

THE MACRAE INVARIANT AND THE FIRST LOCAL CHERN CHARACTER

PAUL ROBERTS

ABSTRACT. The first local Chern character of a bounded complex of locally free sheaves on a scheme Y is given by intersection with a Cartier divisor. In the case of the resolution of a module of finite projective dimension, this is the invariant defined by MacRae.

Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring, and let M be a finitely generated module of finite projective dimension. In his investigation of invariants of these modules, MacRae [6] constructed an invertible ideal $G(M)$ associated to M which describes the part of the support of M of codimension 1. The fact that $G(M)$ is invertible implies many properties of the support of M , and it has recently been used by Foxby [3] to prove some conjectures on intersection multiplicities of modules of finite projective dimension with modules of Krull dimension one.

In this paper we generalize the construction of MacRae to a bounded complex E_* of locally free sheaves on a Noetherian scheme Y and show that this can be used to describe the first local Chern character of E_* . A bounded complex of locally free sheaves of finite rank will be called a *perfect* complex. For technical reasons, we assume that Y is connected and quasi-projective over an affine scheme. Let X be the support of E_* , denoted $\text{Supp}(E_*)$; this can be defined as the set of points of Y where E_* is not exact, or, equivalently, as the union of the supports of the homology modules $H_i(E_*)$. We assume that X is contained in some Cartier divisor. Locally, this means that the ideal defining X contains a non-zero-divisor, and if E_* is a resolution of a module, our assumption follows whenever X is a proper subset of Y . An equivalent formulation of this condition is that X contains no points y of Y such that the local ring \mathcal{O}_y has depth zero; such a point will be called a point of depth zero. In this situation we construct a Cartier divisor $G(E_*)$ on Y generalizing the MacRae invariant.

If Y is quasi-projective over a regular local ring (this includes, among others, the case where $Y = \text{Spec } R$ and R is a complete local ring), there is a theory of local Chern characters defined for perfect complexes on Y . For a complex E_* with support X as above, for any scheme Y' together with a map of finite type $f: Y' \rightarrow Y$, and for integers n and j , the j th local Chern character $\text{ch}_j(E_*)$ defines an

Received by the editors December 4, 1985 and, in revised form, March 11, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 14C17; Secondary 13D15, 13D25, 13H15, 14C35.

Supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

©1987 American Mathematical Society
0002-9947/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

“intersection operator”

$$\mathrm{ch}_j(E_\star): A_n(Y') \rightarrow A_{n-j}(f^{-1}(X)),$$

where, for any scheme Z , $A_\star(Z) = A_\star(Z) \otimes Q$ is the rational Chow group of cycles on Z modulo rational equivalence. We refer to Fulton [4, Chapter 18] for definitions and properties of these operators. We show below that in our situation $\mathrm{ch}_1(E_\star)$ is the operator defined by intersecting with the Cartier divisor $G(E_\star)$. This implies that if the codimension of X in Y is at least 2, then $\mathrm{ch}_1(E_\star) = 0$, a result which is used in Roberts [7] to prove a vanishing conjecture on multiplicities for rings with singular locus of dimension 1. It should be remarked that in higher codimensions the corresponding statement is not true; Dutta, Hochster, and McLaughlin [2] have constructed an example in which the codimension of the support of E_\star is 3, but $\mathrm{ch}_2(E_\star) \neq 0$.

An invariant similar to the one described here has been constructed for complexes by Iversen [8]; his is an element of the local cohomology group $H_Z^1(\mu)$, where Z contains the support of E_\star and μ is the sheaf of units. He also outlines a proof that this gives the first Chern class in étale cohomology; in this context, it appears to be possible to reduce the “global” case; a technique which has not been successfully carried out for the Chern characters defined as operators in the Chern group which we use here.

Before constructing $G(E_\star)$, it is necessary to deal with one technical point. We need to know that the following two constructions are possible:

1. If K is a coherent sheaf on Y , then there is a locally free sheaf F and a (locally) surjective map: $F \rightarrow K$.

2. Suppose we are given a finite subset T of Y containing all points of depth zero. If we have a diagram of maps of locally free sheaves:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & F_0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ E_{i+1} & \rightarrow & E_i & \xrightarrow{d_i} & E_{i-1} \end{array}$$

such that $\mathrm{Im}(F_0) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker} d_i$, F_0 has rank r , and the support of $H_i(E_\star)$ contains no points of T , then there is a locally free sheaf F_1 , also of rank r , together with maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & F_0 & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ E_{i+1} & \rightarrow & E_i & \xrightarrow{d_i} & E_{i-1} \end{array}$$

such that the diagram commutes and $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{Coker} \phi)$ contains no points of T .

If Y is affine, the first construction is accomplished by mapping generators of a free module onto generators of K , and the second by choosing elements of F_0 which map to the image of d_{i+1} and which avoid the prime ideals corresponding to points of T . The first construction is in fact standard in more generality; a proof can be found in Borelli [1]. The method of proof is to find a line bundle L on Y and a

global section s of L such that $Y_s = \{y \in Y \mid s \text{ does not generate } L \text{ at } y\}$ is affine. One makes the construction on Y_s using $\mathcal{O}_{Y_s}^k$ as above, then, using EGA I (9.3.1) (reference [5]), one deduces the construction on Y using $(L^{\otimes(-m)})^k$ for some integer m , at least up to the support of s . The same method works for the second construction, provided that L and s can always be chosen so that the support of s contains no point of T ; if Y is quasi-projective over an affine scheme, this can always be done.

We now define $G(E_*)$ when E_* is a perfect complex of length 1. In this case E_* is just a map between locally free sheaves of the same rank (recall that $\text{Supp}(E_*)$ is always assumed to contain no points of depth zero). Let

$$E_* = \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow E_{i+1} \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} E_i \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

with $\text{rank}(E_i) = \text{rank}(E_{i+1}) = r$. We then have a map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigwedge^r E_{i+1} & \rightarrow & \bigwedge^r E_i \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ L_{i+1} & \rightarrow & L_i \end{array}$$

where L_{i+1} and L_i are locally free sheaves of rank one. These give a map $L_{i+1} \otimes L_i^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$.

The image of this map is a Cartier divisor D , with $L_{i+1} \otimes L_i^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(-D)$, and we define $G(E_*)$ to be $(-1)^i D$ (using additive notation for divisors). Locally, the map on r th exterior powers is given by the determinant of a matrix defining d_{i+1} , so this is the same as the MacRae invariant for a module of projective dimension 1.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let E_* be a perfect complex of length 1. If α is a cycle in $A_n Y'$, where $f: Y' \rightarrow Y$ is a map of schemes, then*

$$\text{ch}_1(E_*)(\alpha) = G(E_*) \cap \alpha \quad \text{in } A_{n-1}(f^{-1}(X)).$$

PROOF. Since all of the operations used in defining $G(E_*)$ are compatible with pullbacks, we can assume that $Y' = Y$, and, replacing Y' by a component of α , we can assume that Y is an integral scheme of dimension n and $\alpha = [Y]$. The proof is divided into two cases.

Case 1. $X = Y$. In this case,

$$\text{ch}_1(E_*) = (-1)^i (\text{ch}_1(E_i) - \text{ch}_1(E_{i+1})).$$

We use the equality

$$\text{ch}_1(E_j) = c_1(E_j) = c_1\left(\bigwedge^r E_j\right) = \text{ch}_1\left(\bigwedge^r E_j\right),$$

where $c_1(E)$ denotes the first Chern class of E (see Fulton [4, Remark 3.2.3]). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_1(E_*)(\alpha) &= (-1)^i (c_1(L_i) - c_1(L_{i+1}))(\alpha) = (-1)^i c_1(L_i \otimes L_{i+1}^{-1})(\alpha) \\ &= (-1)^i c_1(\mathcal{O}(D))(\alpha) = G(E_*) \cap \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $X \neq Y$. In this case X is a proper subset of Y , and, since $\text{ch}_1(E_\star)[Y]$ is a cycle of codimension 1, we can localize and assume that $Y = \text{Spec } R$, where R is a local domain of dimension 1 and X is the closed point of Y . By normalizing, we can then assume that R is a discrete valuation ring; let t generate its maximal ideal. Then the complex E_\star decomposes into a sum of complexes $\cdots 0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{t^m} R \rightarrow 0$, and it suffices to prove the result in this case. However, in this case both sides can easily be computed and we obtain

$$\text{ch}_1(E_\star)([Y]) = m[X] = G(E_\star) \cap [Y].$$

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $0 \rightarrow E'_\star \rightarrow E_\star \rightarrow E''_\star \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of perfect complexes of length 1 with $E'_j = E_j = E''_j = 0$ for $j \neq i, i+1$. Then $G(E_\star) = G(E'_\star) + G(E''_\star)$.*

PROOF. Let r' , r , and r'' be the ranks of E'_i , E_i , and E''_i respectively. We then have $r = r' + r''$, and

$$\wedge^r E_j \cong \wedge^{r'} E'_j \otimes \wedge^{r''} E''_j$$

or

$$L_j \cong L'_j \otimes L''_j \quad \text{for } j = i, i+1.$$

Furthermore, the embedding $L_{i+1} \rightarrow L_i$ factors as follows:

$$L_{i+1} \cong L'_{i+1} \otimes L''_{i+1} \rightarrow L'_{i+1} \otimes L''_i \rightarrow L'_i \otimes L''_i \cong L_i.$$

The corresponding Cartier divisors of these factors are $G(E''_\star)$ and $G(E'_\star)$ respectively, so the assertion follows.

Now that $G(E_\star)$ has been defined for complexes of length 1, the general case can be defined by approximating a general complex by complexes of length 1. More precisely, let $E_\star = 0 \rightarrow E_k \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_m \rightarrow 0$, so that k is the largest integer j for which $E_j \neq 0$, and let i be the smallest integer j for which $H_j(E_\star) \neq 0$. We define $G(E_\star)$ by induction on $k-i$.

It is impossible for $k-i$ to equal zero, since the support of E_\star contains no points of depth zero.

If $k-i=1$, we map a free module F_{k-1} to the kernel of d_{k-1} so that the map from F_{k-1} to $H_{k-1}(E_\star)$ is surjective. We then have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & F_{k-1} & & & \\ & & & \downarrow \phi & & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & E_k & \xrightarrow{d_k} & E_{k-1} & \rightarrow & E_{k-2} \rightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

Let $F_k = \phi^{-1}(\text{Im}(d_k))$. Since $H_{k-1}(E_\star)$ has a resolution by locally free sheaves which stops in degree k , we deduce that F_\star is locally free, and we define $G(E_\star) = G(F_\star)$, where F_\star is the complex $0 \rightarrow F_k \rightarrow F_{k-1} \rightarrow 0$.

If $k-i > 1$, we map a locally free sheaf F_i to $\text{Ker } d_i$ so that it induces a surjection onto $H_i(E_\star)$ as above. If the rank of F_i is r , we can find another locally

free sheaf F_{i+1} of rank r so that we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & F_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{f} & F_i & & \\ & & \downarrow \phi_{i+1} & & \downarrow \phi_i & & \\ \cdots & \rightarrow & E_{i+2} & \rightarrow & E_{i+1} & \rightarrow & E_i \rightarrow E_{i-1} \rightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

In addition, if T is any finite subset of Y disjoint from X and containing all points of depth zero, we can assume that $\text{Supp}(\text{Coker } f) \cap T$ is empty. Let C_* be the mapping cone of $\phi: F_* \rightarrow E_*$. From the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_j(F_*) \rightarrow H_j(E_*) \rightarrow H_j(C_*) \rightarrow H_{j-1}(F_*) \rightarrow \cdots$$

and the surjectivity of $H_i(F_*) \rightarrow H_i(E_*)$ we deduce that $H_j(C_*) = 0$ for $j \leq i$. Furthermore, since $i - k \geq 2$, $C_j = 0$ for $j > k$. Hence, by induction, we have defined $G(C_*)$. Let $G(E_*) = G(C_*) + G(F_*)$.

There are a number of choices in this definition, but the next theorem shows that the result is independent of these choices.

THEOREM 1. (a) $G(E_*)$ is independent of the choices of complexes $F_{i+1} \rightarrow F_i$ and maps into E_* used in the construction.

(b) If $0 \rightarrow E'_* \rightarrow E_* \rightarrow E''_* \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of perfect complexes, then $G(E_*) = G(E'_*) + G(E''_*)$.

PROOF. We prove both of these assertions by induction on $k - i$, where k and i are defined as above for (a), while for (b) we let $k = \sup\{j \mid E_j, E'_j, \text{ or } E''_j \neq 0\}$ and $i = \inf\{j \mid H_j(E_*), H_j(E'_*), \text{ or } H_j(E''_*) \neq 0\}$.

As before, the lowest value of $k - i$ which must be considered is $k - i = 1$.

To prove (a) in this case, suppose that F_{k-1} and G_{k-1} are surjective maps of locally free sheaves into $\text{Ker}(d_{k-1})$ which induce surjections onto $H_{k-1}(E_*)$. Replacing F_{k-1} with $F_{k-1} \oplus G_{k-1}$, we can assume that there is a map f_{k-1} from F_{k-1} onto G_{k-1} . The map f_{k-1} will induce a map f_* on kernels, and we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f_k) & \rightarrow & F_k & \xrightarrow{f_k} & G_k & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & \wr \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f_{k-1}) & \rightarrow & F_{k-1} & \xrightarrow{f_{k-1}} & G_{k-1} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & H_{k-1}(E_*) & = & H_{k-1}(E_*) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns. Statement (a) now follows from Proposition 2 applied to the short exact sequence of complexes in the top two rows.

To prove (b) in this case, we note that we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{k-1}(E'_*) \rightarrow H_{k-1}(E_*) \rightarrow H_{k-1}(E''_*) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let F'_{k-1} be a locally free sheaf mapping onto $H_{k-1}(E'_*)$, and let F''_{k-1} map onto $H_{k-1}(E''_*)$. We form the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 \rightarrow & F'_k & \rightarrow & F_k & \rightarrow & F''_k & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 \rightarrow & F'_{k-1} & \rightarrow & F'_{k-1} \oplus F''_{k-1} & \rightarrow & F''_{k-1} & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 \rightarrow & H_{k-1}(E'_*) & \rightarrow & H_{k-1}(E_*) & \rightarrow & H_{k-1}(E''_*) & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \end{array}$$

where the top row consists of the kernels of the vertical maps. From (a), we can use these complexes to compute $G(E'_*)$, $G(E_*)$, and $G(E''_*)$, and (b) now follows from Proposition 2.

We now prove (a) by induction, assuming that $k - i = s \geq 2$ and that (a) and (b) hold whenever $k - i < s$. Let $F_* \rightarrow E_*$ and $G_* \rightarrow E_*$ be two maps of complexes of length 1 to E_* as in the above construction. We then have a map $F_* \oplus G_* \rightarrow E_*$ of the same type, and it suffices to compare the definition of $G(E_*)$ by means of $F_* \oplus G_*$ with that by means of G_* . Denote C_*^F the mapping cone of $F_* \rightarrow E_*$ and $C_*^{F \oplus G}$ the mapping cone of $F_* \oplus G_* \rightarrow E_*$. We have exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow F_* \rightarrow F_* \oplus G_* \rightarrow G_* \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow C_*^G \rightarrow C_*^{F \oplus G} \rightarrow F_*[-1] \rightarrow 0.$$

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} G(E_*) \text{ (computed from } G_*) &= G(C_*^G) + G(G_*) \\ &= (G(C_*^{F \oplus G}) - G(F_*[-1])) + (G(F_* \oplus G_*) - G(F_*)) \\ &\quad \text{(by induction and Proposition 2)} \\ &= G(C_*^{F \oplus G}) + G(F_* \oplus G_*). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (a).

We now prove (b), assuming that (a) holds for $k - i \leq s$ and that (b) holds for $k - i < s$. Take complexes $F'_* \rightarrow E'_*$ and $F''_* \rightarrow E''_*$ as in the construction of $G(E'_*)$ and $G(E''_*)$. Since $H_{i-1}(E'_*) = 0$, the map from $H_i(E'_*)$ to $H_i(E''_*)$ is surjective, and the composition $F''_* \rightarrow E''_* \rightarrow E'_*$ can be used to define $G(E'_*)$ (this uses assertion (a) for $k - i = s$). We now take the diagram of maps of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow & F'_* & \rightarrow & F'_* \oplus F''_* & \rightarrow & F''_* & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 \rightarrow & E'_* & \rightarrow & E_* & \rightarrow & E''_* & \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

If C'_* , C_* , and C''_* are the respective mapping cones, there is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C'_* \rightarrow C_* \rightarrow C''_* \rightarrow 0$. The result now follows from the induction hypothesis applied to this sequence and from Proposition 2.

The uniqueness of $G(E_*)$ is needed in the next result.

THEOREM 2. *Let E_* be a perfect complex on Y with support X . If $f: Y' \rightarrow Y$ is any map of finite type, and if $\alpha \in A_n(Y')$, then $\text{ch}_1(E_*)(\alpha) = G(E_*) \cap \alpha$ in $A_{n-1}(f^{-1}(X))$.*

PROOF. As before, we can assume that Y' is an integral scheme of dimension n and that $\alpha = [Y']$. The proof is by induction on $k - i$, where, as above, $k = \sup\{j \mid E_j \neq 0\}$ and $i = \inf\{j \mid H_j(E_*) \neq 0\}$. If $k - i = 1$, then the construction of $G(E_*)$ gives a quasi-isomorphism $F_* \rightarrow E_*$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_1(E_*)(\alpha) &= \text{ch}_1(F_*)(\alpha) \\ &= G(F_*) \cap \alpha \quad \text{by Proposition 1} \\ &= G(E_*) \cap \alpha \quad \text{by definition.} \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that $k - i > 1$.

Case 1. The function f maps Y' into X . Let $F_* \rightarrow E_*$ be as in the inductive construction of $G(E_*)$. The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f^*(E_*) \rightarrow f^*(C_*) \rightarrow f^*(F_*[-1]) \rightarrow 0$$

gives the equation

$$\text{ch}_1(E_*)[Y'] = \text{ch}_1(C_*)[Y'] + \text{ch}_1(F_*)[Y']$$

in $A_{n-1}(Y')$. By definition, we have $G(E_*) = G(C_*) + G(F_*)$, so that

$$G(E_*) \cap [Y'] = G(C_*) \cap [Y'] + G(F_*) \cap [Y']$$

in $A_{n-1}(Y')$. By induction, the result is true for C_* and F_* , so it is true for E_* . Since f maps Y' into X , $Y' = f^{-1}(X)$, and this proves the result.

Case 2. Suppose now that $f(Y') \not\subseteq X$. Let y be the generic point of $\overline{f(Y')}$ in the scheme Y . Since $y \notin X$, in the inductive construction of $G(E_*)$, we can assume that $y \notin \text{Supp}(F_*)$. Let $\bar{X} = \text{Supp}(F_*) \cup X$. Then, using the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_* \rightarrow C_* \rightarrow F_*[-1] \rightarrow 0,$$

we have that

$$\text{ch}_1(E_*)[Y'] = \text{ch}_1(C_*)[Y'] + \text{ch}_1(F_*)[Y']$$

in $A_{n-1}(f^{-1}(\bar{X}))$. Since $y \notin \text{Supp}(F_*)$, $f^{-1}(\bar{X})$ is a proper closed subset of Y' , and any integral subscheme of $f^{-1}(\bar{X})$ of dimension $n - 1$ is a component. Hence the coefficients of each component in $\text{ch}_1(E_*)[Y']$ and $G(E_*) \cap [Y']$ must be equal, and, since we could exclude any component not lying in $f^{-1}(X)$ in the same way as we excluded Y' , the coefficients of these components must be zero. Hence

$$\text{ch}_1(E_*)[Y'] = G(E_*) \cap [Y'] \quad \text{in } A_{n-1}(f^{-1}(X))$$

as required.

We now use Theorem 2 to show that $\text{ch}_1(E_*)$ vanishes if the codimension of X is greater than 1. However, we first show that $G(E_*)$ may itself not vanish in this case.

EXAMPLE. Let $Y = \operatorname{Spec} R$, where $R = K[[X, Y, S, T]]/(X, Y) \cap (S, T)$. Let E_* be the complex

$$R \xrightarrow{(\begin{smallmatrix} Y & -T \\ X+S & \end{smallmatrix})} R^2 \xrightarrow{(X+\alpha S, Y+\alpha T)} R$$

where $\alpha \neq 0, 1$ is an element of K . Then $G(E_*)$ is defined by $(X + \alpha S)/(X + S)$, which is not a unit in R . However, the support of E_* has codimension two. It can be verified directly that $\operatorname{ch}_1(E_*) = 0$ in this case; it also follows from the third case of the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. Let E_* be a perfect complex on Y with support X . Assume that one of the following three conditions holds:

(a) $Y = \operatorname{Spec} R$, where R is a local ring, E_* is the resolution of an R -module M , and $\dim R - \dim M \geq 2$.

(b) Y is normal, and $\dim Y - \dim X \geq 2$.

(c) For every component Z of Y , $\dim Z - \dim(X \cap Z) \geq 2$.

Then $\operatorname{ch}_1(E_*) = 0$.

PROOF. In case (a), MacRae [6, Proposition 5.2] shows that $G(E_*)$ is defined by a principal ideal of R . Hence, if $G(E_*)$ is a proper ideal, the codimension of the support of M is at most one. Thus $G(E_*)$ is trivial, so, by Theorem 2, $\operatorname{ch}_1(E_*) = 0$.

In case (b), $G(E_*)$ is given locally by a quotient x/y , for x and y in R , where R is an integrally closed domain, and $\operatorname{Spec} R$ is part of an affine cover of Y . Since R is integrally closed and x/y is not a unit in R , then the support of x/y has codimension at most one, and the conclusion follows as in part (a).

To prove (c), let $f: Y' \rightarrow Y$ be a map of schemes, where, as before, we can assume that Y' is an integral scheme of dimension n and we wish to find $\operatorname{ch}_1(E_*)[Y']$. Since Y' is integral, it must map into a component of Y , and, replacing Y by this component, we can assume that Y is integral as well. Let \tilde{Y} be the normalization of Y , and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y} \times_Y Y' & \rightarrow & \tilde{Y} \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

Since $\tilde{Y} \times_Y Y' \rightarrow Y'$ is finite and surjective, there is an integral subscheme \tilde{Y}' of $\tilde{Y} \times_Y Y'$ of dimension n such that $g_*([\tilde{Y}']) = m[Y']$ for some positive integer m . Then

$$\begin{aligned} m(\operatorname{ch}_1(E_*)[Y']) &= \operatorname{ch}_1(E_*)(g_*([\tilde{Y}'])) = g_* \operatorname{ch}_1(E_*)([\tilde{Y}']) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{by part (b).} \end{aligned}$$

Since we are using the Chow groups with rational coefficients, this implies that $\operatorname{ch}_1(E_*) = 0$, as was to be proven.

REFERENCES

1. M. Borelli, *Some results on ampleness and divisorial schemes*, Pacific J. Math. **23** (1967), 217–227.
2. S. Dutta, M. Hochster and J. E. McLaughlin, *Modules of finite projective dimension with negative intersection multiplicities*, Invent. Math. **79** (1985), 253–291.

3. H.-B. Foxby, *The MacRae invariant*, Commutative Algebra (Durham, 1981), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, no. 72, 1982, pp. 121–128.
4. W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1984.
5. A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 4 (1960).
6. R. E. MacRae, *On an application of the Fitting invariants*, J. Algebra **2** (1965), 153–169.
7. P. Roberts, *Local Chern characters and intersection multiplicites*, Proc. AMS Conf. Algebraic Geometry, (Bowdoin, Maine, 1985) (to appear).
8. B. Iversen, *On determinants of complexes*, Aarhus Univ. Preprint Series no. 4, 1979/80.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84112