TRANSACTIONS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 294, Number 2, April 1986

ON THE AMPLENESS OF HOMOGENEOUS VECTOR BUNDLES

BY

DENNIS M. SNOW

ABSTRACT. A formula is proved which expresses the ampleness of a homogeneous vector bundle over G/P in terms of the distance of the weights of the representation of P to certain dominant weights of G.

Classically, a line bundle L over a compact complex manifold X is said to be *ample* if the map $X \to \mathbf{P}^N$ associated to the sections of some power L^n gives an imbedding of X. This notion has been extended to vector bundles as well [**6**]. In [**9**] Sommese generalized the notion of ampleness to the following: Let $\mathbf{E}$ be a holomorphic vector bundle over a compact complex manifold X and let $\xi(\mathbf{E})$ be the tautological line bundle over $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{E})$. Then $\mathbf{E}$ is called *k-ample* if $\xi(\mathbf{E})^n$ is spanned by global sections for some $n > 0$ and the map $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{E}) \to \mathbf{P}^N$ associated to the sections of $\xi(\mathbf{E})^n$ has at most k-dimensional fibers. This definition coincides with ampleness in the sense of Grothendieck [**6**] when $k = 0$.

Sommese [**9**] proves analogues of Barth-Lefschetz type theorems for k-ample vector bundles, and in [**10**] he shows that there is a connection between k-ampleness and $(k + 1)$-convexity of holomorphic vector bundles: *If* $\mathbf{E}$ *is k-ample, then* $\mathbf{E}^*$ *is* $(k + 1)$*-convex (in the sense of Andreotti-Grauert)*. For $k = 0$ the converse is also true. It should be remarked that the algebro-geometric conditions for k-ampleness are generally easier to verify than the differential geometric conditions for $(k + 1)$-convexity.

In this paper we investigate the ampleness of homogeneous vector bundles $\mathbf{E}$ over simply-connected complex projective algebraic manifolds X. In this case, X is isomorphic to a coset space G/P, where G is a semisimple complex Lie group and P is a parabolic subgroup of G. Any algebraic homogeneous vector bundle over X comes from a rational representation of P on a vector space E. We show in §2 how to calculate the ampleness of $\mathbf{E}$, which we denote by $a(\mathbf{E})$, in terms of the relative positions of the weights in E, $\Lambda(E)$, of a maximal torus $T \subseteq P$ in the lattice of weights Λ of G. One need only compute the distance, measured by the number of Weyl chamber walls crossed in the diagram of G, between the weights $\Lambda(E)$ and certain dominant weights in Λ.

In §3 we review the results of Goldstein [**5**]. His calculation of the ampleness of the tangent bundle of $X = G/P$ was one of the main inspirations for the generalization to arbitrary homogeneous vector bundles in this paper. In §4 we specialize the

Received by the editors March 28, 1984 and, in revised form, April 25, 1985.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 32M10, 32L15; Secondary 14M17.

©1986 American Mathematical Society
0002-9947/86 $1.00 + $.25 per page

results of §2 to *irreducible* homogeneous vector bundles. In this case the computation of $a(\mathbf{E})$ is extremely easy to carry out: If μ (respectively λ) is the highest weight in E (respectively in E^*) and λ is dominant, then

$$a(\mathbf{E}) = \dim X - \operatorname{index} \mu,$$

where the index of μ is the number of positive roots $\alpha > 0$ such that $(\alpha, \mu) < 0$. We also show that $\mathbf{E}$ is 0-ample when the weight λ is *regular* and dominant. We conclude the paper with the classical example of Borel-Weil [**8**], calculating the ampleness of line bundles over $X = G/P$.

1. Definitions and notation.

1.1 *The ampleness map*. Given a finite-dimensional vector space E over $\mathbf{C}$, we define the projectivization of E, denoted $\mathbf{P}(E)$ to be the quotient of $E^* \setminus 0$ by the usual action of $\mathbf{C}^*$. We denote the image of $z \in E^* \setminus 0$ in $\mathbf{P}(E)$ by $[z]$. We use the same notation for the projectivization of a vector bundle $\mathbf{E}$ over a complex manifold X with fiber E. Let π: $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{E}) \to X$ be the bundle projection (with fiber $\mathbf{P}(E)$) and define $\xi(\mathbf{E})^*$ to be the rank 1 tautological subbundle of $\pi^*\mathbf{E}^*$. Recall that if X is compact, the isomorphism of vector spaces $S^n(E) \cong \Gamma(\mathbf{P}(E), \mathcal{O}(n))$ induces an isomorphism [**6**]

$$\Gamma(X, S^n(\mathbf{E})) \cong \Gamma(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{E}), \xi(\mathbf{E})^n), \qquad n \geqslant 1.$$

(Here, $S^n(\cdot)$ denotes the nth symmetric power.) We denote both of these vector spaces by V_n.

DEFINITION [**9**]. A holomorphic vector bundle $\mathbf{E}$ on a compact complex manifold X is called *k-ample* if:

(1) $\xi(\mathbf{E})^n$ is spanned by global sections for some $n > 0$; i.e., the evaluation map $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{E}) \times V_n \to \xi(\mathbf{E})^n$ is surjective.

(2) The projectivization α: $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{E}) \to \mathbf{P}(V_n)$ of the induced map $\xi(\mathbf{E})^{-n} \to V_n^*$ has *at most k-dimensional* fibers.

The number k is independent of the integer n for which $\xi(\mathbf{E})^n$ is spanned [**9**]. We define the *ampleness of* $\mathbf{E}$, denoted $a(\mathbf{E})$, to be the minimum k for which $\mathbf{E}$ is k-ample, and we call the map α the *ampleness map*. (If $\xi(\mathbf{E})^n$ is not spanned for any $n > 0$, we define $a(\mathbf{E}) = \dim X$.)

It will also be convenient for us to work with the map ν: $\mathbf{E}^* \to S^n(\mathbf{E}^*) \to V_n^*$ (lifted from α) defined as follows: For $z_x \in E_x^*$, $x \in X$, and $s \in V_n$, let $\nu(z_x)(s) := z_x^n(s_x)$. Here, z_x^n stands for the image in $S^n(E^*)_x = S^n(E)_x^*$ of the n-fold tensor $z_x \otimes \cdots \otimes z_x$. From the definitions we easily obtain, for $z_x \in E_x^* \setminus 0$,

$$\alpha[z_x] = [\nu(z_x)] \in \mathbf{P}(V_n), \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \alpha^{-1}\alpha[z_x] = \dim \nu^{-1}\nu(z_x).$$

Thus, $\xi(\mathbf{E})^n$ is spanned if and only if for every $z_x \in E_x^* \setminus 0$ there is a section $s \in V_n$ such that $\nu(z_x)(s) = z_x^n(s_x) \neq 0$. Easy calculations then show that the maps ν and α are *finite* on each fiber E_x^* and $\mathbf{P}(E)_x$, $x \in X$, respectively. Consequently,

$$0 \leqslant a(\mathbf{E}) \leqslant \dim X.$$

1.2 *Homogeneous vector bundles*. As is well known, any locally trivial fiber bundle $\mathbf{E}$ over a space X with fiber E and structure group H is isomorphic (in the appropriate category) to a twisted product $P \times_H E$, where P is the associated

principal bundle over X. Here the twisted product $P \times_H E$ is defined to be the quotient of $P \times E$ by the action $h.(p, z) = (p.h^{-1}, h.z)$, $(p, z) \in P \times E$, $h \in H$. We denote the image of a point $(p, z) \in P \times E$ in $P \times_H E$ by $[p, z]$. Thus, $[p.h, z] = [p, h.z]$ for all $h \in H$. Projection onto the first factor $[p, z] \to p.H$ defines the bundle structure of $P \times_H E$ with base $P/H = X$ and fiber E.

Our main interest in this paper is with *homogeneous vector bundles* $\mathbf{E}$ over compact projective algebraic manifolds X. By homogeneous we mean that the group of (algebraic) bundle automorphisms of $\mathbf{E}$ acts transitively on X. In this case, X is isomorphic to a coset space G/H where G and H are algebraic groups and the fiber E is a rational H-module. Thus, $\mathbf{E}$ is isomorphic to $G \times_H E$ as described above. The finite-dimensional vector space of sections $\Gamma(X, \mathbf{E})$ is in a canonical fashion a rational G-module. It is isomorphic to the *induced G-module* $E|^G$ which is defined to be the vector space of all algebraic morphisms s: $G \to E$ which satisfy $s(gh^{-1}) = h.s(g)$ for all $g \in G$, $h \in H$. The action of G on $E|^G$ is by $(g.s)(g_0) := s(g^{-1}g_0)$, for all $g, g_0 \in G$. The relationship between such an s: $G \to E$ and a section $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, \mathbf{E})$ is easily seen by writing a point $x \in X$ as a coset $gH \in G/H$ and expressing $\sigma(x) = \sigma(gH)$ as an element of the twisted product $G \times_H E$: $\sigma(gH) = [g, s(g)]$. We shall not distinguish between these G-modules and write $e|^G$ (or sometimes $E_H|^G$ when we want to emphasize that E is an H-module) for $\Gamma(X, \mathbf{E})$.

Evaluating a section σ at the identity coset $1H$ corresponds to the H-module homomorphism

$$\varepsilon\colon E_H|^G \to E; \quad \varepsilon(s) := s(1).$$

Indeed, we have $\varepsilon(h.s) = (h.s)(1) = s(h^{-1}) = h.s(1) = h.\varepsilon(s)$. A homogeneous vector bundle is then spanned by global sections if and only if ε is surjective. The induced module has the following universal property: Given any G-module M and any H-module homomorphism φ: $M \to E$, there exists a unique G-module homomorphism $\varphi^\wedge$: $M \to E_H|^G$ such that $\varphi = \varepsilon \circ \varphi^\wedge$ (for $m \in M$ define $\varphi^\wedge(m)$: $G \to E$ by $\varphi^\wedge(m)(g) = \varphi(g^{-1}m)$). Also, if $E \to F$ is an H-module homomorphism, then there is an obvious G-module homomorphism of the induced G-modules $E|^G \to F|^G$ which commutes with the evaluation maps (see e.g. [**4**]).

1.3 *Semisimple Lie groups*. From now on, G will denote a *connected*, *simply-connected*, *semisimple complex Lie group*. General references for this section are [**1**, **7**, **3**]. We fix a Borel subgroup $B \subset G$ and a maximal torus $T \subset B$. Let Λ denote the character group of T which we write additively. The elements of Λ are called *weights*. Let $\Phi \subset \Lambda$ denote the *roots* of G relative to T, and Φ^+ (resp. Φ^-) the positive (resp. negative) roots with respect to B. We write $\alpha > 0$ (resp. $\alpha < 0$) if $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ (resp. $\alpha \in \Phi^-$). Further, let $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l\}$ denote the subset of *simple roots*, $l = \dim T = \operatorname{rank} G$, so that each $\alpha \in \Phi$ can be expressed uniquely as an integral combination $\alpha = \sum n_i \alpha_i$ with either all $n_i \geqslant 0$ (i.e., $\alpha > 0$) or all $n_i \leqslant 0$ (i.e., $\alpha < 0$). The $\mathbf{Z}$-module Λ is a free abelian group of rank l with a basis $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l\}$ consisting of *fundamental dominant weights*, where $2(\lambda_i, \alpha_j)/(\alpha_j, \alpha_j) = \delta_{ij}$. The lattice Λ is partially ordered by: $\lambda > \mu$ if $\lambda - \mu$ is a sum of positive roots. A weight $\sum n_i \lambda_i$ is said to be *dominant* if all $n_i \geqslant 0$. We denote the dominant weights by Λ^+.

The *Weyl group* $W := N_G(T)/T$ of G acts on Λ and can be realized as the finite linear group generated by the reflections σ_α of $F := \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda$ through $\alpha \in \Phi$. For each $\omega \in W$, we denote by $\eta_\omega \in N(T)$ a fixed representative of ω. We let $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l$ denote the *simple reflections*, i.e., the reflections of F through the simple roots $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l$, respectively. Every $\omega \in W$ can thus be written as a product of simple reflections $\omega = \sigma_{i(1)} \cdots \sigma_{i(j)}$, and the smallest number j is called the *length* of ω, denoted $l(\omega)$. Let $B = T \ltimes U$ be the semidirect product decomposition of B where U is the unipotent radical of B. (U is just the product of all the *root groups* U_α, $\alpha > 0$.) Then the length function satisfies

$$\dim U\eta_\omega B = \dim B + l(\omega),$$

since $l(\omega)$ is the number of positive roots which are transformed to negative roots by ω [**3**].

The *Weyl chambers* of F are the closures of the connected components of $F \setminus \bigcup H_\alpha$ ($\alpha \in \Phi^+$), where H_α is the hyperplane of F orthogonal to α. The Weyl group W acts simply transitively on the Weyl chambers. There is precisely one chamber, call it F^+, such that $\Lambda \cap F^+ = \Lambda^+$. Thus, the W-orbit of any weight contains exactly one dominant weight. For any weight $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we define the *index* of λ to be the number of positive roots $\alpha > 0$ such that $(\alpha, \lambda) < 0$ [**2**]. Geometrically, the index of λ is just the number of hyperplanes H_α, $\alpha > 0$, *crossed* by a straight line connecting α to a general point of F^+. We also have the following formula:

$$\operatorname{index} \lambda = \min\{ l(\omega) \mid \omega \in W,\ \omega.\lambda \in \Lambda^+ \}.$$

This follows from the observation that the shortest way for λ to be moved to Λ^+ by W is by reflecting through the s hyperplanes between it and Λ^+, $s = \operatorname{index} \lambda$. Now the product of these s reflections can be rewritten as the product of s simple reflections (see e.g. [**2**, p. 236]).

If V is a rational T-module, we denote by $\Lambda(V)$ the weights of T in V. A *weight space* V_λ, $\lambda \in \Lambda(V)$, is defined to be the subspace of all $v \in V$ such that $t.v = \lambda(t)v$ for all $t \in T$. The *multiplicity* of V_λ is defined to be $\dim V_\lambda$. We often let L_λ denote a T-stable line in V of weight λ. Notice that if V is a G-module, then W permutes the elements of $\Lambda(V)$: $\eta_\omega.V_\lambda = V_{\omega(\lambda)}$ for all $\omega \in W$. A *maximal vector* is a weight vector, $v \in V_\lambda$, which is fixed by U, $v \in V^U$. Let L_λ be the B-stable line spanned by such a v. Then the *highest weight* of the G-submodule V' generated by v is λ, all other weights being $< \lambda$, and L_λ is the unique B-stable line in V'. Moreover, λ is necessarily dominant with multiplicity 1 in V'. The submodule V' is irreducible and isomorphic to the induced G-module $\omega_0\lambda|^G$ where ω_0 is the unique element of W such that $\omega_0(\Phi^+) = \Phi^-$. (We are employing the convention that a weight $\mu \in \Lambda$ will also represent the (abstract) 1-dimensional B-module with weight μ.) Note that $\omega_0\lambda$ is the *lowest* weight of V' in the sense that $\omega_0\lambda \leqslant \mu$ for any $\mu \in \Lambda(V')$.

A *parabolic* subgroup G is a subgroup P which contains a Borel subgroup. A *standard parabolic* subgroup P_I is a parabolic subgroup constructed from a subset $I \subset \Delta$ of simple roots: P_I is the subgroup generated by the fixed Borel subgroup B and all the root groups U_α where α is a root in the $\mathbf{Z}$-linear span of I. Any parabolic subgroup P of G is conjugate to a standard parabolic subgroup P_I. *Throughout this*

paper, when we refer to a parabolic subgroup of G we shall assume that it is a standard parabolic subgroup. The subgroup of W generated by the simple reflections σ_α, $\alpha \in I$, will be denoted by W_I. Then P is the product of its radical and a semisimple subgroup S, and W_I is isomorphic to the Weyl group of S.

2. The ampleness formula.

2.1 We retain the notation of §1. In particular, G is a connected, simply-connected, complex semisimple Lie group; B a Borel subgroup; U the unipotent radical of B; $P \supset B$ a parabolic subgroup; W the Weyl group of G relative to a fixed maximal torus $T \subset B$; $l(\omega)$ the length of an element $\omega \in W$; Λ (resp. Λ^+) the weights (resp. the dominant weights) of G relative to T; $\Lambda(V)$ the weights of a T-module V.

In this section we derive a formula for the ampleness of a homogeneous vector bundle $\mathbf{E} = G \times_P E$ over G/P based only on the relative positions of the weights of the P-module E in Λ. We let $\mathbf{E}_B := G \times_B E$ denote the pull-back of $\mathbf{E}$ to G/B. Note that the fiber of $\mathbf{E}_B \to \mathbf{E}$ is P/B and that $\Gamma(G/B, \mathbf{E}_B) \cong \Gamma(G/P, \mathbf{E})$.

THEOREM. *Assume $\xi(\mathbf{E})^n$ is spanned by global sections for some $n > 0$ and let $S = (E^*)^U$. Then*

(1) $\Lambda(S) \subset \Lambda^+$;

(2) $a(\mathbf{E}_B) = \max\{l(\omega) | \omega \in W$ *and* $\omega(\mu) \in \Lambda(E^*)$ *for some* $\mu \in \Lambda(S)\}$;

(3) $a(\mathbf{E}) = a(\mathbf{E}_B) - \dim P/B$.

This result can be formulated more cleanly if we use the following notation: for any two subsets A, $B \subset \Lambda$ we will define the *orbital distance* between A and B to be

$$d(A, B) = \min\{l(\omega) \,|\omega \in W,\ \omega(A) \cap B \neq \varnothing\}.$$

Let $\omega_0 \in W$ be the unique element such that $\omega_0(\Phi^+) = \Phi^-$ and define $\sigma_0 := -\omega_0$, an involution on Λ^+.

COROLLARY. $a(\mathbf{E}) = \dim G/P - d(\sigma_0\Lambda(S), \Lambda(E))$.

PROOF. From the Theorem,

$$\dim G/P - a(\mathbf{E}) = \dim G/B - a(\mathbf{E}_B),$$

where $a(\mathbf{E}) = \max\{l(\omega) | \omega \in W$, and $\omega(\mu) \in \Lambda(E^*)$ for some $\mu \in \Lambda(S)\}$. Now, $l(\omega\omega_0) = \dim G/B - l(\omega)$ [3], so

$$-\max\{l(\omega)\} = \min\{-l(\omega)\} = \min\{l(\omega\omega_0)\} - \dim G/B.$$

The formula then follows by observing that if $\tau = \omega\omega_0$ and $\mu \in \Lambda(S)$, then

$$\omega(\mu) = \tau\omega_0(\mu) \in \Lambda(E^*) \Leftrightarrow \tau\sigma_0(\mu) \in \Lambda(E). \qquad \square$$

Thus, to compute the ampleness of a homogeneous vector bundle $\mathbf{E} = G \times_P E$ (for which $\xi(\mathbf{E})^n$ is spanned), one need only find the smallest distance from the weights in $\sigma_0\Lambda(S) \subset \Lambda^+$ to those in the same W-orbit in $\Lambda(E)$ (measured by the number of hyperplanes H_α, $\alpha > 0$, between them; see §1.3) and subtract this from the dimension of the base space.

2.2 The proof of the Theorem is in two steps. The first is to examine the map ν: $\mathbf{E}^* \to V_n^*$ lifted from the ampleness map (§1) to obtain a formula for $a(\mathbf{E})$ in terms of T-stable lines in $\nu(E^*)$. (Here, we are identifying E^* with $\{[1, z] | z \in E^*\} \subset G \times_P E^* = \mathbf{E}^*$.) The second step is to translate this information into statements about the weights of E^*. The first step is contained in the following lemma. We let L_μ denote a T-stable line of weight μ, and for each $\omega \in W$ we fix a representative $\eta_\omega \in N(T)$.

LEMMA. *Let M be the maximum of $l(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in W$ which satisfy $\eta_\omega L_\mu \subset \nu(E^*)$ for some $L_\mu \subset \nu(E^*) \cap (V_n^*)^U$. Then*

$$a(\mathbf{E}) = M + \dim B - \dim P.$$

PROOF. Since α is G-equivariant, the fiber dimension of α is constant on G-orbits. Moreover, the fiber dimension is upper semicontinuous, so its maximum can be found by specializing within a G-orbit to a point on a *closed* G-orbit in $\mathbf{P}(V_n)$, say $G.[y]$ where $[y] = \alpha([z]) \in \alpha(\mathbf{P}(E))$. Since $\alpha(\mathbf{P}(E)) \cap G.[y]$ is closed and B-invariant, the B-orbits of minimal dimension in $\alpha(\mathbf{P}(E)) \cap G.[y]$ are closed. However, any closed B-orbit in projective space must be a B-fixed point. Therefore, we may assume that y lies in a B-stable line $L_\mu \subset V_n^* \cap \nu(E^*)$ for some $\mu \in \Lambda((V_n^*)^U)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \alpha^{-1}[y] &= \dim \nu^{-1}(y) = \dim\{[g, z] \,|\, \nu([g, z]) = y\} \\ &= \dim\{(g, z) \,|\, \nu(z) = g^{-1}.y\} - \dim P \\ &= \dim\{g \in G \,|\, g.y \in \nu(E^*)\} - \dim P.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\nu(E^*)$ is a cone, we must find the dimension of $\{g \in G | gL_\mu \subset \nu(E^*)\}$. By the Bruhat decomposition, each $g \in G$ lies in some $U\eta_\omega B$, $\omega \in W$, with $\dim U\eta_\omega B = \dim B + l(\omega)$ (§1.3). Now,

$$gL_\mu = u\eta_\omega b L_\mu \subset \nu(E^*) \Leftrightarrow \eta_\omega L_\mu \subset \nu(E^*).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \nu^{-1}(y) &= \max\{\dim U\eta_\omega B \,|\, \omega \in W, \text{ and } \eta_\omega L_\mu \subset \nu(E^*)\} - \dim P \\ &= \max\{l(\omega) \,|\, \omega \in W, \text{ and } \eta_\omega L_\mu \subset \nu(E^*)\} + \dim B - \dim P.\end{aligned}$$

The maximum fiber dimension is then found by maximizing this number over $L_\mu \subset \nu(E^*) \cap (V_n^*)^U$. □

The remainder of the proof of the Theorem now consists of showing that:

(a) $\Lambda(S) \subset \Lambda^+$; and

(b) there exists a B-stable line $L_\mu \subset \nu(E^*) \cap (V_n^*)^U$ with $\eta_\omega L_\mu \subset \nu(E^*)$ $(\omega \in W)$ if and only if $\mu = n\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda(S)$ with $\omega(\lambda) \in \Lambda(E^*)$.

(a) Let $\lambda \in \Lambda(S)$ and consider the surjective B-module homomorphism $S^n(E) \to -n\lambda$. We then obtain a G-module homomorphism of induced G-modules β: $V_n = S^n(E)|^G \to -n\lambda|^G$. Now, β cannot be the zero map, since $\nu|L_\lambda \to \nu(L_\lambda)$ is *finite*, taking the B-stable line $L_\lambda \subset E^*$ to a B-stable *line* of weight $n\lambda$ in $\varepsilon_n^*(S^n(E)^*) \subset V_n^*$ (ε_n: $V_n \to S^n(E)$ is the evaluation map). Furthermore, $-n\lambda|^G$ is either 0 or irreducible, the latter occurring precisely when $\omega_0(-n\lambda)$ is a dominant weight, i.e., when $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$. This proves (a).

(b) Sufficiency ($\Leftarrow$) is obvious so we only prove Necessity ($\Rightarrow$). Let $\nu_0 := \nu | E^*$. Then ν_0: $E^* \to V_n$ is finite, P-equivariant, and satisfies $\nu_0(az) = a^n \nu_0(z)$ for all $a \in \mathbf{C}$, $z \in E^*$ (§1.1). Therefore, the preimage $\nu_0^{-1} L_\gamma$ of any B-stable line $L_\gamma \subset \nu(E^*) \cap (V_n^*)^U$ is a 1-dimensional cone in $(E^*)^U = S$; hence each irreducible component of $\nu_0^{-1} L_\gamma$ is a B-stable line in S. Thus, if L_μ is a B-stable line in $\nu(E^*) \cap (V_n^*)^U$ with $\eta_\omega L_\mu \subset \nu(E^*)$ for some $\omega = W$, there is a B-stable line $L_\lambda \subset S$, $\lambda \in \Lambda(S)$, such that for all $t \in T$, $z \in L_u$:

$$\mu(t)\nu(z) = t.\nu(z) = \nu(t.z) = \nu(\lambda(t)z) = \lambda(t)^n \nu(z).$$

Therefore, $\mu = n\lambda$ (additive notation). Now, $\eta_\omega L_\mu$ has weight $\omega(\mu) = n\omega(\lambda)$, so we write $\eta_\omega L_\mu = L_{n\omega(\lambda)}$. As above, each irreducible component of $\nu_0^{-1}(L_{n\omega(\lambda)})$ is a B-stable line in E^*; and we have, for all $t \in T$, $z_1 \in \nu_0^{-1}(L_{n\omega(\lambda)})$,

$$\nu(t.z_1) = t.\nu(z_1) = (\omega\lambda(t))^n \nu(z_1) = \nu(\omega\lambda(t)z_1).$$

We may thus conclude that $\omega(\lambda) \in \Lambda(E^*)$. This proves (b) and finishes the proof of the Theorem. □

3. Tangent bundles.

3.1 An important class of homogeneous vector bundles is provided by the tangent bundles of homogeneous projective manifolds G/P, where G is a semisimple complex Lie group and P is a parabolic subgroup. The ampleness of such bundles was first worked out by Goldstein [**5**]. We now give a summary of his results to illustrate how the ampleness formula §2 can be applied.

Let E be the vector space quotient of the Lie algebra of G by the Lie algebra of P. Then, under the adjoint representation, E is a rational P-module, and the tangent bundle $T(G/P)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{E} = G \times_P E$. This bundle is clearly spanned by global sections. If we decompose G into simple factors $G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m$, then G/P is isomorphic to $G_1/P_1 \times \cdots \times G_m/P_m$, P_k parabolic in G_k, $k = 1, \ldots, m$. The tangent bundle splits correspondingly into a direct sum of G-stable subbundles $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{E}_m$, and E splits into a direct sum of P-submodules $E = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_m$. Now,

$$\Lambda(E) = \Lambda(E_1) \cup \cdots \cup \Lambda(E_m) \quad \text{and} \quad S = (E^*)^U = (E_1^*)^U \oplus \cdots \oplus (E_m^*)^U.$$

Inspecting the coadjoint representation we find $\Lambda((E_k^*)^U) = \{\beta_k\}$, where β_k is the *highest root* of G_k (always invariant under σ_0), $k = 1, \ldots, m$. Thus, $\Lambda(S) = \sigma_0 \Lambda(S) = \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k\}$. Therefore, by Corollary 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} a(\mathbf{E}) &= \dim G/P - d(\sigma_0 \Lambda(S), \Lambda(E)) \\ &= \dim G/P - \min\{d(\beta_k, \Lambda(E_k)) \mid k = 1, \ldots, m\}. \end{aligned}$$

Goldstein worked out a procedure for determining $d(\beta_k, \Lambda(E_k))$ which we shall now outline (see also [**11**, Theorem 4.6]). To simplify notation, we shall drop the subscript k in the following. On a case by case basis, it is not difficult to compute the orbital distance of β to the negative roots [**5**, p. 370]:

G	A_n	B_n	C_n	D_n	E_6	E_7	E_8	F_4	G_2
$d(\beta, \Phi^-)$	n	$2n-2$	n	$2n-3$	11	17	29	8	3

Moreover, for any *long* simple root α_i one can find an $\omega \in W$ such that $d(\beta, \Phi^-) = l(\omega)$ with $\omega(\beta) = -\alpha_i$ [**5**, (4.3)]. Now, if I is the subset of simple roots which defines P, then the weights of E are the negative roots of the form

$$-\sum n_j \alpha_j, \quad \text{where } n_j > 0 \text{ for some } \alpha_j \in \Delta \setminus I.$$

Therefore, if some $\alpha_j \in \Delta \setminus I$ is *long*, then by the above remark

$$d(\beta, \Lambda(E)) = d(\beta, \Phi^-).$$

If all $\alpha_j \in \Delta \setminus I$ are *short*, then find an $\omega \in W$ such that $d(\beta, \Phi^-) = l(\omega)$, with $\omega(\beta) = -\alpha_i$, α_i long. Again, a case by case computation shows that for $G = G_2$ or B_n we need exactly one more reflection to get $\omega(\beta)$ to involve the short root α_j (there is only one short root for G_2 and B_n so $\Delta \setminus I = \{\alpha_j\}$). If $G = C_n$ or F_4, we need a minimum of $d(I)$ additional reflections to get $\omega(\beta)$ to involve some $\alpha_j \in \Delta \setminus I$, where

$$d(I) = \text{minimum number of nodes on the Dynkin diagram from an element } \alpha \in \Delta \setminus I \text{ to a long root.}$$

Using the same definition of $d(I)$ for all the different types of simple Lie groups, we can summarize the above cases in the formula

$$d(\beta, \Lambda(E)) = d(\beta, \Phi^-) + d(I).$$

4. Irreducible homogeneous vector bundles.

4.1 Let P be a parabolic subgroup of a semisimple complex Lie group G. We shall call a homogeneous vector bundle $\mathbf{E} = G \times_P E$ *irreducible* if E is an irreducible P-module. Any line bundle over G/P is necessarily homogeneous and irreducible. If $\mathbf{E}$ is irreducible, there is a simple criterion for $\xi(\mathbf{E})^n$ to be spanned.

PROPOSITION. *Let E be an irreducible P-module, and let λ be the weight of the unique B-stable line in E^*. Then the following are equivalent*:

(1) $\xi(\mathbf{E})^n$ *is spanned by global sections for some* $n \geqslant 1$;

(2) $\mathbf{E}$ *is spanned by global sections*;

(3) λ *is a dominant weight*, $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$.

PROOF. Recall that (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) was already proved in Theorem 2.1, and (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is immediate. Thus, it remains to show (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Since E is irreducible, it is induced from the B-character $-\lambda$, i.e., $E = -\lambda_B|^P$ (see [**8**]). By transitivity of induction [**4**, **2**] we have

$$E_P|^G = \left(-\lambda_B|^P\right)|^G = -\lambda_B|^G.$$

Recall also that $E_P|^G = E_B|^G$. If $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$, then the P-module (or B-module) homomorphism ε: $E|^G \to E$ cannot be the zero map since the B-module homomorphism $E|^G = -\lambda_B|^G \to -\lambda_B$ is surjective and factors (via ε) through the B-module epimorphism $E \to -\lambda_B$. Therefore ε must be surjective. □

4.2 The ampleness of *irreducible* homogeneous vector bundles (which are spanned) can be easily computed using the formulas of §2.

THEOREM. *Let E be an irreducible P-module and let μ (resp. λ) be the weight of the unique B-stable line in E (resp. E^*). If λ is dominant, $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, then the ampleness of $\mathbf{E} = G \times_P E$ is given by*

$$a(\mathbf{E}) = \dim G/P - \operatorname{index} \mu.$$

PROOF. Let I be the subset of simple roots which defines P and let W_I be the corresponding subgroup of W (= Weyl group of G). Because E is irreducible, $\sigma_0\Lambda(S) = \sigma_0\lambda$ and

$$W.(\sigma_0\lambda) \cap \Lambda(E) = W.(-\lambda) \cap \Lambda(E) = W_I.(-\lambda); \quad W_I(-\lambda) \cap \Lambda^+ = \sigma_0\lambda.$$

Therefore, $\omega.\sigma_0\lambda \in \Lambda(E)$ for $\omega = W$ if and only if $\omega^{-1}\mu' \in \Lambda^+$ for some $\mu' \in W_I.(-\lambda)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(\sigma_0\Lambda(S), \Lambda(E)) &= \min\{ l(\omega) \mid \omega \in W \text{ and } \omega.\mu' \in \Lambda^+ \text{ for some } \mu' \in W_I.(-\lambda)\} \\ &= \min\{\operatorname{index} \mu' \mid \mu' \in W_I.(-\lambda)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the minimum index is attained at μ which, by Corollary 2.1, proves the Theorem. To see this, note that $-\lambda$ is the lowest weight in E and satisfies $(\alpha, -\lambda) \leqslant 0$ for all positive roots $\alpha > 0$. Now, since μ is the highest weight in E, at least as many reflections σ_α, $\alpha > 0$, are needed to take $-\lambda$ to μ as to take $-\lambda$ to any other weight $\mu' \in W_I.(-\lambda)$. Thus, the number of $\alpha > 0$ which satisfy $(\alpha, \mu) \geqslant 0$ is at least as large as the number of $\alpha > 0$ which satisfy $(\alpha, \mu') \geqslant 0$ for any $\mu' \in W_I.(-\lambda)$. In particular,

$$\operatorname{index} \mu = \#\{\alpha > 0 \mid (\alpha, \mu) < 0\} \leqslant \#\{\alpha > 0 \mid (\alpha, \mu') < 0\} = \operatorname{index} \mu'. \quad \square$$

4.3 A direct application of Theorem 2.1 yields the following sufficient condition for 0-ampleness:

THEOREM. *Let E be an irreducible P-module and let λ be the weight of the unique B-stable line in E^*. If λ is regular and dominant, i.e., $(\lambda, \lambda_i) > 0$ for every fundamental dominant weight λ_i, then $\mathbf{E} = G \times_P E$ is 0-ample.*

PROOF. First we observe that the stabilizer of λ in W is trivial. Also, if I is the subset of simple roots which defines P, then $W.(\lambda) \cap \Lambda(E^*) = W_I.(\lambda)$. Therefore, if $\omega.\lambda \in \Lambda(E^*)$ then $\omega.\lambda = \omega'.\lambda$ for some $\omega' \in W_I$, so that $\omega = \omega'$. Thus,

$$\max\{ l(\omega) \mid \omega.\lambda \in \Lambda(E^*)\} = \max\{ l(\omega') \mid \omega' \in W_I\} = \dim P/B.$$

By Theorem 2.1, we obtain $a(\mathbf{E}) = 0$. $\square$

This Theorem shows that we need only apply Theorem 4.2 in the case where λ is singular.

4.4 We conclude with the relatively simple class of examples of line bundles over G/P. Such bundles are automatically homogeneous, and their "ampleness" was already computed in 1954 [**8**]. For completeness, we include this calculation here.

Given any weight $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let I be the subset of simple roots α orthogonal to λ, $(\alpha, \lambda) = 0$, and denote by P_λ the standard parabolic subgroup P_I (§1.3). Clearly, P_λ is the largest standard parabolic subgroup of G which can have λ as a character.

Now let $\mathbf{E} = G \times_P E$ be a line bundle over G/P and let λ be the weight of E. Then by Theorem 4.1, $\mathbf{E}$ (or any power of $\mathbf{E}$) is spanned if and only if $-\lambda$ is dominant. Note also that $P \subset P_\lambda$. To compute $a(\mathbf{E})$ we must find the maximum length $l(\omega)$ of a Weyl group element $\omega \in W$ such that $\omega.\lambda = \lambda$. This is clearly given by the maximum of $l(\omega)$ over $\omega = W_I$ (W_I is the stabilizer of λ in W). But,

$$\max\{l(\omega) \mid \omega \in W_I\} = \dim P_I/B.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.1,

$$a(\mathbf{E}) = \dim P_\lambda/P,$$

and $\mathbf{E}$ is 0-ample if and only if $P = P_\lambda$.

References

1. A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.

2. R. Bott, *Homogeneous vector bundles*, Ann. of Math. (2) **66** (1957), 203–248.

3. R. Carter, *Simple groups of Lie type*, Wiley, New York, 1972.

4. E. Cline, B. Parshall and L. Scott, *Induced modules and affine quotients*, Math. Ann. **230** (1977), 1–14.

5. N. Goldstein, *Ampleness and connectedness in complex G/P*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **274** (1982), 361–373.

6. R. Hartshorne, *Ample vector bundles*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **29** (1966), 63–94.

7. J. Humphreys, *Linear algebraic groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.

8. J.-P. Serre, *Représentations linéaires et espaces homogènes Kählerians des groupes de Lie compacts (d'après Borel et Weil)*, Sém. Bourbaki, Exposé 100, May 1954, Benjamin, New York, 1966.

9. A. Sommese, *Submanifolds of Abelian varieties*, Math. Ann. **233** (1978), 229–256.

10. _____, *A convexity theorem*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 40, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1983, pp. 497–505.

11. R. Steinberg, *On the desingularization of the unipotent variety*, Invent. Math. **36** (1976), 209–224.

Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556