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#### Abstract

We introduce the following notion: a finite dimensional representation $V$ of a complex reductive algebraic group $G$ is called spherical of rank one if the generic stabilizer $M$ is reductive, the pair ( $G, M$ ) is spherical and $\operatorname{dim} V^{M}=1$. Let $U$ be another finite dimensional representation of $G$; we denote by $S^{\prime}(U)\left(S^{\prime}(U)^{G}\right)$ the ring of polynomial functions on $U$ (the ring of $G$-invariant polynomial functions on $U$ ). We characterize the image of $S^{\prime}(U \oplus V)^{G}$ under the restriction map into $S^{\prime}\left(U \oplus V^{M}\right)$ as the $W=N_{G}(M) / M$ invariants in the Rees ring associated to an ascending filtration of $S^{\prime}(U)^{M}$. Furthermore, under some additional hypothesis, we give an isomorphism between the graded ring associated to that filtration and $S^{\prime}(U)^{P}$, where $P$ is the stabilizer of an unstable point whose $G$-orbit has maximal dimension.


## I. Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a Cartan decomposition of a real semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ be the corresponding complexification. Let $\theta$ be the associated Cartan involution. Also let $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $\mathfrak{a}$ be its complexification. Now let $K$ be the analytic subgroup of the adjoint group of $\mathfrak{g}$ with Lie algebra $\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{k})$. Also let $M$ be the centralizer of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $K$ and let $W$ be the Weyl group associated to ( $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}$ ), i.e., $W=N_{K}(M) / M$, where $N_{L}(S)$ is the notation for the normalizer in $L$ of $S$.

If $V$ is any finite-dimensional complex vector space, let $S^{\prime}(V)$ be the ring of all polynomial functions on $V$. The well-known Chevalley Restriction Theorem states that the restriction homomorphism $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{a})$ maps $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{p})^{K}$ isomorphically onto $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{a})^{W}$. (Here $V^{L}$ denotes the submodule of an $L$-module $V$ consisting of all $L$-invariants.) This theorem was generalized by Luna and Richardson [LR]:

Let $G$ be a reductive complex algebraic group acting linearly (and morphically) on a finite-dimensional vector space $U$ and assume that ( $U, G$ ) has generically closed orbits; i.e., the union of all closed orbits contains a nonempty Zariski open subset of $U$. Pick any $x \in U$ such that the orbit $G x$ is closed and $G^{x}$ is conjugated to $G^{y}$ for all $y$ in an open neighborhood of $x$. The conjugacy class of the isotropy subgroup $M=G^{x}$ is called a principal isotropy class. The generalization of the Chevalley Restriction Theorem given in [LR] states that the restriction map $S^{\prime}(U) \rightarrow S^{\prime}\left(U^{M}\right)$ maps $S^{\prime}(U)^{G}$ isomorphically
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onto $S^{\prime}\left(U^{M}\right)^{W}$, where $W=N_{G}(M) / M$. (A word of caution: this is not a generalization strictu senso because $\mathfrak{a} \neq \mathfrak{p}^{M}$ in general. The Chevalley Restriction Theorem mentioned in [LR] is the version " $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of type II", in Cartan's terminology).

However, although the Chevalley Restriction Theorem and its generalization are quite powerful tools, they have a restricted field of applications: indeed, almost every representation of a semisimple group has trivial principal isotropy class (see [AP]).

Now let $G$ act linearly on another finite-dimensional vector space $N$. Then the restriction map $S^{\prime}(N \oplus U) \rightarrow S^{\prime}\left(N \oplus U^{M}\right)$ induces a monomorphism $S^{\prime}(N \oplus$ $U)^{G} \rightarrow S^{\prime}\left(N \oplus U^{M}\right)^{N_{G}(M)}$ whose image seems to be very difficult to characterize. A first step in this direction was given by Tirao in [T] for the following case: $G=K, U=\mathfrak{p}, N=\mathfrak{k}$ and $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{a}=1$. The proof given there is geometric and uses a one-parameter subgroup suitably chosen. Another proof can be found in [A1] and one of the aims of this article is to present a generalization of this fact, inspired by this second proof.

We make the following additional hypothesis on $(U, G):(a)$ The pair $(G, M)$ is a spherical pair (sometimes called a Gelfand pair); (b) $\operatorname{dim} U^{M}=1$. Then we say that $(U, G)$ is a spherical representation of rank one.

In this case, the image of the restriction map for any $N$ is characterized, as in Tirao's case, by

$$
\left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}\left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{n}} S^{\prime}(N)_{\gamma}^{M} \otimes S_{n}^{\prime}\left(U^{M}\right)\right)\right)^{W} .
$$

Here $S_{n}^{\prime}$ is the subspace of all homogeneous polynomials of degree $n$ and

$$
\Gamma_{n}=\left\{\gamma \in G^{\wedge}: \gamma^{M} \neq 0, m(\gamma) \leq n\right\},
$$

where $m(\gamma)$ is the degree of homogeneity of $\gamma^{*}$ in the harmonic polynomials in $U$.

A further generalization is found if $(U, G)$ is a "product" of spherical representations of rank one (see also [A2]). Moreover,

$$
C_{n}=\bigoplus_{\gamma \in G^{\wedge}}: m(\gamma) \leq n<1 S_{\gamma}^{M}
$$

defines a filtration of $C=S^{\prime}(N)^{M}$.
The second purpose of this article is to characterize the graded ring associated to this filtration as the ring of $P$-invariants in $S^{\prime}(N)$ for a suitable subgroup $P$ of $G$. In the case: $G=K, U=\mathfrak{p}, N=\mathfrak{k}, \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{a}=1$ and $P$ is the isotropy subgroup of a principal nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{p}$, this was obtained by Tirao (unpublished) using ideas in the spirit of the proof given in [T]. The proof depends on the existence of a suitable $z \in \mathfrak{k}$. Our proof, however, avoids this and it is available for the general case under some additional conditions. We also remark that one can not expect in general that $P$ contains a maximal unipotent subgroup of $G$; for example, this is false when $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathfrak{s p}(n, 1)$, although it is true when $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathfrak{s o}(n, 1)$ or $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathfrak{s u}(n, 1)$.

This theorem in some sense reduces the study of $S^{\prime}(U \oplus N)^{G}$ to the study of $S^{\prime}(N)^{P}$. For example, $S^{\prime}(U \oplus N)^{G}$ is a polynomial ring if and only if $S^{\prime}(N)^{P}$ is a polynomial ring.

Finally, we give some applications; for example, we compute explicitly a presentation of the ring $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{g})^{K}$ when $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathfrak{s p}(2,1)$.

## II. Preliminaries

As usual, $L^{\wedge}$ denotes the set of equivalence classes of finite dimensional irreducible representations of an algebraic reductive complex linear group $L$. We will identify $\tau \in L^{\wedge}$ with the space on which $L$ acts. We will exploit the following well-known version of the
Schur Lemma. For $\tau, \lambda \in L^{\wedge}, \operatorname{dim}(\tau \otimes \lambda)^{L}=1$ if $\tau=\lambda^{*}, 0$ otherwise.
If $E$ is any $L$-module, and $\tau \in L^{\wedge}$, we denote by $E_{\tau}$ the isotypic component of type $\tau$.

Let us recall briefly the notion of a spherical pair. Let $G$ be a reductive connected algebraic group and $H$ a closed subgroup, over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. $(G, H)$ is a spherical pair if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:
(i) $H$ has an open orbit in the flag variety of $G$.
(ii) $H$ has a finite number of orbits in the flag variety of $G$.
(iii) Let $Z$ be an algebraic $G$-variety and let $z \in Z^{H}$; then $G$ has a finite number of orbits in the closure of $G z$.
(iv) Let $\chi$ be a one-dimensional representation of $H$ and let $X$ be the representation of $G$ induced by $\chi$ (i.e., the space of global sections of the associated line bundle over $G / H)$. Then for every $\gamma \in G^{\wedge}, \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{G}(\gamma, X) \leq$ 1.
(See [BLV] for the history of this result.) It follows from Frobenius reciprocity that (iv) can be also stated as follows: for every $\gamma \in G^{\wedge}$,

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(\chi, \gamma) \leq 1
$$

viewing $\gamma$ as an $H$-module. In particular, taking $\chi$ trivial, $\operatorname{dim} \gamma^{H} \leq 1$ for all $\gamma \in G^{\wedge}$. On the other hand this implies the above conditions, whenever $H$ is reductive (see [VK]).

Let $G$ now be a reductive complex linear algebraic group, $U$ a finitedimensional $G$-module. Recall that $(U, G)$ is cofree if $S^{\prime}(U)$ is a $S^{\prime}(U)^{G_{-}}$ free module. In such a case, $S^{\prime}(U)^{G}$ is a polynomial ring (i.e., $(U, G)$ is coregular) and

$$
S^{\prime}(U)=S^{\prime}(U)^{G} \otimes H
$$

where $\otimes$ is given by multiplication and $H$ is a homogeneous $G$-submodule of $S^{\prime}(U)$.

Let $M$ be a principal isotropy group of $(U, G)$ (i.e., the stabilizer of a point in a closed orbit whose conjugacy class is minimal) and let $A=U^{M}$. Put $W=N_{G}(M) / M$. We know from [LR] that $S^{\prime}(U)^{G} \simeq S^{\prime}(A)^{W}$, via the restriction homomorphism.
Lemma 1 (See also [Po]). Assume that $(U, G)$ has generically closed orbits and that $\operatorname{dim} A=1$. Then $(U, G)$ is cofree and $W$ is a finite cyclic group.
Proof. Clearly, $\operatorname{dim} A / W$ cannot be zero. (The unique closed orbit would be open.) Thus $\operatorname{dim} A / W=1$. By [LR] (see the proof of Theorem 4.2) $(A, W)$ has generically closed orbits. So we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} A / W=1=\operatorname{dim} A-\operatorname{dim} W
$$

Hence $W$ is finite. It is "contained" in $\operatorname{GL}(1, \mathbb{C})$; so it is cyclic and $(U, G)$ is coregular. Now codim $\pi^{-1}(\zeta) \leq 1$ for every $\zeta \in U / G$; it cannot be 0 , so ( $U, G$ ) is cofree. (See for example, [Sch, §4.3].)
Definition. We say that $(U, G)$ is a spherical representation of rank one if it has generically closed orbits, $\operatorname{dim} A=1$, and for all $\rho \in G^{\wedge}, \operatorname{dim} \rho^{M} \leq 1$, where $M$ is a principal isotropy group of $(U, G)$. In particular, $(U, G)$ is irreducible.

Remark 1. There exists a pair $(V, L)$, where $L$ is a simple connected algebraic group, having generically closed orbits and such that:
(i) If $M$ is in the principal isotropy class, $V^{M}$ is a line but
(ii) $(L, M)$ is not a spherical pair.

Indeed, take $L=A_{6}, V$ the irreducible representation of highest weight $\varphi_{3}$. From [ E , Table 1] we know that the generic stabilizer is of type $G_{2}$ and hence (see for example [Po2] or [LV]) ( $V, L$ ) has generically closed orbits. Moreover, $\operatorname{dim} V^{M}=1$ [E, Table 1]. One the other hand, $\left(A_{6}, G_{2}\right)$ is not a spherical pair, as follows from Kramer's table [VK]. In fact, the intersection of Elashvili's and Kramer's tables gives us all the spherical representations of rank one of simple groups.
Remark 2. From Lemma 1, $(U, G)$ is cofree. Let $H$ be as above; then the multiplicity of $\rho$ in $H$ is $\leq 1$. (See [Sch, §4.3].)
Definition. We will say that $(U, G)$ is a spherical representation (of rank $s$ ) if $U=U_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{s}, G=G_{1} \times \cdots \times G_{s}$, each $U_{i}$ is a $G_{i}$-spherical module of rank one and $G$ acts on $U$ via

$$
\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{s}\right)\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}\right)=\left(k_{1} v_{1}, \ldots, k_{s} v_{s}\right)
$$

Note that it has generically closed orbits.

## III. The restriction theorem

Now assume that $(U, G)$ is a spherical representation of rank one, $S^{\prime}(U)=$ $S^{\prime}(U)^{G} \otimes H$ and let $\Gamma=\left\{\rho \in G^{\wedge}: \rho^{M} \neq 0\right\}$. Then $H=\bigoplus_{\rho \in \Gamma} H_{\rho}$. Moreover, $H_{\rho}$ is irreducible and homogeneous. Clearly, $\rho \in \Gamma \Rightarrow \rho^{*} \in \Gamma$. So we put

$$
m(\gamma)=\text { degree of homogeneity of } H_{\gamma^{*}}, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma
$$

Now let $(U, G)=\left(U_{1}, G_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus\left(U_{s}, G_{s}\right)$ be a spherical representation, where $\left(U_{i}, G_{i}\right)$ are spherical representations of rank one. We introduce the following notation:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A=A_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{s}, & \Gamma=\Gamma_{1} \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{s}, \\
H=H_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{s}, & M=M_{1} \times \cdots \times M_{s},
\end{array}
$$

where $M_{i}, \Gamma_{i}, A_{i}, H_{i}$ correspond to ( $U_{i}, G_{i}$ ).
Recall now that $G^{\wedge}$ identifies with $G_{1}^{\wedge} \times \cdots \times G_{s}^{\wedge}$. Thus $\gamma \in \Gamma$ if and only if $\gamma$ appears in $H$; and in such a case, it does with multiplicity one. Moreover, let us consider the $\mathbb{N}_{0}^{s}$-grading in $S^{\prime}(U)$ given by the decomposition $U=U_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{s}$. Therefore, $H$ is an $\mathbb{N}_{0}^{S}$-graded $G$-submodule of $S^{\prime}(U)$ and for $\gamma=\gamma_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_{s} \in \Gamma, \gamma^{*}$ appears only in $H_{m(\gamma)}$, where $m(\gamma)=$ $\left(m\left(\gamma_{1}\right), \ldots, m\left(\gamma_{s}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{S}$ and $m\left(\gamma_{i}\right)$ corresponds to $\left(U_{i}, G_{i}\right), \gamma_{i}$.

Clearly, $M$ is a principal isotropy group of $(U, G)$ and $A=U^{M}$. Let $W=$ $N_{G}(M) / M$. We consider the order in $\mathbb{N}_{0}^{S}$ given by $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right) \leq\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right)$ iff $a_{i} \leq b_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, s$ and we set

$$
\Gamma_{r}=\{\gamma \in \Gamma: m(\gamma) \leq r\},
$$

for every $r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{s}$.
Theorem 1. Keep the notations and the hypothesis as above. Let $N$ be a finitedimensional $G$-module; then the restriction from $N \oplus U$ to $N \oplus A$ induces an isomorphism $\sigma$ of $S^{\prime}(N \oplus U)^{G}$ onto

$$
\left(\bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\prime}}\left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{r}} S^{\prime}(N)_{\gamma}^{M} \otimes S_{r}^{\prime}(A)\right)\right)^{W} .
$$

Proof. The injectivity follows from [LR], Lemma 3.5.
If $E_{1}, E_{2}$ are finite dimensional $L$-modules, the latter trivial, then $\left(E_{1} \otimes E_{2}\right)^{L}=E_{1}^{L} \otimes E_{2}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{\prime}(N \oplus U)^{G} & =\left(S^{\prime}(N) \otimes S^{\prime}(U)\right)^{G} \\
& =\left(S^{\prime}(N) \otimes S^{\prime}(U)^{G} \otimes H\right)^{G} \\
& =S^{\prime}(U)^{G} \otimes\left(S^{\prime}(N) \otimes H\right)^{G} \\
& =S^{\prime}(U)^{G} \otimes\left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Gamma}\left(S^{\prime}(N)_{\lambda} \otimes H_{\lambda^{*}}\right)^{G}\right) \\
& \stackrel{\sigma}{\rightarrow} S^{\prime}(A)^{W} \otimes\left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Gamma} \sigma\left(S^{\prime}(N)_{\lambda} \otimes H_{\lambda^{*}}\right)^{G}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us look more closely at $\left(S^{\prime}(N)_{\lambda} \otimes H_{\lambda} .\right)^{G}$. In general, if $S^{\prime}(N)_{\lambda}=$ $\bigoplus_{i} T_{i}, T_{i} \simeq \lambda$, then $\left(S^{\prime}(N)_{\lambda} \otimes H_{\lambda^{*}}\right)^{G}=\bigoplus_{i}\left(T_{i} \otimes H_{\lambda^{*}}\right)^{G}$. But $\sigma$ is $1-1$ and

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(T_{i} \otimes H_{\lambda^{*}}\right)^{G}=\operatorname{dim}\left(T_{i}\right)^{M}=1 .
$$

So

$$
\sigma\left(S^{\prime}(N)_{\lambda} \otimes H_{\lambda} \cdot\right)^{G}=S^{\prime}(N)_{\lambda}^{M} \otimes S_{m(\lambda)}^{\prime}(A) .
$$

Hence

$$
\sigma\left(S^{\prime}(N \oplus U)^{G}\right)=S^{\prime}(A)^{W} \otimes\left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Gamma} S^{\prime}(N)_{\lambda}^{M} \otimes S_{m(\lambda)}^{\prime}(A)\right) .
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma\left(S^{\prime}(N \oplus U)^{G}\right) & =\left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{s}} S_{n}^{\prime}(A) \otimes\left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Gamma} S^{\prime}(N)_{\lambda}^{M} \otimes S_{m(\lambda)}^{\prime}(A)\right)\right)^{W} \\
& =\left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{5}}\left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Gamma_{n}} S^{\prime}(N)_{\lambda}^{M} \otimes S_{n}^{\prime}(A)\right)\right)^{W} \cdot \square
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3. The theorem remains true if we replace $N$ by any affine variety on which $G$ acts.

## IV. The ascending filtration

Now let $(U, G)$ be a spherical representation of rank one, $X$ an irreducible $G$-variety and $M$ a principal isotropy group of $(U, G)$. Let $C=\mathbb{C}[X]^{M}$ and set

$$
C^{e}=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Gamma, m(\lambda)=e} \mathbb{C}[X]_{\lambda}^{M}, \quad C_{d}=\bigoplus_{e \leq d} C^{e}
$$

Theorem 2. $C_{0} \subseteq C_{1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq C_{j} \subseteq \cdots$ is a filtration of $C$.
Proof. It suffices to show: if $\lambda, \gamma \in G^{\wedge}, f \in U_{d} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X], U_{d} \simeq \lambda, m(\lambda)=d$, $g \in U_{b} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X], U_{b} \simeq \gamma, m(\gamma)=b, f, g \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{M}$, then $f \cdot g \in C_{b+d}$.

We have an epimorphism of $G$-modules $\gamma \otimes \lambda \rightarrow U_{b} \cdot U_{d}$. Let

$$
\gamma \otimes \lambda=\delta_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \delta_{t}, \quad \delta_{i} \in G^{\wedge}
$$

Let us decompose $f \otimes g=h_{1}+\cdots+h_{s}, s \leq t, h_{i} \in \delta_{i}-0$, reordering the index set if necessary. We only need to check that $m\left(\delta_{i}\right) \leq b+d$, for all $i \leq s$. We have the following well-known isomorphism of $G$-modules:

$$
\mathbb{C}[G / M] \simeq \bigoplus_{\gamma \in G^{\wedge}} \gamma^{M} \otimes \gamma^{*}
$$

From the inclusions given by $f$ and $g \lambda^{*} \hookrightarrow \lambda^{M} \otimes \lambda^{*}$ and $\gamma^{*} \hookrightarrow \gamma^{M} \otimes \gamma^{*}$ we have a morphism of $G$-modules

$$
\lambda^{*} \otimes \gamma^{*} \rightarrow\left(\lambda^{M} \otimes \lambda^{*}\right)\left(\gamma^{M} \otimes \gamma^{*}\right) \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=1, \ldots, t} \delta_{i}^{M} \otimes \delta_{i}^{*}
$$

Now we claim that $\left(\lambda^{M} \otimes \lambda^{*}\right)\left(\gamma^{M} \otimes \gamma^{*}\right) \supseteq \bigoplus_{i=1, \ldots, s} \delta_{i}^{M} \otimes \delta_{i}^{*}$. Indeed, if $c_{i} \in \delta_{i}^{*}$, there exist $\alpha_{j} \in \lambda^{*}, \beta_{j} \in \gamma^{*}$ such that $\sum c_{i}=\sum \alpha_{j} \otimes \beta_{j}$ in $\lambda^{*} \otimes \gamma^{*}$. Thus for every $x \in G$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\sum x c_{i}, \sum h_{i}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\sum x \alpha_{j} \otimes x \beta_{j}, f \otimes g\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\sum x \alpha_{j}, f\right\rangle \cdot\left\langle\sum x \beta_{j}, g\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $\sum h_{i} \otimes c_{i}=\left(\sum f \otimes \alpha_{j}\right) \cdot\left(\sum g \otimes \beta_{j}\right)$.
Now, for any $v \in U$ such that $G v$ is closed and $G^{v}=M$, we have the following diagram of $G$-modules

which is clearly commutative. Hence the homogeneous module, of degree $b+d$, $H_{\lambda^{*}} \cdot H_{\gamma^{*}}$ contains an irreducible $G$-module of type $\delta_{i}^{*}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, s$; as $S^{\prime}(U)_{\delta_{i}^{*}}=S^{\prime}(U)^{G} \cdot H_{\delta_{i}^{*}}$, we conclude that $m\left(\delta_{i}\right) \leq b+d$.

To generalize the preceding, we need the concept of a $\Delta$-filtration; it is surely well known, but as we do not know a good reference, we shall introduce it, along with some formal generalities.

Let $(\Delta,+,<)$ be a monoid equipped with a partial order $<$, compatible with + , a p.o.m. for short. For example, let $A$ be a noetherian commutative $k$ algebra, $k$ a field; $\mathscr{S}(A)=\{W \subseteq A: W$ is a $k$-vector space $\}$ then $(\mathscr{S}(A), \cdot, \subseteq)$ is a p.o.m.

In such case, a $\Delta$-ascending filtration (resp., descending filtration) in $A$ is a morphism of p.o.m. sets $\Delta \xrightarrow{F} \mathscr{S}(A)$ (resp., $\Delta^{0} \xrightarrow{F} \mathscr{S}(A)$, where $\Delta^{0}$ is $\Delta$ with the order reversed) such that $F(a) F(b) \subseteq F(a+b)$ for all $a, b \in \Delta$ (resp., $F(a) F(b) \supseteq F(a+b))$ and if 0 is the identity of $\Delta$, then $k \subseteq F(0)$. Here we shall only consider the case of ascending filtrations.

So let $A$ be as above, equipped with a $\Delta$-filtration, and put $A_{d}=F(d)$, $d \in \Delta$. Clearly, $A_{0}$ is a $k$-subalgebra of $A$, and each $A_{d}$ is an $A_{0}$-module. Now put

$$
A_{(d)}=A_{d} /\left(\sum_{e<d} A_{e}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Delta-\operatorname{gr}(A)=\bigoplus_{d \in \Delta} A_{(d)}
$$

The bilinear forms $A_{(d)} \times A_{(b)} \rightarrow A_{(d+b)}$ are well defined and extend to $\Delta-\operatorname{gr}(A)$, giving it a $\Delta$-graded $k$-algebra structure.
Examples. (i) Let $\Delta=\mathbb{N}_{0}^{s}, C=S^{\prime}(N)^{M}$ be as in Theorem 1. For $r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{s}$ put $C_{r}=\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{r}} S^{\prime}(W)_{\gamma}^{M}$. In fact, this gives a $\mathbb{N}_{0}^{S}$-filtration in $C$, as follows easily from Theorem 2.

Moreover, we have
Lemma 2. Let $D=\bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{s}}\left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma, m(\gamma) \leq r} S^{\prime}(N)_{\gamma}^{M} \otimes S_{r}^{\prime}(A)\right)$ and let $J$ be the ideal of $D$ generated by $A^{*}$. Then

$$
D / J \simeq \Delta-\operatorname{gr}(C)
$$

Proof. Left to the reader.
(ii) As in the classical case, if $A=\bigoplus_{d \in \Delta} A_{(d)}$ is a $\Delta$-graded $k$-algebra, then $A_{d}=\sum_{e \leq d} A_{(e)}$ induces a filtration in $A$; its $\Delta$-graded algebra is again $A$.
(iii) Let $\Delta=\mathbb{N}_{0}^{s}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{N} \in \Delta$; we construct a $\Delta$-grading in the polynomial ring $B=k\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{N}\right]$ putting for $d \in \Delta$

$$
B_{(d)}=\left\langle\left\{X_{1}^{h_{1}} \cdots X_{N}^{h_{N}}: \sum_{i} h_{i} t_{i}=d\right\}\right\rangle
$$

Now let $A$ be a noetherian commutative $k$-algebra equipped with a $\mathbb{N}_{0}^{S}$-filtration. The following result will be useful later.
Lemma 3. Let $x_{i} \in A_{t_{i}}(i=1, \ldots, N), \xi_{i}$ its image in $A_{\left(t_{i}\right)}$.
(A) If the $\xi_{i}$ are $k$-algebraically independent in $\Delta-\operatorname{gr}(A)$, then the $x_{i}$ are algebraically independent in $A$.
(B) If the $\xi_{i}$ generate $\Delta-\operatorname{gr}(A)$ as a $k$-algebra and $A=\bigcup_{d \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{S}} A_{d}$, then the $x_{i}$ generate $A$.
$\operatorname{Proof}$ (As in [Bo, p. 39]). Consider $B \xrightarrow{\phi} A, X_{i} \mapsto x_{i}$. Clearly, $\phi\left(B_{d}\right) \subseteq A_{d}$ and hence we have $B \xrightarrow{\psi} \Delta-\operatorname{gr}(A)$.
(A) $\psi$ is $1-1$; thus $\psi: B_{d} / \sum_{e<d} B_{e} \rightarrow A_{d} / \sum_{e<d} A_{e}$ is $1-1$. Hence $\phi^{-1}(0) \subseteq$ $B_{0}$. But $\psi: B_{(0)} \rightarrow A_{(0)}$ is $\phi: B_{0} \rightarrow A_{0}$ thus $\phi$ is $1-1$.
(B) Left to the reader.

Proposition 1. Let $(U, G)$ be a spherical representation, $N$ a finite-dimensional $G$-module, $M$ a principal isotropy subgroup of $(U, G), A=U^{M}, W=$ $N_{G}(M) / M, C=S^{\prime}(N)^{M}$ with the filtration introduced above. Let

$$
D=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{s}}\left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma, d(\gamma) \leq n} S^{\prime}(N)_{\gamma}^{M} \otimes S_{n}^{\prime}(A)\right)
$$

Consider the following statements:
(a) $S^{\prime}(U \oplus N)^{G}=D^{W}$ is a polynomial ring,
(b) $D$ is a polynomial ring,
(c) $\operatorname{gr} C$ is a polynomial ring,
(d) $S^{\prime}(N)^{M}$ is a polynomial ring;

Then $(\mathrm{a}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{b}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{c}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{d})$
Proof. (b) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c) : Let $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{s}$ be elements of a basis of $A^{*}$. As they form a regular sequence in $C \otimes S^{\prime}(A)$, they do in $D$. On the other hand, $D$ (and hence, $\operatorname{gr} C$ ) inherits the usual graded structure of $S^{\prime}(N) \otimes S^{\prime}(A)$. With respect to it, let $D_{+}$(resp., $\left.(\operatorname{gr} C)_{+}\right)$be the maximal homogeneous ideal of $D$ (resp., of $\operatorname{gr} C)$. Thanks to Lemma 2 we have the following exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow\left\langle H_{1}, \ldots, H_{s}\right\rangle \rightarrow D_{+} /\left(D_{+}\right)^{2} \rightarrow(\operatorname{gr} C)_{+} /\left((\operatorname{gr} C)_{+}\right)^{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

Moreover, $D$ is regular iff Krull $\operatorname{dim} D=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} D_{+} /\left(D_{+}\right)^{2}$ (idem for $\mathrm{gr} C$ ). Let $J$ be the ideal of $D$ generated by $A^{*}$; as it is generated by a regular sequence, $\operatorname{ht}(J)=s$; since $D$ is an integral $\mathbb{C}$-algebra of finite type

$$
\text { Krull } \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{gr} C)+\operatorname{ht}(J)=\text { Krull dim } D
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Krull } \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{gr} C)+\operatorname{ht}(J) & \leq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\operatorname{gr} C)_{+} /\left((\operatorname{gr} C)_{+}\right)^{2}+s \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} D_{+} /\left(D_{+}\right)^{2} \geq \text { Krull } \operatorname{dim} D
\end{aligned}
$$

and the announced equivalence follows easily.
(a) $\Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{b})$ From the proof of $(\mathrm{b}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{c})$ it follows that there exist $j(1), \ldots$, $j(s) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{s}, f_{i} \in E(N, M, j(i))$ for each $i$, such that

$$
D=\mathbb{C}\left[f_{i} H^{j(i)}, J_{1}, \ldots, H_{s}\right]
$$

where if $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{s}, H^{j}=\prod_{k} H_{k}^{j(k)}$. But the $f_{i} H^{j(i)}$ are $W$-invariants (see the proof of Theorem 1); hence

$$
D^{W}=\mathbb{C}\left[f_{i} H^{j(i)}, H_{1}^{\nu(1)}, \ldots, H_{s}^{\nu(s)}\right]
$$

for some integers $\nu(i)$.
(c) $\Rightarrow$ (d) follows from Lemma 3.

Remark 4. The implication $(\mathrm{a}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{d})$ is a particular case of the Luna Slice Etale Theorem application to Invariant Theory, see [KPV]. (b) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c) is a standard fact in commutative algebra; however it provides the interesting implication (c) $\Rightarrow(a)$. A word of caution: it does not follow from the proof of $(a) \Leftrightarrow(b)$ that $D$ is a polynomial ring over $\mathbb{C}\left[f_{i} H^{j(i)}\right]$; see [A2].

## V. The characterization of the associated graded ring

Now let $(U, G)$ be a spherical representation, $\Delta=\mathbb{N}_{0}^{s}, \Delta-\operatorname{gr} S^{\prime}(N)^{M}=$ $\Delta-\mathrm{gr} C$ as in example (i). We shall make the following hypothesis:
(T) There exist a closed subgroup $P$ of $G$ and for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, a "natural" isomorphism of vector spaces

$$
\gamma^{M} \xrightarrow{\phi} \gamma^{P}, \quad \phi=\phi(\gamma),
$$

satisfying: for each finite-dimensional $G$-module $N$, the bijective isomorphism

$$
\Delta-\operatorname{gr} C \rightarrow S^{\prime}(N)^{P}
$$

given by the $\phi(\gamma)$ is actually an isomorphism of $k$-algebras.
Now let $\left(U_{1}, G_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(U_{j}, G_{j}\right)$ be spherical representations and let $(U, G)$ $=\left(U_{1}, G_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus\left(U_{j}, G_{j}\right), M=M_{1} \times \cdots \times M_{j}, P=P_{1} \times \cdots \times P_{j}$, etc. Let us assume that $\left(U_{i}, G_{i}\right)$ satisfies (T) for $i=1, \ldots, j$. If $\gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma=\gamma_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_{j}$, we define

$$
\gamma^{M} \xrightarrow{\phi} \gamma^{P}, \quad \phi=\phi(\gamma)=\phi\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi\left(\gamma_{j}\right) .
$$

Then we have
Lemma 4. $(U, G), P,\{\phi(\gamma): \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ satisfies (T).
Proof. It suffices to treat the case $j=2$. If $s_{i}$ is the rank of $\left(U_{i}, G_{i}\right), s=s_{1}+s_{2}$ is the rank of $(U, G)$. Thus if $t \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{S}$, we shall denote $t=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ in the obvious way. Let $N$ be a finite dimensional $G$-module. We introduce the following notation:

$$
E(N, M, t)=\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma, m(\gamma)=t} S^{\prime}(N)_{\gamma}^{M} .
$$

If $t=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ observe that $E(N, M, t) \subseteq E\left(N, M_{i}, t_{i}\right)$ when we consider $N$ as $G_{i}$-module via the inclusion in the $i$-factor. Moreover $\gamma_{1}^{M_{1}} \otimes \gamma_{2}^{M_{2}} \rightarrow$ $\gamma_{1}^{P_{1}} \otimes \gamma_{2}^{P_{2}}$ is given by the composition $\left(\operatorname{Id} \otimes \phi\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right) \circ\left(\phi\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)$. Let us denote $\phi_{1}=\left(\phi\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)$, and similarly $\phi_{2}$.

Now let $f \in E(N, M, t), g \in E(N, M, r), f \cdot g=h_{0}+\cdots+h_{t+r}$ with $h_{l} \in E(N, M, l)$. Certainly, if $h_{l} \neq 0, l \leq t+r$. That is $l_{i} \leq t_{i}+r_{i}, i=1,2$. Hence $\phi_{1}(f) \phi_{1}(g)=\sum_{j: j_{1}=t_{1}+r_{1}} \phi_{1}\left(h_{j}\right)$, looking at $N$ as $G_{1}$-module.

Then $\phi_{1}(f) \in E\left(N, M_{2}, t_{2}\right), \phi_{1}(g) \in E\left(N, M_{2}, r_{2}\right)$, and

$$
\phi_{2}\left(\phi_{1}(f)\right) \cdot \phi_{2}\left(\phi_{1}(g)\right)=\phi_{2}\left(\phi_{1}\left(h_{t+r}\right)\right),
$$

i.e., $\phi(f) \cdot \phi(g)=\phi\left(h_{t+r}\right)$.

Now let $(U, G)$ be a spherical representation and let $G^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f} G$ be a finite covering. Then we may also consider the spherical representation ( $U, G^{\prime}$ ). Furthermore, if there exists a subgroup $P$ of $G$ satisfying the hypothesis (T), then the inverse image $P^{\prime}$ of $P$ in $G^{\prime}$ satisfies (T) for ( $U, G^{\prime}$ ). (Alternatively, replace $N$ by the $G$-variety $N / \operatorname{Ker} f$; it corresponds to $S^{\prime}(N)^{\operatorname{Ker} f}=$ $\left.\bigoplus_{\gamma \in G^{\wedge}} S^{\prime}(N)_{\gamma}.\right)$

We shall give a characterization, for $\gamma \in G^{\wedge}$, of $m(\gamma)$. We begin showing that every spherical representation of rank one is visible, i.e., the unstable cone has only a finite number of orbits, if $G$ is connected.
Theorem 3 [Se, 6.2]. If $G$ is a connected reductive linear group and $Y$ is an irreducible affine $G$-variety such that $\mathbb{C}[Y]$ contains each $\gamma \in G^{\wedge}$ at most once, then $G$ has only a finite number of orbits in $Y$.
Lemma 5. Let $(U, L)$ be an irreducible representation of a connected algebraic reductive group $L$ such that $S^{\prime}(U)^{L}$ is a polynomial ring generated by a single
invariant, say $J$. Let $\pi: U \rightarrow U / L$ be the application associated to the inclusion, let $\mathfrak{N}=\mathfrak{N}(U, L)=\pi^{-1}(\pi(0))$ be the unstable cone. Then $\mathfrak{N}$ is irreducible and the ideal associated to $\mathfrak{N}$ is $S^{\prime}(U) J$.
Proof. Note that $\mathfrak{N}$ is the zero set of $J$. If $L$ is semisimple, a standard argument shows that $J$ is irreducible in $S^{\prime}(U)$ : let $J=p_{1} \cdots p_{s}$ be the factorization of $J$ in primes; then for each $i$ there exists a character $\chi_{i}$ of $L$ such that for every $k \in L, k \cdot p_{i}=\chi_{i}(k) p_{i}$, but $L$ has no nontrivial characters. But in our case, as $(U, L)$ is irreducible, the "nonsemisimple" part of $L$ acts on $U$ by a single character $\chi$; hence $\chi_{i}=\chi^{\operatorname{deg} p_{i}}$. It follows that the image of $\chi$ is finite, but $L$ is connected.

Proposition 2. Let $(U, G)$ be a spherical representation of rank one, $G$ connected. Then $\mathfrak{N}(U, G)$ contains only a finite number of orbits. Moreover, for each $x \in \mathfrak{N},\left(G, G^{x}\right)$ is a spherical pair.
Proof. We want to apply the above-quoted theorem of Servedio to $Y=\mathfrak{N}$. We only need to observe that the restriction $S^{\prime}(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{N}]$ induces an epimorphism $H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{N}]$. (Recall the decomposition $S^{\prime}(U)=S^{\prime}(U)^{G} \otimes H$.) The second assertion follows from [VK, Corollary 3].

Remark 5. The last result reduces drastically the list of candidates of possible spherical representations; see [Kc]. We now generalize an argument of Kostant:

Let $(U, L)$ be a cofree representation of an algebraic reductive group $L$, $\pi$ as in Lemma 5 . Let $H$ be a homogeneous subspace of $S^{\prime}(U)$ such that $S^{\prime}(U)=S^{\prime}(U)^{L} \otimes H$. We set $F(\gamma)=\operatorname{Hom}_{L}(\gamma, H)$, for $\gamma \in L^{\wedge}$ and we fix $\gamma$ such that $F\left(\gamma^{*}\right) \neq 0$. Let $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}$ be the degrees of homogeneity of $\gamma^{*}$ in $H ; m=\operatorname{dim} F\left(\gamma^{*}\right)$. We shall assume that the ideal $S^{\prime}(U)_{+}^{L} \cdot S^{\prime}(U)$ is prime and that there exists an $x \in \mathfrak{N}(U, L)$ such that the closure of the orbit $L \cdot x$ is $\mathfrak{N}$. Now let $P=L^{x}, P^{\prime}=$ normalizer of $\mathbb{C} x$ in $L ; P^{\prime}$ acts in $\mathbb{C} x$ via a character $\chi$. Finally, for any $y \in U, \sigma \in F\left(\gamma^{*}\right), \alpha \in \gamma^{*}$, let $\beta_{y}: F\left(\gamma^{*}\right) \rightarrow \gamma G^{y}$ be given by

$$
\left\langle\beta_{y}(\sigma), \alpha\right\rangle=\sigma(\alpha)(y)
$$

Proposition 3. $\beta_{x}$ is one-to-one; moreover, $P^{\prime}$ acts in $\operatorname{Im} \beta_{x}$ decomposing it in $P^{\prime}$-submodules of dimension one and the associated characters are precisely of the form $\chi^{d_{1}}, \ldots, \chi^{d_{m}}$. Finally, the $d_{i}$ 's are determined by this fact.
Proof. The injectivity of $\beta_{x}$ and the following identity, from which the second assertion is deduced, can be found for example in $[\mathrm{K}]$ :

$$
\text { for all } a \in G: \quad a \cdot \beta_{x}(\sigma)=\beta_{a \cdot x}(\sigma)
$$

Now $L \cdot x$ is open in $\mathfrak{N}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{x} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$; thus $P^{\prime} \cdot x=L \cdot x \cap \mathbb{C} x$ is a nonempty open subset of $\mathbb{C} x$ and hence the image of $\chi$ cannot be finite.

If $(U, G)$ is a spherical representation of rank one and $x \in \mathfrak{N}(U, G)$ is such that $L x$ is dense in $\mathfrak{N}, \beta_{x}$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, because $(G, P)$ is a spherical pair. Clearly $\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma: \gamma^{P} \neq 0\right\} \supseteq \Gamma$; so let us assume that the equality holds. This is true if for example, the codimension in $\mathfrak{N}$ of $(\mathfrak{N}-G x)$ is $\geq 2$ (see [K]: $\mathfrak{N}$ is normal since it is an irreducible hypersurface). For those spherical representations of simple groups, one can check that the condition is fulfilled in view of [Po3]. On the other hand, $\beta_{y}$ is
also an isomorphism for every $y \in U^{M}-0$. Fix such a $y$. We define $\phi=\phi(\gamma)$ by making commutative the following diagram:


Remark 6. Let us assume that the codimension in $\mathfrak{N}$ of $(\mathfrak{N}-G x)$ is $\geq 2$. Then the equality $\left\{\rho \in G^{\wedge}: \rho^{M} \neq 0\right\}=\left\{\rho \in G^{\wedge}: \rho^{P} \neq 0\right\}$ can be viewed as a generalization of the well-known Cartan-Helgason Theorem. Indeed, when $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathfrak{s o}(n, 1)$ the claimed equality is a consequence of Cartan-Helgason.
Theorem 4. The isomorphisms $\{\phi(\gamma): \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ give rise to an isomorphism of algebras between $\operatorname{gr}\left(\mathbb{C}[X]^{M}\right)$ and $\mathbb{C}[X]^{P}$, for every $G$-variety $X$.
Proof. We shall denote by $h^{\sharp}$ the image of $h \in \tau^{M}$ by $\phi$. Let $f \in \gamma^{M}$, $g \in \lambda^{M}$. We have

$$
\gamma \otimes \lambda=\delta_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \delta_{t}, \quad \delta_{i} \in G^{\wedge}
$$

Let us decompose $f \otimes g=h_{1}+\cdots+h_{r}+\cdots+h_{s}, r \leq s \leq t, h_{i} \in \delta_{i}-0$. Reordering the index set if necessary, we may assume $m\left(\delta_{i}\right)=m(\gamma)+m(\lambda)$ if $i \leq r$ and $m\left(\delta_{i}\right)<m(\gamma)+m(\lambda)$ if $r<i \leq s$. We only need to check that $f^{\sharp} \otimes g^{\sharp}=h_{1}^{\sharp}+\cdots+h_{r}^{\sharp}$. Let $\mathscr{A} \in F\left(\gamma^{*}\right), \mathscr{B} \in F\left(\lambda^{*}\right), \mathscr{C}_{i} \in F\left(\delta_{i}^{*}\right)$ corresponding to $f, g, h_{i}$. This means, for example, that if $u \in \gamma^{*}$

$$
\langle f, u\rangle=\mathscr{A}(u)(y), \quad\left\langle f^{\sharp}, u\right\rangle=\mathscr{A}(u)(x) .
$$

So let $u \in \gamma^{*}, v \in \lambda^{*}$; with the above identification, there exist $w_{i} \in \delta_{i}^{*}$ such that

$$
u \otimes v=w_{1}+\cdots+w_{t}
$$

Hence

$$
\langle f \otimes g, u \otimes v\rangle=\left\langle h_{1}, w_{1}\right\rangle+\cdots+\left\langle h_{r}, w_{r}\right\rangle+\cdots+\left\langle h_{s}, w_{s}\right\rangle
$$

i.e.,

$$
\mathscr{A}(u)(y) \mathscr{B}(v)(y)=\mathscr{C}_{1}\left(w_{1}\right)(y)+\cdots+\mathscr{C}_{r}\left(w_{r}\right)(y)+\cdots+\mathscr{C}_{s}\left(w_{s}\right)(y) .
$$

Let us denote by $J$ a homogeneous generator of $S^{\prime}(U)^{G}$. As $\mathscr{C}_{i}\left(w_{i}\right) \in H$ for all $i$, there exist integers $d_{r+1}, \ldots, d_{s}$ such that $J^{d_{i}} \mathscr{C}_{i}\left(w_{i}\right)$ is homogeneous of degree $m(\gamma)+m(\lambda)$. Put $j_{i}=\left(J^{d_{i}}(y)\right)^{-1}$. Hence $\mathscr{A}(u) \mathscr{B}(v)$ and

$$
\mathscr{C}_{1}\left(w_{1}\right)+\cdots+\mathscr{C}_{r}\left(w_{r}\right)+j_{r+1} J^{d_{r+1}} \mathscr{C}_{r+1}\left(w_{r+1}\right) \cdots+j_{s} J^{d_{s}} \mathscr{C}_{s}\left(w_{s}\right)
$$

are homogeneous polynomials which agree on $y$, hence on $k \cdot y$ for all $k \in G$; if they agree on $z$, they do on $t z$ for all $t \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. It follows that they agree on the whole of $U$. In particular, as $x \in \mathfrak{N}=\{z \in U: J(z)=0\}$, we have

$$
\mathscr{A}(u)(x) \mathscr{B}(v)(x)=\mathscr{C}_{1}\left(w_{1}\right)(x)+\cdots+\mathscr{C}_{r}\left(w_{r}\right)(x)
$$

i.e.,

$$
\left\langle f^{\sharp} \otimes g^{\sharp}, u \otimes v\right\rangle=\left\langle h_{1}^{\sharp}, w_{1}\right\rangle+\cdots+\left\langle h_{r}^{\sharp}, w_{r}\right\rangle .
$$

Thanks to Lemma 4, Theorem 4 generalizes to spherical representations of arbitrary rank, provided that the hypothesis discussed above is fulfilled for each factor of $G$. Theorem 4 combined with Proposition 1 gives:

Theorem 5. Let $(U, G)$ be a spherical representation, $N$ a finite-dimensional $G$-module, $x \in \mathfrak{N}(U, G)$ such that the closure of the orbit $G \cdot x$ is $\mathfrak{N}$ and the codimension in $\mathfrak{N}$ of $(\mathfrak{N}-G x)$ is $\geq 2$. Now let $P=G^{x}$. Then $S^{\prime}(U \oplus N)^{G}$ is a polynomial ring if and only if $S^{\prime}(N)^{P}$ is a polynomial ring.

## VI. Some examples

Let us retain the notation of the introduction. As a first application, we have:
Theorem 6. $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{g})^{K}$ is a polynomial ring if $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathfrak{s o}(n, 1)$ or $\mathfrak{s u}(n, 1)$.
Proof. We observe that Theorems $1,2,4$, and 5 apply if $(U, G)$ is $(\mathfrak{p}, K)$ and $A$ is a one-dimensional Cartan subspace, even if $A \neq \mathfrak{p}^{M}$ with the following slight modification:

$$
\operatorname{gr}\left(\mathbb{C}[X]^{M}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}[X]_{\Gamma}^{P}=\oplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathbb{C}[X]_{\gamma}^{P}
$$

Now if $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathfrak{s o}(n, 1)$ then we may assume that $K=S O(n, \mathbb{C})$; it is known that $\mathfrak{p}$ is the natural representation. Let $E \in \mathfrak{p}$ be a highest weight vector, with respect to a fixed Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ of $\mathfrak{k}$. We claim that $E \in \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p}, K)$ and that $K E$ has maximal dimension. The first is clear: $0=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0, t \in \mathbb{C}^{*}} \Lambda(t) E$, where $\psi$ is the highest weight of $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\Lambda$ is the one parameter subgroup dual to $\psi$. It is known that $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p}, K)=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{p}-\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{p} / K=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{p}-1$ so for the second it suffices to prove that $\operatorname{dim} K E=\operatorname{dimp}-1$, that is

$$
\operatorname{dim} K-\operatorname{dim} K^{E}=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{p}-1
$$

which is equivalent in our case to

$$
\operatorname{dim} K^{E}=\left(n^{2}-n\right) / 2-n+1
$$

or even to $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{k}^{E}=\left(n^{2}-n\right) / 2-n+1$, which is very easy to verify. So let us put $P=K^{E}$. Clearly $P \supseteq N$ where $N$ is the maximal unipotent subgroup corresponding to $\mathfrak{n}$. Let us denote by $V(\tau)$ the irreducible $\mathfrak{k}$-module of highest weight $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$. We claim that

$$
\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in K^{\wedge}: \gamma=V(j \psi), j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right\}
$$

and that if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ then $\gamma^{P}=\gamma^{N}$. This second statement is clearly true. Let $\Psi$ be the character of Adh corresponding to $\psi$, i.e., $\Psi=\exp \psi$. Clearly $P \supseteq \operatorname{Ker} \Psi$; if $f \in S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{p})_{\psi}^{N}$ then $f^{j} \in S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{p})_{j \psi}^{N}$ and hence $\Gamma \supseteq\left\{\gamma \in K^{\wedge}: \gamma=\right.$ $\left.V(j \psi), j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right\}$. But if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ has highest weight $\xi$ and $\Xi=\exp \xi$, then $\gamma^{N}$ is stabilized by $\operatorname{Ker} \Xi \cdot \operatorname{Ker} \Psi$ and the other inclusion follows. Thus

$$
S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})^{P}=\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})_{\gamma}^{N}=\bigoplus_{j \geq 0} S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})_{j \psi}^{N}=\bigoplus_{j \geq 0} S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})_{2 j \psi}^{N},
$$

because we know from [ $K$ ] that an irreducible representation arises in the coordinate ring of the adjoint representation if and only if its highest weight lives in the root lattice. Now a theorem of Levstein (see Theorem 7 below) guarantees that $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})^{P}$ is a polynomial ring; we conclude from Theorem 5 that $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{g})^{K}$ is a polynomial ring too.

On the other hand, if $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathfrak{s u}(n, 1)$ then $\mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{s l}(n, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}$ and it is well known that $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{p}_{-} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{+}$where $\mathfrak{s l}(n, \mathbb{C})$ (resp., $\mathbb{C}$ ) acts in $\mathfrak{p}_{+}$via the natural
representation (respectively, via a nontrivial character) and $\mathfrak{p}_{-}$is the dual of $\mathfrak{p}_{+}$. In fact, one can choose a realization as follows: $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s l}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{k}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & 0 \\
0 & a
\end{array}\right): A \in \mathfrak{g l}(n, \mathbb{C}), \operatorname{tr} A+a=0\right\}, \\
& \mathfrak{p}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & u \\
v & 0
\end{array}\right): u \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times 1}, v \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times n}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ be the Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}$ of upper triangular matrices in $\mathfrak{k}$, where $\mathfrak{h}$ are the diagonal matrices in $\mathfrak{k}$, and let $E_{+} \in \mathfrak{p}_{+}$(resp., $E_{-} \in \mathfrak{p}_{-}$) be given by $u=e_{1}, v=0$ (resp., $u=0, v=e_{n}$ ). We claim that $E=E_{+}+E_{-} \in$ $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p}, K)$ and that $K E$ has maximal dimension. The first statement is easy and the second will follow from

$$
\operatorname{dim} K^{E}=n^{2}-2 n+1,
$$

or even from

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{k}^{E}=n^{2}-2 n+1
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{k}^{E} & =\left\{Z \in \mathfrak{k}: Z E_{+}+Z E_{-}=0\right\} \\
& =\left\{Z=Z_{1}+Z_{2} \in \mathfrak{k}: Z_{1} E_{+}+Z_{2} E_{+}=Z_{1} E_{-}-Z_{2} E_{-}=0\right\} \\
& =\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
z & { }^{t} u & w & 0 \\
0 & A & v & 0 \\
0 & 0 & z & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & z
\end{array}\right) \in \mathfrak{k}: A \in \mathfrak{g l}(n-2, \mathbb{C}), z \in \mathbb{C}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

which has the necessary dimension. Thus

$$
S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})^{P}=S^{\prime}([\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}])_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{P} \otimes S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{c})
$$

where $\mathfrak{c}$ is the one-dimensional center and $\Gamma^{\prime}=\left\{\gamma \in \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})^{\wedge}: \gamma \otimes \mathrm{id} \in \Gamma\right\}$. Let $\psi$ (resp., $\psi^{*}$ ) be the dominant weight of the natural representation (resp., of its dual). We claim that

$$
\Gamma^{\prime}=\left\{V\left(j\left(\psi+\psi^{*}\right)\right), j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right\}
$$

and that if $\gamma \in \Gamma^{\prime}$ then $\gamma^{P}=\gamma^{N}$. In fact, the second assertion is easy and we can show that $V\left(j\left(\psi+\psi^{*}\right)\right) \in \Gamma^{\prime}$ by induction on $j$. The other inclusion follows as above; again, Levstein's result and Theorem 5 guarantee that $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{g})^{K}$ is a polynomial ring.

Theorem 7. Let $\mathfrak{l}$ be a classical simple complex Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{b}$ a Borel subalgebra and let $\psi\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.\psi^{*}\right)$ be the highest weight (with respect to $\mathfrak{b}$ ) of the natural representation of $\mathfrak{l}$ (resp., of its dual). Let $\mathfrak{u}=[\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}] \oplus \operatorname{Ker}\left(\psi+\psi^{*}\right)$. Then $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{l})^{u}$ is a polynomial ring.
Proof. See [L].
Remark 7. It was shown in [A3] that these are the only cases for which $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{g})^{K}$ is regular. Moreover, Theorem 6 was proved in [C] by geometric considerations; and the coregularity of $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ when $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathfrak{s o}(n, 1)$ (resp., $\mathfrak{s u}(n, 1)$ ) is also proved in [AG, B, Sch] (resp., [J]).

In order to get a second application let us recall the following:

Theorem 8. $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{p})^{P}$ is a polynomial ring if $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is classical of rank one.
Proof. See [BT, Theorem 3.14].
Theorem 5 says that this is equivalent to
Theorem 9. $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{p})^{K}$ is a polynomial ring if $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is classical of rank one.
Remark 8. Theorem 9 follows from classical invariant theory if $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathfrak{s o}(n, 1)$ or $\mathfrak{s u}(n, 1)$; so in this case Theorem 8 can be deduced from Theorem 5. On the other hand, Theorem 9 seems to be new if $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathfrak{s p}(n, 1)$. More explicitly, let $V_{n}$ be the natural representation of $\mathfrak{s p}(n, \mathbb{C})$; then

$$
S^{\prime}\left(\left(V_{n} \otimes V_{1}\right) \oplus\left(V_{n} \otimes V_{1}\right)\right)^{\mathbf{S P}(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbf{S P}(1, \mathbb{C})}
$$

is regular.
We conclude this section by giving an explicit presentation of the ring $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{g})^{K}$ when $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathfrak{s p}(2,1)$. The case $\mathfrak{s p}(1,1) \simeq \mathfrak{s o}(4,1)$ is covered by Theorem 6 ; it turns out (at least as far as we know) that $\mathfrak{s p}(2,1)$ is the first nonregular $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ of rank one computed in the literature. The strategy is as follows: first we compute $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})^{P}$, where $P$ is the isotropy subgroup of a nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{p}$ whose orbit has maximal dimension. It turns out that it is a hypersurface; from Theorem 4 we can conclude that $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})^{M}$ is also a hypersurface. Then we compute the generators and the relation of $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})^{M}$. Using this information and Theorem 1, we give the generators and relation of the image by the restriction morphism of $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{g})^{K}$. The details of these last two computations were presented in [A2].

Let us fix some notation. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s p}(3, \mathbb{C}) \\
& \quad=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
Z_{1} & Z_{2} \\
Z_{3} & Z_{4}
\end{array}\right)=Z: Z_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3}, Z_{1}=-{ }^{t} Z_{4}, Z_{2}, Z_{3} \text { symmetric }\right\}, \\
& \mathfrak{k}=\left\{Z \in \mathfrak{g}: Z_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & \alpha
\end{array}\right), Z_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B & 0 \\
0 & \beta
\end{array}\right), Z_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
C & 0 \\
0 & \gamma
\end{array}\right), A, B, C \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in\right. \\
& \mathbb{C}\} \simeq \mathfrak{k}_{1} \times \mathfrak{k}_{2} \text { where } \mathfrak{k}_{1}=\mathfrak{s p}(2, \mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{k}_{2}=\mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{C}) . \text { We denote the entries of } \\
& A, B, C \text { as } a_{1}, \ldots, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, c_{1}, \text { etc. where }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{2} \\
a_{3} & a_{4}
\end{array}\right), \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b_{1} & b_{2} \\
b_{2} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right), \quad C=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
c_{1} & c_{2} \\
c_{2} & c_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We will think of the $a_{i}, b_{j}$, etc. as elements of $\left(\mathfrak{k}_{1}\right)^{*}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K=\{ & \left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T & Q \\
Z & V
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & y \\
v & z
\end{array}\right)\right): T, Q, V, Z \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2} \cdot t, y, v, z \in \mathbb{C} \\
& \text { s.t. } \left.{ }^{t} T V-{ }^{t} Z Q=I,{ }^{t} T Z-{ }^{t} Z T=0={ }^{t} Q V-{ }^{t} V Q, t z-y v=1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a $\mathfrak{k}_{1} \times \mathfrak{k}_{2}$-module, $\mathfrak{p}$ is then isomorphic to $\lambda_{1}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{1}\right) \otimes \lambda_{1}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{2}\right)$, where $\lambda_{1}$ means in each case the natural representation on $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ or $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. (This is in general true for $\mathfrak{s p}(n, 1)$, where $\mathfrak{k}_{1}=\mathfrak{s p}(n, \mathbb{C})$, etc.). We shall denote $\mathfrak{p}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}u & x \\ r & w\end{array}\right)\right\}=\mathbb{C}^{4 \times 2}$, where the action is given by

$$
(x, y) \cdot P=x P-P \mathscr{y} \quad\left(x \in \mathfrak{k}_{1}, y \in \mathfrak{k}_{2}, P \in \mathfrak{p}\right) .
$$

Furthermore, if $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ is given by $u=w=e_{1}, r=x=0$, then we can choose $\mathfrak{a}=\mathbb{C} \cdot X$ and hence $M$ is the connected subgroup of $K$ corresponding to

$$
\mathfrak{m}=\left\{\left(\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha & 0 & \beta & 0 \\
0 & a & 0 & b \\
\gamma & 0 & -\alpha & 0 \\
0 & c & 0 & -a
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha & \beta \\
\gamma & -\alpha
\end{array}\right)\right) \in \mathfrak{k}: \alpha, \beta, \gamma, a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}\right\},
$$

$\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{1} \times \mathfrak{m}_{2}$, where $m_{i} \simeq \mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{C}), i=1,2$, in an evident way.
As an $M$-module, $\mathfrak{k}_{1}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ad} \mathfrak{m}_{1}+A d \mathfrak{m}_{2}+\mathfrak{p}^{\sim}$, where

$$
\mathfrak{p}^{\sim}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{k}_{1}: a_{1}=a_{4}=b_{1}=b_{3}=c_{1}=c_{3}=0\right\} .
$$

Remark 9. $\left(\mathfrak{k}_{1}, M\right)=(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ for $\mathfrak{s p}(1,1) \simeq \mathfrak{s o}(4,1)$; as we noted above, $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{g})^{K}$ is a polynomial ring in 4 variables.

We shall also fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}=\mathfrak{t}_{1} \times \mathfrak{t}_{2} \subseteq \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{t}_{i} \subseteq \mathfrak{k}_{i}$ are the diagonal matrices.

Finally, let $Y \in \mathfrak{p}$ be given by $u=e_{1}, x=e_{2}, w=r=0$. Let

$$
\gamma_{t}=\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T & 0 \\
0 & T^{-1}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) \in K
$$

with $T=t I, t \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\gamma_{t} \cdot Y$ is given by $u=t e_{1}, x=t e_{2}, w=r=0$ and this shows that $Y$ is nilpotent.

The isotropy subgroup of $Y$ in $K$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=\left\{\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T & Q \\
0 & V
\end{array}\right),\right.\right.\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
t & y \\
v & z
\end{array}\right)\right): T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
t & y \\
v & z
\end{array}\right), Q=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
e & f \\
g & h
\end{array}\right) \\
&\left.V=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z & -v \\
-y & t
\end{array}\right), t g-v e=z f-y h, t z-y v=1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\operatorname{dim} K Y=\operatorname{dim} K-\operatorname{dim} P$ is maximal, we conclude that $Y$ is principal nilpotent. It is easy to see that $P=R H$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=\left\{\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T & 0 \\
0 & V
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & y \\
v & z
\end{array}\right)\right): T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & y \\
v & z
\end{array}\right),\right. \\
&\left.V=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z & -v \\
-y & t
\end{array}\right), t z-y v=1\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
H=\left\{\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & Q \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right): Q=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
e & f \\
f & h
\end{array}\right)\right\} .
$$

In other words, $H$ is the (abelian, three-dimensional) unipotent radical of $P$ and $R$ (a copy of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ ) is a Levi factor.

Our first step is to compute $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})^{H} \simeq S^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{1}\right)^{H} \otimes S^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{2}\right)$. The action of $H$ in $\mathfrak{k}_{1}$ is of course given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & Q \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
C & -{ }^{t} A
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & -Q \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lr}
A+Q C & B-Q^{t} A-A Q-Q C Q \\
C & -C Q-{ }^{t} A
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus $\left(\begin{array}{cc}I & -Q \\ 0 & I\end{array}\right)$ acts as follows in $S^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{1}\right)$ (if $Q=\left(\begin{array}{cc}e & f \\ f & h\end{array}\right)$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1} & \mapsto a_{1}+e c_{1}+f c_{2} \\
a_{2} & \mapsto a_{2}+e c_{2}+f c_{3} \\
a_{3} & \mapsto a_{3}+f c_{1}+h c_{2} \\
a_{4} & \mapsto a_{4}+f c_{2}+h c_{3} \\
c_{i} & \mapsto c_{i}, i=1,2,3 \\
b_{1} & \mapsto b_{1}-2\left(e a_{1}+f a_{2}\right)-\left(e^{2} c_{1}+2 e f c_{2}+f^{2} c_{3}\right) \\
b_{2} & \mapsto b_{2}-\left(f a_{1}+h a_{2}+e a_{3}+f a_{4}\right)-\left(e f c_{1}+\left(f^{2}+e h\right) c_{2}+f h c_{3}\right) \\
b_{3} & \mapsto b_{3}-2\left(f a_{3}+h a_{4}\right)-\left(f^{2} c_{1}+2 f h c_{2}+h^{2} c_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us introduce the following polynomials in $\mathfrak{k}_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta= & c_{2}^{2}-c_{1} c_{3} \\
\vartheta_{1}= & a_{4} c_{2}+a_{2} c_{1}-a_{1} c_{2}-a_{3} c_{3} \\
\vartheta_{2}= & b_{1} \Delta-a_{1}^{2} c_{3}+2 a_{1} a_{2} c_{2}-a_{2}^{2} c_{1} \\
\vartheta_{3}= & \Delta\left(b_{2} c_{2}+a_{2} a_{3}\right)-\left(a_{2} c_{1}-a_{1} c_{2}\right)\left(a_{4} c_{2}-a_{3} c_{3}\right) \\
\vartheta_{4}= & \Delta\left(b_{3} c_{2}^{2}-a_{3}^{2} c_{3}+2 a_{3} a_{4} c_{2}\right) \\
& +c_{1}\left[\left(a_{2} c_{1}-a_{1} c_{2}\right)^{2}+2\left(a_{2} c_{1}-a_{1} c_{2}\right)\left(a_{4} c_{2}-a_{3} c_{3}\right)\right] \\
\vartheta_{5}= & \Delta\left(b_{2} c_{1} c_{3}-a_{1} a_{4} c_{2}+a_{2} a_{4} c_{1}+a_{1} a_{3} c_{3}\right) \\
& -c_{2}\left(a_{2} c_{1}-a_{1} c_{2}\right)\left(a_{4} c_{2}-a_{3} c_{3}\right) \\
\vartheta_{6}= & \Delta\left(b_{3} c_{3}+a_{4}^{2}\right)+\left(a_{2} c_{1}-a_{1} c_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& +2\left(a_{2} c_{1}-a_{1} c_{2}\right)\left(a_{4} c_{2}-a_{3} c_{3}\right) \\
\vartheta_{7}= & \Delta b_{2}+a_{2} a_{3}\left(c_{2}-c_{3}\right)+a_{1} a_{4}\left(c_{2}-c_{1}\right) \\
\vartheta_{8}= & \Delta b_{3}-\left(a_{3}^{2} c_{3}-2 a_{3} a_{4} c_{2}+a_{4}^{2} c_{1}\right) \\
\vartheta_{9}= & \operatorname{det}=\Delta\left(b_{2}^{2}-b_{1} b_{3}\right)+b_{1}\left(a_{3}^{2} c_{3}-2 a_{3} a_{4} c_{2}+a_{4}^{2} c_{1}\right) \\
& +2 b_{2}\left(a_{1} a_{4} c_{2}+a_{2} a_{3} c_{2}-a_{2} a_{4} c_{1}-a_{1} a_{3} c_{3}\right) \\
& +b_{3}\left(a_{2}^{2} c_{1}+a_{1}^{2} c_{3}-2 a_{1} a_{2} c_{2}\right)+\left(a_{1} a_{4}-a_{2} a_{3}\right)^{2} \\
\vartheta_{10}= & b_{1} c_{1}+2 b_{2} c_{2}+b_{3} c_{3}+a_{1}^{2}+2 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 4. $S^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{1}\right)^{H}$ is generated by the polynomials $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \vartheta_{1}, \vartheta_{2}, \vartheta_{7}$, $\vartheta_{8}, \vartheta_{9}, \vartheta_{10}$.
Proof. A fastidious computation shows that the $\vartheta_{i}$ 's are invariants. (In the course of the proof, we will give some indications of how to get them.) Now for ( $a_{i}, b_{j}, c_{k}$ ) in a suitable open subset of $\mathfrak{k}_{1}$, the orbit $H\left(a_{i}, b_{j}, c_{k}\right)$ intersects the subspace given by $a_{1}=0, a_{2}=0, a_{3}=0$ at the point

$$
\left(0,0,0, c_{2}^{-1} \vartheta_{1}, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \Delta^{-1} \vartheta_{2},\left(c_{2} \Delta\right)^{-1} \vartheta_{3},\left(c_{2}^{2} \Delta\right)^{-1} \vartheta_{4}\right)
$$

Similarly, for $\left(a_{i}, b_{j}, c_{k}\right)$ in another open subset of $\mathfrak{k}_{1}$, the orbit $H\left(a_{i}, b_{j}, c_{k}\right)$ intersects the subspace given by $a_{1}=0, a_{2}=0, a_{4}=0$ at the point

$$
\left(0,0,-c_{3}^{-1} \vartheta_{1}, 0, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \Delta^{-1} \vartheta_{2},\left(c_{1} c_{3} \Delta\right)^{-1} \vartheta_{5},\left(c_{3} \Delta\right)^{-1} \vartheta_{6}\right)
$$

Thus if $f \in S^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{1}\right)^{H}$, there exists nonnegative integers $i, j, \ell, \ell, m$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
c_{2}^{\iota} \Delta f \in \mathbb{C}\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \vartheta_{1}, \vartheta_{2}, \vartheta_{3}, \vartheta_{4}\right] \\
c_{1}^{\ell} c_{3}^{\ell} \Delta^{m} f \in \mathbb{C}\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \vartheta_{1}, \vartheta_{2}, \vartheta_{5}, \vartheta_{6}\right]
\end{array}
$$

and hence

$$
\Delta^{i+j+\ell+\ell+m} f \in \mathbb{C}\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \vartheta_{1}, \vartheta_{2}, \vartheta_{3}, \vartheta_{4}, \vartheta_{5}, \vartheta_{6}\right]
$$

But, as $\vartheta_{3}=c_{2} \vartheta_{7}, \vartheta_{4}=c_{1} \vartheta_{6}+\Delta \vartheta_{8}, \vartheta_{5}=c_{1} c_{3} \vartheta_{7}, \vartheta_{6}+c_{1} \vartheta_{2}+2 c_{2} \vartheta_{7}=\Delta \vartheta_{10}$, we have that for some nonnegative integer $n$ :

$$
\Delta^{n} f \in \mathbb{C}\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \vartheta_{1}, \vartheta_{2}, \vartheta_{7}, \vartheta_{8}, \vartheta_{10}\right]
$$

Let us also remark that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta_{7}^{2}-\vartheta_{2} \vartheta_{8}=\Delta \vartheta_{9}, \quad \vartheta_{1}^{2}+c_{1} \vartheta_{2}+2 c_{2} \vartheta_{7}+c_{3} \vartheta_{8}=\Delta \vartheta_{10} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider the obvious application

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{C}\left[C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{7}, Y_{8}, Y_{9}, Y_{10}\right] \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathbb{C}\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \vartheta_{1}, \vartheta_{2}, \vartheta_{7}, \vartheta_{8}, \vartheta_{9}, \vartheta_{10}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

(where the $C_{i}$ 's, $Y_{j}^{\prime}$ 's, are algebraically independent) and let us also introduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha: \mathbb{C}\left[a_{i}, b_{j}, c_{k}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\left[\theta, \omega, a_{i}, b_{j}\right] \\
& c_{1} \mapsto \theta^{2}, c_{2} \mapsto \theta \omega, c_{3} \mapsto \omega^{2}, a_{i} \mapsto a_{i}, b_{j} \mapsto b_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is not so difficult to see that $\operatorname{Ker} \alpha$ is the principal ideal expanded by $\Delta$. We claim that

$$
\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha \circ \Phi)=\left\langle C_{2}^{2}-C_{1} C_{3}, Y_{1}^{2}+c_{1} Y_{2}+2 c_{2} Y_{7}+c_{3} Y_{8}, Y_{7}^{2}-Y_{2} Y_{8}\right\rangle
$$

$\supseteq$ is clear. For $\subseteq$, let us introduce the auxiliary variables

$$
T_{1}=a_{4} \theta-a_{3} \omega, \quad T_{2}=-a_{2} \theta+a_{1} \omega
$$

Let us observe that $\theta, \omega, T_{1}, T_{2}$ are linearly independent. Thus $\alpha \circ \Phi$ applies:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
C_{1} \mapsto \theta^{2} & Y_{1} \mapsto-\theta T_{2}+\omega T_{1} \quad Y_{7} \mapsto T_{1} T_{2} \\
C_{2} \mapsto \theta \omega & Y_{2} \mapsto-T_{2}^{2} \quad Y_{8} \mapsto-T_{1}^{2} \\
C_{3} \mapsto \omega^{2} & Y_{9} \mapsto b_{1} T_{1}^{2}+2 b_{2} T_{1} T_{2}+b_{3} T_{2}^{2}+\left(a_{1} a_{4}-a_{2} a_{3}\right)^{2} \\
& Y_{10} \mapsto b_{1} \theta^{2}+2 b_{2} \theta \omega+b_{2} \omega^{2}+a_{1}^{2}+2 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

Let $f \in \operatorname{Ker}(\alpha \circ \Phi)$. As the images of $Y_{9}, Y_{10}$ are linearly independent, we can assume that $\ell \in \mathbb{C}\left[C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{7}, Y_{8}\right]$ and even that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f= & P_{1}\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, Y_{2}, Y_{7}, Y_{8}\right) \\
& +P_{2}\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, Y_{2}, Y_{7}, Y_{8}\right) Y_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

But the image of the first summand (resp., the second) is a sum of monomials of total degree in $\theta, \omega$ even (resp., odd) and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, Y_{2}, Y_{7}, Y_{8}\right) \\
& \quad=P_{2}\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, Y_{2}, Y_{7}, Y_{8}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and the claim follows.

Now we are ready to prove the proposition (i.e., that $\Phi$ is surjective). Let $f \in S^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{1}\right)^{H}, n$ a nonnegative integer such that $\Delta^{n} f=\Phi(g)$ for some $g \in$ $\mathbb{C}\left[C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{7}, Y_{8}, Y_{9}, Y_{10}\right]$. If $n=0$ we are done. If not, $g \in$ $\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha \circ \Phi)$ and hence (using (*)):

$$
\Phi(g) \in \operatorname{Im} \Phi \Delta+\operatorname{Im} \Phi\left(\vartheta_{7}^{2}-\vartheta_{2} \vartheta_{8}\right)+\operatorname{Im} \Phi\left(\vartheta_{1}^{2}+c_{1} \vartheta_{2}+2 c_{2} \vartheta_{7}+c_{3} \vartheta_{8}\right)
$$

i.e.,

$$
\Phi(g) \in \operatorname{Im} \Phi \Delta
$$

Thus $\Delta^{n-1} f \in \operatorname{Im} \Phi$ and the proposition follows.
Let us observe now that $\vartheta_{1}, \vartheta_{9}, \vartheta_{10}$ are $R$-invariants. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})^{P} & \simeq\left(S^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{1}\right)^{H} \otimes S^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{2}\right)\right)^{R} \\
& \simeq \mathbb{C}\left[\vartheta_{1}, \vartheta_{9}, \vartheta_{10}\right] \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \vartheta_{2}, \vartheta_{7}, \vartheta_{8}\right] \otimes S^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{2}\right)\right)^{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is not so difficult to see that $\left\langle\vartheta_{2}, \vartheta_{7}, \vartheta_{8}\right\rangle$ is $R$-stable. Let us retain the notation of the above proposition. Considering

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{C}\left[C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, Y_{2}, Y_{7}, Y_{8}\right] \otimes S^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{2}\right) \\
& \quad \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \vartheta_{2}, \vartheta_{7}, \vartheta_{8}\right] \otimes S^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the following theorem by Formanek (see [F]):
Theorem 10. If Ad denotes the irreducible $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$-module of dimension 3, then $S^{\prime}(\mathrm{Ad} \oplus \mathrm{Ad} \oplus \mathrm{Ad})^{\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})}$ is a hypersurface generated by the seven elements $\operatorname{tr}\left(X_{i} X_{j}\right), \operatorname{tr}\left(X_{1} X_{2} X_{3}\right) \quad\left(X_{i}\right.$ in the $i$-copy $)$.

We can conclude
Theorem 11. $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})^{P}$ is a hypersurface generated by 8 homogeneous polynomials $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{8}$ with degrees $2,2,2,2,2,4,4,5$ respectively, satisfying the relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{8}^{2}+ & p_{1} p_{7}^{2}-p_{2}\left(p_{3}^{2}-p_{4} p_{1}+p_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}+p_{1} p_{6} p_{5}^{2} \\
& +4 p_{1}^{2} p_{2} p_{6}+\left(p_{3}^{2}-p_{4} p_{1}+p_{1}^{2}\right) p_{5} p_{7}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. This follows from the explicit description of the generators in Formanek's theorem. Let us remark that a naive application of the quoted theorem will give 10 generators, but it is easy to reduce the number to 8 .
Proposition 5. $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{g})^{K}$ and $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})^{M}$ are hypersurfaces.
Proof. This follows from Theorem 11 (see [A2]).
Now we give a system of homogeneous generators for $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})^{M}$. First of all, $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})^{K}=S^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{1}\right)^{K} \otimes S^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{2}\right)^{K}$ is a polynomial ring generated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=\operatorname{det}_{\mathfrak{t}_{2}}=\alpha^{2}+\beta \gamma, \\
& f_{2}=\operatorname{det}_{\mathfrak{k}_{1}}=\vartheta_{9}, \\
& f_{3}=a_{1}^{2}+a_{4}^{2}+2 a_{2} a_{3}+b_{1} c_{1}+2 b_{2} c_{2}+b_{3} c_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We know from Remark 9 that $S^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{1}\right)^{M}$ is a polynomial ring of Krull $\operatorname{dim} 4$; indeed, it is generated by $f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}, f_{5}$, where

$$
f_{4}=a_{4}^{2}+b_{3} c_{3}, \quad f_{5}=a_{1}^{2}+b_{1} c_{1}
$$

Obviously, $S^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{2}\right)^{M}=S^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{2}\right)^{K}$. So far, we need to find $f_{6}, f_{7}, f_{8}$, homogeneous of degree 2, 4, 5 in $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})^{M}$. This was done in [A2]. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{6}= & \left(\beta c_{1}+2 \alpha a_{1}+\gamma b_{1}\right) / 2, \\
f_{7}= & \beta\left(2 a_{3} a_{4} c_{2}+b_{3} c_{2}^{2}-a_{3}^{2} c_{3}\right) \\
& +2 \alpha\left(a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}-a_{4} b_{2} c_{2}+a_{2} b_{3} c_{2}+a_{3} b_{2} c_{3}\right) \\
& +\gamma\left(2 a_{2} a_{4} b_{2}+b_{2}^{2} c_{3}-a_{2}^{2} b_{3}\right), \\
f_{8}= & \beta\left(a_{2} a_{3} a_{4} c_{1}+a_{2} b_{3} c_{1} c_{2}+a_{1} a_{3}^{2} c_{3}+a_{3} b_{2} c_{1} c_{3}\right. \\
& \left.-2 a_{1} a_{3} a_{4} c_{2}-a_{4} b_{2} c_{1} c_{2}-a_{1} b_{3} c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +\alpha\left(-2 a_{2} a_{4} b_{2} c_{1}+a_{2}^{2} b_{3} c_{1}-a_{3}^{2} b_{1} c_{3}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-b_{2}^{2} c_{1} c_{3}+2 a_{3} a_{4} b_{1} c_{2}+b_{1} b_{3} c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +\gamma\left(2 a_{1} a_{2} a_{4} b_{2}-a_{1} a_{2}^{2} b_{3}-a_{3} b_{1} b_{2} c_{3}+a_{1} b_{2}^{2} c_{3}\right. \\
& \left.+a_{4} b_{1} b_{2} c_{2}-a_{2} a_{3} a_{4} b_{1}-a_{2} b_{1} b_{3} c_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As their images in $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})_{+}^{M} /\left(S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})_{+}^{M}\right)^{2}$ are linearly independent, $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{8}$ form a system of generators for $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})^{M}$. The relation was found in [A2]:
Theorem 12. $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})^{M}$ is generated by $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{8}$; the generating relation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{8}^{2} & -f_{1}\left(f_{2}-f_{4} f_{5}-\frac{1}{4}\left(f_{3}-f_{4}-f_{5}\right)^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& -2\left(f_{2}-f_{4} f_{5}-\frac{1}{4}\left(f_{3}-f_{4}-f_{5}\right)^{2}\right) f_{6} f_{7} \\
& +f_{1}\left(f_{3}-f_{4}-f_{5}\right)^{2} f_{4} f_{5}-f_{5} f_{7}^{2} \\
& -\left(f_{3}-f_{4}-f_{5}\right)^{2} f_{4} f_{6}^{2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, in order to get a system of generators of $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{g})^{K}$ we need generators of $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})^{M} \varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{8}$ such that $\varphi_{i} \in \bigoplus_{\gamma: m(\gamma)=d_{i}} S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{k})_{\gamma}^{M}$ for some $d_{i}$. In fact, we can deduce the $\varphi_{i}$ 's from the $f_{i}$ 's, decomposing the $\mathfrak{k}$-module generated by $f_{i}$ in irreducible components. We need too the $d_{i}$ 's; all this information is given below (see [A2] for the proofs):

$$
\varphi_{1}=f_{2}, \quad \varphi_{2}=f_{2}, \quad \varphi_{3}=\frac{1}{10} f_{3},
$$

of course with $d_{i}=0(i=1,2,3)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{4} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{4}-f_{5}\right) ; \quad d_{4}=2 \\
\varphi_{5} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{4}+f_{5}-\frac{3}{5} f_{3}\right) ; \quad d_{5}=4, \\
\varphi_{6} & =f_{6} ; \quad d_{6}=2 \\
\varphi_{8} & =f_{8} ; \quad d_{8}=4, \\
\varphi_{7} & =f_{7}+\frac{1}{2} f_{6}\left(f_{3}-4 f_{4}\right), \quad d_{7}=2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, a system of generators for $S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{g})^{K}$ is $\psi_{i}=\varphi_{i} \cdot H^{d_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, 8$, and $\psi_{9}=H^{2}$, where $H$ is a generator of $\mathfrak{a}^{*}$.

Finally, we want to give the relation between the $\psi_{j}$ 's. From Theorem 12 we obtain (after some cumbersome calculations; see [A2]):
Theorem 13. If $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathfrak{s p}(2,1) \quad S^{\prime}(\mathfrak{g})^{K}$ is a hypersurface given by $\psi_{8}^{2}-4 \psi_{1} \psi_{2} \psi_{5}^{2}$ $-100 \psi_{1} \psi_{3}^{2} \psi_{5}^{2}-\psi_{1} \psi_{4}^{4}+20 \psi_{1} \psi_{3} \psi_{5} \psi_{4}^{2}+2 \psi_{6} \psi_{4}^{2} \psi_{7}-4 \psi_{2} \psi_{5} \psi_{6}^{2}-75 \psi_{3}^{2} \psi_{5} \psi_{6}^{2}-$ $10 \psi_{3} \psi_{4}^{2} \psi_{6}^{2}-10 \psi_{3} \psi_{6} \psi_{5} \psi_{7}+\psi_{9}\left(4 \psi_{2} \psi_{4} \psi_{6}^{2}-25 \psi_{3} \psi_{4} \psi_{6}^{2}+10 \psi_{3} \psi_{6} \psi_{4} \psi_{7}-\psi_{4} \psi_{7}^{2}\right)$

```
\(+\psi_{9}^{2}\left(-2 \psi_{1} \psi_{2} \psi_{4}^{2}-700 \psi_{1} \psi_{3}^{3} \psi_{5}+70 \psi_{1} \psi_{3}^{2} \psi_{4}^{2}+28 \psi_{1} \psi_{2} \psi_{3} \psi_{5}-6 \psi_{3} \psi_{7}^{2}-2 \psi_{2} \psi_{6} \psi_{7}\right.\)
\(\left.-14 \psi_{2} \psi_{3} \psi_{6}^{2}-10 \psi_{3}^{2} \psi_{6} \psi_{7}+200 \psi_{3}^{2} \psi_{6}^{2}\right)+\psi_{9}^{4}\left(-\psi_{1} \psi_{2}^{2}-1225 \psi_{1} \psi_{3}^{4}+74 \psi_{1} \psi_{2} \psi_{3}^{2}\right)\)
\(=0\).
```
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