PIECEWISE SL₂ Z GEOMETRY

PETER GREENBERG

ABSTRACT. Piecewise $SL_2 \mathbb{Z}$ geometry studies properties of the plane invariant under pl-homeomorphisms which, locally, have the form $x \mapsto Ax + b$, with $A \in SL_2 \mathbb{Z}$, $b \in \mathbb{Q}^2$, and whose singular lines are rational. In this paper, invariants of polygons are obtained, relations with Pick's theorem are described, and a conjecture is posed.

INTRODUCTION

The classic Pick's theorem (see [GKW]) asserts that if P is a polygon whose vertices have integral coordinates (an *integral* polygon) then the number of points of \mathbb{Z}^2 in the interior of P is $\operatorname{area}(P) - \frac{1}{2}\#(\partial P \cap \mathbb{Z}^2) + 1$ (here # denotes cardinality). Looking behind the proof, we are led to consider a certain graph G_1P and associated simplicial complex K_1P associated to P. The complex K_1P can be thought of as the space of triangulations of P; it turns out (1.13) that if $\operatorname{area}(P) > 1$, then K_1P is a pl-disk.

One motivation for this study is to understand the geometry of integral polygons and the piecewise $SL_2 Z$ maps between them, that is, piecewise linear maps which, in each "piece", have the form

(*)
$$f(x, y) = A(x, y) + v, \qquad A \in \operatorname{SL}_2 \mathbf{Z}, \ v \in \mathbf{Q}^2.$$

The classifying space of the pseudogroup Γ of such homeomorphisms is rather simple—roughly [Gr] a CW complex with a finite number of cells in each dimension—and it would be interesting to see this reflected in the geometry. We calculated in [Gr] that, in a homological sense, the only quantities of closed integral polygons invariant under Γ are the area and a sort of "length" (1.2). Here we prove this in a stronger, geometric sense (1.3).

The group G of germs at (0, 0) of the pseudogroup Γ contains a group F' which is an "algebraic delooping" of the braid group [GS]. Thinking of Γ as a globalization of G, it makes sense to look for connections with the braid groups. As was noted by Devaney in [D], if we restrict the v in (*) to lie in \mathbb{Z}^2 , then piecewise $SL_2\mathbb{Z}$ maps permute the points $\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}^2$ for each N. Thus, if $\operatorname{Aut}_1(P, \partial)$ denotes the group of such automorphisms of P, fixing the boundary, there are evident homomorphisms from $\operatorname{Aut}_1(P, \partial)$ to certain braid groups. (See Figure 1.)

Received by the editors October 9, 1990.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 52A25.

Key words and phrases. Piecewise linear homeomorphisms.



FIGURE 1. A " $\frac{1}{4}$ Dehn twist" in Aut₁(P, ∂)

However, perhaps one should look for deeper structural relations between $\operatorname{Aut}_1(P, \partial)$ and braid or mapping class groups. By taking a limit of complexes K_1NP , one arrives at a space K(P) on which $\operatorname{Aut}_1(P, \partial)$ acts (1.16). The space K(P) is analogous to the "complexes of curves" which arise in connection with the mapping class groups.

Conversations with Javier Bracho, Stephen Halperin, and Victor Neumann have been helpful during this work. The hospitality of the Geometry Group at the Université de Lille is gratefully acknowledged.

1. DEFINITIONS AND MAIN RESULTS

We begin by defining certain pseudogroups of pl-homeomorphisms between open subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 . We will denote by $\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$ the subgroup of \mathbb{Q} generated by $\frac{1}{N}$, and by A_N (resp. A_0) the affine extension of $\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}^2$ (resp. \mathbb{Q}^2) generated by $SL_2\mathbb{Z}$. A rational line (resp. integral line) is a line passing through two rational (resp. integral) points in the plane.

1.1. **Definition.** A $p\mathbb{Z}_N$ homeomorphism is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $g: U \to V$ between open subsets of the plane, such that there exists a finite set of rational lines $\{l_i\}$ such that g agrees with some element g_C of A_N on any component C of $U - \coprod l_i$. A $p\mathbb{Z}$ homeomorphism is a $p\mathbb{Z}_1$ homeomorphism, in which we require the lines l_i to be integral.

Before discussing invariants, we establish some notation for polygonal curves.

In this paper, a *polygonal curve* means a curve made of a finite number of rational line segments between rational points of \mathbb{R}^2 ; the endpoints of the segments of an *integral* polygonal curve are required to lie in \mathbb{Z}^2 . If $v_i \in \mathbb{Q}^2$, we denote by $\overline{v_0 \cdots v_n}$ the polygonal curve made of segments $\overline{v_k v_{k+1}}$. An (integral) *polygon* is a simple closed (integral) polygonal curve. We write int *P* for the open set enclosed by a polygon *P*, and int *P* for the closure of int *P*. Finally, \mathscr{C} and *P* denote the sets of polygonal curves and polygons.

If P is a polygon, the area a(P) of int P is invariant under $p\mathbb{Z}_0$ maps. There is also an invariant "length."

1.2. **Proposition.** There is a function $L: \mathscr{C} \to \mathbf{Q}$ which takes positive values, such that

(a) (invariance) If $P \in \mathcal{C}$, $P \subseteq U$, and $g: U \to V$ is a $p\mathbb{Z}_0$ -homeomorphism, then L(P) = L(g(P)).

(b) (subdivision) $L(\overline{v_0\cdots v_n}) = L(\overline{v_0\cdots v_k}) + L(\overline{v_k\cdots v_n})$.

(c) (homothety) L(NP) = NL(P), $P \in \mathcal{C}$, where NP is the image of P under the map $(x, y) \rightarrow (Nx, Ny)$.

(d) (no metric) If $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}^2$, then $\inf(\overline{av_1 \cdots v_{n-1}b}) = 0$.

Proof. If a = (p/N, q/N), b = (r/N, s/N), and $p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define $L(\overline{ab}) = \frac{1}{N}(\#(\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap \overline{ab}) - 1)$, where #X denotes the cardinality of a set X. Observe that $L(\overline{ab})$ is independent of the N used. Extend L to all of \mathscr{C} so as to satisfy (b). Property (a) (invariance) is a consequence of the fact that $SL_2\mathbb{Z}$ preserves the lattices $\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}^2$, and property (c) is a quick calculation. To prove (d), by (a) and (c) it suffices to take a = (0, 0) and b = (2, 0). Then note that $L(\overline{a(1, 1/k)b}) = 2/k$.

The following theorem says that a and L are the only invariants of the action of $p\mathbb{Z}_0$ homeomorphisms on \mathcal{P} .

1.3. **Theorem.** Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$, with a(P) = a(Q) and L(P) = L(Q). Let $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$ be rational points. Then there exists a $p\mathbb{Z}_0$ homeomorphism $g: \operatorname{int} P \to \operatorname{int} Q$ such that g(p) = q.

If P, Q are integral polygons, and $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, we may choose g to be a $p\mathbb{Z}$ homeomorphism.

The proof of the theorem is somewhat involved, so we postpone it to §2. The main idea, that of a triangulation, will now be applied to reproduce the proof [GKW] of a theorem of Pick.

1.4. **Proposition** (Pick). Let P be an integral polygon. The number of points of \mathbb{Z}^2 in int P is

$$a(P) - \frac{1}{2} # (P \cap \mathbb{Z}^2) + 1.$$

(Note that $\#(P \cap \mathbb{Z}^2) = L(P)$). The proof requires the following notions.

1.5. **Definition.** An *N*-segment \overline{ab} is a segment so that $\overline{ab} \cap \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}^2 = \{a, b\}$. An *N*-triangle is a triangle \overline{abca} whose sides are *N*-segments, and whose interior contains no points of $\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}^2$. If $P = \overline{v_0 \cdots v_n v_0}$ is a polygon and $v_i \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}^2$, then an *N*-triangulation of *P* is a triangulation by *N*-triangles.

1.6. Lemma. The length $L(\overline{ab})$ of an N-segment is $\frac{1}{N}$. The area of an N-triangle is $\frac{1}{N^2}\frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. The first statement follows from the definition. For the second, it suffices to take N = 1. Further, after transformation by an element of A_1 , we may choose the vertices of the triangle at (0, 0), (1, 0), and (a, b), where b > 0, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider the parallelogram P with corners (0, 0), (1, 0), (a, b), and (a-1, b). It suffices to show that a(P) = 1, or that (1, 0), (a - 1, b) is a basis for \mathbb{Z}^2 . But since $\operatorname{int} P \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ is empty, $\operatorname{int} P' \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ is also empty for any P' in the tesselation of \mathbb{R}^2 by copies of P. Hence, (1, 0), (a - 1, b) is a basis for \mathbb{Z}^2 .

Proof of 1.4. Let P be an integral polygon, and let V, E, and T be the numbers of vertices, edges, and triangles in a 1-triangulation. (It will be obvious presently that 1-triangulations exist.) Then T = 2a(P) and the number of edges



FIGURE 2

on P is $L(P) = #(P \cap \mathbb{Z}^2)$. Each triangle has three edges, and the edges not on the boundary share two triangles, so

(1.7)
$$E = \frac{3T}{2} + \frac{1}{2}L(P) = 3a(P) + \frac{1}{2}L(P).$$

By Euler's formula, $V = 1 + E - T = a(P) + \frac{1}{2}L(P) + 1$. But the number of vertices on P is L(P) so the number of vertices in int P is $a(P) - \frac{1}{2}\#(P \cap \mathbb{Z}^2) + 1$.

In order to investigate triangulations of polygons, we introduce graphs $G_N P$ associated to integral polygons P. The vertices of $G_N P$ are N-segments whose interior is contained in the interior of P. If two N-segments intersect in their interiors, then there is an edge between two vertices and we say the N-segments cross. See Figure 2.

Now, if G is a graph, an *independent subset* of G (see [G]) is a set of vertices $\{v_i\}$ so that there is no edge between any v_i and v_j . Let K(G) denote the simplicial complex whose k-simplices are independent subsets of G of cardinality k + 1; write K_NP for $K(G_N(P))$. We consider G_NP because of the following:

1.8. Remark. A maximal independent set of $G_N P$ is precisely the set of N-segments, not in P, in an N-triangulation of P.

1.9. **Proposition.** Let P be an integral polygon. The maximal independent sets of $G_N P$ have $3N^2a(P) - \frac{N}{2}L(P)$ members.

Proof. For N = 1, this is just equation (1.7), with the observation that P contains L(P) edges. For general N, apply the homothety $(x, y) \rightarrow (Nx, Ny)$ to change an N-triangulation of P to a 1-triangulation of NP.

A graph with the property that all of its maximal independent sets have the same cardinality is called *well-covered* (see [G]). The $G_N(P)$ seem to be new examples of well-covered graphs.

In some sense, the structure of $G_N P$ stabilizes as N gets large.

1.10. **Theorem.** Let P be an integral polygon. There is a number N_p such that if $N > N_p$, then $G_N P$ is composed of a connected component, together with a set of isolated vertices whose number depends only on P.

Indeed, the isolated vertices are associated to the corners of P (as we shall see in §3 in the proof of 1.10).

Not every well-covered graph is G_1P for some polygon P. The following is proved in §4.



FIGURE 3

1.11. **Theorem.** If P is an integral polygon and a(P) > 1, then K_1P is a pl-disk of dimension $3a(P) - \frac{1}{2}L(P) - 1$.

Figure 3 shows that not every well-covered G has K(G) a disk.

Let P be an integral polygon, and let $\operatorname{Aut}_N P$ be the group of $p\mathbb{Z}_N$ homeomorphisms of $\operatorname{int} P$. By 1.3, $\operatorname{Aut}_1 P$ surjects to $\mathbb{Z}/L(P)$, with kernel $\operatorname{Aut}_1(P, \partial)$ the elements fixing P. Now $\operatorname{Aut}_1(P, \partial)$ is clearly related to braid groups: as noted by Delaney in [D], an element of $\operatorname{Aut}_1 P$ permutes the elements of $\operatorname{int} P \cap \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}^2$, so we have homomorphisms from $\operatorname{Aut}_1(P, \partial)$ to the braid group on $\#(\operatorname{int} P \cap \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})$ strings.

We now show that $\operatorname{Aut}_0 P$ acts on K(P) for any integral polygon P and that one can define the limit $K(P) = \varinjlim K_N P$ of the $K_N P$. Thus, K(P) is a sort of "complex of curves" [I] for the group $\operatorname{Aut}_0 P$.

1.12. **Proposition.** Let P be an integral polygon. Then for all $n, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is a pl-embedding $i: K_N P \to K_{Nn}P$. Further, for all $n, m, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ the following diagram commutes:



<u>Proof.</u> We first define *i* on vertices. If $t = \overline{ab}$ is an *N*-segment, then $S_0(t) = \overline{aa + (b-a)/n}, \ldots, S_{n-1}(t) = \overline{b - (b-a/m)b}$ are *Nn*-segments. Define i(t) to be the barycenter of the n-1 simplex $s(t) = (S_0(t), \ldots, S_{n-1}(t))$. Now if $t = (t_0, \ldots, t_k)$ is a *k*-simplex in $K_N(P)$, then i(t) is defined to be the convex closure of the $i(t_j)$ in the simplex $s(t_0) * \cdots * s(t_k)$. Naturality follows from the definition.

1.13. **Definition.** K(P) is the direct limit of the $K_N P$, the limit taken over the natural numbers with maps $N \rightarrow nN$.

1.14. **Proposition.** The length function extends to a function $L: K(P) \to \mathbf{R}$.

Proof. First we define L restricted to K_NP . On each vertex t of K_NP , we have $L(t) = \frac{1}{N}$. Suppose that L is defined on (k-1)-simplices of K_NP . If $t = (t_0, \ldots, t_k)$ is a k-simplex, then L is defined on ∂t . Define L to be (k+1)/N on the barycenter of t, and extend to the rest of t by "coning off".

It is evident that L commutes with the $i_{N,nN}$ and is therefore defined on K(P). 1.15. **Proposition.** The group $\operatorname{Aut}_0 P$ of $p\mathbb{Z}_0$ homeomorphisms of $\operatorname{int} P$ acts continuously on K(P), and L is invariant under the action.

Proof. Let $g \in \operatorname{Aut}_0 P$, and let $s = (s_0, \ldots, s_k)$ be a k-simplex in $K_N P$. If g is linear on each s_i , define gs to be the simplex (gs_0, \ldots, gs_k) . If g is not linear on the s_i , there is some subdivision of the s_i on which g is linear, and can thus be defined.

2. Triangulations and pZ homeomorphisms

We begin with a simple observation.

2.1. **Lemma.** Let T_1 and T_2 be 1-triangles, with vertices $a_i \in T_i$. There is a unique element $g \in A_1$ such that $gT_1 = T_2$ and $ga_1 = a_2$.

Proof. Composing with translations, we can assume that $a_1 = a_2 = (0, 0)$. Recall from the proof of Lemma 1.6 that the remaining sides of each of the T_i form a basis for \mathbb{Z}^2 . The lemma follows.

Lemma 2.1 gives an interesting way to construct pZ homeomorphisms. Suppose that P and Q are integral polygons with 1-triangulations which are combinatorially the same. Then (see Figure 4) applying Lemma 2.1 to each pair of corresponding 1-triangles constructs a well-defined pZ homeomorphism from $\overline{int P}$ to $\overline{int Q}$ (Figure 4) which we call a *simple* homeomorphism.

2.2. **Definition.** Let P and Q be integral polygons. Then $f: \overline{\operatorname{int} P} \to \overline{\operatorname{int} Q}$ is a 1-triangulated homeomorphism if

(i) f is simple,

(ii) f is a composite of 1-triangulated homeomorphisms or

(iii) $\operatorname{int} P = \operatorname{int} P_1 \cup \operatorname{int} P_2$, $\operatorname{int} Q = \operatorname{int} Q_1 \cup \operatorname{int} Q_2$, where P_i and Q_i are integral polygons, $\operatorname{int} P_1 \cap \operatorname{int} P_2 = \overline{v_0 \cdots v_n}$, $\operatorname{int} Q_1 \cap \operatorname{int} Q_2 = \overline{w_0 \cdots w_n}$, with $\overline{v_i v_{i+1}}$ and $\overline{w_i w_{i+1}}$ 1-segments, and $f_i: \operatorname{int} P_i \to \operatorname{int} Q_i$, i = 1, 2, are 1-triangulated homeomorphisms such that $f_i(v_j) = w_j$. Then, defining $f: \operatorname{int} P \to \operatorname{int} Q$ by setting $f | p_i \equiv f_i$, f is a 1-triangulated homeomorphism.

2.3. *Remarks.* (a) Condition (iii) could be replaced by defining "immersed polygons".

(b) 1-triangulated homeomorphisms are clearly $p\mathbb{Z}_1$ homeomorphisms, but the reverse is not true: let P be the triangle with vertices (0, 0), (1, 0), and (0, 1) (see Figure 5). The 1-triangulated homeomorphisms form a cyclic group of order 3. However, the homeomorphism pictured in the figure is $p\mathbb{Z}_1$ for all n.

The following is evidently stronger than Theorem 1.3.



FIGURE 4



FIGURE 5

2.4. **Theorem.** Let P and Q be integral polygons of equal area and length, and let $p \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $q \in Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$. Then there exists a 1-triangulated homeomorphism $f: \overline{\operatorname{int} P} \to \overline{\operatorname{int} Q}$, with f(p) = q.

Conjecture. The group of pZ homeomorphisms of the interior of an integral polygon P is the same as the group of 1-triangulated homeomorphisms. In particular, the group is finitely generated, and the group of pZ homeomorphisms of a 1-triangle is simply the group Z/3 of rotations.

Note that the group of $p\mathbb{Z}_1$ homeomorphisms of a 1-triangle is not finitely generated (see Figure 5).

Several preliminary notions are necessary for the proof. We shall write the integral points of P and Q in counterclockwise order as $p = p_0, \ldots, p_{L-1}$ and $q = q_0, \ldots, q_{L-1}$, where L = L(P) = L(Q). If a, b, and c are points on a polygon, then a < b < c means that c follows b, which follows a, in counterclockwise order. If $0 \le i$, $j \le L-1$, then we take j-i to mean the element of $j - i + L\mathbb{Z}$ between 0 and L - 1.

If S is an integral polygon with vertices $S \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 = \{s_0, \ldots, s_n\}$, then a side triangle is a 1-triangle of the form $\overline{s_i s_{i+1} s_{i+2} s_i}$, and an inner triangle is a 1-triangle of the form $\overline{s_i s_{i+1} v s_i}$, where $v \in \operatorname{int} S \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$.

2.5. Lemma. In any 1-triangulation of an integral polygon, either a side triangle or an inner triangle must occur.

Proof. Let S be an integral polygon with $S \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 = \{s_0, \ldots, s_n\}$. Suppose there is no inner triangle in a given triangulation. Then each $\overline{s_i s_{i+1}}$ is the edge of a triangle $\overline{s_i s_{i+1} s_{f(i)} s_i}$ with $s_i \leq s_{i+1} < s_{f(i)}$. Let j be an index minimizing f(i)-i. If $f(j) \neq j+2$, then $s_{j+1} < f(j+1) \leq f(j)$, whence f(j+1)-(j+1) < f(j) - j, a contradiction.

2.6. Corollary. (a) If $\#(\operatorname{int} S \cap \mathbb{Z}^2) = 0$, then any triangulation contains a side triangle.

(b) If L(S) = 3, then any triangulation has an inner triangle.

Proof of 2.4. The proof is by induction on 2a(P). When $a(P) = \frac{1}{2}$, P and Q are 1-triangles, and we apply Lemma 2.1. In the general case, we will apply Lemma 2.5 to reduce the area of P and Q.

Assume first that $\#(\operatorname{int} P \cap \mathbb{Z}^2) = 0$. By Corollary 2.6, P and Q have side triangles $T_P = \overline{p_i p_{i+1} p_{i+2} p_i}$ and $T_Q = \overline{q_j q_{j+1} q_{j+2} q_j}$. Let S be an integral polygon with L(S) = L(P), $\#(\operatorname{int} S \cap \mathbb{Z}^2) = 0$, $S \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 = \{S_0, \ldots, S_{L-1}\}$,



FIGURE 7. (a) Two inner triangles; (b) one inner, one side; (c) two side triangles (begin with the inner triangle, which contains all of $\operatorname{int} S \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$, and then add side triangles).

which has side triangles $\overline{s_i s_{i+1} s_{i+2} s_i}$ and $\overline{s_j s_{j+1} s_{j+2} s_j}$ (Figure 6 indicates the construction of S).

Now use Definition 2.2(iii) and induction to construct 1-triangulated homeomorphisms $\overline{\operatorname{int} P} \to \overline{\operatorname{int} S}$ and $\overline{\operatorname{int} S} \to \overline{\operatorname{int} Q}$, which take p to S_0 , and S_0 to q, and we are done.

If $\#(\operatorname{int} P \cap \mathbb{Z}^2) > 0$, we reason as above; the situation is more complicated because P and Q have either a side or inner triangle, and we must show that there exist integral polygons S with L(S) = L(P), $\#(\operatorname{int} S \cap \mathbb{Z}^2) =$ $\#(\operatorname{int} P \cap \mathbb{Z}^2)$, which admit both sorts of triangles in all possible positions (these S are displayed in Figure 7). Repeating the argument above concludes the proof.

3. Local and global structure of G(P)

Recall the graph $G_1(P)$ (see §1) whose vertices are 1-segments whose interiors lie in the interior of the integral polygon P, and with an edge between two vertices if the corresponding 1-segments cross. Our goal in this section is to prove Theorem 1.10, which we paraphrase as follows: for each P there is some N_P such that, if $N > N_P$, $G_1(NP)$ consists of a connected graph with some isolated vertices whose number depends on P. Our approach is inspired by ideas from analysis. As it turns out, the isolated vertices in $G_1(NP)$, $N > N_p$, are associated to the corners of P; we make a brief study of the graphs of sectors between two rays. Then, a family of "patches"—integral polygons with connected graphs—is produced. The proof of Theorem 1.10 involves these large and small scales.

We begin with the small-scale picture.

3.1. **Definition.** A patch is an integral polygon P so that $G_1(P)$ is connected, and, if \overline{ab} is any 1-segment in \mathbb{R}^2 such that $\overline{ab} \cap \operatorname{int} P$ is nonempty, then some 1-segment in $\operatorname{int} P$ crosses \overline{ab} .

Let $R_{n,k}$ be the rectangle with corners (0, 0), (n, 0), (n, k), (0, k).

3.2. **Proposition.** For any $g \in A_1$, $gR_{n,k}$ is a patch.

Proof. Since elements of A_1 preserve graphs, it suffices to show that $R_{n,k}$ is a patch. If \overline{ab} is a 1-segment and $\overline{ab} \cap \operatorname{int} R_{n,k} \neq \emptyset$, then there is some square $S = \overline{(x, y)(x+1, y)(x+1, y+1)}, (x, y+1)$ whose interior is contained in $\operatorname{int} R_{n,k}$, such that $\overline{ab} \cap \operatorname{int} S \neq \emptyset$. But then one of the diagonals of S crosses \overline{ab} .

3.3. Corollary (of the proof). If P is an integral polygon such that $\overline{\operatorname{int} P}$ is the union of interiors of squares, then P is a patch.

Such a *P* is called a *block* polygon.

3.4. **Proposition.** The union of two overlapping patches is a patch: Let P, P_1 , and P_2 be integral polygons, let P_1 and P_2 be patches, and let $\overline{\operatorname{int} P} = \overline{\operatorname{int} P_1} \cup \overline{\operatorname{int} P_2}$. Then P is a patch.

Proof. Since P_1 and P_2 overlap, there is some 1-segment whose interior is contained in int $P_1 \cap \operatorname{int} P_2$. Thus $G_1(P)$ is connected. If \overline{ab} is a 1-segment which has nonempty intersection with int P, then it has nonempty intersection with int P_1 or int P_2 . Thus P is a patch.

Let us now discuss graphs associated to noncompact regions. If R is the closure of an open region in \mathbb{R}^2 whose boundary is the union of 1-segments, then we denote by $G_1(R)$ the graph whose vertices are 1-segments whose interiors are contained in int R, with an edge between two vertices if the corresponding 1-segments cross.

Consider first a half-plane, that is, $R = \{(x, y) : ax + by \ge c, a, b, c \in \mathbf{Q}\}$. Applying an element of A_1 , we can assume that $R = \{(x, y) : y \ge 0\}$. Then $R = \bigcup \overline{\operatorname{int} P_n}$, where P_n is the rectangle with corners $(\pm n, 0), (\pm n, n)$. Since each P_n is a patch, $G_1(R)$ is connected, thus:

3.5. **Proposition.** R is a patch.

That is to say, if \overline{ab} is a 1-segment of \mathbb{R}^2 whose interior has nonempty intersection with the interior of R, then some 1-segment in the interior of R crosses \overline{ab} .

If v = (a, b) and w = (c, d), with a, b, and c, d relatively prime, let rand s be the rays from (0, 0) through v and w respectively. Then the angle A(w, v) is the region swept out by a ray sweeping counterclockwise from s to r. If A(w, v) (properly) contains a half-plane it is called (strictly) concave, and if not, convex.

Every concave angle A(w, v) is the union of two overlapping half-planes. Applying Propositions 3.4 and 3.5, we find

3.6. Proposition. If A(w, v) is concave, it is a patch.

The image of a concave, strictly concave, or convex angle under an element of A_1 which takes (0, 0) to a point p will also be called a concave, strictly concave, or convex angle at p.

3.7. **Definition.** Let A(w, v) be a strictly concave angle, and let $M \in \mathbb{Z}$, M > 0. The *M*-cap for A(w, v) is the polygon $P = P_M(w, v)$ so that $\overline{\operatorname{int} P} =$

 $\overline{\operatorname{int} R_1} \cup \overline{\operatorname{int} R_2}$, where

$$R_1 = \overline{Mv, -Mv, -Mv - Mw, Mv - Mw, Mv}$$

and

 $R_2 = \overline{Mw, Mw - Mv, -Mw - Mv - Mw, Mw}$

(see Figure 8). An *M*-cap for an angle at p is the image under some element of A_1 of an *M*-cap at (0, 0).

Note that since v = (a, b) and w = (c, d), with a, b and c, d relatively prime, $\overline{(0, 0)v}$ and $\overline{(0, 0)w}$ are 1-segments. Hence, for example, $L(P_M(w, v)) = 8M$.

The situation for convex angles is more interesting. We will see that $G_1(A(w, v))$ consists of a connected piece and a number of isolated vertices.

If A(w, v) is the image under $g \in SL_2 \mathbb{Z}$ of A((1, 0), (0, 1)), then we call A(w, v) a right angle.

3.8. Lemma. Right angles are patches.

Proof. It suffices to prove A((1, 0), (0, 1)) is a patch. But A((1, 0), (0, 1)) is the union of $\overline{\operatorname{int} R_{n,n}}$, where $R_{n,n}$ is the square with corners (0, 0), (n, 0), (0, n), and (n, n), and $R_{n,n}$ is a patch by 3.2.

3.9. **Definition.** Let A(w, v) be convex. A *chain* from w to v is a sequence $w = v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n, v_{n+1} = v$ with $v_i = (a_i, b_i)$, such that the rays from (0, 0) to v_i are in A(w, v) and occur in counterclockwise order, and such that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ a_{i+1} & b_{i+1} \end{pmatrix} = 1, \qquad 0 \le i \le n,$$

that is, each $A(v_i, v_{i+1})$ is a right angle.

3.10. Lemma. If A(w, v) is convex, then there exists a chain from w to v. *Proof.* Applying an element of $SL_2 \mathbb{Z}$, we can assume that v = (0, 1) and w = (a, b), with b/a < 1. Considering Farey series [R] we can write

$$\frac{b}{a}=\frac{p_l+p_r}{q_l+q_r}\,,$$

where $0 \le p_l/q_l < b/a < p_r/q_r \le 1$, and $bq_r - p_r a = -1$. Taking $w = v_0$, $v_1 = (q_r, p_r)$, and iterating, we will eventually arrive at $v_n = (1, 1)$. Setting $v_{n+1} = v = (0, 1)$ we have a chain.

As an example, take v = (0, 1) and w = (5, 3). Then $\frac{3}{5} = \frac{1+2}{2+3}$ and $\frac{2}{3} = \frac{1+1}{2+1}$, so the chain is w = (5, 3), $v_1 = (3, 2)$, $v_2 = (1, 1)$, v = (0, 1).



FIGURE 8



3.11. **Proposition.** Let A(w, v) be a convex angle and $w = v_0, \ldots, v_{n+1} = v$ be a chain. There exist N_i such that the isolated vertices of $G_1(A(w, v))$ are 1-segments $\overline{kv_i}, (k+1)v_i, 0 \le k \le N_i - 1$. The complement of the collection of these vertices is a connected subgraph of $G_1(A(w, v))$.

Proof. By 3.8, each $A(v_i, v_{i+1})$ is a patch. Further, it is clear that for each i, there is some M_i so that $\overline{mv_i}, (m+1)v_i$ is connected to $G_1(A(v_i, v_{i+1}))$ and $G_1(A(v_{i-1}, v_i))$ for $m \ge M_i$. Let N_i be the smallest such M_i . Then the subgraph of $G_1(A(w, v))$ whose vertices are all but the $\overline{mv_i}, (m+1)v_i, m < N_i$, is connected. We must show that the vertices $\overline{mv_i}, (m+1)v_i, m \le N_i - 1$, are indeed isolated. But if \overline{ab} crosses $\overline{mv_i}, (m+1)v_i$, then $\overline{a+v_i}, b+v_i$ crosses $\overline{(m+1)v_i}, (m+2)v_i$, and so on, contradicting the definition of M_i .

The N_i in 3.11 is called the *weight* of the *singular vector* v_i , if $N_i \le 1$. The number of isolated vertices in $G_1(A(w, v))$ is $\sum N_i$.

Partially order the set of chains from w to v by inclusion.

3.12. **Theorem.** Given a convex angle A(w, v), there is a minimal chain $w = v_0, \ldots, v_{n+1} = v$. Each v_i has positive weight.

Proof. Let $w = v_0, \ldots, v_{n+1} = v$ be a chain. We show that if a v_j has weight 0, then we can replace the chain with a subchain of cardinality strictly less.

If $\overline{0v_j}$ is not isolated in $G_1(A(w, v))$, then some 1-segment ab crosses $\overline{0v_j}$. Apply an element of $SL_2 \mathbb{Z}$ so that $v_j = (1, 0)$, $v_{j+1} = (0, 1)$, and $v_{j-1} = (n, -1)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Figure 9), and take a with y coordinate negative, and b with y coordinate positive. The 1-segment \overline{ab} crosses some number m of $\overline{0v_i}$. We prove, by induction on m, that the size of the chain can be reduced.

If m = 1, then \overline{ab} crosses only $\overline{0v_j}$. From Figure 9 one sees that $\overline{ab} = \overline{v_{j-1}v_{j+1}}$, in which case $v_{j-1} = (1, -1)$, so that $A(v_{j-1}, v_{j+1})$ is a right angle, and v_j can be dropped from the chain.

Assume that m, n > 1. Then \overline{ab} crosses $\overline{0v}_{j-1}$, and it either crosses $\overline{0v}_{j+1}$ or not. If \overline{ab} does not cross $\overline{0v}_{j+1}$, then \overline{av}_j crosses $\overline{0v}_{j-1}$; by replacing \overline{ab} with \overline{av}_j we can reduce m and by induction we can reduce the length of the chain. If \overline{ab} crosses both $\overline{0v}_{j-1}$ and $\overline{0v}_{j+1}$, then either \overline{av}_j crosses $\overline{0v}_{j-1}$, or \overline{bv}_j crosses $\overline{0v}_{j+1}$. Either way m is reduced, and by induction the chain is reduced.

To prove 1.10, we need a finite version of 3.12. If A(w, v) is a right angle, the *M*-square $S_M(w, v)$ at A(w, v) is the parallelogram with corners (0, 0), Mw, Mv, M(w+v). If A(w, v) is convex, let $w = v_0, \ldots, v_{n+1} = v$ be



FIGURE 10. 2-pencil point

the minimal chain. The polygon $P_M(w, v)$ so that $\overline{\operatorname{int} P_M} = \bigcup \overline{\operatorname{int} S_M(v_i, v_{i+1})}$ is called the *M*-pencil point at A(w, v) (see Figure 10).

3.13. Lemma. Let A(w, v) be a convex angle, and let $w = v_0, \ldots, v_{n+1} = v$ be a minimal chain with weights N_i . If $M > \max N_i$, then $\frac{G_1(P_M(w, v))}{kv_i, (k+1)v_i}$, consists of a connected component with $\sum N_i$ isolated vertices $\frac{1}{kv_i, (k+1)v_i}$, $0 \le k < N_i$, $1 \le i \le n$.

Proof. The <u>M</u>-squares $S_M(v_i, v_{i+1})$ are patches, so it suffices to show that the vertices $\overline{kv_i}, (\overline{k+1})v_i, k \ge N_i$, are connected to the $G_1(S_M(v_i, v_{i+1}))$ and $G_1(S_M(v_{i-1}, v_i))$. With an element of $SL_2 \mathbb{Z}$, we can take $v_i = (1, 0), v_{i+1} = (0, 1)$, and $v_{i-1} = (2m + \varepsilon, -1)$ with $\varepsilon = 0$ or 1. It is not hard to check that v_i has weight $N_i = m - 1$, and that $\overline{(n; 0)(m+1, 0)}$ is crossed by $\overline{(2n + \varepsilon, -1)(1 - \varepsilon, 1)}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.10. We prove that if P is an integral polygon, then there is some N_p such that, if $N > N_p$, $G_1(NP)$ consists of a connected component and m_p isolated vertices. Here $m_p = \sum_j \sum_i N_i$, the sum over the weights of the singular vectors associated to minimal chains of each convex angle in P.

Begin by taking N large enough so that M-caps or M-pencil points can be placed at each angle in P, where M is larger than $\max M_i$ (Figure 11(a)).



FIGURE 11

Now (Figure 11(b)) enlarging N if necessary, translate the outer M-squares or rectangles of the pencil points and caps along their respective sides, so that each point in $P \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ is contained in one of the translated squares or rectangles. Finally, enlarge N to an N_p so that (possibly increasing M) there is a block polygon (recall 3.3) which overlaps the union of the squares and rectangles (Figure 11(c)). Applying 3.4, we are done.

4. The complex K_1P

The object of this section is to prove that if P is an integral polygon whose area is at least $\frac{3}{2}$, then K_1P is a combinatorial disk. We know from 1.9 that K_1P is a pure simplicial complex (that is, all maximal simplices have the same dimension) of dimension

(4.1)
$$\dim K_1 P = 2a(P) + N(P) - 2,$$

where $N(P) = #(int P \cap \mathbb{Z}^2)$. Also, from §3, G_1P often has isolated vertices, so that K_1P is a cone. With some simple examples, these remarks lead to the suspicion that K_1P is a (piecewise-linear) disk.

The proof that K_1P is a disk is by induction and requires a generalization of the idea of polygon, which we approach as follows. If K_1P is a disk, it is first of all a manifold, so that the link Lk(s) of each simplex s should be a disk or a sphere. These Lk(s) can be seen as K_1P_s , where P_s is a generalized polygon, called a "slit polygon."

Suppose $s = (s_0, \ldots, s_k)$, where the s_i are 1-segments in int P. Then an msimplex $t = (t_0, \ldots, t_m)$ is in Lk(s) if and only if $s * t = (s_0, \ldots, s_k, t_0, \ldots, t_m)$ is a simplex in K_1P ; in other words, none of the t_i cross any s_j . We think then of t as a simplex in K_1P_s , where P_s is the polygon P, slit at each s_i .

By $a(P_s)$ we mean a(P); $N(P_s)$ is N(P) less the number of points in int $P \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ which are endpoints of some s_i . Then equation (4.1) holds for slit polygons. By int P_s we mean int $P - \bigcup S_i$, and $h(P_s) = \operatorname{rank} H_1(\operatorname{int} P_s)$. If tis a simplex in $K_1(P_s) = \operatorname{Lk}(s)$, we define $(P_s)_t = P_{s*t}$, so we can "slit" slit polygons. The number of components of P_s means the number of components of int P_s ; each component of int P_s is int Q for some slit polygon Q, and we speak of the components Q_1, \ldots, Q_n of P_s . The following remark is important for the sequel.

4.2. **Lemma.** If the components of P_s are $Q_1, ..., Q_n$, then $K_1(P_s) = K_1(Q_1) * K_1(Q_2) * \cdots * K_1(Q_n)$.

Let P be an integral polygon, s a simplex in K_1P , and consider the slit polygon P_s . Then int P_s is the interior of a pl-manifold with boundary which submerges onto $\overline{\operatorname{int} P}$ (see Figure 12). We will call this closed manifold $\overline{\operatorname{int} P_s}$. By ∂P_s is meant the component of the boundary of $\overline{\operatorname{int} P_s}$ whose image in $\overline{\operatorname{int} P}$ contains $P = \partial \overline{\operatorname{int} P}$. Note that ∂P_s can be described as a series $\overline{P_1P_2\cdots P_nP_1}$ of points in \mathbb{Z}^2 such that each p_ip_{i+1} is a 1-segment in counterclockwise order (Figure 12). By an *angle* of P_s is meant a 3-point fragment $\overline{p_ip_{i+1}p_{i+2}}$ of ∂P_s .

We will prove the following version of 1.11.

4.3. Theorem. If P is a connected slit polygon and a(P) > 1 or $N(P) \le 1$, then $K_1(p)$ is a pl-disk.



int P

 $\partial P_s = abcdefhfgfea$ $s = (\overline{s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3})$ FIGURE 12

To begin, consider the connected slit polygons with area $\frac{3}{2}$ or less. If a(P) = $\frac{1}{2}$, then P is a 1-triangle and $K_1(P)$ is empty. If a(P) = 1, then P is two 1-triangles joined at a face, so $K_1(P)$ is a 0-sphere S^0 or a point, that is, a 0-disk.

4.4. Lemma. If P is a connected slit polygon and $a(P) = \frac{3}{2}$, then $K_1(P)$ is a 1-disk or a 2-disk.

Proof. Suppose first that P is not slit. Then, by Pick's theorem, either N(P) =1, L(P) = 3 or N(P) = 0, L(P) = 5. In the former case, there is a 1segment from the interior vertex to each of the three vertices of P, so $K_1(P)$ is a 2-simplex.

Suppose that N(P) = 0 and L(P) = 5. Label the points of $P \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ in counterclockwise order a, b, c, d, e. By 2.6, P has a side triangle; without loss of generality we can assume that \overline{ac} is a 1-segment in $\overline{int P}$ and that *abca* is a 1-triangle. Composing with an element of A_1 we can assume that a = (0, 1), b = (0, 0), and c = (1, 0).

If neither \overline{bd} nor \overline{be} are 1-segments in $\overline{int P}$, then $K_1(P)$ is the cone at the vertex \overline{ac} of $K_1(\overline{acde})$, which is an S^0 or a D^0 , and thus $K_1(P)$ is a 1-disk.

If at least one of \overline{bd} or \overline{be} is a 1-segment in $\overline{int P}$, then one of d or e must be (1, 1) (Figure 13); without loss of generality, put e = (1, 1), whence d = (2, k) for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (Figure 13(a)). Then Figure 13(b), (c), (d) show that $K_1(P)$ is a 1-disk.

Now, if P is a connected slit polygon with area $\frac{3}{2}$, it must be Q_s , where L(Q) = 3 and s is a 1-segment from a point of $Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ to the interior vertex. Thus $K_1(P) = K_1(Q_s)$ is a 1-simplex.

Proof of 4.3. Put the triple (a(P), N(P), h(P)) in lexicographic order (e.g., (2, 1, 1) > (1, 4, 6) > (1, 3, 8); the proof is by induction on the triples. Lemma 4.4 deals with the initial case $(\frac{3}{2}, 0, 0)$. Let \overline{abc} be a convex angle in ∂P (e.g., in Figure 12, gfe). Applying an element of A_1 , we can put b at (0, 0), a at (0, 1), and c at (n, -m), $n, m \ge 0$, m < n.



FIGURE 13

Suppose that m > 0. Then (as in 3.12) the edge $s = \overline{(0, 0)(1, 0)}$ is an isolated vertex in G_1P , and so K_1P is the cone at S on K_1P_s . Now $a(P_s) = a(P)$, but either $h(P_s) < h(P)$ or $N(P_s) < N(P)$, so, by induction, K_1P_s is a disk and hence K_1P is a disk.

Now suppose that m = 0, so that c is at (1, 0). Let s = (0, 1)(1, 0). If no 1-segments of $\overline{\operatorname{int} P}$ have an endpoint at (0, 0), then s is an isolated vertex in G_1P , and so K_1P is the cone at s on K_1Q , where Q is P with \overline{abc} replaced by \overline{ac} , that is, with the triangle \overline{abca} excised. (Since $\overline{bc} \subset P$, Q is still a slit polygon.) Since a(Q) < a(P), by induction K_1P is a disk.

If, on the other hand, some edge crosses s, then $(1, 1) \in int P$ and t = (0, 0)(1, 1) must be a 1-segment in P. I claim any triangulation of P must contain either s or t as an edge. For suppose some triangulation does not include t. Then some 1-segment x of the triangulation crosses t. But any edge crossing s would also cross x, and consequently s is an edge in the triangulation.

Since either s or t is in any triangulation of P, it follows that K_1P is the union of two cones: the cone at s of K_1P_s and the cone at t of K_1P_t . The two cones intersect in $X = K_1P_s \cap K_1P_t$. If we can show that X is a disk we are done (by, for example, Corollary II.16 of [GL]).

X is the subcomplex of K_1P whose simplices are partial triangulations of *P* which contain no edges crossing s or t. Let $s_1 = \overline{(0, 1)(1, 1)}$ and $s_2 = \overline{(1, 0)(1, 1)}$. Either s_1 or s_2 or both are vertices in K_1P . If only one is a vertex in K_1P , say s_1 , then X is the cone at s_1 on K_1Q , where Q is the slit polygon obtained by replacing \overline{abc} with $\overline{a(1, 1)c}$ in ∂P . Since a(Q) < a(P), by induction K_1P is disk.

If both s_1 and s_2 are in K_1P , then K_1P is the join of K_1Q with the 1-simplex (s_1, s_2) , and again is a disk.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [D] R. L. Devaney, A piecewise linear model for the zones of instability of an area preserving map, Phys. D 10 (1984), 387-393.
- [GL] L. C. Glaser, Geometrical combinatorial topology, Vol. I, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1970.
- [G] M. C. Golumbic, Special issue on interval graphs and related topics, Discrete Math. 55 (1985), 113-123.

PETER GREENBERG

- [HT] A. Hatcher and W. Thurston, A presentation for the mapping class group of an oriented surface, Topology 19 (1980), 221-237.
- [I] N. V. Ivanov, Complexes of curves and Teichmüller modular groups, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 42 (1987), 49-91.
- [R] H. Rademacher, Topics in analytic number theory, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [GS] P. Greenberg and V. Sergiescu, An acyclic extension of the braid group, preprint.
- [Gr] P. Greenberg, A combinatorial method for pseudogroups, preprint.
- [GKW] R. W. Gaskell, M. S. Klamkin, and P. Watson, *Triangulations and Pick's theorem*, Math. Mag. 49 (1976), 35-37.

Département de Mathématiques, Université Louis Pasteur, 7 rue de Rene Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France

Current address: Institut Fourier, B.P. 74, Université de Grenoble, 38402 St. Martin d'Hères, France

E-mail address: greenber@frgren81.bitnet

720