

## A BILINEAR FORM FOR SPIN MANIFOLDS

PETER S. LANDWEBER AND ROBERT E. STONG

**ABSTRACT.** This paper studies the bilinear form on  $H^j(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  defined by  $[x, y] = x \text{Sq}^2 y[M]$  when  $M$  is a closed Spin manifold of dimension  $2j + 2$ . In analogy with the work of Lusztig, Milnor, and Peterson for oriented manifolds, the rank of this form on integral classes gives rise to a cobordism invariant.

**1. Introduction.** If  $M^{2j+2}$  is a closed Spin manifold of dimension  $2j + 2$  one has a symmetric bilinear form

$$[\ , \ ]: H^j(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes H^j(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2: [x, y] = x \text{Sq}^2 y[M].$$

To see that this form is symmetric, one uses the identity

$$\begin{aligned} (x \text{Sq}^2 y + y \text{Sq}^2 x)[M] &= (\text{Sq}^2(xy) + \text{Sq}^1 x \text{Sq}^1 y)[M] \\ &= (v_2 xy + v_1 x \text{Sq}^1 y)[M] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $v_i$  denotes the  $i$ th Wu class of  $M$  and  $v_1 = 0 = v_2$  for Spin manifolds.

The main result of this paper is

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** *For a closed Spin manifold  $M^{8k+2}$  of dimension  $8k + 2$  and class  $z \in H^{4k}(M; \mathbb{Z})$*

$$\rho z \text{Sq}^2 \rho z[M] = \rho z \text{Sq}^2 v_{4k}[M]$$

where  $\rho$  is the mod 2 reduction and  $v_{4k}$  is the  $4k$ th Wu class of  $M$ .

This result arose in answering a question of Edward Witten, who wished to know the structure of  $\Omega_{11}^{\text{Spin}}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 4))$ . In the process this formula was seen to hold for ten dimensional manifolds.

Considering  $[\ , \ ]$  as defining a form on integral cohomology via  $\rho$ , one then has

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *For a closed Spin manifold  $M^{8k+2}$  of dimension  $8k + 2$*

$$w_4 w_{8k-2}[M] = v_{4k} \text{Sq}^2 v_{4k}[M]$$

is the rank modulo 2 of the form  $[\ , \ ]$  on integral cohomology.

*Note.* Here, the rank of the form is the dimension as  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  vector space of  $H^{4k}(M; \mathbb{Z})$  modulo the annihilator of the form.

---

Received by the editors March 5, 1986 and, in revised form, April 29, 1986.  
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 57R20, 57R90.

Of course, these results are completely analogous to the work of Lusztig, Milnor, and Peterson [LMP], or originally Browder [B1], on the form  $(x, y) = x \operatorname{Sq}^1 y[M]$  for oriented manifolds of dimension  $4k + 1$ . The proofs are, unfortunately, rather more complicated, and involve the calculation of the Spin bordism of Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces just outside the stable range. As a sidelight, this work helps to explain the work of Wilson [W] on the vanishing of Stiefel-Whitney classes in Spin manifolds. Knowledge of the form gives

**COROLLARY 1.3.** *For a closed Spin manifold  $M^{8k+2}$  of dimension  $8k + 2$ , the Stiefel-Whitney class  $\operatorname{Sq}^3 v_{4k}$  is zero.*

In §2, the proof is begun by showing that there is a class  $\theta \in H^*(B\operatorname{Spin}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  for which  $\rho z \operatorname{Sq}^2 \rho z[M] = \rho z \cdot \tau^*(\theta)[M]$ . In §3, the elementary properties of  $\theta$  are described, and in the following section,  $\theta$  is shown to be unique by a nasty calculation. §5 then collects the main results, and the final section contains an extension to mod 4 cohomology suggested by Steven Kahn.

The authors are indebted to Edward Witten, whose questions about the Spin bordism of Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces led to this work; to Steven Kahn, whose suggestions led to an extension of the results; and to the National Science Foundation for financial support during this work.

**2. Spin bordism of Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces.** The basic tool for analyzing the form  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is given by

**LEMMA 2.1.** *There are exact sequences*

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \pi_{r+i+1}(M\operatorname{Spin} \wedge X_r) &\rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_{i+j}^{\operatorname{Spin}}(K(\mathbb{Z}, j)) \\ &\rightarrow H_i(B\operatorname{Spin}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \pi_{r+i}(M\operatorname{Spin} \wedge X_r) \rightarrow \cdots, \\ \cdots \rightarrow \pi_{r+i+1}(M\operatorname{Spin} \wedge Y_r) &\rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_{i+j}^{\operatorname{Spin}}(K(\mathbb{Z}_2, j)) \\ &\rightarrow H_i(B\operatorname{Spin}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \pi_{r+i}(M\operatorname{Spin} \wedge Y_r) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

for  $r$  large.

**PROOF.** One considers the cofibration  $\Sigma^{r-j}K(\pi, j) \xrightarrow{g} K(\pi, r) \rightarrow W_r$  with  $\pi = \mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  ( $W_r = X_r$  or  $Y_r$ , respectively) and  $r$  large, and applies reduced Spin bordism. Of course,  $\tilde{\Omega}_{r+i}^{\operatorname{Spin}}(W_r) = \pi_{r+i}(M\operatorname{Spin} \wedge W_r)$  by interpreting Spin bordism as the homotopy of a spectrum, and  $\tilde{\Omega}_{r+i}^{\operatorname{Spin}}(\Sigma^{r-j}K(\pi, j)) = \tilde{\Omega}_{i+j}^{\operatorname{Spin}}(K(\pi, j))$  using the suspension isomorphism. Finally, for  $r$  and  $s$  large

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Omega}_{r+i}^{\operatorname{Spin}}(K(\pi, r)) &= \pi_{8s+r+i}(M\operatorname{Spin}_{8s} \wedge K(\pi, r)) = \tilde{H}_{8s+i}(M\operatorname{Spin}_{8s}; \pi) \\ &= H_i(B\operatorname{Spin}_{8s}; \pi) = H_i(B\operatorname{Spin}; \pi). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $g$  is intended to be the map for which  $g^*i_r = \sigma^{r-j}i_j$  with  $\sigma$  denoting suspension and  $i_r \in H^r(K(\pi, r); \pi)$  being the fundamental class.  $\square$

In order to analyze the 2-primary part of  $\pi_{r+i}(M\operatorname{Spin} \wedge W_r)$ ,  $W_r = X_r$  or  $Y_r$ , one uses mod 2 cohomology. Heavy use will be made of the structure of  $M\operatorname{Spin}$ , as described by Anderson, Brown, and Peterson [ABP]. In particular,

$$\tilde{H}^*(M\operatorname{Spin}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A} \operatorname{Sq}^1 + \mathcal{A} \operatorname{Sq}^2)U + (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A} \operatorname{Sq}^1 + \mathcal{A} \operatorname{Sq}^2)w_4^2U$$

plus terms of higher dimension, where  $U$  is the Thom class,  $w_4 \in H^*(B\text{Spin}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is the universal Stiefel-Whitney class, and  $\mathcal{A}$  denotes the mod 2 Steenrod algebra. One then needs to know the structure of  $\tilde{H}^*(W_r; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  as a module over  $\mathcal{A}_1$ , the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $\text{Sq}^1$  and  $\text{Sq}^2$ , which is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \text{Sq}^1 & \text{Sq}^2 & \text{Sq}^3, \text{Sq}^2\text{Sq}^1 & \text{Sq}^3\text{Sq}^1 & \text{Sq}^5 + \text{Sq}^4\text{Sq}^1 & \text{Sq}^5\text{Sq}^1 \\ \dim 0 & \dim 1 & \dim 2 & \dim 3 & \dim 4 & \dim 5 & \dim 6 \end{array}$$

From the cofibration

$$\Sigma^{r-j}K(\pi, j) \xrightarrow{g} K(\pi, r) \xrightarrow{h} W_r$$

one has an exact sequence

$$\tilde{H}^*(\Sigma^{r-j}K(\pi, j); \mathbb{Z}_2) \xleftarrow{g^*} \tilde{H}^*(K(\pi, r); \mathbb{Z}_2) \xleftarrow{h^*} \tilde{H}^*(W_r; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{\delta} \uparrow$

Within the stable range,  $\tilde{H}^*(K(\pi, r); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  has a basis given by the classes  $\text{Sq}^K i_r = \text{Sq}^{k_1} \cdots \text{Sq}^{k_s} i_r$  where  $K = (k_1, \dots, k_s)$  is an admissible sequence ( $k_i \geq 2k_{i+1}$ ) and, for  $\pi = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k_s > 1$ . One knows that  $H^*(K(\pi, j); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is the polynomial ring over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  on the classes  $\text{Sq}^K i_j$  where  $K$  is admissible, has  $k_s > 1$  if  $\pi = \mathbb{Z}$ , and has excess  $e(K) = (k_1 - 2k_2) + (k_2 - 2k_3) + \cdots + (k_{s-1} - 2k_s) + k_s$  less than  $j$ . If  $e(K) = j$ ,  $\text{Sq}^K i_j = (\text{Sq}^{K'} i_j)^{2^t}$  for some  $K'$  and  $t$ . Since

$$g^*(\text{Sq}^K i_r) = \text{Sq}^K g^*(i_r) = \text{Sq}^K \sigma^{r-j} i_j = \sigma^{r-j} \text{Sq}^K i_j,$$

one can readily analyze the kernel and cokernel of  $g^*$ . The kernel of  $g^*$  has a basis given by the classes  $\text{Sq}^K i_r$  with  $e(K) > j$ , and the cokernel of  $g^*$  is given by classes  $\sigma^{r-j}(\text{Sq}^{k_1} i_j \cdots \text{Sq}^{k_t} i_j)$  with  $t > 1$ , modulo the classes  $\sigma^{r-j}((\text{Sq}^{K'} i_j)^{2^t})$ .

As a special case, one can then consider  $\pi = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $j = 4k$ , and write down  $\tilde{H}^*(X_r; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  in low dimensions. There is a basis given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(r + 4k + 1) & \quad \{\text{Sq}^{4k+1} i_r\}, \\ \dim(r + 4k + 2) & \quad \{\text{Sq}^{4k+2} i_r\}, \\ \dim(r + 4k + 3) & \quad \{\text{Sq}^{4k+3} i_r\}, \delta \sigma^{r-4k} i_{4k} \text{Sq}^2 i_{4k}, \\ \dim(r + 4k + 4) & \quad \{\text{Sq}^{4k+4} i_r\}, \{\text{Sq}^{4k+3} \text{Sq}^2 i_r\}, \delta \sigma^{r-4k} i_{4k} \text{Sq}^3 i_{4k} \end{aligned}$$

and terms of higher degree. Here  $\{x\}$  denotes a class mapping by  $h^*$  to  $x$ , i.e.  $h^*(\{x\}) = x$ .

Being interested in the action of  $\mathcal{A}_1$ , one needs the Adem relations

$$\text{Sq}^1 \text{Sq}^b = \begin{cases} \text{Sq}^{b+1}, & b \text{ even } > 0, \\ 0, & b \text{ odd}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^b = \begin{cases} \text{Sq}^{b+2} + \text{Sq}^{b+1} \text{Sq}^1, & b \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}, (b > 1), \\ \text{Sq}^{b+1} \text{Sq}^1, & b \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

Then one has  $\text{Sq}^1\{\text{Sq}^{4k+1}i_r\} = 0$ ,  $\text{Sq}^1\{\text{Sq}^{4k+2}i_r\} = \{\text{Sq}^{4k+3}i_r\}$ , i.e.,  $\text{Sq}^1\{\text{Sq}^{4k+2}i_r\}$  is a class which maps to  $\text{Sq}^{4k+3}i_r$  and  $\{\text{Sq}^{4k+3}i_r\}$  may be *chosen* to be  $\text{Sq}^1$  on the lower class, and

$$\text{Sq}^1\delta\sigma^{r-4k}i_{4k}\text{Sq}^2i_{4k} = \delta\sigma^{r-4k}i_{4k}\text{Sq}^3i_{4k}.$$

Also,  $\text{Sq}^2\text{Sq}^{4k+1}i_r = 0$ , so there is a  $\mu \in Z_2$  for which

$$\text{Sq}^2\{\text{Sq}^{4k+1}i_r\} = \mu\delta\sigma^{r-4k}i_{4k}\text{Sq}^2i_{4k}.$$

*Claim.*  $\mu \neq 0$ . To verify this, one may consider the effect of the assumption that  $\mu = 0$ . To begin, one notices that rationally  $\tilde{\Omega}_{8k}^{\text{Spin}}(K(Z, 4k))$  has a nonzero class detected by  $i_{4k}^2$  which goes to zero in  $\tilde{\Omega}_{r+4k}^{\text{Spin}}(K(Z, r))$ , and so  $\pi_{r+4k+1}(M\text{Spin} \wedge X_r) = Z + \text{torsion}$ . One may then find a map

$$F \rightarrow M\text{Spin}_{8s} \wedge X_r \xrightarrow{a} K(Z, 8s + r + 4k + 1) \times K(Z_2, 8s + r + 4k + 2)$$

with  $F$  being the fiber, so that

$$a^*(i_{8s+r+4k+1}) = U \cdot \{\text{Sq}^{4k+1}i_r\}, \quad a^*(i_{8s+r+4k+2}) = U \cdot \{\text{Sq}^{4k+2}i_r\}.$$

There must then be a class  $b \in H^{8s+r+4k+2}(F, Z_2)$  transgressing to kill  $\text{Sq}^2i_{8s+r+4k+1}$ , with  $\text{Sq}^1b$  transgressing to  $\text{Sq}^3i_{8s+r+4k+1}$ . Thus  $\pi_{8s+r+4k+2}(F) \cong Z_2$  and

$$\pi_{r+1}(M\text{Spin} \wedge X_r) = \begin{cases} Z, & i = 4k + 1, \\ \text{order } 4, & i = 4k + 2, \end{cases}$$

modulo odd torsion.

If one now considers the case  $k = 1$ , one has the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{\Omega}_{10}^{\text{Spin}}(K(Z, 4)) & \xrightarrow{b} & H_6(B\text{Spin}; Z) & \rightarrow & \pi_{r+6}(M\text{Spin} \wedge X_r) \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \\ Z_2 + Z_2 & & Z_2 & & \\ & \rightarrow & \tilde{\Omega}_9^{\text{Spin}}(K(Z, 4)) & \rightarrow & H_5(B\text{Spin}; Z) \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ & & Z_2 & & 0 \end{array}$$

in which the groups  $\tilde{\Omega}_*^{\text{Spin}}(K(Z, 4))$  are known from [S]. Here  $b$  is epic; there is a closed Spin manifold  $M^{10}$  and integral class  $z \in H^4(M; Z)$  reducing to  $w_4$  for which  $w_6\rho z[M] = w_6w_4[M] \neq 0$ . (Note. A specific example of such a manifold is given in [F, p. 218].) Thus  $\pi_{r+6}(M\text{Spin} \wedge X_r) = Z_2$ , and so  $\mu = 1$  when  $k = 1$ .

One then has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} HP^\infty \wedge \Sigma^{r-4k}K(Z, 4) & \rightarrow & HP^\infty \wedge K(Z, r - 4k + 4) & \rightarrow & HP^\infty \wedge X_r \quad (k' = 1) \\ \downarrow \Sigma c & & \downarrow c & & \downarrow d \\ \Sigma^{r-4k}K(Z, 4k) & \rightarrow & K(Z, r) & \xrightarrow{e} & X_r \end{array}$$

in which  $c^*(i_r) = u^{k-1}i_{r-4k+4}$ ,  $u \in H^4(HP^\infty; Z) = Z$  being a generator, with  $\Sigma c$  being obtained by suspending the similar map, and with  $d$  being the induced map on cofibers.

$$\begin{aligned} c^*e^*\{\text{Sq}^{4k+1}i_r\} &= \text{Sq}^{4k+1}c^*(i_r) \\ &= u^{2k-2}\text{Sq}^5i_{r-4k+4} + \text{terms with smaller powers of } u, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$d^*\{\mathrm{Sq}^{4k+1}i_{r-4k+4}\} = u^{2k-2}\{\mathrm{Sq}^5i_{r-4k+4}\} \\ + \text{terms with smaller powers of } u.$$

Since  $\mathrm{Sq}^2 u = 0 = \mathrm{Sq}^1 u$ , this gives

$$d^*(\mathrm{Sq}^2\{\mathrm{Sq}^{4k+1}i_r\}) = u^{2k-2}\mathrm{Sq}^2\{\mathrm{Sq}^5i_{r-4k+4}\} \\ + \text{terms with smaller powers of } u.$$

Thus  $\mathrm{Sq}^2\{\mathrm{Sq}^{4k+1}i_r\} \neq 0$ , and hence  $\mu \neq 0$  for all  $k$ , completing the proof of the claim.

LEMMA 2.2. *There is a class  $\theta \in H^{4k+2}(B\mathrm{Spin}; Z_2)$  for which*

$$\rho z \mathrm{Sq}^2 \rho z[M] = \tau^*(\theta) \rho z[M]$$

*for all  $\mathrm{Spin} M^{8k+2}$  and  $z \in H^{4k}(M; Z)$ , where  $\tau: M \rightarrow B\mathrm{Spin}$  classifies the tangent bundle.*

PROOF. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_{r+4k+3}(M\mathrm{Spin} \wedge X_r) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \tilde{\Omega}_{8k+2}^{\mathrm{Spin}}(K(Z, 4k)) & \rightarrow & H_{4k+2}(B\mathrm{Spin}; Z) \\ & & \downarrow \phi & & \\ & & Z_2 & & \end{array}$$

where  $\phi$  assigns to  $f: M^{8k+2} \rightarrow K(Z, 4k)$  the characteristic number  $f^*(i_{4k}) \cdot \mathrm{Sq}^2 f^*(i_{4k})[M^{8k+2}]$ . Then  $\phi \circ \partial(\alpha)$  is the value on  $\alpha$  of the characteristic number  $U \cdot \delta \sigma^{r-4k} i_{4k} \mathrm{Sq}^2 i_{4k} = \mathrm{Sq}^2(U \cdot \{\mathrm{Sq}^{4k+1}i_r\})$  and cohomology classes of this form vanish on homotopy ( $\mathrm{Sq}^i$  is zero in a sphere), so  $\phi$  is zero on the image of  $\partial$ .

Now  $H_{4k+2}(B\mathrm{Spin}; Z)$  is a  $Z_2$  vector space and sits inside  $H_{4k+2}(B\mathrm{Spin}; Z_2)$ , so there is a homomorphism  $\psi: H_{4k+2}(B\mathrm{Spin}; Z_2) \rightarrow Z_2$  or equivalently class  $\theta \in H^{4k+2}(B\mathrm{Spin}; Z_2)$  for which  $\psi$  restricts to  $\phi$  on the image of  $\tilde{\Omega}_{8k+2}^{\mathrm{Spin}}(K(Z, 4k))$ . Now for  $z \in H^{4k}(M; Z)$ ,  $\psi(\tau_*([M] \cap \rho z)) = \tau^*(\theta) \rho z[M]$  then gives  $\phi$  on the class of  $(M, z)$ , i.e.,  $\rho z \mathrm{Sq}^2 \rho z[M]$ .  $\square$

Notice that the proof of the proposition has now been reduced to the identification of the class  $\theta$ . This will require more work.

**3. Describing  $\theta$ .** From the previous section one knows that there is a class  $\theta$  in  $H^{4k+2}(B\mathrm{Spin}; Z_2)$  so that  $\tau^*(\theta) \rho z[M] = \rho z \mathrm{Sq}^2 \rho z[M]$  for all  $M$  and  $z$ . One now wishes to find this class.

LEMMA 3.1. *The class  $\theta$  is only well defined in*

$$H^{4k+2}(B\mathrm{Spin}; Z_2)/\mathrm{Sq}^1 H^{4k+1}(B\mathrm{Spin}; Z_2).$$

PROOF. For  $\eta \in H^{4k+1}(B\mathrm{Spin}; Z_2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^*(\theta + \mathrm{Sq}^1 \eta) \rho z[M] &= \tau^*(\theta) \rho z[M] + (\mathrm{Sq}^1 \tau^*(\eta)) \cdot \rho z[M] \\ &= \rho z \mathrm{Sq}^2 \rho z[M] + (v_1 \tau^*(\eta) \rho z + \tau^*(\eta) \mathrm{Sq}^1 \rho z)[M] \\ &= \rho z \mathrm{Sq}^2 \rho z[M]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the class  $\theta + \mathrm{Sq}^1 \eta$  has the same property as does  $\theta$ .  $\square$

*Note.* This corresponds to the fact that  $\tilde{\Omega}_{8k+2}^{\text{Spin}}(K(Z, 4k))$  maps into

$$H_{4k+2}(B\text{Spin}; Z) \subset H_{4k+2}(B\text{Spin}; Z_2),$$

with the classes in the image of  $\text{Sq}^1$  vanishing on integral homology.

**LEMMA 3.2.**  $\theta$  is nonzero in  $H^{4k+2}(B\text{Spin}; Z_2)/\text{Sq}^1 H^{4k+1}(B\text{Spin}; Z_2)$ .

**PROOF.** It is sufficient to exhibit a manifold  $M^{8k+2}$  and integral class  $z \in H^{4k}(M; Z)$  for which  $\rho z \text{Sq}^2 \rho z[M] \neq 0$ . For this one lets  $M \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \times \mathbb{C}P^{4k}$  be the Milnor hypersurface dual to  $\alpha + \beta$ ,  $\alpha \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^2; Z)$  and  $\beta \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^{4k}; Z)$  being the generators, and lets  $z = \alpha\beta^{2k-1}$ , or more precisely, the pullback to  $M$ . This is a Spin manifold, and the desired number is nonzero.  $\square$

**LEMMA 3.3.**  $\text{Sq}^1 \theta \in H^{4k+3}(B\text{Spin}; Z_2)$  is a nonzero class with  $\tau^*(\text{Sq}^1 \theta) = 0$  in the cohomology of every closed Spin manifold of dimension  $8k + 2$ . Further,  $\theta \in H^{4k+2}(B\text{Spin}; Z_2)/\text{Sq}^1 H^{4k+1}(B\text{Spin}; Z_2)$  is determined by  $\text{Sq}^1 \theta$ .

**PROOF.** According to Anderson, Brown, and Peterson [ABP, Proposition 6.1]  $H(H^*(B\text{Spin}; Z_2), \text{Sq}^1) = Z_2[1 \cdot \text{Sq}^{2^j}, P_j]$  with  $i \geq 2$ ,  $j \neq 2^k$ , is a polynomial ring on generators of dimensions divisible by 4, so  $\text{Sq}^1$  maps

$$H^{4k+2}(B\text{Spin}; Z_2)/\text{Sq}^1 H^{4k+1}(B\text{Spin}; Z_2)$$

monomorphically into  $H^{4k+3}(B\text{Spin}; Z_2)$ .

For any closed Spin manifold  $M^{8k+2}$  and class  $w \in H^{4k-1}(M; Z_2)$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^*(\text{Sq}^1 \theta)_w[M] &= \text{Sq}^1 \tau^*(\theta)_w[M] \\ &= (v_1 \tau^*(\theta)_w + \tau^*(\theta) \text{Sq}^1 w)[M] = \tau^*(\theta) \rho \beta w[M] \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta: H^{4k-1}(M; Z_2) \rightarrow H^{4k}(M; Z)$  is the Bockstein. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^*(\text{Sq}^1 \theta)_w[M] &= \rho \beta w \text{Sq}^2 \rho \beta w[M] = \text{Sq}^1 w \cdot \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 w[M] \\ &= (v_1 w \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 w + w \cdot \text{Sq}^1 \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 w)[M] = w \cdot \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^2 w[M] \\ &= (v_2 \cdot w \text{Sq}^2 w + \text{Sq}^2 w \cdot \text{Sq}^2 w + \text{Sq}^1 w \cdot \text{Sq}^1 \text{Sq}^2 w)[M] \\ &= (v_{4k+1} \text{Sq}^2 w + v_1 (w \text{Sq}^1 \text{Sq}^2 w))[M] \end{aligned}$$

and  $v_1 = 0 = v_{4k+1}$  in  $M$ , so this is zero. By Poincaré duality, this gives  $\tau^*(\text{Sq}^1 \theta) = 0$ .  $\square$

*Note.* Because  $H^7(B\text{Spin}; Z_2) = Z_2$ , for  $k = 1$  one has  $\text{Sq}^1 \theta = w_7$ , and has Wilson's result [W] that  $w_7$  is zero in every 10 dimensional Spin manifold. Also  $w_7 = \text{Sq}^3 v_4$  and  $\theta = \text{Sq}^2 v_4 \in H^6(B\text{Spin}; Z_2) = Z_2$ .

**4. A calculation.** One now turns attention to the cofibration (for  $k \geq 2$ )

$$\Sigma^{r-4k} K(Z_2, 4k) \xrightarrow{g} K(Z_2, r) \xrightarrow{h} Y_r$$

with  $r$  large, and may write down  $\tilde{H}^*(Y_r; Z_2)$ . The kernel of  $g^*$  has a basis given by the classes  $\text{Sq}^I i_r$  with  $I$  admissible and having excess greater than  $4k$ , and writing  $\sigma$  for  $\sigma^{r-4k}$ ,  $i$  for  $i_{4k}$ , the kernel of  $h^*$  or image of  $\delta$  has a basis given by classes  $\delta \sigma \text{Sq}^{I_1} i \cdots \text{Sq}^{I_s} i$  for which the  $I_j$  are admissible, have excess less than  $4k$ , and for which  $s > 1$  and  $(I_1, \dots, I_s) \neq (J, \dots, J)$  with  $2^t J$ 's,  $t > 0$ ; i.e., not the  $2^t$ th power of an indecomposable.

In order to study  $\tilde{H}^*(M\text{Spin}_{8s} \wedge Y_r; Z_2)$ , one recalls that  $\tilde{H}^*(M\text{Spin}_{8s}; Z_2)$  is a free  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A} \text{Sq}^1 + \mathcal{A} \text{Sq}^2$  module on  $U$  and  $w_4^2 U$  in dimensions  $8s$  and  $8s + 8$  with additional generators in dimension  $8s + 10$  and higher. Here  $s$  is to be large.

Because  $\tilde{\Omega}_*^{\text{Spin}}(K(Z_2, 4k))$  and  $H_*(B\text{Spin}; Z_2)$  are purely 2-primary, so is  $\pi_*(M\text{Spin}_{8s} \wedge Y_r)$ . If one then examines the Bockstein spectral sequence for  $\tilde{H}^*(M\text{Spin}_{8s} \wedge Y_r; Z_2)$  (see [B2]), then

$$E_1 = \tilde{H}^*(M\text{Spin}_{8s} \wedge Y_r; Z_2), \quad d_1 = \text{Sq}^1$$

and  $E^\infty$  is zero since  $\tilde{H}^*(M\text{Spin}_{8s} \wedge Y_r; Z)$  consists entirely of torsion. Thus all classes in  $\ker \text{Sq}^1 / \text{im } \text{Sq}^1$  are related by higher order Bocksteins.

One may begin by finding a map

$$M\text{Spin}_{8s} \wedge Y_r \xrightarrow{f_1} K(Z_{2'}, 8s + r + 4k + 1)$$

for which

$$f_1^*(i_{8s+r+4k+1}) = U\{\text{Sq}^{4k+1} i_r\},$$

where  $\{x\}$  denotes some class with  $h^*\{x\} = x$ , and for which  $f_1^*(\beta i_{8s+r+4k+1})$ ,  $\beta$  being the Bockstein operation, is a nonzero class in the kernel of  $\text{Sq}^1$ . Of course, if  $t = 1$ ,  $\beta = \text{Sq}^1$ . Since  $\text{Sq}^1 \text{Sq}^{4k+2} i_r = \text{Sq}^{4k+3} i_r \neq 0$ , one must have  $f_1^*(\beta i_{8s+r+4k+1}) = U\delta\sigma i \text{Sq}^1 i$ .

LEMMA 4.1.  $t = 1$ .

PROOF. Clearly

$$Z_{2'} = \pi_{8s+r+4k+1}(M\text{Spin}_{8s} \wedge Y_r) \cong \pi_{8s+r+4k+1}(S^{8s} \wedge Y_r)$$

is the bottom stable homotopy group. Applying stable homotopy to the cofibration gives an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \pi_{8s+r+4k+1}(S^{8s} \wedge Y_r) & \rightarrow & \pi_{8s+r+4k}(S^{8s} \wedge \Sigma^{r-4k} K(Z_2, 4k)) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\ & & Z_{2'} & & \pi_{8k}^S(K(Z_2, 4k)) & & \end{array}$$

and according to Brown [B3, Lemma (1.2)], the stable homotopy group of  $K(Z_2, 4k)$  is  $Z_2$ .  $\square$

Because  $M\text{Spin}_{8s}$  is a product (corresponding to the decomposition of cohomology) there is also a map

$$M\text{Spin}_{8s} \wedge Y_r \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_1} K(Z_2, 8s + r + 4k + 9)$$

for which

$$\tilde{f}_1^*(i_{8s+r+4k+9}) = w_4^2 U\{\text{Sq}^{4k+1} i_r\}$$

and

$$\tilde{f}_1^*(\text{Sq}^1 i_{8s+r+4k+9}) = w_4^2 U\delta\sigma i \text{Sq}^1 i.$$

*Note.* This is the only class in the range up to dimension  $8s + r + 4k + 9$  involving the generator  $w_4^2 U$ .

One then has  $h^* f_1^*$  sending  $Sq^2 i_{8s+r+4k+1}$  to  $USq^{4k+2} Sq^1 i_r$ ,  $Sq^3 i_{8s+r+4k+1}$  to  $USq^{4k+3} Sq^1 i_r$  and  $Sq^2 Sq^3 i_{8s+r+4k+1}$  to  $USq^{4k+5} Sq^1 i_r$ . Also, under  $f_1^* Sq^2 Sq^1 i_{8s+r+4k+1}$  goes to  $U\delta\sigma i Sq^2 Sq^1 i + U\delta\sigma Sq^1 i Sq^2 i$ ,  $Sq^3 Sq^1 i_{8s+r+4k+1}$  goes to

$$U\delta\sigma Sq^1 i Sq^2 i + U\delta\sigma Sq^1 i Sq^2 Sq^1 i + U\delta\sigma i Sq^3 i Sq^1 i,$$

and  $Sq^2 Sq^3 Sq^1 i_{8s+r+4k+1}$  goes to

$$U\delta\sigma i Sq^5 Sq^1 i + U\delta\sigma Sq^2 i Sq^3 Sq^1 i + U\delta\sigma Sq^3 i Sq^2 Sq^1 i \\ + U\delta\sigma Sq^1 i Sq^5 i + U\delta\sigma Sq^1 i Sq^4 Sq^1 i.$$

Because the action of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $U$  gives a free  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}Sq^1 + \mathcal{A}Sq^2$  module, one then sees that  $f_1^*$  is monic.

One may now find maps  $f_2: MSpin_{8s} \wedge Y_r \rightarrow K(Z_2, 8s + r + 4k + 2)$  and  $f_3: MSpin_{8s} \wedge Y_r \rightarrow K(Z_2, 8s + r + 4k + 3)$  for which  $f_2^*(i_{8s+r+4k+2}) = U\{Sq^{4k+2} i_r\}$ , where  $\{Sq^{4k+2} i_r\}$  is some class mapping to  $Sq^{4k+2} i_r$  under  $h^*$  and  $f_3^*(i_{8s+r+4k+3}) = U\delta\sigma i Sq^2 i$ .

Now

$$h^* f_2^*(Sq^1 i_{8s+r+4k+2}) = USq^{4k+3} i_r$$

and

$$h^* f_2^*(Sq^2 i_{8s+r+4k+2}) = USq^{4k+3} Sq^1 i_r = h^* f_1^*(Sq^3 i_{8s+r+4k+1}).$$

Thus

$$f_1^*(Sq^3 i_{8s+r+4k+1}) + f_2^*(Sq^2 i_{8s+r+4k+2}) \\ = \lambda U\delta\sigma i Sq^3 i + \mu U\delta\sigma i Sq^2 Sq^1 i + \nu U\delta\sigma Sq^1 i Sq^2 i$$

for some  $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in Z_2$ . One now applies  $Sq^3$  to this relation, using the fact that  $Sq^3 Sq^2 = 0$  to obtain

$$U\delta\sigma i Sq^5 Sq^1 i + U\delta\sigma Sq^2 i Sq^3 Sq^1 i + U\delta\sigma Sq^3 i Sq^2 Sq^1 i \\ + U\delta\sigma Sq^1 i Sq^5 i + U\delta\sigma Sq^1 i Sq^4 Sq^1 i \\ = \lambda (U\delta\sigma Sq^1 i (Sq^5 + Sq^4 Sq^1) i + U\delta\sigma i Sq^5 Sq^1 i) \\ + \mu (U\delta\sigma Sq^3 i Sq^2 Sq^1 i + U\delta\sigma Sq^2 i Sq^3 Sq^1 i) \\ + \nu (U\delta\sigma Sq^2 i Sq^3 Sq^1 i + U\delta\sigma Sq^2 Sq^1 i Sq^3 i)$$

so  $\lambda = 1 = \mu + \nu$ . One also has

$$af_1^*(Sq^2 Sq^1 i_{8s+r+4k+1}) + bf_3^*(Sq^1 i_{8s+r+4k+3}) \\ = bU\delta\sigma i Sq^3 i + aU\delta\sigma i Sq^2 Sq^1 i + (a + b)U\delta\sigma Sq^1 i Sq^2 i$$

so that proper choice of  $a$  and  $b$  gives all possible  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  with  $\lambda + \mu + \nu = 0$ . Thus, one has a relation

$$(*) \quad f_1^*(Sq^3 i_{8s+r+4k+1} + \mu Sq^2 Sq^1 i_{8s+r+4k+1}) + f_2^*(Sq^2 i_{8s+r+4k+2}) \\ + f_3^*(Sq^1 i_{8s+r+4k+3}) = 0.$$



For convenience, one lets

$$\xi = \text{Sq}^3 i_{8s+r+4k+1} + \mu \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 i_{8s+r+4k+1} + \text{Sq}^2 i_{8s+r+4k+2} + \text{Sq}^1 i_{8s+r+4k+3}$$

in the cohomology of the product of Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces. One now continues to describe the homomorphism. Applying  $h^* f_2^*$  to  $\text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 i_{8s+r+4k+2}$  gives  $U \cdot \text{Sq}^{4k+5} i_r + U \cdot \text{Sq}^{4k+4} \text{Sq}^1 i_r$ , and all other operations  $\gamma i_{8s+r+4k+2}$  with  $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}_1$  actually lie in  $\mathcal{A}_1 \text{Sq}^2$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned}\xi &= \text{Sq}^2 i_{8s+r+4k+2} + \cdots, \\ \text{Sq}^1 \xi &= \text{Sq}^3 i_{8s+r+4k+2} + \cdots, \\ \text{Sq}^2 \xi &= \text{Sq}^3 \text{Sq}^1 i_{8s+r+4k+2} + \cdots, \\ \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 \xi &= (\text{Sq}^5 + \text{Sq}^4 \text{Sq}^1) i_{8s+r+4k+2} + \cdots, \\ \text{Sq}^3 \text{Sq}^1 \xi &= \text{Sq}^5 \text{Sq}^1 i_{8s+r+4k+2} + \cdots.\end{aligned}$$

Applying  $f_3^*$  to  $\text{Sq}^1 i_{8s+r+4k+3}$  gives  $U\delta\sigma i \text{Sq}^3 i + U\delta\sigma \text{Sq}^1 i \text{Sq}^2 i$ , a fact used above without mention,  $\text{Sq}^2 i_{8s+r+4k+3}$  gives  $U\delta\sigma i \text{Sq}^3 \text{Sq}^1 i + U\delta\sigma \text{Sq}^1 i \text{Sq}^3 i$ ,  $\text{Sq}^3 i_{8s+r+4k+3}$  gives  $U\delta\sigma \text{Sq}^1 i \text{Sq}^3 \text{Sq}^1 i$ ,  $\text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 i_{8s+r+4k+3}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}U\delta\sigma \text{Sq}^2 i \text{Sq}^3 i + U\delta\sigma i \text{Sq}^5 i + U\delta\sigma i \text{Sq}^4 \text{Sq}^1 i \\ + U\delta\sigma \text{Sq}^2 i \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 i + U\delta\sigma \text{Sq}^1 i \text{Sq}^3 \text{Sq}^1 i,\end{aligned}$$

and  $\text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^3 i_{8s+r+4k+3} = (\text{Sq}^5 + \text{Sq}^4 \text{Sq}^1) i_{8s+r+4k+3}$  gives  $U\delta\sigma \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 i \text{Sq}^3 \text{Sq}^1 i + U\delta\sigma \text{Sq}^1 i \text{Sq}^5 \text{Sq}^1 i$ . Finally,  $\text{Sq}^3 \text{Sq}^1 i_{8s+r+4k+3}$  goes to

$$\begin{aligned}U\delta\sigma \text{Sq}^2 i \text{Sq}^3 \text{Sq}^1 i + U\delta\sigma \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 i \text{Sq}^3 i + U\delta\sigma \text{Sq}^1 i \text{Sq}^5 i \\ + U\delta\sigma \text{Sq}^1 i \text{Sq}^4 \text{Sq}^1 i + U\delta\sigma i \text{Sq}^5 \text{Sq}^1 i \\ = f_1^*(\text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^3 \beta i_{8s+r+4k+1})\end{aligned}$$

and  $\text{Sq}^5 \text{Sq}^1 i_{8s+r+4k+3}$  goes to zero.

One then notices that

$$\text{Sq}^3 \xi = \text{Sq}^5 \text{Sq}^1 i_{8s+r+4k+1} + \text{Sq}^3 \text{Sq}^1 i_{8s+r+4k+3}$$

and

$$\text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^3 \xi = \text{Sq}^5 \text{Sq}^1 i_{8s+r+4k+3}$$

giving the two relations which just occurred. One then observes that the map

$$\begin{aligned}M\text{Spin}_{8s} \wedge Y_r \xrightarrow{f_1 \times f_2 \times f_3} K(Z_2, 8s + r + 4k + 1) \times K(Z_2, 8s + r + 4k + 2) \\ \times K(Z_2, 8s + r + 4k + 3)\end{aligned}$$

has kernel in mod 2 cohomology generated over  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $\xi$ .

One now has a map

$$f_4 \times f_4': M\text{Spin}_{8s} \wedge Y_r \rightarrow K(Z_2, 8s + r + 4k + 4) \times K(Z_2, 8s + r + 4k + 4)$$

with  $f_4^*(i_{8s+r+4k+4}) = U\{\text{Sq}^{4k+4} i_r\}$  and  $f_4'^*(i'_{8s+r+4k+4}) = U\delta\sigma \text{Sq}^1 i \text{Sq}^2 i$  so that

$$h^* f_4^*(\text{Sq}^1 i_{8s+r+4k+4}) = U \text{Sq}^{4k+5} i_r$$

and

$$f_4'^*(\mathrm{Sq}^1 i'_{8s+r+4k+4}) = U\delta\sigma\mathrm{Sq}^1 i\mathrm{Sq}^3 i.$$

This brings one to dimension  $8s + r + 4k + 5$  in which questionable behavior occurs. No class described so far hits  $U \cdot \mathrm{Sq}^{4k+3}\mathrm{Sq}^2 i_r$  in  $M\mathrm{Spin}_{8s} \wedge K(Z_2, r)$  and  $\mathrm{Sq}^1(U \cdot \mathrm{Sq}^{4k+3}\mathrm{Sq}^2 i_r) = 0$ . One may choose a class  $\{\mathrm{Sq}^{4k+3}\mathrm{Sq}^2 i_r\} = x$  and  $\mathrm{Sq}^1 x$  will lie in the image of  $\delta$ , and also in the kernel of  $\mathrm{Sq}^1$ . Thus  $\mathrm{Sq}^1 x$  is a linear combination of

$$\delta\sigma i\mathrm{Sq}^5 i + \delta\sigma\mathrm{Sq}^1 i\mathrm{Sq}^4 i = \mathrm{Sq}^1(\delta\sigma i\mathrm{Sq}^4 i),$$

$$\delta\sigma\mathrm{Sq}^1 i\mathrm{Sq}^3\mathrm{Sq}^1 i = \mathrm{Sq}^1(\delta\sigma i\mathrm{Sq}^3\mathrm{Sq}^1 i),$$

and  $\delta\sigma\mathrm{Sq}^2 i\mathrm{Sq}^3 i$ . By changing  $x$  to some  $x + a\delta\sigma i\mathrm{Sq}^4 i + b\delta\sigma i\mathrm{Sq}^3\mathrm{Sq}^1 i$ , one may assume that  $\mathrm{Sq}^1 x = c\delta\sigma\mathrm{Sq}^2 i\mathrm{Sq}^3 i$ . If  $c \neq 0$ , one may let  $f_5: M\mathrm{Spin}_{8s} \wedge Y \rightarrow K(Z_2, 8s + r + 4k + 5)$  with  $f_5^*(i_{8s+r+4k+5}) = U \cdot x$  and then  $f_5^*(\mathrm{Sq}^1 i_{8s+r+4k+5}) = U\delta\sigma\mathrm{Sq}^2 i\mathrm{Sq}^3 i$ . If  $c = 0$ , then  $x$  represents a nonzero class in  $\ker \mathrm{Sq}^1 / \mathrm{im} \mathrm{Sq}^1$ . There is then a higher-order Bockstein  $\beta$  defined on  $x$  so that  $\beta x$  represents a nonzero class in  $(\ker \mathrm{Sq}^1 / \mathrm{im} \mathrm{Sq}^1)_{r+4k+6}$ . Because  $\mathrm{Sq}^{4k+5}\mathrm{Sq}^1 i_r = \mathrm{Sq}^1\mathrm{Sq}^{4k+4}\mathrm{Sq}^1 i_r$ ,  $\mathrm{Sq}^1\mathrm{Sq}^{4k+6} i_r = \mathrm{Sq}^{4k+7} i_r$  and  $\mathrm{Sq}^1\mathrm{Sq}^{4k+4}\mathrm{Sq}^2 i_r = \mathrm{Sq}^{4k+5}\mathrm{Sq}^2 i_r$ , and the facts on  $\mathrm{Sq}^1$  for the image of  $\delta$ , this group is  $Z_2$  with generator  $\delta\sigma\mathrm{Sq}^1 i\mathrm{Sq}^3 i$ . Since  $U$  is an integral class, one can find a map  $f_5: M\mathrm{Spin}_{8s} \wedge Y_r \rightarrow K(Z_2 v, 8s + r + 4k + 5)$  for which  $f_5^*(i_{8s+r+4k+5}) = U \cdot x$  for which  $f_5^*(\beta i_{8s+r+4k+5}) = U\delta\sigma\mathrm{Sq}^2 i\mathrm{Sq}^3 i$  modulo the image of  $\mathrm{Sq}^1$ . By allowing the possibility that  $v = 1$ , one may use this description to cover the  $c \neq 0$  case as well, giving a map

$$f_5: M\mathrm{Spin}_{8s} \wedge Y_r \rightarrow K(Z_2 v, 8s + r + 4k + 5)$$

with  $f_5^*(i_{8s+r+4k+5}) = U \cdot \{\mathrm{Sq}^{4k+4} i_r\}$  and  $f_5^*(\beta i_{8s+r+4k+5}) = U\delta\sigma\mathrm{Sq}^2 i\mathrm{Sq}^3 i$  modulo an appropriate term.

One also has a map  $f_5': M\mathrm{Spin}_{8s} \wedge Y_r \rightarrow K(Z_2, 8s + r + 4k + 5)$  for which  $f_5'^*(i'_{8s+r+4k+5}) = U\delta\sigma i\mathrm{Sq}^4 i$ . Similarly, in higher dimensions one can find maps into Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces  $K(Z_2, 8s + r + 4k + i)$  for which

$$i = 6: \quad f_6^*(i_{8s+r+4k+6}) = U\{\mathrm{Sq}^{4k+4}\mathrm{Sq}^2 i_r\},$$

$$f_6'^*(i'_{8s+r+4k+6}) = U\delta\sigma i\mathrm{Sq}^5 i,$$

$$i = 7: \quad f_7^*(i_{8s+r+4k+7}) = U\{\mathrm{Sq}^{4k+4}\mathrm{Sq}^2\mathrm{Sq}^1 i_r\},$$

$$f_7'^*(i'_{8s+r+4k+7}) = U\delta\sigma i\mathrm{Sq}^6 i,$$

$$f_7''^*(i''_{8s+r+4k+7}) = U\delta\sigma i\mathrm{Sq}^5\mathrm{Sq}^1 i,$$

$$f_7'''^*(i'''_{8s+r+4k+7}) = U\delta\sigma i\mathrm{Sq}^4\mathrm{Sq}^2 i,$$

$$i = 8: \quad f_8^*(i_{8s+r+4k+8}) = U\{\mathrm{Sq}^{4k+8} i_r\},$$

$$f_8^{(j)*}(i_{8s+r+4k+8}^{(j)}) = \begin{cases} U\delta\sigma i\mathrm{Sq}^7 i, & j = 1, \\ U\delta\sigma\mathrm{Sq}^2 i\mathrm{Sq}^5 i, & j = 2, \\ U\delta\sigma i\mathrm{Sq}^5\mathrm{Sq}^2 i, & j = 3, \\ U\delta\sigma i\mathrm{Sq}^4\mathrm{Sq}^2\mathrm{Sq}^1 i, & j = 4. \end{cases}$$

By tedious and unpleasant calculation, one may then verify that the product of all of these maps

$$f: MSpin_{8s} \wedge Y_r \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^8 K(G_i, 8s + r + 4k + i)$$

where

$i$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$G_i$	$Z_2$	$Z_2$	$Z_2$	$2Z_2$	$Z_2v + Z_2$	$2Z_2$	$4Z_2$	$5Z_2$

induces an epimorphism in mod 2 cohomology through dimension  $8s + r + 4k + 8$ , and that through dimension  $8s + r + 4k + 9$  the kernel is generated over  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $\xi$ . One may then choose a minimal set of additional generators in dimension  $8s + r + 4k + 9$ , giving

$$\hat{f}: MSpin_{8s} \wedge Y_r \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^9 K(G_i, 8s + r + 4k + i)$$

so that  $\hat{f}^*$  is epic through dimension  $8s + r + 4k + 9$ , and has kernel generated by  $\xi$  over  $\mathcal{A}$  through this dimension.

Letting  $F$  be the fiber of  $\hat{f}$ , one then has a fibration

$$F \rightarrow MSpin_{8s} \wedge Y_r \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} \prod_{i=1}^9 K(G_i, 8s + r + 4k + i)$$

and may calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}^*(F; Z_2) &\cong \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}Sq^5Sq^1 j_{8s+r+4k+3} \\ &\quad + \text{terms of dimension } 8s + r + 4k + 9 \text{ or higher} \end{aligned}$$

where  $j_{8s+r+4k+3}$  transgresses to  $\xi$ . The map  $e: F \rightarrow K(Z_2, 8s + r + 4k + 3)$  with  $e^*(i_{8s+r+4k+3}) = j_{8s+r+4k+3}$  induces an isomorphism in mod 2 cohomology in dimension less than or equal to  $8s + r + 4k + 8$ . Thus  $e$  induces an isomorphism in homotopy through dimension  $8s + r + 4k + 7$  and is epic in dimension  $8s + r + 4k + 8$  (which is obvious).

One may now read off the homotopy groups to obtain

LEMMA 4.2. For  $j = 4k$  with  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\pi_{r+4k+7}(MSpin \wedge Y_r) = Z_2 + Z_2 + Z_2 + Z_2$$

with the nonzero classes being detected by  $U\{Sq^{4k+4}Sq^2Sq^1 i_r\}$ ,  $U\delta\sigma iSq^6 i$ ,  $U\delta\sigma iSq^5Sq^1 i$ , and  $U\delta\sigma iSq^4Sq^2 i$ . In addition, there is a class in  $\pi_{r+4k+3}(MSpin \wedge Y_r)$  which is detected by  $U\delta\sigma iSq^5 i$ .

Note. The class in  $\pi_{r+4k+3}(MSpin \wedge Y_r)$  also occurs for  $k = 1$ , since for  $k = 1$ , the description of  $\tilde{H}^*(Y_r, Z_2)$  is correct through dimension  $r + 4k + 5$ , the first problem being the class  $\delta\sigma iSq^5 i$ .

PROOF. One has

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_{8s+r+4k+7}(F) & \rightarrow & \pi_{8s+r+4k+7}(M\text{Spin}_{8s} \wedge Y_r) \rightarrow G_7 \rightarrow \pi_{8s+r+4k+6}(F) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_{8s+r+4k+3}(M\text{Spin}_{8s} \wedge Y_r) & \rightarrow & G_3 & \rightarrow & \pi_{8s+r+4k+2}(F). \quad \square \\ & & & & \parallel \\ & & & & 0 \end{array}$$

**5. The main results.** Having done all the hard work, one can now obtain

**PROPOSITION 5.1.** *For a closed Spin manifold  $M^{8k+2}$  of dimension  $8k+2$  and class  $z \in H^{4k}(M; Z)$ ,  $\rho z \text{Sq}^2 \rho z[M] = \rho z \text{Sq}^2 v_{4k}[M]$ .*

PROOF. For  $k=1$ ,  $\theta = \text{Sq}^2 v_4$  is the only nonzero class in  $H^6(B\text{Spin}; Z_2)$ . Assuming  $k \geq 3$ ,  $\text{Sq}^1 \theta \in H^{4k+3}(B\text{Spin}; Z_2)$  is zero in every Spin manifold of dimension  $8k+2$  and hence in every Spin manifold of smaller dimension. If one considers the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Omega}_{8k-1}^{\text{Spin}}(K(Z_2, 4k-4)) &\xrightarrow{g} H_{4k+3}(B\text{Spin}; Z_2) \xrightarrow{h} \pi_{r+4(k-1)+7}(M\text{Spin} \wedge Y_r) \\ &\xrightarrow{\partial} \tilde{\Omega}_{8k-2}^{\text{Spin}}(K(Z_2, 4k-4)), \end{aligned}$$

then  $k-1 \geq 2$  and  $\pi_{r+4(k-1)+7}(M\text{Spin} \wedge Y_r) = 4Z_2$ . The classes detected by  $U\delta\sigma i \text{Sq}^6 i$ ,  $U\delta\sigma i \text{Sq}^5 i$ , and  $U\delta\sigma i \text{Sq}^4 \text{Sq}^2 i$  map nontrivially under  $\partial$ , i.e. the value of  $U\delta\sigma y$  on  $a$  is the value of  $y$  on  $\partial a$ . Thus, the image of  $h$  or cokernel of  $g$  is at most  $Z_2$  and is detected by  $U\{\text{Sq}^{4k} \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 i\}$ . Letting  $N^{r+4k+3}$  be a Spin manifold with  $w \in H^{4k+3}(N; Z_2)$  to realize a class in  $\tilde{\Omega}_{r+4k+3}^{\text{Spin}}(K(Z_2, r)) \cong H_{4k+3}(B\text{Spin}; Z_2)$ , the value of  $U\{\text{Sq}^{4k} \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 i\}$  on  $(N, w)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sq}^{4k} \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 w[N] &= v_{4k} \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 w[N] = \{v_2 v_{4k} \text{Sq}^1 w + \text{Sq}^2 v_{4k} \text{Sq}^1 w\}[N] \\ &= \{v_1 \text{Sq}^2 v_{4k} w + \text{Sq}^1 \text{Sq}^2 v_{4k} \cdot w\}[N] = \{\text{Sq}^3 v_{4k} \cdot w\}[N]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the only class in  $H^{4k+3}(B\text{Spin}; Z_2)$  which can vanish on the image of  $g$  is  $\text{Sq}^3 v_{4k}$ . Thus  $\text{Sq}^1 \theta = \text{Sq}^3 v_{4k} = \text{Sq}^1 \text{Sq}^2 v_{4k}$  and  $\theta = \text{Sq}^2 v_{4k}$ .

Finally, for the case  $k=2$ , one could presumably redo all of the calculations of the previous section for the case  $k=1$ . However, being given  $M^{18}$  and a class  $z \in H^8(M; Z)$  with Wu class  $v(M) = 1 + v'_4 + v'_8$  one can let  $u \in H^4(HP^2; Z)$  and consider  $u \otimes z \in H^{12}(HP^2 \times M; Z)$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho z \text{Sq}^2 \rho z[M] &= \rho(u \otimes z) \text{Sq}^2 \rho(u \otimes z)[HP^2 \times M] \\ &= \rho(u \otimes z) \text{Sq}^2 v_{12}[HP^2 \times M] \\ &= \rho(u \otimes z) \text{Sq}^2(\rho u \otimes v'_8)[HP^2 \times M] \\ &= \rho z \text{Sq}^2 v'_8[M]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the result for  $k=3$  implies it for  $k=2$ .  $\square$

COROLLARY 5.2 [W].  $\text{Sq}^3 v_{4k} = 1 \text{Sq}^{4k} \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1$  is zero in every closed Spin manifold of dimension  $8k + 2$ .

PROOF. Having seen that  $\theta = \text{Sq}^2 v_{4k}$  gives this.  $\square$

Note. With the exception of the case  $k = 2$ , one has shown that this is the only nonzero class of dimension  $4k + 3$  which is zero in every manifold of dimension  $8k + 2$  (or  $8k - 1$ ).

COROLLARY 5.3. For a closed spin manifold  $M^{8k+2}$  of dimension  $8k + 2$ ,  $w_4 w_{8k-2}[M] = v_{4k} \text{Sq}^2 v_{4k}[M]$  is the rank modulo 2 of the form  $[\ , \ ]$  on integral cohomology.

PROOF. Consider the form

$$[\ , \ ]: H^{4k}(M; Z) \otimes H^{4k}(M; Z) \rightarrow Z_2: [x, y] = \rho x \text{Sq}^2 \rho y[M].$$

By standard facts about forms (as in [LMP, §2]), there is a class  $v \in H^{4k}(M; Z)$ , well-defined modulo the annihilator of the form, for which  $[x, y] = [x, x]$  for all  $x$  and  $[v, v]$  is the rank modulo 2 of the form  $[\ , \ ]$ . In  $H^*(B\text{Spin}; Z_2)$ , it is well known [ABP] that  $\text{Sq}^1 v_{4k} = 0$ , and the kernel of  $\text{Sq}^1$  is the image of the reduction of  $H^*(B\text{Spin}; Z)$ . Thus there is a class  $w \in H^*(B\text{Spin}; Z)$  with  $\rho w = v_{4k}$ . By the proposition  $\tau^*(w) \in H^{4k}(M; Z)$  is a suitable choice for  $v$  and so the rank mod 2 of  $[\ , \ ]$  is  $[\tau^*(w), \tau(w)] = \rho \tau^*(w) \text{Sq}^2 \rho \tau^*(w)[M] = v_{4k} \text{Sq}^2 v_{4k}[M]$ . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} v_{4k} \text{Sq}^2 v_{4k}[M] &= \text{Sq}^{4k} \text{Sq}^2 v_{4k}[M] \\ &= \left\{ \text{Sq}^4 \text{Sq}^{4k-2} v_{4k} + \binom{4k-3}{4} \text{Sq}^{4k+2} v_{4k} \right\} [M] \\ &= v_4 \text{Sq}^{4k-2} v_{4k}[M] \end{aligned}$$

and since  $v_i(M) = 0$  for  $i \neq 0(4)$ ,  $v_4 = w_4$  and  $\text{Sq}^{4k-2} v_{4k} = w_{8k-2}$  for  $w = \text{Sq } v$ .  $\square$

OBSERVATION. There is no class  $y \in H^{4k+2}(B\text{Spin}; Z_2)$  with  $k > 0$  so that for all closed Spin manifolds  $M^{8k+2}$  and  $x \in H^{4k}(M; Z_2)$  one has

$$x \text{Sq}^2 x[M] = x \tau^*(y)[M].$$

PROOF. From the calculations in the previous section (valid for  $k \geq 1$ ) one has a class  $a \in \pi_{r+4k+3}(M\text{Spin} \wedge Y_r)$  for which  $U\delta\sigma i \text{Sq}^2 i$  has a nonzero value. In the sequence

$$\pi_{r+4k+3}(M\text{Spin} \wedge Y_r) \xrightarrow{\partial} \tilde{\Omega}_{8k+2}^{\text{Spin}}(K(Z_2, 4k)) \rightarrow H_{4k+2}(B\text{Spin}; Z_2)$$

$\partial a$  is given by an  $M^{8k+2}$  and class  $x$  with  $x \text{Sq}^2 x[M] \neq 0$  and so that  $x \tau^*(y)[M] = 0$  for all  $y$ .  $\square$

Note. This shows that the restriction to integral classes was absolutely crucial.

OBSERVATION. There is no class  $y \in H^{4k+4}(B\text{Spin}; Z_2)$  so that for all closed Spin manifolds  $M^{8k+6}$  and  $z \in H^{4k+2}(M, Z)$  one has

$$\rho z \text{Sq}^2 \rho z[M] = \rho z \tau^*(y)[M].$$

PROOF. Let  $M^{8k+6} = HP^{2k} \times CP^3$  and  $z = u^k a$  where  $u \in H^4(HP^{2k}; Z)$ ,  $a \in H^2(CP^3; Z)$ . Then  $\rho z Sq^2 \rho z[M] = \rho(u^k a) \rho(u^k a^2)[M] \neq 0$ . Also  $w(M) = (1 + \rho u)^{2k+1} (1 + \rho a)^4 = (1 + \rho u)^{2k+1}$  and for any  $y \in H^{4k+4}(BSpin; Z_2)$ ,  $\tau^*(y) = \lambda \rho u^{k+1}$  for some  $\lambda \in Z_2$ . Thus  $\rho z \tau^*(y)[M] = \lambda \rho u^{2k+1} \rho a[M] = 0$ .  $\square$

OBSERVATION. *There is no class  $y \in H^{4k+1}(BSpin; Z_2)$  with  $k > 0$  so that for all closed Spin manifolds  $M^{8k}$  and  $z \in H^{4k-1}(M; Z)$  one has*

$$\rho z Sq^2 \rho z[M] = \rho z \tau^*(y)[M].$$

PROOF. Let  $M^{8k} = HP^{2k-2} \times G_2(R^6)$ , where  $G_2(R^6)$  is the Grassmannian of 2-planes in  $R^6$ . Then  $H^*(G_2(R^6); Z_2)$  is the  $Z_2$  polynomial ring on the universal Stiefel-Whitney classes  $w_1, w_2$  modulo the relations  $(1/(1 + w_1 + w_2))_i = 0$  if  $i > 4$ . One has  $w(G_2(R^6)) = (1 + w_1 + w_2)6/(1 + w_1^2)$ , so that  $G_2(R^6)$  is a Spin manifold, and for any  $y \in H^{4k+1}(BSpin; Z_2)$ ,  $\tau^*(y) = 0$  in  $M$  since all odd dimensional Stiefel-Whitney classes are zero. Let  $a = \beta w_2 \in H^3(G_2(R^6); Z)$  be the integral Bockstein of  $w_2$ , so  $\rho a = \rho \beta w_2 = Sq^1 w_2 = w_1 w_2$ , and let  $z$  be  $u^{k-1} a$ . Then

$$\rho z Sq^2 \rho z[M] = Sq^1 w_2 Sq^2 Sq^1 w_2 [G_2(R^6)] \neq 0. \quad \square$$

In dimensions  $8k + 4$  with  $k > 0$ , one may similarly consider  $HP^{2k-2} \times M^{12}$  where  $M^{12}$  is a Spin manifold having a class  $a \in H^5(M; Z)$  with  $\rho a Sq^2 \rho a[M] \neq 0$ , and may let  $z = u^{k-1} a$  to give  $\rho z Sq^2 \rho z[HP^{2k-2} \times M] \neq 0$ . The Wu class of  $M$  has the form  $1 + v_4$  ( $v_i = 0$  if  $i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  or  $i > 6$ ) so  $w(M) = 1 + w_4 + w_6 + w_7 + w_8$  and by Wilson [W],  $w_7 = 0$ . Thus  $w(HP^{2k-2} \times M)$  consists entirely of even dimensional classes, and for any  $y \in H^{4k+3}(BSpin; Z_2)$ ,  $\tau^*(y) = 0$ .

By calculation, one can show that  $(M^{12}, a)$  exists. To exhibit such calculations would be a travesty; one would prefer a specific example.

Note. In dimensions  $8k$  and  $8k + 4$ , with  $k = 0$ ,  $y = 0$  would give the universal class. Similarly,  $y = 0$  suffices for mod 2 cohomology in dimensions  $8k + 2$  with  $k = 0$ .

**6. A technical extension.** Having seen that the main result does not hold for arbitrary mod 2 cohomology classes, one is led to ask whether weaker conditions than being reduced integral are sufficient. One does, in fact, have

PROPOSITION 6.1. *For a closed Spin manifold  $M^{8k+2}$  of dimension  $8k + 2$  and class  $x \in H^{4k}(M; Z_2)$ , one has*

$$x Sq^2 x[M] = x Sq^2 v_{4k}[M]$$

if  $Sq^1 x = 0$ , i.e. if  $x$  is the reduction of a  $Z_4$  class.

COROLLARY 6.2. *For a closed Spin manifold  $M^{8k+2}$  of dimension  $8k + 2$ ,  $w_4 w_{8k-2}[M]$  is the rank modulo 2 of the form  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  on  $(\ker Sq^1)^{4k}$  or  $H^{4k}(M; Z_2)$  for any  $s > 1$ .*

*Note.* The results of [LMP] relate the form  $(x, y) = x \text{Sq}^1 y[M]$  to the torsion in homology in a very precise way. These results indicate that there is some relation on the torsion for Spin manifolds of dimension  $8k + 2$  because the rank of the form is independent of  $s$ , but the relation is vague.

PROOF. One has a cofibration

$$\Sigma^{r-4k} K(Z_4, 4k) \rightarrow K(Z_4, r) \rightarrow W_r$$

giving an exact sequence

$$\pi_{r+4k+3}(M\text{Spin} \wedge W_r) \xrightarrow{\partial} \tilde{\Omega}_{8k+2}^{\text{Spin}}(K(Z_4, 4k)) \xrightarrow{a} H_{4k+2}(B\text{Spin}; Z_4) \rightarrow \cdots$$

One may then analyze  $\tilde{H}^*(W_r; Z_2)$  and find

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(r + 4k + 1) & \quad [\text{Sq}^{4k+1} i_r], \\ \dim(r + 4k + 2) & \quad [\text{Sq}^{4k+2} i_r], \delta \sigma^{r-4k} i_{4k} \beta i_{4k}, \\ \dim(r + 4k + 3) & \quad [\text{Sq}^{4k+3} i_r], [\text{Sq}^{4k+2} \beta i_r], \delta \sigma^{r-4k} i_{4k} \text{Sq}^2 i_{4k}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta$  denotes the Bockstein. Since  $\text{Sq}^2[\text{Sq}^{4k+1} i_r]$  goes to  $\text{Sq}^{4k+2} \text{Sq}^1 i_r = 0$  in  $K(Z_4, r)$ , one has  $\text{Sq}^2[\text{Sq}^{4k+1} i_r] = \mu \delta \sigma^{r-4k} i_{4k} \text{Sq}^2 i_{4k}$  for some  $\mu \in Z_2$ .

If one considers the maps  $K(Z, n) \rightarrow K(Z_4, n)$ , one has an induced map  $X_r \xrightarrow{b} W_r$  so that  $b^*: \tilde{H}^*(W_r; Z_2) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^*(X_r; Z_2)$  sends  $[\text{Sq}^{4k+1} i_r]$  to  $[\text{Sq}^{4k+1} i_r]$ . Thus  $\text{Sq}^2[\text{Sq}^{4k+1} i_r] \neq 0$  in  $W_r$ , because its image in  $X_r$  is nonzero, and  $\mu \neq 0$ .

Thus

$$\phi: \tilde{\Omega}_{8k+2}^{\text{Spin}}(K(Z_4, 4k)) \rightarrow Z_2: (M, f) \rightarrow (f^* i) \text{Sq}^2 f^* i[M]$$

is zero on the image of  $\partial$ , and is given by a homomorphism  $\text{im } a \rightarrow Z_2$ . Since all torsion in  $H_*(B\text{Spin}; Z)$  is of order 2,  $H_{4k+2}(B\text{Spin}; Z_4) \xrightarrow{\rho} H_{4k+2}(B\text{Spin}; Z_2)$  is monic, and there is a class  $\theta \in H^{4k+2}(B\text{Spin}; Z_2)$  so that

$$x \text{Sq}^2 x[M] = \tau^*(\theta) \cdot x[M]$$

for all closed Spin  $M^{8k+2}$  and  $x \in (\ker \text{Sq}^1)^{4k} = \rho H^{4k}(M; Z_4)$ .

One must again identify  $\theta$ , but this is just a repetition of the arguments.  $\theta$  is well defined only modulo the image of  $\text{Sq}^1$ , hence is determined by  $\text{Sq}^1 \theta$ , and  $\text{Sq}^1 \theta$  is zero in all Spin  $M^{8k+2}$ . By uniqueness,  $\theta = \text{Sq}^2 v_{4k} \bmod \text{image } \text{Sq}^1$  for  $k \geq 3$ , and this implies that  $\theta$  can be taken to be  $\text{Sq}^2 v_{4k}$  for smaller  $k$ .  $\square$

*Note.* The argument for  $Z_4$  is really identical with that for  $Z$  classes, and this presentation has simply used the  $Z$  argument to give the Steenrod operations in  $W_r$ . The equivalence of the ranks of the forms for  $Z$  and  $Z_2$ s cohomology follows from the fact that the class  $v_{4k}$  is reduced integral.

*Note.* One can analyze the form  $[ , ]$  simply by knowing  $H^*(M; Z_2)$  as algebra over the Steenrod algebra, since that gives  $(\ker \text{Sq}^1)^{4k}$ . Working with  $\rho H^{4k}(M; Z)$  would require extra information.

COMMENT. This extension to  $Z_4$  classes was inspired by a suggestion of Steven M. Kahn. Using this extension the methods of [K] may be applied to prove

PROPOSITION 6.3. *If  $M^{8k+2}$  is a closed Spin manifold of dimension  $8k+2$  with an involution  $T$  of odd type preserving the Spin structure, then*

$$w_4 w_{8k-2}[M] \equiv \chi(F^{8*}) \equiv \chi(F^{8*+4}) \pmod{2}$$

where  $\chi$  is the Euler characteristic and  $F^{8*+j}$  is the part of the fixed set of  $T$  having dimension  $j \bmod 8$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [ABP] D. W. Anderson, E. H. Brown, Jr., and F. P. Peterson, *The structure of the Spin cobordism ring*, Ann. of Math. (2) **86** (1967), 271–298.
- [B1] W. Browder, *Remark on the Poincaré duality theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (2) **13** (1962), 927–930.
- [B2] W. Browder, *Torsion in H-spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **74** (1961), 24–51.
- [B3] E. H. Brown, Jr., *Generalizations of the Kervaire invariant*, Ann. of Math. (2) **95** (1972), 368–383.
- [F] E. E. Floyd, *The number of cells in a nonbounding manifold*, Ann. of Math. (2) **98** (1973), 210–225.
- [K] S. M. Kahn, *Involutions on odd-dimensional manifolds and the de Rham invariant*, Topology (to appear).
- [LMP] G. Lusztig, J. Milnor, and F. P. Peterson, *Semicharacteristics and cobordism*, Topology **8** (1969), 357–359.
- [S] R. E. Stong, *Appendix: Calculation of  $\Omega_1^{\text{Spin}}(K(Z, 4))$* , Unified String Theories, edited by M. Green and D. Gross, World Scientific Press, Singapore, 1986, pp. 430–437.
- [W] W. S. Wilson, *A new relation on the Stiefel-Whitney classes of spin manifolds*, Illinois J. Math. **17** (1973), 115–127.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEW BRUNSWICK, NEW JERSEY 08903

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA 22903