically from the right. In the embedding $\Omega \approx O_1 \times O_2 \times \cdots \times O_n$, however, so $A(\Omega) \approx O_1 \text{ Wr } O_2 \text{ Wr } \cdots \text{ Wr } O_n$.

For the converse, suppose that O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n are given Ohkuma groups and $\Omega = O_1 \times O_2 \times \cdots \times O_n$. We claim that each of the relations \mathcal{C}_i , given by $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \mathcal{C}_i (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ iff $a_j = b_j$ for all $j \geq i$, is a congruence for $A(\Omega)$. This is the case because each of the \mathcal{C}_i classes is isomorphic to the set $\Omega = O_1 \times O_2 \times \cdots \times O_{i-1}$, to which Lemma 3.1 applies. It follows that the primitive components of $A(\Omega)$ are $A(O_i) \approx O_i$, each of which is nontrivial and regular, so $l\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathcal{A}^n$ by Corollary 2.6.

The case of transitive $A(\Omega)$ with $l\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathcal{N}$ appears to be much more complicated. It is still true, just as before, that Ω is embedded in a lexicographically ordered product of Ohkuma groups, but the embedding need not be onto. Worse yet, even the full product of Ohkuma groups need not have the natural congruences. For example, $\cdots \times Z \times Z \times Z$ is isomorphic to the set of irrational real numbers, which has no proper natural congruence.

4. The group structure of arbitrary $A(\Omega) \in \mathcal{A}^n$. A theorem of Chang and Ehrenfeucht [1] states that $A(\Omega) \in \mathcal{A}$ iff $A(\Omega)$, as a group, is a full direct product of subgroups of the real numbers R . In order to extend this to higher powers, we define a group G to be of *wreal height* $\leq n$ inductively as follows. If $n = 0$, $G = \{e\}$;

in general, $G \approx \{G_\lambda\} \text{Wr } (H, \Lambda)$, where H is a subgroup of R acting regularly on Λ , a union of orbits of H in R , $G_\lambda = \prod_k G_{\lambda,k}$, and each $G_{\lambda,k}$ is a group of wreal height $\leq n-1$. Note that G has wreal height ≤ 1 iff G is isomorphic to a subgroup of R . We will show that $A(\Omega) \in \mathcal{A}^n$ iff $A(\Omega)$ is a full direct product of groups of wreal height $\leq n$.

THEOREM 4.1. *If $A(\Omega) \in \mathcal{A}^n$, then $A(\Omega)$ is a full direct product of groups of wreal height $\leq n$.*

PROOF. It suffices to prove that if $A(\Omega)$ has just one orbital and belongs to \mathcal{A}^n , then $A(\Omega)$ is a group of wreal height $\leq n$. The theorem is trivially true if $n = 0$. In the general case, by Corollary 2.6 and Theorem 2.9, $A(\Omega)$ has exactly $m \leq n$ nontrivial primitive components, and each is regular. If H is the component corresponding to the uppermost covering pair of natural congruences (C_i, C^i) , then H is a subgroup of R acting on Λ , a union of its orbits. On each of the C_i classes θ_λ , $A(\Omega)$ induces $A(\theta_\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}^{n-1}$, and so by induction $A(\theta_\lambda)$ is a product of groups of wreal height $\leq n-1$. Since $A(\Omega) \approx \{A(\theta_\lambda)\} \text{Wr } (H, \Lambda)$, $A(\Omega)$ has wreal height $\leq n$.

THEOREM 4.2. *If G is a product of groups of wreal height $\leq n$, then, for some Ω , $G \approx A(\Omega)$ and $A(\Omega) \in \mathcal{A}^n$.*

PROOF. The theorem is trivial for $n = 0$. We proceed by induction. We may assume G has wreal height $\leq n$, for if $G = \prod P_i$ and each P_i has wreal height $\leq n$, and if we know $P_i \approx A(\Omega_i) \in \mathcal{A}^n$, let $\{\pi_i\}$ be ordinals each greater than $\bigvee_i |P_i|$, and all different, and let $\Omega'_i = \pi_i \times \Omega_i$ ordered lexicographically from the right. Let Ω be the disjoint union of all Ω'_i ordered in any way so that each Ω'_i (as previously ordered) is convex. Then $A(\Omega) = \prod A(\Omega'_i) \approx \prod A(\Omega_i) = G$. Hence, we now assume G has wreal height $\leq n$ and is a wreath product as in the definition. By induction, each $G_\lambda \approx A(\theta_\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}^{n-1}$. Let $\Omega^* = \{(\sigma, \lambda) \in (\bigcup \theta_\lambda) \times \Lambda : \sigma \in \theta_\lambda\}$. Then

$$G = \{g \in A(\Omega^*) : (\sigma, \lambda)g = (\sigma g_\lambda, \bar{g}), g_\lambda \in A(\theta_\lambda), \bar{g} \in H\}.$$

Suppose, in the first case, that H is a proper subgroup of R . We consider the regular action (H, R) . Following Chang and Ehrenfeucht, for each orbit (coset) J_i of H in R , we let π_i be an ordinal greater than $\bigvee_\lambda |\theta_\lambda|$ and all π_i different. If we replace each real number r by the ordinal π_i when $r \in J_i$, we get a totally ordered set T and a natural action (H, T) such that $H = A(T)$ (see [1]). Moreover, we can assume $\Lambda \subseteq R \subseteq T$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we already have θ_λ defined. For the other $t \in T \setminus \Lambda$, let θ_t be a single point, so $A(\theta_t) = \{e\}$. Then

$$G \approx \{A(\theta_t) : t \in T\} \text{Wr } (A(T), T).$$

Let $\Lambda = \{(\sigma, t) \in (\bigcup \theta_t) \times T : \sigma \in \theta_t\}$ be the set acted on by this wreath product. Clearly each $\theta_t \times \{t\}$ is a congruence class for $A(\Omega)$ because if $x < y$ with $x, y \in \theta_t \times \{t\}$, then $|x, y| < \pi_i$ for all i , while if $x \in \theta_t \times \{t\}$ and $y \in \theta_{t'} \times \{t'\}$ with $t \neq t'$, then some ordinal π_i lies between x and y . It follows that

$$A(\Omega) \approx \{A(\theta_\lambda)\} \text{Wr } (A(T), T) \approx G.$$

In the second case, $H = R$. Then H is isomorphic to a proper subgroup H' of R , and as permutation groups (thought not as *ordered* permutation groups), $(H, H) \approx (H', H')$. It follows that $G \approx \{G_h\} \text{Wr } (H, H) \approx \{G_{h'}\} \text{Wr } (H', H')$, and we proceed as in the first case.

Finally, observe that it follows from induction that any group of wreal height $\leq n$ belongs to the solvable variety \mathfrak{S}_n . Hence, by Corollary 2.11, if $A(\Omega)$ is a product of groups of wreal height $\leq n$, then $A(\Omega) \in \mathcal{A}^n$.

COROLLARY 4.3. *If G is a group, there exists Ω such that $G \approx A(\Omega) \in \mathcal{A}^n$ iff G is a full direct product of groups of wreal height $\leq n$.*

COROLLARY 4.4 (CHANG AND EHRENFEUCHT [1]). *If G is a group, there exists Ω such that $G \approx A(\Omega) \in \mathcal{A}$ iff G is a full direct product of subgroups of the real numbers.*

5. Free subgroups of $A(\Omega)$. The following lemma is only a slight modification of a theorem of J. Mycielski applied to the special case of l -groups. We invoke Mycielski's theorem in the proof.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of groups (or of l -groups). Suppose there are subgroups (or l -subgroups) $G_i \subseteq A(\Omega)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $\text{supp } G_i \cap \text{supp } G_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$, and whenever $(w = e)$ is not a law of \mathcal{V} (where w is a word in the free group (or free l -group) on x_1, x_2, \dots), then there exists $i = i(w)$ such that if $j \geq i$, G_j does not satisfy $(w = e)$. Then $A(\Omega)$ contains $\prod G_i$ which contains a free \mathcal{V} group (or l -group) on 2^{\aleph_0} generators.*

PROOF. Clearly $A(\Omega) \supseteq \prod G_i$. We first show $\prod G_i$ has a free subgroup (l -subgroup) on \aleph_0 generators. List all those words w_1, w_2, \dots such that $(w_i = e)$ is not a law of \mathcal{V} . Inductively, there exists $i(n) > i(n-1)$ such that $G_{i(n)}$ does not satisfy $(w_n = e)$; that is, there are $g(n, 1), g(n, 2), \dots \in G_{i(n)}$ such that $w_n(\bar{g}(n, -)) \neq e$. Let $g_i = (g(1, i), g(2, i), \dots) \in \prod G_n$. Then $\{g_1, g_2, \dots\}$ is free.

Next, partition $Z^+ = P_1 \dot{\cup} P_2 \dot{\cup} \dots$ into an infinite number of infinite sets. Then for each j , $\{G_i : i \in P_j\}$ satisfies the hypotheses of the lemma. Hence $G'_j = \prod_{i \in P_j} G_i$ contains a free \mathcal{V} subgroup (or l -subgroup) on a countable set. Moreover, $\text{supp } G'_j \cap \text{supp } G'_k = \emptyset$ when $j \neq k$, so $A(\Omega) \supseteq \prod G'_j$. Mycielski's theorem ([8, Corollary 3] and the ensuing discussion) implies that $\prod G'_j$ contains a free \mathcal{V} subgroup (or l -subgroup) on 2^{\aleph_0} generators.

THEOREM 5.2. *If $l\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathcal{A}^n$ then $A(\Omega)$ contains a free \mathcal{A}^{n-1} l -subgroup on 2^{\aleph_0} generators.*

PROOF. We may suppose $A(\Omega)$ has just one orbital and a maximal proper natural congruence \mathcal{C} (Corollary 2.6). For some \mathcal{C} class C , $l\text{-var } A(C) = \mathcal{A}^{n-1}$ (otherwise $A(\Omega) \in \mathcal{A}^{n-1}$). There are infinitely many disjoint translates of C , so $A(\Omega)$ contains l -subgroups $G_i \approx \text{Wr}^{n-1} Z$ satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 5.1 for $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{A}^{n-1}$ (by Lemma 2.3).

THEOREM 5.3. *If $g\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathfrak{S}_n$, then $A(\Omega)$ contains a free \mathfrak{S}_{n-1} subgroup on 2^{\aleph_0} generators.*

PROOF. The hypothesis is equivalent to that of Theorem 5.2 (by Corollary 2.6). In the proof of Theorem 5.2, since $l\text{-var } A(C) = \mathcal{A}^{n-1}$, $g\text{-var } A(C) = \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}$ by Corollary 2.6, and the hypotheses of Lemma 5.1 are satisfied for $\mathcal{V} = \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}$.

THEOREM 5.4. *If $l\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathcal{N}$ then $A(\Omega)$ contains a free \mathcal{N} l -subgroup on 2^{\aleph_0} generators.*

PROOF. \mathcal{N} is generated by the set of all $\text{Wr}^n Z$ since \mathcal{N} is the join of all \mathcal{A}^n (and using Lemma 2.3). From Lemma 2.12 the hypotheses of Lemma 5.1 are satisfied with $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{N}$.

THEOREM 5.5. *If $l\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathcal{L}$ then $A(\Omega)$ contains a free l -subgroup on 2^{\aleph_0} generators.*

PROOF. Some primitive component of $A(\Omega)$ is not regular and so is 0-2-transitive on one of its orbits (see remarks preceding Lemma 2.1). Let $\alpha < \beta$ be points of that orbit. Then the restriction of $A(\Omega)$ to the interval (α, β) satisfies no nontrivial l -group law [5]. Hence, choosing disjoint intervals, we have l -subgroups $G_i \subseteq A(\Omega)$ satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 5.1 for $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{L}$.

THEOREM 5.6. *If $g\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathfrak{G}$, then $A(\Omega)$ contains a free subgroup on 2^{\aleph_0} generators.*

PROOF. We have either $l\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathcal{N}$ or $l\text{-var } A(\Omega) = \mathcal{L}$ by Corollary 2.8. In either case $A(\Omega)$ has subgroups $G_n \approx \text{Wr}^n Z$ satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 5.1 for $\mathcal{V} = \mathfrak{G}$ (by Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and 2.12).

REFERENCES

1. C. C. Chang and A. Ehrenfeucht, *A characterization of abelian groups of automorphisms of a simply ordering relation*, Fund. Math. **51** (1962), 141–147.
2. A. M. W. Glass, *Ordered permutation groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Ser., Vol. 55, Cambridge Univ. Press, London and New York, 1981.
3. A. M. W. Glass, Y. Gurevich, W. C. Holland and S. Shelah, *Rigid homogeneous chains*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **89** (1981), 7–17.
4. A. M. W. Glass, W. C. Holland and S. H. McCleary, *The structure of l -group varieties*, Algebra Universalis **10** (1980), 1–20.
5. W. C. Holland, *The largest proper variety of lattice ordered groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **57** (1976), 25–28.
6. J. Martinez, *Varieties of lattice-ordered groups*, Math. Z. **137** (1974), 256–284.
7. S. H. McCleary, *The structure of intransitive ordered permutation groups*, Algebra Universalis **6** (1976), 229–255.
8. J. Mycielski, *Almost every function is independent*, Fund. Math. **81** (1973), 43–48.
9. H. Neumann, *Varieties of groups*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., No. 37, Springer-Verlag, 1967.
10. T. Ohkuma, *Sur quelques ensembles ordonnés linéairement*, Fund. Math. **43** (1955), 326–337.
11. J. A. Read, *Wreath products of non-overlapping lattice-ordered groups*, Canad. Math. Bull. **17** (1975), 713–722.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOWLING GREEN STATE UNIVERSITY, BOWLING GREEN, OHIO 43403