NONHARMONIC FOURIER SERIES AND SPECTRAL THEORY

HAROLD E. BENZINGER

ABSTRACT. We consider the problem of using functions $g_n(x) := \exp(i\lambda_n x)$ to form biorthogonal expansions in the spaces $L^p(-\pi, \pi)$, for various values of p . The work of Paley and Wiener and of Levinson considered conditions of the form $|\lambda_n - n| \leq \Delta(p)$ which insure that $\{g_n\}$ is part of a biorthogonal system and the resulting biorthogonal expansions are pointwise equiconvergent with ordinary Fourier series. Norm convergence is obtained for $p = 2$. In this paper, rather than imposing an explicit growth condition, we assume that $\{\lambda_n - n\}$ is a multiplier sequence on $L^p(-\pi, \pi)$. Conditions are given insuring that $\{g_n\}$ inherits both norm and pointwise convergence properties of ordinary Fourier series. Further, λ_n and g_n are shown to be the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of an unbounded operator Λ which is closely related to a differential operator, $i\Lambda$ generates a strongly continuous group and $-\Lambda^2$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup. Half-range expansions, involving $\cos \lambda_n x$ or $\sin \lambda_n x$ on $(0, \pi)$ are also shown to arise from linear operators which generate semigroups. Many of these results are obtained using the functional calculus for well-bounded operators.

1. Introduction. For n an integer, let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a sequence of pairwise distinct complex numbers. For $-\pi \leq x \leq \pi$ let

$$(1.1) \quad g_n(x) = e^{i\lambda_n x}, \quad \varphi_n(x) = e^{in x},$$

and for integrable functions f, g let

$$(1.2) \quad (f, g) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \bar{g}(x) dx.$$

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. For fixed p , assume there exists a sequence $\{h_n\}$ in $L^q (= L^q(-\pi, \pi))$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad (g_n, h_m) = \delta_{nm}.$$

Then for f in L^p , define the partial sum operator

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{S}_N(x; f) = \sum_{n=-N}^N (f, h_n) g_n(x).$$

The partial sum operator for ordinary Fourier series is

$$(1.5) \quad S_N(x; f) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \hat{f}_n \varphi_n(x), \quad \hat{f}_n = (f, \varphi_n).$$

Received by the editors September 1, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 42C15, 42A45, 47A60; Secondary 34B25.

©1987 American Mathematical Society
0002-9947/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

The problem of nonharmonic Fourier series is to find conditions on $\{\lambda_n\}$ so that for some p , the dual sequence $\{h_n\}$ exists in L^q , and for all f in L^p , the partial sum operators $\mathcal{S}_N(x; f)$ have the same properties as the operators $S_N(x; f)$, with respect to norm behavior, pointwise behavior, or both.

In this paper we shall consider these questions, subject to the basic assumption that the sequence $\{\delta_n\}$, defined by

$$(1.6) \quad \delta_n = \lambda_n - n,$$

is a multiplier sequence on L^p for some fixed but arbitrary p , $1 \leq p < \infty$. This means that there is a bounded linear operator $\mathcal{M}: L^p \rightarrow L^p$ such that for each f in L^p ,

$$(1.7) \quad (\mathcal{M}f)_n^\wedge = \delta_n \hat{f}_n.$$

Another significant property of the sequences $\{n\}$ and $\{\varphi_n\}$ is that they contain the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the differential operator Λ_0 defined by

$$(1.8) \quad \Lambda_0 u = -iu', \quad (u' = du/dx),$$

with domain $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_0)$ consisting of all absolutely continuous functions u such that u' is in L^p and such that

$$(1.9) \quad u(-\pi) = u(\pi).$$

Thus

$$(1.10) \quad \Lambda_0 \varphi_n = n \varphi_n.$$

For $p = 2$ the operator Λ_0 is selfadjoint. For $1 < p < \infty$ the spectral theory of Λ_0 is embodied in the statement that for some complex number λ in the resolvent set of Λ_0 , the resolvent operator $R(\lambda, \Lambda_0)$ is *well-bounded*. See [2] for the definition and applications to differential operators. We shall give conditions under which there exists a linear operator Λ such that

$$(1.11) \quad \Lambda g_n = \lambda_n g_n,$$

and such that the resolvent operator is well-bounded, $1 < p < \infty$. This is then used to study the properties of half-range expansions, i.e., expansions on $L^p(0, \pi)$ (or on $L^p(-\pi, 0)$) using the sequence $\{\cos \lambda_n x\}$ or $\{\sin \lambda_n x\}$. In particular, we show that the operators associated with these expansions generate strongly continuous semi-groups.

The study of nonharmonic Fourier series was initiated by Paley and Wiener [8] and by Levinson [7]. Paley and Wiener showed that for $p = 2$ and λ_n real, if $|\delta_n| \leq 1/\pi^2$, then $\{h_n\}$ exists and for any f in $L^2(-\pi, \pi)$, the partial sums $\mathcal{S}_n(x; f)$ and $S_n(x; f)$ have the same behavior with respect to pointwise convergence:

$$(1.12) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [\mathcal{S}_N(x; f) - S_N(x; f)] = 0,$$

uniformly on each closed subinterval interior to $(-\pi, \pi)$. With respect to convergence in the norm of $L^2(-\pi, \pi)$, Paley and Wiener also showed that $\{g_n\}$ is a Riesz basis: there exists a bounded and invertible linear operator A on L^2 such that

$$(1.13) \quad A \varphi_n = g_n,$$

and thus $\{g_n\}$ has the same norm convergence properties in L^2 as does $\{\varphi_n\}$.

The above result on pointwise convergence was generalized by Levinson, who showed that if $1 < p \leq 2$ and if

$$(1.14) \quad |\delta_n| \leq L < (p-1)/2p,$$

then $\{h_n\}$ exists and for any f in $L^p(-\pi, \pi)$ the partial sums $\mathcal{S}_N(x; f)$ and $S_N(x; f)$ are uniformly equiconvergent on closed intervals interior to $(-\pi, \pi)$. Levinson did not give any results on the norm convergence of $\mathcal{S}_N$.

The question of norm convergence was considered by Pollard in [10]. There it was shown that for $1 < p < \infty$, if $r = 2p/|2-p|$ and if $\{\delta_n\}$ is in l^r , with

$$(1.15) \quad \|\{\delta_n\}\|_r < (\ln 2)/\pi,$$

then $\{g_n\}$ is a basis for L^p and there exists a bounded invertible operator $A: L^p \rightarrow L^p$ such that (1.13) holds. If $p = 2$ then $r = \infty$ and (1.15) becomes

$$(1.16) \quad |\delta_n| \leq L < (\ln 2)/\pi.$$

This result for $p = 2$ had been obtained earlier by Duffin and Eachus [4].

All of these conditions on $\{\delta_n\}$, whether for pointwise convergence, norm convergence, or both, impose a limitation on $\{\delta_n\}$: in none of these conditions is $|\delta_n|$ allowed to be greater than $\frac{1}{4}$. Consider the example $\delta_n = \delta$ for all n , where δ is an arbitrary complex number. Then

$$(1.17) \quad g_n(x) = e^{i\delta x} \varphi_n(x).$$

It is a simple matter to see that even if δ is selected so that none of the above conditions are satisfied, the resulting $\{g_n\}$ satisfies all of the conclusions of the above theorems, and in fact more is true: the pointwise equiconvergence theorem holds in the larger class $L'(-\pi, \pi)$, and $\{g_n\}$ is the set of eigenfunctions of an unbounded linear operator which generates a strongly continuous bounded group of transformations on L^p , $1 < p < \infty$, and whose square generates a strongly continuous semigroup.

The conditions given by Paley and Wiener and by Pollard imply that $\{\delta_n\}$ is a multiplier sequence, and the same clearly holds for the above example. Thus the assumption that $\{\delta_n\}$ is a multiplier sequence contains all of the previous norm results, frees the theory from explicit growth conditions, and allows the association to each sequence $\{g_n\}$ of an unbounded linear operator whose spectral theory incorporates the norm properties of $\{g_n\}$. Further, if $\{\delta_n\}$ is a multiplier sequence and if $\{g_n\}$ is a basis for L^p equivalent to $\{\varphi_n\}$, then pointwise equiconvergence is also obtained. Levinson's results are not included in this theory.

A survey of nonharmonic Fourier series is in [13] and other recent results on norm behavior can be found in [14, 15].

2. Norm convergence.

2.1. DEFINITION. The sequences $\{g_n\}, \{\varphi_n\}$ are *equivalent in L^p* if there exists a bounded linear operator $A: L^p \rightarrow L^p$, with bounded inverse, such that

$$(2.2) \quad A\varphi_n = g_n.$$

Note that the definition applies for $p = 1$, where $\{\varphi_n\}$ is not a basis. The invertibility of A is sufficient for the existence of the dual sequence $\{h_n\}$ in L^q :

$$(2.3) \quad h_n = A^{-1*}\varphi_n.$$

2.4. LEMMA. *If $\{g_n\}$ and $\{\varphi_n\}$ are equivalent, then*

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{S}_N = AS_NA^{-1}.$$

PROOF. From (2.2) and (2.3) we have $(f, h_n)g_n = A(A^{-1}f, \varphi_n)\varphi_n$.

2.6. THEOREM. *If $\{g_n\}$ is equivalent to $\{\varphi_n\}$ in L^p , $1 < p < \infty$, then*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{S}_N f - f\|_p = 0.$$

If $\{g_n\}$ is equivalent to $\{\varphi_n\}$ in L^1 , then the arithmetic means of $\mathcal{S}_N f$ converge to f in the norm of L^1 .

PROOF. We have $\mathcal{S}_N - I = A[S_N - I]A^{-1}$ and

$$\frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=0}^N \mathcal{S}_N - I = A \left[\frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=0}^N S_n - I \right] A^{-1}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{S}_N$ inherits the properties of S_N .

Let $X: L^p \rightarrow L^p$ be the linear operator defined by

$$(2.7) \quad (Xf)(x) = xf(x).$$

Note that $\|X\| = \pi$.

2.8. THEOREM. *If $\{\delta_n\}$ is a multiplier sequence for some L^p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, and if A is the linear operator defined by*

$$(2.9) \quad A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(iX)^k \mathcal{M}^k}{k!},$$

then $A\varphi_n = g_n$. (It is not claimed that A is invertible.)

PROOF. If there exists an operator A such that $A\varphi_n = g_n$, then for any trigonometric polynomial

$$t(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \hat{t}_n \varphi_n(x)$$

we must have

$$(2.10) \quad At = \sum_{n=-N}^N \hat{t}_n g_n.$$

Now $g_n(x) = \varphi_n(x)e^{i\delta_n x}$, so

$$At = \sum_{n=-N}^N \hat{t}_n \varphi_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ix)^k \delta_n^k}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ix)^k}{k!} \sum_{n=-N}^N \delta_n^k \hat{t}_n \varphi_n.$$

Since $\mathcal{M}^k t = \sum_{n=-N}^N \delta_n^k \hat{t}_n \varphi_n$, we have

$$At = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(iX)^k \mathcal{M}^k}{k!} t, \quad \text{and} \quad \|At\| \leq e^{\pi\|\mathcal{M}\|} \|t\|.$$

Since the trigonometric polynomials are dense in L^p for $1 \leq p < \infty$, the extension to all of L^p of the operator defined by (2.10) is the operator defined in (2.9).

2.11. THEOREM. *If for some p , $1 \leq p < \infty$,*

$$(2.12) \quad \|\mathcal{M}\|_p < (\ln 2)/\pi,$$

then $\{g_n\}$ is equivalent to $\{\varphi_n\}$ in L^p .

PROOF. It suffices to show that $\|A - I\| < 1$. From (2.9),

$$\|A - I\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|X\|^k \|\mathcal{M}\|^k}{k!} = e^{\pi \|\mathcal{M}\|} - 1.$$

Then (2.12) follows from the condition $e^{\pi \|\mathcal{M}\|} - 1 < 1$.

This theorem contains the theorems of Duffin and Eachus and of Pollard. Using the Fredholm alternative to invert operators of the form $I - K$, where K is compact, along with a representation of the dual sequence given by Levinson [7, Lemma 16.2], condition (1.15) of Pollard's theorem can be eliminated.

2.13. THEOREM. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, and let $r = 2p/|2 - p|$. Then $\{g_n\}$ and $\{\varphi_n\}$ are equivalent if*

- (i) $\lambda_n \neq \lambda_m$ for $n \neq m$;
- (ii) $\{\delta_n\}$ is in l^r .

The proof follows some preliminary material.

2.14. LEMMA. *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in a Banach space $\mathcal{B}$ and let $\{v_n\}$ be a sequence in a dual space $\mathcal{B}^*$ such that $(u_n, v_m) = \delta_{nm}$. Let $\{g_n\}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{B}$ such that*

- (1) $g_n = u_n$ except for n in a finite set S ;
- (2) $\det((g_n, v_m))_{n,m \text{ in } S} \neq 0$.

Then there exists a bounded, invertible operator $A: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $Au_n = g_n$.

PROOF. For f in $\mathcal{B}$ define an operator K by

$$Kf = \sum_{n \in S} (f, v_n)(u_n - g_n),$$

and let $A = I - K$. Then K is compact and $Au_n = g_n$ for all n . To show that A is invertible it suffices to show (by the Fredholm alternative) that $Af = 0$ implies $f = 0$. We have $Af = 0$ if and only if $f = Kf$:

$$(2.15) \quad f = \sum_{n \in S} (f, v_n)(u_n - g_n).$$

Then for m in S ,

$$\sum_{n \in S} (f, v_n)(g_n, v_m) = 0.$$

From condition (2), $(f, v_n) = 0$ for all n in S , and from (2.15), $f = 0$.

For Levinson's representation of h_n , we need the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$ and its inverse $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ defined by

$$(2.16) \quad (\mathcal{F}f)(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-i\lambda x} dx \quad (f \text{ defined on } (-\infty, \infty)),$$

$$(2.17) \quad (\mathcal{F}^{-1}F)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\lambda) e^{i\lambda x} d\lambda.$$

For the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$, let

$$(2.18) \quad G(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_0) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\bar{\lambda}_{-n}}\right).$$

Questions of convergence will be considered below. For $1 < p < \infty$, let $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $s = \min(p, q)$, $s^{-1} + t^{-1} = 1$. L^p refers to the interval $(-\pi, \pi)$ and $L^p(\mathbf{R})$ refers to $(-\infty, \infty)$.

2.19. THEOREM (LEVINSON [7; pp. 48–58]). Let $1 < p < \infty$. Assume

$$(2.20) \quad |\delta_n| \leq L < (s - 1)/2s.$$

Then the infinite product (2.18) converges to an entire function $G(\lambda)$ such that if

$$(2.21) \quad H_n(\lambda) = G(\lambda) / [(\lambda - \lambda_n)G'(\lambda_n)],$$

then

- (i) H_n is in $L^s(\mathbf{R})$ for λ restricted to $\mathbf{R}$,
- (ii) $(\mathcal{F}^{-1}H_n)(x)$ is in $L^t(\mathbf{R})$, and its support is contained in $(-\pi, \pi)$,
- (iii) the dual sequence $\{h_n\}$ is given by

$$(2.22) \quad \bar{h}_n(x) = 2\pi(\mathcal{F}^{-1}H_n)(x), \quad -\pi < x < \pi,$$

and

$$(2.23) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\lambda x} \bar{h}_n(x) dx = H_n(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C}.$$

2.24. REMARKS. Levinson's theorems are stated for $1 < p \leq 2$, but using the containment relations for L^p spaces on finite intervals, the above extension of the range of p holds. Also, what is denoted by h_n in Levinson's work is $2\pi\bar{h}_n$ in our notation.

2.25. LEMMA. For a finite set S of indices, let $\{\lambda_n\}$, $\{\mu_m\}$, $n \in S$, be two sets of complex numbers such that no two numbers are the same. Then

$$M := \det((\lambda_n - \mu_m)^{-1})_{n,m \in S} \neq 0.$$

PROOF. Let $p(\lambda) = \prod_{m \in S} (\lambda - \mu_m)$ and let $p_i(\lambda) = p(\lambda)/(\lambda - \mu_i)$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n - \mu_m} = \frac{p_m(\lambda_n)}{p(\lambda_n)}, \quad (p(\lambda_n) \neq 0).$$

Thus

$$\left[\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} p(\lambda_n) \right] M = \det(p_m(\lambda_n)).$$

Now each p_m is a polynomial of degree $|S| - 1$, where $|S|$ is the cardinality of S , and all zeros of $p_m(\lambda)$ are accounted for by $\lambda = \mu_i$, where $i \in S$, $i \neq m$. Since $\lambda_n \neq \mu_i$, we have $M \neq 0$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.13. There exists a finite set S of indices n such that $\lambda_n \neq n$ for $n \in S$, $|\delta_n| \leq L < (s-1)/2s$, and

$$\left(\sum_{n \notin S} |\delta_n|^r \right)^{1/r} < (\ln 2)/\pi.$$

Let $\mu_n = m$ for $n \in S$, $\mu_n = \lambda_n$ for $n \notin S$, and let $u_n(x) = e^{i\mu_n x}$. Since $\{\mu_n - m\}$ satisfies Pollard's theorem (or Theorem 2.11), we see that $\{u_n\}$ is equivalent to $\{\varphi_n\}$. Let $\{v_n\}$ denote the dual sequence. Since $\{\mu_m - n\}$ also satisfies Levinson's condition (2.20), we have

$$(2.26) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\lambda x} \bar{v}_m(x) dx = H_m(\lambda).$$

Since $\{g_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ differ only for $n \in S$, to show that $\{g_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ are equivalent it suffices to show that

$$\det((g_n, v_m))_{n,m \in S} \neq 0.$$

Using (2.26), this becomes

$$\det(H_m(\lambda_n))_{n,m \in S} \neq 0.$$

Using (2.21), this becomes

$$(2.27) \quad \left[\prod_{n \in S} \frac{G(\lambda_n)}{G'(\mu_n)} \right] \left[\det((\lambda_n - \mu_m)^{-1})_{n,m \in S} \right] \neq 0.$$

Recall that $G(\lambda)$ is formed with zeros at $\{\mu_m\}$, so $G(\lambda_n) \neq 0$ for $n \in S$. Since the set $\{\lambda_n\}$ is disjoint from the set $\{\mu_n\}$ for $n \in S$, the determinant in (2.27) is not zero.

2.28 REMARK. The analogue of Theorem 2.13 for $p = 2$ is that $|\delta_n| \leq L < (\ln 2)/\pi$ for $|n|$ sufficiently large, and, for the finitely many remaining λ_n 's, that they are pairwise distinct.

For $p \neq 2$, Theorem 2.13 requires that $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$. Using the theory of well-bounded operators, a general class of multipliers can be given for which $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ is not necessary. A special case will yield a proof of a theorem of Kadec [6]:

THEOREM (KADEC). Let $\{\delta_n\}$ be real and assume $|\delta_n| \leq L < \frac{1}{4}$. Then $\{g_n\}$ is a basis for L^2 equivalent to $\{\varphi_n\}$.

Some of the details of this theory are now presented.

2.29. DEFINITION. An arc C in the complex plane is *admissible* if it is simple, nonclosed and rectifiable:

Let S denote the length of C and let $\rho: [0, S] \rightarrow C$ denote the arc-length parameterization of C , with $b = \rho(S)$. A function $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be absolutely continuous on C if $f \circ \rho$ is absolutely continuous on $[0, S]$, and for such functions f , we define

$$(2.30) \quad \|f\|_C = |f(b)| + \int_C |df/dz| |dz|.$$

2.31. DEFINITION (RINGROSE [12, p. 634]). An operator T on a Banach space is *well-bounded on C* if there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that if $p(z)$ is any

polynomial, then

$$(2.32) \quad \|p(T)\| \leq K \|p\|_C.$$

2.33. THEOREM [12, p. 636]. *If T is well-bounded on C , then for each absolutely continuous function f on C , there is a bounded linear operator $f(T)$ such that the mapping $f \rightarrow f(T)$ is a homomorphism of $AC(C)$ into the algebra of bounded linear operators, and*

$$(2.34) \quad \|f(T)\| \leq K \|f\|_C.$$

If the underlying Banach space is reflexive, then there exists a family of projections $\{E(\lambda): \lambda \in C\}$ a *spectral family* for T , which can be used to express $f(T)$ as a modified Riemann-Stieltjes integral [3, Chapter 17]. See also [2, Proposition 2.3], where we see that the constant K of (2.34) can be chosen to be $\sup\{\|E(\lambda)\|: \lambda \in C\}$.

For $\Delta > 0$, let

$$(2.35) \quad T_\Delta = \Delta T, \quad C_\Delta = \{\Delta z: z \in C\}, \quad E_\Delta(\lambda) = E(\lambda/\Delta), \quad \lambda \in C_\Delta.$$

2.36. THEOREM. T_Δ is a well-bounded operator on C_Δ with spectral family E_Δ , and for any function f which is absolutely continuous on C_Δ ,

$$(2.37) \quad \|f(T_\Delta)\| \leq K \|f\|_{C_\Delta},$$

where

$$(2.38) \quad K = \sup\{E_\Delta(\lambda): \lambda \in C_\Delta\} = \sup\{E(\lambda): \lambda \in C\}.$$

For the proof of this theorem, see [1] for a general discussion of functions of well-bounded operators. We emphasize that the constant K for T_Δ in (2.37) is computable from the spectral family for T .

Multiplier transforms which are well-bounded have been studied by D. J. Ralph [11].

2.39. DEFINITION. A real sequence $\{\delta_n\}$, $-\infty < n < \infty$, is *piecewise monotone* if $\{\delta_n\}$ is monotone for $|n|$ sufficiently large. A complex sequence $\{\delta_n\}$ lying on an admissible arc C is *piecewise monotone* if $\{\rho^{-1}(\delta_n)\}$ is piecewise monotone.

Note that the sense of monotonicity does not have to be the same for the two tails of $\{\delta_n\}$.

2.40. THEOREM [11, COROLLARY 3.2.6]. *If $\{\delta_n\}$ is a piecewise monotone sequence on an admissible arc C , then $\{\delta_n\}$ is a multiplier sequence for L^p , $1 < p < \infty$, and the associated multiplier transform $\mathcal{M}$ is well-bounded on C . Moreover, if f is absolutely continuous on C , then for any g in L^p*

$$(2.41) \quad (f(T)g)_n^\wedge = f(\delta_n) \hat{g}_n.$$

The proof of the next theorem depends upon the expression (due to Kadec [6]) of $1 - e^{i\delta x}$ in the orthonormal system $\{1, \cos nx, \sin(n - \frac{1}{2})x\}$ for $n \geq 1$:

$$(2.42) \quad 1 - e^{i\delta x} = \left(1 - \frac{\sin \pi \delta}{\pi \delta}\right) + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \delta \sin \pi \delta}{k^2 - \delta^2} \cos kx \\ + i \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \delta \cos \pi \delta}{(k - \frac{1}{2})^2 - \delta^2} \sin(k - \frac{1}{2})x.$$

2.43. THEOREM. Let M be a well-bounded multiplier transform on some L^p , $1 < p < \infty$, with multiplier sequence $\{\delta_n\}$. Then there exists $\Delta = \Delta(\mathcal{M}, p) > 0$ such that if $\lambda_n = n + \Delta\delta_n$, then $\{g_n\}$ is a basis for L^p equivalent to $\{\varphi_n\}$.

PROOF. Let f be a trigonometric polynomial in L^p : $f = \sum_{-N}^N \hat{f}_n \varphi_n$. For such f , $Af = \sum_{-N}^N \hat{f}_n g_n$ exists, and $B = I - A$ is defined:

$$Bf = \sum_{-N}^N \hat{f}_n \varphi_n [1 - e^{i\Delta\delta_n x}].$$

Using (2.42) with $\delta = \Delta\delta_n$ and then interchanging the order of summation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.44) \quad Bf &= \sum_{n=-N}^N \left(1 - \frac{\sin \pi \Delta \delta_n}{\pi \Delta \delta_n}\right) \hat{f}_n \varphi_n \\ &+ \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \cos kx \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{\Delta \delta_n \sin \pi \Delta \delta_n}{k^2 - (\Delta \delta_n)^2} \hat{f}_n \varphi_n \\ &+ \frac{i2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sin(k - \tfrac{1}{2})x \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{\Delta \delta_n \cos \pi \Delta \delta_n}{(k - \tfrac{1}{2})^2 - (\Delta \delta_n)^2} \hat{f}_n \varphi_n. \end{aligned}$$

Define functions

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\delta) &= 1 - \frac{\sin \pi \delta}{\pi \delta}, \quad \beta_k(\delta) = \frac{\delta \sin \pi \delta}{k^2 - \delta^2}, \\ \gamma_k(\delta) &= \frac{\delta \cos \pi \delta}{(k - \tfrac{1}{2})^2 - \delta^2}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

These functions are absolutely continuous on any admissible arc, and by Theorem 2.40, for any f in L^p ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\mathcal{M}_\Delta)f &= \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(\Delta\delta_n) \hat{f}_n \varphi_n, \quad \beta_k(\mathcal{M}_\Delta)f = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_k(\Delta\delta_n) \hat{f}_n \varphi_n, \\ \gamma_k(\mathcal{M}_\Delta)f &= \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_k(\Delta\delta_n) \hat{f}_n \varphi_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using the density in L^p of the trigonometric polynomials, we see that the operators A , B have continuous extensions to all of L^p , and

$$\begin{aligned} Bf &= \alpha(\mathcal{M}_\Delta)f + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \cos kx \beta_k(\mathcal{M}_\Delta)f \\ &+ \frac{2i}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sin(k - \tfrac{1}{2})x \gamma_k(\mathcal{M}_\Delta)f. \end{aligned}$$

Let $K > 0$ be selected as in (2.38), where $E(\lambda)$ is the spectral family of $\mathcal{M}$. Using the triangle inequality,

$$\|Bf\| \leq \|f\| K \left\{ \|\alpha\|_{C_\Delta} + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\beta_k\|_{C_\Delta} + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\gamma_k\|_{C_\Delta} \right\}.$$

Note that

$$|\beta_k(\delta)| = \mathcal{O}(\delta/k^2), \quad \text{uniformly as } \delta \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty, \\ |\beta'_k(\delta)| = \mathcal{O}(\delta/k^2), \quad \text{also uniformly,}$$

so that

$$\|\beta_k\|_{C_\Delta} = \mathcal{O}(\Delta/k^2) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using similar estimates for α, γ_k , we see that for Δ sufficiently small, $\|B\| < 1$, and then A is invertible.

If $\{\delta_n\}$ is real, then more precision in estimating $\|\beta_k\|$, etc., can be obtained. For $|\delta| \leq L \leq \frac{1}{4}$ we see that $\text{var } \beta_k = 2\beta_k(L)$ so that

$$\|\beta_k\|_{[-L, L]} = 3\beta_k(L)$$

and similarly for α, γ_k . Thus

$$\|Bf\| \leq \|f\| 3K \left\{ 1 - \frac{\sin \pi \Delta L}{\pi \Delta L} + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta L \sin \pi \Delta L}{k^2 - (\Delta L)^2} + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta L \cos \pi \Delta L}{(k - \frac{1}{2})^2 - (\Delta L)^2} \right\}.$$

Again using Kadec [6], we note that

$$\frac{\Delta 2L}{\pi} \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 - (\Delta L)^2} = \frac{1}{\Delta \pi L} - \cot \pi \Delta L, \\ \frac{2\Delta L}{\pi} \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k - \frac{1}{2})^2 - (\Delta L)^2} = \tan \pi \Delta L,$$

so

$$\|Bf\| \leq \|f\| 3K \left\{ 1 - \frac{\sin \pi \Delta L}{\pi \Delta L} + \frac{\sin \pi \Delta L}{\pi \Delta L} - \cos \pi \Delta L + \sin \pi \Delta L \right\}, \\ \|B\| \leq 3K [1 - \cos \pi \Delta L + \sin \pi \Delta L].$$

Then for Δ sufficiently small, $\|B\| < 1$.

To say how small Δ should be, it is necessary to know K . Let H denote the conjugate function mapping on L^p . For $1 < p < \infty$, let $s = \min(p, q)$. Then [9]

$$(2.45) \quad \|H\|_p = \tan(\pi/2r).$$

Using the representation of the spectral family of $\mathcal{M}$ [11, Theorem 3.2.4] we have:

2.46. LEMMA. *If $\{\delta_n\}$ is real and piecewise monotone, and if m is the number of intervals (of integers) on which $\{\delta_n\}$ is monotone, then*

$$K \leq m[1 + \tan \pi/2r].$$

Kadec's theorem was based on Parseval's equality. A spectral-theoretic proof can be given, since $\mathcal{M}$ is then selfadjoint and $\|\beta_k(\mathcal{M})\| = \sup(|\beta_k(\delta_n)|)$, etc.

3. Pointwise convergence.

3.1. THEOREM. Let p be fixed, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Assume $\{\delta_n\}$ is a multiplier sequence in L^p and that $\{g_n\}, \{\varphi_n\}$ are equivalent. Then for each f in L^p ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [\mathcal{S}_N(x; f) - S_N(x; f)] = 0,$$

uniformly on each interval $[-\pi + d, \pi - d]$, $d > 0$.

3.2. REMARK. Note that this theorem includes the case $p = 1$, even though $\{\varphi_n\}, \{g_n\}$ are not bases in L^1 . Theorem 3.1 contains as a special case a result of Duffin and Schaeffer [5, §4] for L^2 .

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. Since $\{g_n\}, \{\varphi_n\}$ are equivalent, we have $\mathcal{S}_N = AS_NA^{-1}$. Using the expression (2.9) for A , we have

$$\mathcal{S}_N = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} X^k \frac{(i\mathcal{M})^k}{k!} S_N A^{-1},$$

but since $\mathcal{M}$ and S_N commute,

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{S}_N = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} X^k S_N \frac{(i\mathcal{M})^k}{k!} A^{-1}.$$

Since $S_N = S_N A A^{-1}$, we have

$$(3.4) \quad S_N = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_N X^k \frac{(i\mathcal{M})^k}{k!} A^{-1},$$

and then

$$\mathcal{S}_N f - S_N f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (X^k S_N - S_N X^k) \frac{(i\mathcal{M})^k}{k!} A^{-1} f.$$

Let D_N denote the Dirichlet kernel

$$D_N(x - t) = \frac{\sin(N + \frac{1}{2})(x - t)}{2 \sin((x - t)/2)}.$$

For any function g in L^p ,

$$(X^k S_N - S_N X^k)g(x) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D_N(x - t)(x^k - t^k)g(t) dt,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & D_N(x - t)(x^k - t^k) \\ &= \sin(N + \tfrac{1}{2})(x - t) \frac{x - t}{2 \sin((x - t)/2)} [x^{k-1} + x^{k-2}t + \cdots + t^{k-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Given $d > 0$, there exists $K = K(d) > 0$ such that if $|x| \leq \pi - d$, then

$$|D_N(x - t)(x^k - t^k)| \leq Kk\pi^k, \quad |x| \leq \pi - d, |t| \leq \pi.$$

Thus

$$|(X^k S_N - S_N X^k)g(x)| \leq 2\pi Kk\pi^k \|g\|.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists $J = J(\varepsilon, f)$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{k=J}^{\infty} (X^k S_N - S_N X^k) \frac{(i\mathcal{M})^k}{k!} A^{-1} f \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for all N , $|x| \leq \pi - d$. For the finitely many remaining terms, it is easily seen that the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma holds uniformly in x , $|x| \leq \pi - d$, so for N sufficiently large,

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{J-1} (X^k S_N - S_N X^k) \frac{(i\mathcal{M})^k}{k!} A^{-1} f \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

4. Eigenfunction expansions. In this section we assume $\{\delta_n\}$ is a multiplier sequence for L^p , for some p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, and that the corresponding $\{g_n\}$ is equivalent to $\{\varphi_n\}$. Let Λ_0 be the differential operator defined in (1.8), (1.9), and let Λ be defined by

$$(4.1) \quad \Lambda = A(\Lambda_0 + \mathcal{M})A^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{D}(\Lambda) = A\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_0).$$

4.2. THEOREM. Λ is a closed, densely defined operator on L^p ,

$$(4.3) \quad \Lambda g_n = \lambda_n g_n,$$

and $i\Lambda$ is the infinitesimal generator of the uniformly bounded, strongly continuous group

$$(4.4) \quad U(t) = AU_0(t)e^{i\mathcal{M}t}A^{-1}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R},$$

where $U_0(t)$ is the translation group generated by $i\Lambda_0$.

PROOF. This is a direct consequence of (4.1), noting that Λ_0 and $\mathcal{M}$ commute.

For the further study of Λ , let $1 < p < \infty$. Then

$$(4.5) \quad (\lambda I - \Lambda)^{-1}f = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_n)^{-1}(f, h_n)g_n, \quad f \in L^p.$$

Since $\{(\lambda - \lambda_n)^{-1}\}$ is in l^r for all r , $1 < r < \infty$, it follows that $\{(\lambda - \lambda_n)^{-1}\}$ is a multiplier sequence in L^p for $1 < p < \infty$. For $(\lambda I - \Lambda)^{-1}$ to be well-bounded, it suffices to have $\{(\lambda - \lambda_n)^{-1}\}$ piecewise monotone. If $\{\delta_n\}$ is real, this is the case if $|\delta_n| \leq L < 1/2$.

4.6. LEMMA. Let $\delta_n = \alpha_n + i\beta_n$ where

$$(4.7) \quad |\alpha_n| < L < \frac{1}{2}, \quad \beta_n = \mathcal{O}(1)$$

for n sufficiently large. Let λ be a real number distinct from the λ_n . Then $\{(\lambda_n - \lambda)^{-1}\}$ lies on an admissible arc C and is piecewise monotone.

PROOF. It suffices to show that $\{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_n - \lambda)^{-1}\}$ is piecewise monotone and $\{\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_n - \lambda)^{-1}\}$ is of bounded variation, since then the arc formed by joining successive points $(\lambda_n - \lambda)^{-1}$ with straight lines is admissible. A computation yields

$$\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_n - \lambda)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{\alpha_n - \lambda}{n^2} + \frac{\gamma_n}{n^3}, \quad \gamma_n = \mathcal{O}(1),$$

and then the difference of two successive ones is

$$\frac{1}{n(n+1)} \left[1 - (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}) + \frac{\gamma_n}{n} \right].$$

For $|n|$ sufficiently large this is positive, since $\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1} < 1$. Clearly $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_n - \lambda)^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-2})$, so this sequence is of bounded variation.

4.8. THEOREM. *If $\{\delta_n\}$ satisfies (4.7), then $R(\lambda, \Lambda)$ is well-bounded.*

PROOF. By the above lemma, $\{(\lambda - \lambda_n)^{-1}\}$ satisfies the conditions of [11, Corollary 3.2.6] (see also Theorem 2.40), so $R(\lambda, \Lambda_0 + \mathcal{M})$ is well-bounded. Well-boundedness is preserved by similarity transforms.

We have $\Lambda^2 = A(\Lambda_0 + \mathcal{M})^2 A^{-1}$ with domain $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_0^2)$.

4.9. THEOREM. *If λ does not coincide with any λ_n^2 and if (4.7) holds, then $R(\lambda, \Lambda^2)$ is well-bounded on L^p , $1 < p < \infty$.*

The proof is similar to that for Λ .

4.10. COROLLARY. *For $1 < p < \infty$, $-\Lambda^2$ is the infinitesimal generator of a semigroup in L^p .*

PROOF. Since the admissible arc C containing $\{(\lambda - \lambda_n^2)^{-1}\}$ enters the origin with bounded slope, the conditions of [2, Theorem 5.15] are satisfied. (See also [2, Lemma 5.48].)

5. Half-range expansions. Assuming the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ is odd:

$$(5.1) \quad \lambda_{-n} = -\lambda_n,$$

we consider expansions for $0 < x < \pi$ (or for $-\pi < x < 0$) in $\{\cos \lambda_n x\}$, $n \geq 0$ and in $\{\sin \lambda_n x\}$, $n \geq 1$. We give conditions assuring that these functions are eigenfunctions of linear operators which generate strongly continuous semigroups in $L^p(0, \pi)$.

We assume throughout this section that (5.1) holds and $\{g_n\}$, $\{\varphi_n\}$ are equivalent in some space L^p .

5.2. LEMMA. $g_{-n}(x) = g_n(-x)$, $h_{-n}(x) = h_n(-x)$.

PROOF. Since $\{g_n\}$ is given explicitly, this is an immediate consequence of (5.1). For h_n , let m be fixed and let $w(x) = h_m(-x)$. Then for all n , and using the above property of g_n , we have $(g_n, w - h_{-m}) = 0$ all n, m . Since $\{g_n\}$ is complete we have $w = h_{-m}$.

For the remainder of this section we consider cosine expansions. Thus let

$$(5.3) \quad G_n(x) = [g_n(x) + g_{-n}(x)]/2, \quad H_n(x) = [h_n(x) + h_{-n}(x)]/2, \\ c_n(x) = \cos nx.$$

Clearly

$$(5.4) \quad G_n = A c_n, \quad H_n = A^{-1} * c_n.$$

For an even function f on $[-\pi, \pi]$, let

$$(5.5) \quad F(x) = f(x), \quad 0 < x < \pi,$$

and for two functions u, v on $(0, \pi)$, let

$$(5.6) \quad \langle u, v \rangle = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi u(x) \bar{v}(x) dx.$$

5.7. LEMMA. *If f is an even function, then for $0 < x < \pi$,*

$$(5.8) \quad (f, h_0)g_0 = \frac{1}{2}\langle F, H_0 \rangle G_0, \\ (f, h_n)g_n + (f, h_{-n})g_{-n} = \langle F, H_n \rangle G_n,$$

$$(5.9) \quad \sum_{-N}^N (f, h_n)g_n = \frac{1}{2}\langle F, H_0 \rangle G_0 + \sum_1^N \langle F, H_n \rangle G_n := \mathcal{T}_N(x; F).$$

PROOF. Computational.

Let

$$(5.10) \quad T_N(x; F) = \frac{1}{2}\langle F, c_0 \rangle c_0(x) + \sum_1^N \langle F, c_n \rangle c_n(x), \quad 0 < x < \pi.$$

5.11. THEOREM. *If (5.1) holds and if $\{g_n\}, \{\varphi_n\}$ are equivalent in L^p for some p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, then for all F in $L^p(0, \pi)$,*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [\mathcal{T}_N(x; F) - T_N(x; F)] = 0,$$

uniformly on $[0, \pi - d]$ for each $d > 0$. If $1 < p < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}_N(\cdot; F) = F$$

in the norm of $L^p(0, \pi)$.

PROOF. These are direct consequences of the relations

$$\mathcal{S}_N(x; f) = \mathcal{T}_N(x; F), \quad S_N(x; f) = T_N(x; F), \quad 0 < x < \pi,$$

and the analogous theorems for $\mathcal{S}_N, S_N$.

If f is an even function in $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda^2)$, then for $0 < x < \pi$,

$$\Lambda^2 f = \sum_1^\infty \lambda_n^2 \langle F, H_n \rangle G_n := \Gamma^2 F,$$

where $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma^2)$ consists of all F in $L^p(0, \pi)$ such that the even extension to $[-\pi, \pi]$ is in $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda^2)$. For $\lambda \neq \lambda_n^2$, and for any polynomial P ,

$$(5.12) \quad P(R(\lambda, \Gamma^2))F = \frac{1}{2}P(\lambda^{-1})\langle F, H_0 \rangle G_0 + \sum_1^\infty P((\lambda - \lambda_n^2)^{-1})\langle F, H_n \rangle G_n \\ = P(R(\lambda_n \Lambda^2))f, \quad 0 < x < \pi.$$

5.13. THEOREM. *If $\{\delta_n\}$ satisfies (4.7), along with the other assumptions of this section, then for $1 < p < \infty$, $R(\lambda, \Gamma^2)$ is well bounded and $-\Gamma^2$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup on $L^p(0, \pi)$.*

PROOF. Since for any function F and its even extension f we have

$$\|f\|^p = 2\|F\|^p,$$

from (5.12) and the well-boundedness of $R(\lambda, \Lambda^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|P(R(\lambda, \Gamma^2))F\| &= 2^{-1/p} \|P(R(\lambda, \Lambda^2))f\| \\ &\leq 2^{-1/p} K \|P\| \|f\| = K \|P\| \|F\|,\end{aligned}$$

where $\|P\|$ is computed on the piecewise linear admissible arc containing $\{(\lambda - \lambda_n^2)^{-1}\}$. Thus $R(\lambda, \Gamma^2)$ is well-bounded and the proof of Corollary 4.10 applies.

REFERENCES

1. H. E. Benzinger, *Functions of well-bounded operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **92** (1984), 75–80.
2. H. E. Benzinger, E. Berkson and T. A. Gillespie, *Spectral families of projections, semigroups and differential operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **275** (1983), 431–475.
3. H. R. Dowson, *Spectral theory of linear operators*, London Math. Soc. Mono., No. 12, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
4. R. J. Duffin and J. J. Eachus, *Some notes on an expansion theorem of Paley and Wiener*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **48** (1942), 850–855.
5. R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer, *A class of nonharmonic Fourier series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1952), 341–366.
6. M. I. Kadec, *The exact value of the Paley-Wiener constant*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **5** (1964), 559–561.
7. N. Levinson, *Gap and density theorems*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 26, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1940.
8. R. E. A. C. Paley and N. Wiener, *Fourier transforms in the complex domain*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1934.
9. S. K. Pichorides, *On the best value of the constants in the theorems of M. Riesz, Zygmund and Kolmogorov*, Studia Math. **44** (1972), 165–179.
10. H. Pollard, *The mean convergence of non-harmonic series*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **50** (1944), 583–586.
11. D. J. Ralph, *Semigroups of well-bounded operators and multipliers*, Thesis, Univ. of Edinburgh, 1977.
12. J. R. Ringrose, *On well-bounded operators. II*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **13** (1963), 613–638.
13. R. M. Young, *An introduction to nonharmonic Fourier series*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
14. R. M. Redheffer, *Completeness of sets of complex exponentials*, Adv. in Math. **24** (1977), 1–62.
15. R. M. Redheffer and R. M. Young, *Completeness and basis properties of complex exponentials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **277** (1983), 93–111.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, 1409 W. GREEN STREET, URBANA, ILLINOIS 61801