THE H^2 CORONA PROBLEM AND $\bar{\partial}_b$ IN WEAKLY PSEUDOCONVEX DOMAINS

MATS ANDERSSON

ABSTRACT. We derive a Bochner-Kodaira-Nakano-Morrey-Kohn-Hörmander type equality in holomorphic vector bundles and obtain L^2 -estimates for $\bar{\partial}_b$ in a pseudoconvex domain that admits a plurisubharmonic C^2 defining function. We combine these with the trick in Wolff's proof of the corona theorem and obtain a H^2 -corona theorem in such a domain.

0. INTRODUCTION

Let D be a domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $g_i \in H^\infty(D)$ such that

$$(1) \quad \sum_1^k |g_i|^2 \geq \delta^2 > 0.$$

The problem whether there are $u_j \in H^\infty(D)$ such that $\sum_1^k g_i u_i = 1$ is known as the corona problem. The answer is affirmative in e.g. all finitely connected domains in $\mathbb{C}$, but unknown even in the ball if $n > 1$. In [11 and 5] are constructed smooth domains in $\mathbb{C}^3$ and $\mathbb{C}^2$ which have strictly pseudoconvex boundary in all but one point, but in which the corona theorem fails. However it follows from [13] that in case of two generators, i.e. $k = 2$, in a strictly pseudoconvex domain there is a solution in BMO.

It is clear that if the corona problem is solvable, then to any $q \in H^2(D)$ there are $u_j \in H^2(D)$ such that $\sum g_j u_j = q$. In this paper we prove such a theorem in a pseudoconvex domain D admitting a C^2 plurisubharmonic defining function ρ , i.e. ρ be of class C^2 in a neighborhood of $\bar{D}$, $D = \{\rho < 0\}$, $d\rho \neq 0$ on ∂D and $i\partial\bar{\partial}\rho \geq 0$ in D . In particular, the domains in [11 and 5] are of this kind. However, there are examples of pseudoconvex C^2 domains without plurisubharmonic defining function, see [3].

Theorem 1. *Suppose D is a pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with a C^2 plurisubharmonic defining function. Let g be a $j \times k$ -matrix of functions in $H^\infty(D)$ such that*

$$(2) \quad \det g g^* \geq \delta^2 > 0.$$

Received by the editors November 27, 1990 and, in revised form, January 17, 1992.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32A10, 32A35, 32F15, 32F20.

Key words and phrases. Corona theorem, $\bar{\partial}_b$ -equation.

Then to every j -column q of functions in $H^2(D)$ there is a k -column u in $H^2(D)$ such that

$$(3) \quad gu = q$$

and $\|u\|_{H^2} \leq C_\delta \|q\|_{H^2}$. If $j = 1$ one can take $C_\delta = C_\varepsilon / \delta^{1+\varepsilon+\min(n,k-1)}$ where C_ε only depends on $\|g\|_\infty$, n , k and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Note that (2) implies that g is surjective and hence that (3) is pointwise solvable. In fact it is enough to assume that g has constant rank, the product of the nonzero eigenvalues of gg^* are bounded by δ^2 from below and that (3) is pointwise solvable to get the conclusion of Theorem 1, cf. [1].

Since any smooth pluriharmonic χ on $\bar{D}$ has the form $\chi = \log|f|^2$ for some nonvanishing holomorphic f (at least if $H^1(\bar{D}, \mathbb{C}) = 0$), we can apply Theorem 1 to q/f instead of q and obtain a solution to (3) such that

$$(4) \quad \int_{\partial D} |u|^2 e^{-\chi} \leq c_\delta^2 \int_{\partial D} |q|^2 e^{-\chi}.$$

If $n = 1$, χ can be freely chosen in (4) and this implies, see [2] or [1], that there is a bounded solution u such that $\|u\|_\infty \leq c_\delta \|q\|_\infty$ if q is bounded. Hence Theorem 1 is equivalent to the corona theorem in the unit disc.

Theorem 1'. Let D be as in Theorem 1. If q and $g = (g_1, \dots, g_k)$ in $H^\infty(D)$ satisfy

$$(5) \quad |q| \leq |g|^{1+\varepsilon+\min(n,k-1)},$$

then there is a solution u to (3) in $H^2(D)$ such that

$$\int_{\partial D} |u|^2 e^{-\chi} dS \leq C_\varepsilon \int_{\partial D} e^{-\chi} dS.$$

Again, for $n = 1$, this implies that there is a bounded solution if $|q| \leq |g|^{2+\varepsilon}$. This also follows from Wolff's proof of the corona theorem, see [7].

Corollary. If $q = q_1 q_2$ where q_1 satisfies (5) and $q_2 \in H^2(D)$ is nonvanishing, then there is a solution u to (3) such that

$$\int_{\partial D} |u|^2 dS \leq C_\varepsilon \int_{\partial D} |q_2|^2 dS.$$

Our proof of Theorem 1 (and 1'), as most proofs of the corona theorem, is based on an estimate of solutions of a $\bar{\partial}_b$ -equation. This approach was first introduced by Hörmander in [10]. Using the Koszul complex one can, in principle, reduce the theorem to systems of (scalar-valued) $\bar{\partial}$ - (or $\bar{\partial}_{b-}$) equations, at least if $j = 1$. However, unless $n = 1$ or $k \leq 2$, one has to solve, successively, a sequence of $\bar{\partial}$ -equations (involving forms of higher bidegree) and this seems to lead to considerable difficulties. Instead we reformulate the theorem as a $\bar{\partial}_b$ -problem in a holomorphic vector bundle, following the lines in [12] (but with $\bar{\partial}$ replaced by $\bar{\partial}_b$), in which L^2 -estimates for division problems are treated in a very general setting.

The $\bar{\partial}_b$ -equation is treated by a generalization of a variant due to Berndtsson [2], of the Morrey-Kohn-Hörmander identity (see §3). In [2], this new identity was used to get $L^2(\partial D)$ -estimates for the (scalar-valued) $\bar{\partial}_b$ -equation. Since we

need estimates for $\bar{\partial}_b$ in a vector bundle, our first aim is to generalize to this case. This leads to a $L^2(\partial D)$ -theorem for $\bar{\partial}_b$ in a holomorphic vector bundle over a pseudoconvex domain in a Kähler manifold, see Theorem 2 in §4.

However, in order to prove Theorem 1 (and Theorem 1') we cannot use Theorem 2 directly since it just deals with a size estimate of the right-hand side. One also has to take into account an appropriate estimate of derivatives. This is the trick introduced by Wolff in his proof of the corona theorem, see [7].

The paper is divided into six sections. After some necessary preliminaries in §1, we discuss the $\bar{\partial}_b$ -equation in §2. In §3 we derive the above-mentioned equality and show its connection to the Morrey-Kohn-Hörmander equality as well as the Bochner-Kodaira-Nakano equality. In §4 we prove our L^2 -estimate for $\bar{\partial}_b$ (Theorem 2) and in the remaining two sections we prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 1'.

1. NOTATIONAL PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a Kähler manifold with fundamental form ω , so that $dV = \omega^n/n!$ is the volume measure on X , and let $D = \{\rho < 0\}$ be a relatively compact domain in X , where ρ is smooth and $d\rho \neq 0$ on ∂D . We give ∂D the orientation so that a $(2n-1)$ -form α is oriented on ∂D if and only if $d\rho \wedge \alpha$ is oriented on X . Then the surface measure dS on ∂D is given by $dS = d\rho/|d\rho| \lrcorner dV$ on ∂D , where inner multiplication $\lrcorner$ for forms α and β is defined by

$$(1) \quad \langle \alpha \lrcorner \beta, \gamma \rangle = \langle \beta, \bar{\alpha} \wedge \gamma \rangle.$$

Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the induced inner product for forms, i.e. if $*$ is the complex-linear Hodge star operator, then $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle dV = *(\alpha \wedge \bar{\beta})$. Note that $** = (-1)^{p+q}$ on (p, q) -forms (since X has even real dimension) and that $\alpha \lrcorner \beta = *(\alpha \wedge *\beta)$ for any 1-form α . If α is a $(2n-1)$ -form, we have that

$$(2) \quad \int_{\partial D} \alpha = \int_{\partial D} *(d\rho \wedge \alpha) dS/|d\rho|.$$

To see (2), notice that $d\rho \wedge *(d\rho \wedge \alpha) dS/|d\rho| = *(d\rho \wedge \alpha) d\rho \wedge dS/|d\rho| = *(d\rho \wedge \alpha) dV = d\rho \wedge \alpha$, and hence both integrands in (2) are equal, considered as forms on ∂D .

If $E \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic vector bundle over X with hermitian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E$, then we get a metric for $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}_{p,q}(X, E)$ ($= \mathcal{E}(X, \Lambda^{p,q} T^* \otimes E)$) i.e. smooth E -valued (p, q) -forms by putting $\langle \alpha \cdot s, \alpha' \cdot s' \rangle = \langle \alpha, \alpha' \rangle \langle s, s' \rangle_E$ on composite elements. If $s \rightarrow s'$ is the conjugate linear mapping from E to its dual bundle E^* , such that $s' \cdot s = \langle s, s \rangle_E$, and $\bar{*}: \mathcal{E}_{p,q}(X, E) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(X, E^*)$ by putting $\bar{*}(\alpha \cdot s) = \bar{\alpha} \cdot s'$ on composite elements, then

$$(3) \quad \langle \xi, \eta \rangle dV = \xi \wedge \bar{*}\eta, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}_{p,q}(X, E).$$

Moreover, $\alpha \lrcorner \xi = \bar{*}(\bar{\alpha} \wedge \bar{*}\xi)$, cf. (5), if α is a 1-form and ξ any E -valued form.

For $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}_{p,q}(X, E)$, one of which has compact support, we have the inner product

$$(\xi, \eta) = \int \langle \xi, \eta \rangle dV = \int \xi \wedge \bar{*}\eta.$$

Let $D = D' + D''$ denote the Chern connection on E as well as on E^* (with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E$). Then the formal adjoints $(D')^*$ and $(D'')^*$ are given by $(D'')^* = -\bar{*}D'\bar{*}$, $(D')^* = -\bar{*}D'\bar{*}$.

If s is a holomorphic section to E then $D''(\alpha \cdot s) = (\bar{\partial}\alpha) \cdot s$ and therefore we sometimes write $\bar{\partial}$ instead of D'' .

2. THE $\bar{\partial}_b$ -EQUATION

Using the notation from §1 we have

Proposition 1. Suppose $\eta \in \mathcal{E}_{p,q+1}(\bar{D}, E)$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_{p,q}(\bar{D}, E)$. Then

$$(1) \quad \int_D \langle D''\xi, \eta \rangle dV - \int_D \langle \xi, (D'')^*\eta \rangle dV = \int_{\partial D} \langle \xi, \partial\rho \lrcorner \eta \rangle dS/|d\rho|.$$

Remark. Throughout this paper $(D'')^*$ denotes the formal adjoint of D'' . When dealing with the D'' -Neumann problem $(D'')^*$ is an operator with a specified domain $\text{dom}(D'')^*$. For instance, (1) implies that $\eta \in \mathcal{E}_{p,q}(\bar{D}, E)$ is in $\text{dom}(D'')^*$ if and only if $\partial\rho \lrcorner \eta|_{\partial D} = 0$.

Sketch of proof. By (1), (3), and (2) in §1 we have that

$$\int_{\partial D} \langle \xi, \partial\rho \lrcorner \eta \rangle dS/|d\rho| = \int_{\partial D} *(\bar{\partial}\rho \wedge \xi \wedge \bar{*}\eta) dS/|d\rho| = \int_{\partial D} \xi \wedge \bar{*}\eta,$$

so by Stokes' theorem and bidegree reasons the right-hand side of (1) equals $\int_D d(\xi \wedge \bar{*}\eta) = (D''\xi, \eta) - (\xi, (D'')^*\eta)$. $\square$

Let $f \in \mathcal{E}_{n,q+1}(\bar{D}, E)$. We say that an E -valued form (current) u on ∂D solves $\bar{\partial}_b u = f$ if

$$(2) \quad \int_{\partial D} \langle u, \partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha \rangle dS/|d\rho| = \int_D \langle f, \alpha \rangle dV$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{n,q+1}(\bar{D}, E)$ such that $(D'')^*\alpha = 0$.

Hence, by (1), u must have bidegree (n, q) in the sense that $\alpha \wedge \bar{\partial}\rho$ has bidegree $(n, q+1)$, and $\bar{\partial}f = 0$ (since one can take $\alpha = *\bar{\psi}$ for any $\bar{\partial}$ -closed ψ). Notice that

$$(3) \quad \|u\|_{\partial D}^2 = \int_{\partial D} |u \wedge \bar{\partial}\rho|^2 dS/|\partial\rho|_3$$

defines a norm for the space of smooth (n, q) -forms on ∂D , and let $L_{n,q}^2(\partial D, E)$ be its completion with respect to this norm.

Remark. To be more precise, any $u \in \mathcal{E}(\partial D, \Lambda^{n,q}T(X) \otimes E)$ has an orthogonal decomposition $u = u_1 + u_2$, where $\bar{\partial}\rho \wedge u_1 = 0$ and $\bar{\partial}\rho \lrcorner u_2 = 0$. Thus (n, q) -forms (currents) V such that $\partial\rho \lrcorner V = 0$ can be isometrically identified with intrinsic (n, q) -forms (currents) on ∂D .

Proposition 2. Let $f \in \mathcal{E}_{n,q+1}(\bar{D}, E)$ be D'' -closed. Then $\bar{\partial}_b u = f$ has a solution in $L_{n,q}^2(\partial D, E)$ with norm C if and only if

$$(4) \quad \left| \int_D \langle f, \alpha \rangle dV \right|^2 \leq C^2 \int_{\partial D} |\partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha|^2 dS/|d\rho|^2$$

for all $(D'')^*$ -closed $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{n,q+1}(\bar{D}, E)$.

Proof. First suppose there is such a solution u considered as a current such that $\bar{\partial}\rho \wedge u = 0$, cf., the remark above. Then $\int_D \langle f, \alpha \rangle dV = \int_{\partial D} \langle u, \partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha \rangle dS/|d\rho|$ so by Schwarz inequality,

$$\left| \int_D \langle f, \alpha \rangle dV \right|^2 \leq \int_D |u|^2 dS/|d\rho| \int_{\partial D} |\partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha|^2 dS/|d\rho|$$

which implies (4). For the converse, assume that (4) holds. Define the linear functional $\Lambda(\partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha) = \int_D \langle f, \alpha \rangle dV$ on E -valued (n, q) -forms on ∂D of the form $\partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha$ where $(D'')^*\alpha = 0$ in D . By (4) it is well defined and L^2 -bounded, so there is a u such that $\int_{\partial D} |u|^2/|d\rho| dS \leq C^2$ and (2) holds. $\square$

In the next paragraph we shall derive an equality which gives a possibility to obtain estimates like (4).

3. A BOCHNER-KODAIRA-NAKANO-MORREY-KOHN-HÖRMANDER TYPE EQUALITY

In the notation from §1, the Bochner-Kodaira-Nakano identity is

$$(1) \quad (D'')^*D'' + D''(D'')^* = (D')^*D' + D'(D')^* + i[\Theta, \Lambda]$$

where Λ is inner multiplication with the fundamental form ω , Θ is the curvature tensor on E , i.e. $\Theta = D^2$, and $[\ , \]$ denotes commutator.

If X is compact (so that no boundary terms occur) (1) implies the estimate $\|D''\xi\|^2 + \|(D'')^*\xi\|^2 \geq (i[\Theta, \Lambda]\xi, \xi)$. If $a = i[\Theta, \Lambda]$ happens to be nonnegative on E -valued (p, q) -forms and f is a D'' -closed (p, q) -form one gets [also using a local regularity result for the elliptic operator $\bar{\square} = D''(D'')^* + (D'')^*D''$] the estimate

$$\left| \int \langle f, \xi \rangle dV \right|^2 \leq \int \langle a^{-1}f, f \rangle dV \int |(D'')^*\xi|^2 dV \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{E}_{p,q}(X, E),$$

which means that there is a solution to $D''u = f$ with $\|u\|^2 \leq \int \langle a^{-1}f, f \rangle dV$, provided the right-hand side is finite.

In a domain D with boundary, one leads to study the D'' -Neumann problem and here the starting point is the Morrey-Kohn-Hörmander identity. We will derive it below from (1). To deal with the $\bar{\partial}_b$ -equation on $\bar{D}$ we need still another equality (Proposition 7) first found and used in [2] in the case of $(0, 1)$ -forms (see the remark below) and trivial bundle. This one too will be derived from (1).

We first note how the various geometrical objects are affected if our original metric $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ on E is modified.

Proposition 3. *If $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ is changed to $\langle \ \rangle e^{-\varphi}$, then by obvious use of the index φ ,*

$$(2) \quad (D'')^*\varphi = (D'')^* + \partial\varphi \lrcorner,$$

$$(3) \quad D'_\varphi = D' - \partial\varphi \wedge,$$

$$(4) \quad \Theta_\varphi = \Theta + \partial\bar{\partial}\varphi,$$

and

$$(5) \quad (D'_\varphi)^*\varphi = (D')^*.$$

Any of these follows from well-known identities, see e.g. [8], or by simple computations.

Now put $\varphi = t \log(-1/\rho)$ in $D = \{\rho < 0\}$ so that $\exp(-\varphi) = (-\rho)^t$ and $\partial\varphi = O(-1/\rho)$. Also put $(\cdot, \cdot)_\varphi = \int_D \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle e^{-\varphi} dV$. If $t > 2$, we can, cf. (2), ..., (5), integrate by parts and obtain

$$(6) \quad \|D''\alpha\|_\varphi^2 + \|(D'')^*\alpha\|_\varphi^2 = \|D'_\varphi\alpha\|_\varphi^2 + \|(D'_\varphi)^*\alpha\|_\varphi^2 + i([\Theta_\varphi, \Lambda]\alpha, \alpha)_\varphi$$

from (1). Our next task is to compute the various terms in (6). We assume that $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{n,q}(\overline{D}, E)$ so that $D'_\varphi\alpha = 0$ and $[\Theta_\varphi, \Lambda]\alpha = \Theta_\varphi\Lambda\alpha$. Since, by (2),

$$(D'')^*\varphi = (D'')^* + \partial\varphi \lrcorner = (D'')^* - t(\partial\rho/\rho) \lrcorner$$

we get

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(D'')^*\alpha\|_\varphi^2 &= \int_D (-\rho)^t |(D'')^*\alpha|^2 dV \\ &+ 2t \operatorname{Re} \int_D (-\rho)^{t-1} \langle (D'')^*\alpha, \partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha \rangle dV + t^2 \int_D (-\rho)^{t-2} |\partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha|^2 dV. \end{aligned}$$

By (4),

$$(8) \quad \Theta_\varphi = \Theta - t\partial\bar{\partial}\rho/\rho + t\partial\rho \wedge \bar{\partial}\rho/\rho^2.$$

We need also

Lemma 4. *If $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{n,q}(\overline{D}, E)$, then*

$$(9) \quad i\langle \partial\rho \wedge \bar{\partial}\rho \wedge \Lambda\alpha, \alpha \rangle = |\partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha|^2.$$

Lemma 5. *If $\psi \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{D})$, then*

$$\int_D (-\rho)^{t-1} \psi dV \rightarrow \int_{\partial D} \psi dS/|d\rho|$$

when $t \searrow 0$.

Taking these for granted for the moment we get from (6), (7), (8) and (9) that

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_D (-\rho)^t |D''\alpha|^2 dV + \int_D (-\rho)^t |(D'')^*\alpha|^2 dV \\ &+ 2t \operatorname{Re} \int_D (-\rho)^{t-1} \langle (D'')^*\alpha, \partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha \rangle dV \\ &+ t^2 \int_D (-\rho)^{t-2} |\partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha|^2 dV \\ &= \int_D (-\rho)^t |(D')^*\alpha|^2 dV + \int_D (-\rho)^t i\langle \Theta\Lambda\alpha, \alpha \rangle dV \\ &+ t \int_D (-\rho)^{t-1} \langle i\partial\bar{\partial}\rho \wedge \Lambda\alpha, \alpha \rangle dV + t \int_D (-\rho)^{t-2} |\partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha|^2 dV \end{aligned}$$

for $t > 2$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{n,q}(\overline{D}, E)$.

If we now assume that $D''\alpha = (D'')^*\alpha = 0$, combine the last terms on each side of equality (10) and let $t \searrow 1$ we get

Proposition 6. Suppose $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{n,q}(\overline{D}, E)$ and $D''\alpha = (D'')^*\alpha = 0$. Then

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} i \int_D (-\rho) \langle \Theta \Lambda \alpha, \alpha \rangle dV + i \int_D \langle \partial \bar{\partial} \rho \Lambda \alpha, \alpha \rangle dV \\ + \int_D (-\rho) |(D')^* \alpha|^2 dV = \int_{\partial D} |\partial \rho \lrcorner \alpha|^2 dS / |d\rho|. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. Suppose $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. If $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the metric $e^{-\psi}$ on the trivial line bundle (so that $\Theta = \partial \bar{\partial} \psi$) and $(n, 1)$ -forms are identified with $(0, 1)$ -forms in the obvious way, then (11) is exactly Proposition 5 in [2].

In a similar way we can also obtain the Morrey-Kohn-Hörmander identity.

Proposition 7. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{n,q}(\overline{D}, E)$ and $\partial \rho \lrcorner \alpha|_{\partial D} = 0$, then

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_D |D''\alpha|^2 dV + \int_D |(D'')^* \alpha|^2 dV = \int_D |(D')^* \alpha|^2 dV \\ + i \int_{\partial D} \langle \partial \bar{\partial} \rho \Lambda \alpha, \alpha \rangle dS / |d\rho| + i \int_D \langle \Theta \Lambda \alpha, \alpha \rangle dV. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By assumption $\partial \rho \lrcorner \alpha = O(-\rho)$ so (12) follows from (10) when $t \searrow 0$. $\square$

We conclude this paragraph with proofs of the lemmas.

Proof of Lemma 4. Fix a point and $(1, 0)$ -forms $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ such that $\omega = \sum \omega_j \wedge \bar{\omega}_j$ and $\partial \rho = \omega_1$ at this point. We can write $\alpha = \alpha' + \alpha'' = \omega_1 \wedge \bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \gamma + \alpha''$, such that γ and α'' do not contain $\bar{\omega}_1$. Then $\omega_1 \wedge \bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \alpha = \alpha'$ and $\langle \alpha', \alpha \rangle = \langle \alpha', \alpha' \rangle$. On the other hand also, $|\omega_1 \lrcorner \alpha|^2 = |\omega_1 \lrcorner \omega_1 \wedge \bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \gamma|^2 = |\omega_1 \wedge \gamma|^2 = |\bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \gamma|^2 = |\alpha'|^2$ since $\bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \gamma$ does not contain ω_1 . This proves the lemma. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 5. We may assume that ψ has support in some small neighborhood of a boundary point and we let α be a $(2n-1)$ -form such that $dV = d\rho \wedge \alpha / |d\rho|$ there. Then

$$\begin{aligned} t \int_D (-\rho)^{t-1} \psi dV &= t \int_D (-\rho)^{t-1} d\rho \wedge \psi \alpha / |d\rho| \\ &= - \int_D d(-\rho)^t \wedge \psi \alpha / |d\rho| = \int_D (-\rho)^t \wedge d(\psi \alpha / |d\rho|) \\ &\rightarrow \int_D d(\psi \alpha / |d\rho|) = \int_{\partial D} \psi \alpha / |d\rho| = \int_{\partial D} \psi dS / |d\rho| \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Stokes' theorem twice. $\square$

4. A SOLUTION OF THE $\bar{\partial}_b$ -EQUATION

In this paragraph $D = \{\rho < 0\}$ is pseudoconvex and ρ is a C^2 plurisubharmonic defining function. Suppose that the hermitian operator (see [8])

$$A = i(-\rho)\Theta\Lambda + i\partial\bar{\partial}\rho\Lambda$$

is semipositive on E -valued $(n, q+1)$ -forms, i.e.

$$\langle A\alpha, \alpha \rangle \geq 0, \quad \alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{n,q+1}(\overline{D}, E).$$

Then Proposition 6 in §3 provides the estimate

$$\int_D \langle A\alpha, \alpha \rangle dV \leq \int_{\partial D} |\partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha|^2 dS/|d\rho|$$

for $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{p,q+1}(\overline{D}, E)$ such that $D''\alpha = (D'')^*\alpha = 0$.

If $f \in \mathcal{E}_{p,q+1}(\overline{D}, E)$ is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, we thus get

$$(1) \quad \left| \int_D \langle f, \alpha \rangle dV \right|^2 \leq \int_D \langle A^{-1}f, f \rangle dV \int_{\partial D} |\partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha|^2 dS/|d\rho|$$

for $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{p,q+1}(\overline{D}, E)$ such that $D''\alpha = (D'')^*\alpha = 0$. In order to ease the condition that $D''\alpha = 0$ in (1), we first, for simplicity, assume that ∂D is strictly pseudoconvex. Then, $|\alpha|^2 \leq C \langle i\partial\bar{\partial}\rho\Lambda\alpha, \alpha \rangle$, for α such that $\partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha = 0$ on ∂D and since $|\langle \Theta\Lambda\alpha, \alpha \rangle| \leq C|\alpha|^2$ (recall that E is assumed to be a bundle over X) we get from Proposition 7 the Basic Estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_D |(D')^*\alpha|^2 dV + \int_{\partial D} |\alpha|^2 dS \\ & \leq C \left[\int_D |D''\alpha|^2 dV + \int_D |(D'')^*\alpha|^2 dV + \int_D |\alpha|^2 dV \right] \end{aligned}$$

if $\partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha|_{\partial D} = 0$. This ensures, see [6], regularity for the D'' -Neumann problem and then any $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{n,q+1}(\overline{D}, E)$ has a smooth orthogonal decomposition $\alpha = \alpha' + \alpha''$ where $\bar{\partial}\alpha' = 0$ and α'' is orthogonal to $\bar{\partial}$ -closed E -valued forms. In particular, $(D'')^*\alpha'' = 0$ and $\partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha''|_{\partial D} = 0$, cf. the remark after Proposition 1. Thus, if $(D'')^*\alpha = 0$ then $(D'')^*\alpha' = D''\alpha' = 0$ so (1) applies to α' and we hence obtain (1) for α as well. If ∂D is just pseudoconvex, we can still decompose $\alpha = \alpha' + \alpha''$ as before. Since then $\alpha'' \in \text{Dom}(D'')^* \cap \text{Dom } D''$ (in the densely defined operator sense) there are, by Proposition 2.1.1 in [9], $\alpha'_j \in \mathcal{E}_{n,q}(\overline{D}, E) \cap \text{Dom}(D'')^*$ such that $\alpha'_j \rightarrow \alpha''$ in graph norm. In particular $\partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha'_j|_{\partial D} = 0$. If $\alpha'_j = \alpha - \alpha''_j$, then $\alpha'_j \rightarrow \alpha'$ in graph norm and $\partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha|_{\partial D} = \partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha'_j|_{\partial D}$. Since also $(D'')^*\alpha'_j \rightarrow 0$, $D''\alpha'_j \rightarrow 0$ and $\int \langle f, \alpha'_j \rangle \rightarrow \int \langle f, \alpha \rangle$ one can proceed as before, but instead using the variant of (11) in which $(D'')^*\alpha$ and $D''\alpha$ are not supposed to vanish, cf. (10). By Proposition 2 we then have proved

Theorem 2. *Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a hermitian holomorphic vector bundle over the Kähler manifold X , and let $D = \{\rho < 0\}$ be a pseudoconvex relatively compact domain and ρ a C^2 plurisubharmonic defining function. Also suppose that $A = i(-\rho)\Theta\Lambda + i\partial\bar{\partial}\rho\Lambda$ is semipositive on E -valued $(n, q+1)$ -forms. If $f \in \mathcal{E}_{n,q+1}(\overline{D}, E)$ is D'' -closed, then there is a solution to $\bar{\partial}_b u = f$ in $L^2_{n,q}(\partial D, E)$ such that*

$$\int_{\partial D} |\partial\rho \lrcorner u|^2 dS/|\partial\rho|^3 \leq \int_D \langle A^{-1}f, f \rangle dV.$$

We recall that a bundle E is called Nakano semipositive if $\langle \Theta\Lambda\alpha, \alpha \rangle \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{n,1}(X, E)$.

Corollary. *Suppose $E \rightarrow X$ is Nakano semipositive, $i\partial\bar{\partial}\rho \geq \delta I$ in D , and ψ is smooth and plurisubharmonic. Then if $f \in \mathcal{E}_{n,1}(\overline{D}, E)$ is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, there is*

a solution u to $\bar{\partial}_b u = f$ such that

$$(2) \quad \int_{\partial D} |u|^2 e^{-\psi} dS / |d\rho| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_D |f|^2 e^{-\psi} dV.$$

In particular, one can let E be the trivial bundle over a domain D in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and thus get (2) for $(0, 1)$ -forms f .

5. THE DIVISION PROBLEM

When proving Theorem 1, we assume that q and g are holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\bar{D}$. The general case then follows by a normal family argument on the solutions in $D_\varepsilon = \{\rho < -\varepsilon\}$, since it turns out that the occurring constants only depend on derivatives up to second order of ρ near ∂D .

Remark. It is proved in [4] that any C^2 pseudoconvex domain admits a C^2 -defining function ρ such that $-(-\rho)^\eta$ is (strictly) plurisubharmonic in D for some $\eta > 0$. Unfortunately, by our method the constants belonging to $D_\varepsilon = \{\rho < -\varepsilon\}$ seem to be unbounded when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ so we cannot prove Theorem 1 in this general case. $\square$

We thus have to consider the following situation. An exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{j} E \xrightarrow{g} Q \rightarrow 0$ of hermitian holomorphic vector bundles over X , such that j and g are holomorphic, S is equipped with the metric induced from E , $|g| \leq 1$ and $\det g g^* \geq \delta^2 > 0$. The problem then is if for any holomorphic $q \in \mathcal{E}_{n,0}(\bar{D}, Q)$ there is a holomorphic solution $u \in \mathcal{E}_{n,0}(D, S)$ to

$$(1) \quad gu = q$$

such that

$$(2) \quad \int_{\partial D} |u|^2 dS \leq C^2 \int_{\partial D} |q|^2 dS.$$

To find such holomorphic solutions, we proceed as follows. First we note that the pointwise minimal solution $\gamma q = g^*(g g^*)^{-1} q$ satisfies (2). Moreover, $\bar{\partial}(\gamma q) = (\bar{\partial} \gamma) q$ is a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(n, 1)$ -form with values in S , since $g \bar{\partial} \gamma q = \bar{\partial}(g \gamma q) = \bar{\partial} q = 0$. The hard step then is to find a $v \in L_{n,0}^2(\partial D, S)$ satisfying (2) such that $\bar{\partial}_b v = (\bar{\partial} \gamma) q$ in S , i.e. such that

$$(3) \quad \int_{\partial D} \langle v, \partial \rho \lrcorner \xi \rangle dS / |d\rho| = \int_D \langle (\bar{\partial} \gamma) q, \xi \rangle dV$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_{n,1}(\bar{D}, S)$ such that $(D'')^* \xi = 0$.

We now claim that (3) implies that actually $\bar{\partial}_b v = (\bar{\partial} \gamma) q$ in E . Taking this for granted for the moment we conclude that $\bar{\partial}_b(\gamma q - v) = 0$ in (the trivial bundle) E which means that $u = \gamma q - v$ satisfies the tangential Cauchy-Riemann equation weakly.

This implies that u is the boundary values of a $U \in H^2(D)$ with norm

$$\|U\|_{H^2}^2 = \int_{\partial D} |u|^2 dS = \int_{\partial D} |v|^2 dS + \int_{\partial D} |\gamma q|^2 dS$$

(v and γq being orthogonal) and since $gU = q$ on ∂D , it must hold in D .

Thus our problem is solvable if (and only if) we can obtain (3) such that the $L^2(\partial D)$ -norm of v is controlled by $(\int_{\partial D} |q|^2 dS)^{1/2}$. By Proposition 2 this amounts to verify the inequality

$$(4) \quad \left| \int_D \langle \bar{\partial} \gamma q, \xi \rangle dV \right|^2 \leq C_\delta^2 \int_{\partial D} |q|^2 dS \int_{\partial D} |\partial \rho \lrcorner \xi|^2 dS / |\partial \rho|^2$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_{n,1}(\bar{D}, S)$ such that $(D'')^* \xi = 0$.

When trying to prove the estimate (4) one encounters two main difficulties. Firstly, although E and Q are trivial bundles with trivial metrics in our case, S acquires negative curvature which must be taken care of. However, it turns out that the curvature on S becomes nonnegative if the original metric is modified by a factor $e^{-\varphi}$, where φ is a *bounded* plurisubharmonic function. Since e^φ is bounded, it does not affect the estimates in any essential way. Secondly, even if we forget about the curvature problems, i.e. consider the scalar-valued case, an essential difficulty remains. As was mentioned in the introduction, one cannot use Theorem 2 directly since we must use more information about the right-hand side in our $\bar{\partial}_b$ -equation than just a size estimate. Here the Wolff trick comes into play. Restricted to the scalar-valued case, our “Wolff theorem” can be stated

Proposition 8. *Suppose $f \in \mathcal{E}_{n,1}(\bar{D})$ is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, and that there is a bounded plurisubharmonic φ on $\bar{D}$ such that $|\langle f, \alpha \rangle|^2 \leq \langle i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi\Lambda\alpha, \alpha \rangle$ and*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \left| \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}, \alpha \right\rangle \right|^2 \leq \Delta\varphi \langle i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi\Lambda\alpha, \alpha \rangle$$

for all $(n, 1)$ -forms α . Then for any holomorphic q there is a solution $v \in L_{n,0}^2(\partial D)$ to $\bar{\partial}_b v = fq$ such that

$$\int_{\partial D} |v|^2 dS \leq C^2 \int_{\partial D} |q|^2 dS,$$

where C only depends on $\|\varphi\|_\infty$ and D .

This proposition will be proved implicitly in the next paragraph. In the unit disc the assumption in the proposition is essentially, see [2], that $(1 - |\zeta|^2)|f|^2$ and $(1 - |\zeta|^2)|\partial f/\partial z|$ be Carleson measures, and the conclusion of the proposition implies, cf. the introduction, that there is a bounded solution.

Proof of the claim above. We actually have to verify that if $v \in L_{n,0}^2(\partial D, S)$ and (3) holds for all $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_{n,1}(\bar{D}, S)$ such that $(D'')^*_S \xi = 0$, then it also holds for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{n,1}(\bar{D}, E)$ such that $(D'')^*_E \alpha = 0$. However, if $p: E \rightarrow S$ is the orthogonal projection, then clearly (3) holds for $\xi = \alpha - p\alpha$. Moreover, if $(D'')^*_E \alpha = 0$, then for any compactly supported $\eta \in \mathcal{E}_{n,1}(\bar{D}, S)$,

$$0 = \int_D \langle (D'')^*_E \alpha, \eta \rangle = \int_D \langle \alpha, \bar{\partial} \eta \rangle = \int_D \langle p\alpha, \bar{\partial} \eta \rangle = \int_D \langle (D'')^*_S p\alpha, \eta \rangle,$$

so that $(D'')^*_S p\alpha = 0$. Hence (3) holds for $\alpha = p\alpha + (\alpha - p\alpha)$. $\square$

6. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1 AND 1'

Let β be the element in $\mathcal{E}_{1,0}(\overline{D}, \text{Hom}(S, Q))$ such that its adjoint, with respect to the quotient metric on Q , $\beta^* \in \mathcal{E}_{0,1}(\overline{D}, \text{Hom}(Q, S))$ equals $-\bar{\partial}\gamma$. Thus our equation to be solved ((3) in §5) becomes $\bar{\partial}_b v = -\beta^* q$. Moreover, since E has no curvature,

$$(1) \quad \Theta_S = \beta^* \wedge \beta$$

and since Q has no curvature,

$$(2) \quad -i\langle \beta^* \wedge \beta \Lambda \xi, \xi \rangle \leq r \langle i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \Lambda \xi, \xi \rangle, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{E}_{n,1}(\overline{D}, S),$$

where $r = \min(n, \text{rank } S)$ and $\psi = \log \det g g^*$ (note that g^* depends on the metric on Q). We also need the estimate

$$(3) \quad |\langle \beta^* q, \xi \rangle|^2 \leq -|g^*(g g^*)^{-1} q|^2 i\langle \beta^* \wedge \beta \Lambda \xi, \xi \rangle$$

for $q \in \mathcal{E}_{n,0}(\overline{D}, Q)$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_{n,1}(\overline{D}, S)$. For proofs of (1), (2) and (3) we refer to [12].

Recall (Proposition 6 in §3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D (-\rho) \langle i(\Theta_S + \partial\bar{\partial}\varphi) \Lambda \alpha, \alpha \rangle e^{-\varphi} dV + \int_D \langle i\partial\bar{\partial}\rho \Lambda \alpha, \alpha \rangle e^{-\varphi} dV \\ + \int_D (-\rho) |(D')^* \alpha|^2 e^{-\varphi} dV = \int_{\partial D} |\partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha|^2 e^{-\varphi} dS / |d\rho| \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{n,1}(\overline{D}, S)$ if $\bar{\partial}\alpha = (D'')^* \alpha = 0$.

Hence if $\varphi = (r + \varepsilon)\psi$ and ρ is plurisubharmonic, we get by (1) and (2)

$$(4) \quad \int_D (-\rho) |(D')^* \alpha|^2 e^{-\varphi} dV \leq \int_{\partial D} |\partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha|^2 e^{-\varphi} dS / |d\rho|$$

and

$$(5) \quad \int_D (-\rho) \langle i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \Lambda \alpha, \alpha \rangle e^{-\varphi} dV \leq \frac{r + \varepsilon}{r} \int_{\partial D} |\partial\rho \lrcorner \alpha|^2 e^{-\varphi} dS / |d\rho|.$$

From (3) we also get

$$(6) \quad |\langle \beta^* q, \xi \rangle|^2 \leq |g^*(g g^*)^{-1} q|^2 \frac{r}{r + \varepsilon} \langle i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \Lambda \xi, \xi \rangle,$$

for $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_{n,1}(\overline{D}, S)$ and $q \in \mathcal{E}_{n,0}(\overline{D}, Q)$. We now claim that Theorem 1 follows from

Proposition 9. *If D and ρ are as in Theorem 1, then*

$$(7) \quad \left| \int_D \langle \beta^* q, \xi \rangle dV \right|^2 \leq C_\delta^2 \int_{\partial D} |q|^2 dS \int_{\partial D} |\partial\rho \lrcorner \xi|^2 e^\varphi dS$$

for any holomorphic $q \in \mathcal{E}_{n,0}(\overline{D}, Q)$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_{n,1}(\overline{D}, S)$ such that $(D'')^*(e^\varphi \xi) = \bar{\partial}(e^\varphi \xi) = 0$.

Here C_δ is the constant described in Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. By the discussion in §5 it is enough to verify (4) in §5 for $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_{n,1}(\overline{D}, S)$ such that $(D'')^* \xi = 0$. Note that

$$(D'')^* \xi = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad (D'')^*(e^\varphi \xi) = 0.$$

Putting $\alpha = e^\varphi \xi$, (7) then says that

$$(8) \quad \left| \int_D \langle \beta^* q, \alpha \rangle e^{-\varphi} dV \right|^2 \leq C_\delta^2 \int_{\partial D} |q|^2 dS \int_{\partial D} |\partial \rho \lrcorner \alpha|^2 e^{-\varphi} dS$$

for all α such that $\bar{\partial} \alpha = (D'')^* \alpha = 0$. As in §4, we can obtain (8) for all α with $(D'')^* \alpha = 0$. But this means that (7) holds for all ξ with $(D'')^* \xi = 0$. Finally $e^\varphi = (\det g g^*)^{r+\varepsilon} \leq 1$ by assumption, and hence we have verified (4) in §5. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 9. We consider g as a $j \times k$ -matrix of holomorphic functions on $\bar{D}$ and use the norms

$$\|g\|^2 = \sum_{\tau\nu} |g_{\tau\nu}|^2, \quad |g'|^2 = \sum_{i\tau\nu} |\partial g_{\tau\nu} / \partial \zeta_i|^2.$$

The assumptions on g imply that

$$(9) \quad |(g g^*)^{-1}| \lesssim 1/\delta^2, \quad |g^*(g g^*)^{-1} q|^2 \lesssim (1/\delta^2) |q|^2.$$

If Δ is the $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ -Laplacian, then

$$(10) \quad |g'|^2 / |g|^{2-2\varepsilon} \leq C_\varepsilon \Delta |g|^{2\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

We also need the inequalities

$$(11) \quad \int_D (-\rho) |f'|^2 dV \lesssim \int_{\partial D} |f|^2 dS$$

and

$$(12) \quad \int_D (-\rho) |f|^2 \Delta \psi e^\psi dV \lesssim \int_{\partial D} |f|^2 e^\psi dS$$

for holomorphic f and subharmonic ψ . They follow from Green's formula. Recall that $-\beta^* = \bar{\partial} \gamma = \bar{\partial} [g(g g^*)^{-1}]$ so that, for an S -valued ξ ,

$$-\langle \beta^* q, \xi \rangle = \langle (\partial g)^* (g g^*)^{-1} q, \xi \rangle.$$

We have to estimate

$$I = \int_D \langle \beta^* q, \xi \rangle d\lambda, \quad (D'')^* \varphi(e^\varphi \xi) = \bar{\partial}(e^\varphi \xi) = 0.$$

Let χ be a smooth function which is identically 1 near ∂D and such that $\partial \rho$ is nonvanishing on its support. If $L = \chi |\partial \rho|^{-2} \sum_1^n (\partial \rho / \partial \bar{\zeta}_j) \partial / \partial \zeta_j$, then we can write

$$I = \int_D (1 - \chi) \langle \beta^* q, \xi \rangle dV - \int_D L(-\rho) \langle \beta^* q, \xi \rangle dV,$$

and an integration by parts in the second integral gives us

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_D (1 - \chi) \langle \beta^* q, \xi \rangle dV + \int_D (-\rho) O(1) \langle \beta^* q, \xi \rangle dV \\ &\quad + \int_D (-\rho) \langle (L \beta^*) q, \xi \rangle dV + \int_D (-\rho) \langle \beta^* L q, \xi \rangle dV \\ &\quad + \int_D (-\rho) \langle \beta^* q, (\bar{L} \varphi) \xi + \bar{L} \xi \rangle dV - \int_D (-\rho) \langle \beta^* q, \bar{L} \varphi \xi \rangle dV \\ &= I_0 + I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 \end{aligned}$$

where $O(1)$ only depends on derivatives up to second order of ρ and χ , and where $\varphi = (r + \varepsilon) \log \det g g^*$. The proof is concluded by estimating each I_i . By (6) and Schwarz inequality we have that, for $i = 0, 1$,

$$|I_i|^2 \lesssim \int_D (-\rho) |g^*(g g^*)^{-1} q|^2 e^{-\varphi} dV \int_D (-\rho) \langle i\partial \bar{\partial} \varphi \Lambda \xi, \xi \rangle e^{\varphi} dV.$$

Now, cf. (9),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D (-\rho) |g^*(g g^*)^{-1} q|^2 e^{-\varphi} dV &\lesssim \frac{1}{\delta^2 \delta^{2(r+\varepsilon)}} \int_D (-\rho) |q|^2 dV \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{\delta^{1+r+\varepsilon}} \right)^2 \int_{\partial D} |q|^2 dS \end{aligned}$$

since q is holomorphic (cf. (12) with e.g. $\psi = |\zeta|^2$). Also

$$\int_D (-\rho) \langle i\partial \bar{\partial} \varphi \Lambda \xi, \xi \rangle e^{\varphi} dV = \int_D (-\rho) \langle i\partial \bar{\partial} \varphi \Lambda(e^{\varphi} \xi), e^{\varphi} \xi \rangle e^{-\varphi} dV$$

and hence by (5), $\lesssim \int_{\partial D} |\partial \rho \lrcorner \xi|^2 e^{\varphi} dS$. Thus we have obtained the required estimate for I_0 and I_1 . To handle I_2 , first note that

$$\begin{aligned} -\langle (L\beta^*)q, \xi \rangle &= \langle (\partial g)^*(g g^*)^{-1} (Lg) g^*(g g^*)^{-1} q, \xi \rangle \\ &= \langle \beta^*(Lg) g^*(g g^*)^{-1} q, \xi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

so by (6) and (9),

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle (L\beta^*)q, \xi \rangle|^2 &\leq |g^*(g g^*)^{-1} (Lg) g^*(g g^*)^{-1} q|^2 e^{-\varphi} \langle i\partial \bar{\partial} \varphi \Lambda \xi, \xi \rangle e^{\varphi} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\delta^2 \delta^{2(r+\varepsilon)} \delta^{2\varepsilon} (\det g g^*)^{1-\varepsilon}} |g'|^2 |q|^2 \langle i\partial \bar{\partial} \varphi \Lambda \xi, \xi \rangle e^{\varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

If g is a row matrix, i.e. $j = 1$, then $\det g g^* = |g|^2$ so we can use (10) and get

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2|^2 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\delta^{1+r+2\varepsilon}} \right)^2 \int_D (-\rho) |q|^2 \Delta |g|^{2\varepsilon} e^{|g|^{2\varepsilon}} \int_D (-\rho) \langle i\partial \bar{\partial} \varphi \Lambda \xi, \xi \rangle e^{\varphi} \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{\delta^{1+r+2\varepsilon}} \right)^2 \int_{\partial D} |q|^2 dS \int_{\partial D} |\partial \rho \lrcorner \xi|^2 e^{\varphi} dS. \end{aligned}$$

If $j > 1$ we estimate $1/\det g g^*$ by $1/\delta^2$ and use the simpler inequality $|g'|^2 \leq \Delta |g|^2$. For simplicity we assume for the rest of the proof that $j = 1$. To handle I_3 , we note that

$$|\langle \beta^* Lq, \xi \rangle|^2 \lesssim \left(\frac{1}{\delta^{1+r+\varepsilon}} \right)^2 |q'|^2 \langle i\partial \bar{\partial} \varphi \Lambda \xi, \xi \rangle e^{\varphi}$$

and here the first factor is treated by (11) and the second one as before. Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_4|^2 &\lesssim \int_D (-\rho) |\beta^* q|^2 e^{-\varphi} dV \int_D (-\rho) |(\bar{L}\varphi)\xi + \bar{L}\xi|^2 e^{\varphi} dV \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{\delta^{1+r+2\varepsilon}} \right)^2 \int_D (-\rho) \frac{|g'|^2}{|g|^{2-2\varepsilon}} |q|^2 dV \int_D (-\rho) |\bar{L}(e^{\varphi} \xi)|^2 e^{-\varphi} dV. \end{aligned}$$

The first factor is handled as before and the second one is estimated by (4). Finally,

$$|I_5|^2 \lesssim \left(\frac{1}{\delta^{1+r+\varepsilon}} \right)^2 \int_D (-\rho) |\bar{L}\varphi|^2 |q|^2 dV \int_D (-\rho) \langle i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \Lambda \xi, \xi \rangle e^\varphi dV.$$

Note that $|\bar{L}\varphi|^2 \leq |g'|^2/|g|^2$ so that the first factor is dominated by

$$\frac{1}{\delta^{2\varepsilon}} \int_D (-\rho) (\Delta |g|^{2\varepsilon}) |q|^2 e^{|g|^{2\varepsilon}} dV \lesssim \frac{1}{\delta^{2\varepsilon}} \int_{\partial D} |q|^2 dS$$

and hence the proposition is proved. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1'. It is enough to show that

$$\left| \int_D \langle \beta^* q, \xi \rangle dV \right|^2 \leq C_\varepsilon \int_{\partial D} e^{-\chi} dS \int_{\partial D} |\partial \rho \lrcorner \xi|^2 e^{\varphi+\chi}$$

for all ξ such that $(D'')^*\psi(e^\psi \xi) = \bar{\partial}(e^\psi \xi) = 0$, where we have put $\psi = \varphi + \chi = (r + \varepsilon') \log |g|^2 + \chi$ and ε' is less than the ε in the hypothesis of Theorem 1'.

Then most arguments when estimating the left-hand side work as before. We have just two new difficulties. For the term I_3 we have

$$|I_3|^2 \lesssim \int_D (-\rho) |Lq|^2 / |g|^{2(1+r+\varepsilon')} e^{-\chi} \int_D (-\rho) \langle i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \Lambda \xi, \xi \rangle e^\psi.$$

Since $|g|^{2(1+r+\varepsilon)} \geq |q|^2$, $|g|^{2(1+r+\varepsilon')} \geq |q|^{2-\varepsilon''}$ and hence the first factor is

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \int_D (-\rho) \Delta |q|^{\varepsilon''} e^{-\chi} \leq \int_D (-\rho) \Delta (|q|^{2''} - \chi) e^{|q|^{\varepsilon''} - \chi} \\ &\lesssim \int_{\partial D} e^{|q|^{\varepsilon''} - \chi} dS \lesssim \int_{\partial D} e^{-\chi} dS. \end{aligned}$$

When estimating I_5 we show up with a factor

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_D (-\rho) |q|^2 |\nabla \psi|^2 e^{-\psi} \leq \int_D (-\rho) |g|^{\varepsilon''} |\nabla \psi|^2 e^{-\chi} \\ &\lesssim \int_D (-\rho) |g|^{\varepsilon''} |\nabla (\log |g|^2)|^2 e^{-\chi} + \int_D (-\rho) |\nabla \chi|^2 e^{-\chi} = a_1 + a_2. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$a_1 \lesssim \int_D (-\rho) \Delta (|g|^{\varepsilon''} - \chi) e^{|g|^{\varepsilon''} - \chi} \lesssim \int_{\partial D} e^{-\chi}$$

and so is a_2 . This concludes the proof of Theorem 1'. $\square$

REFERENCES

1. M. Andersson, *The corona theorem for matrices*, Math. Z. **201** (1989), 121–130.
2. B. Berndtsson, $\bar{\partial}_b$ and Carleson inequalities, Complex Analysis. II, (Univ. of Maryland, 1985–86), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1276, Springer, 1987, pp. 42–54.
3. K. Diedrich and J. E. Fornaess, *Pseudoconvex domains: An example with nontrivial nebenhülle*, Math. Ann. **225** (1977), 275–292.
4. ———, *Pseudoconvex domains: Bounded strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion functions*, Invent. Math. **39** (1977), 129–141.
5. J. E. Fornaess and N. Sibony, *Smooth pseudoconvex domains in $\mathbb{C}^2$ for which the corona theorem and L^p estimates for $\bar{\partial}$ fail*, preprint 1991.

6. G. B. Folland and J. J. Kohn, *The Neumann problem for the Cauchy-Riemann complex*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1972.
7. T. W. Gamelin, *Wolff's proof of the corona theorem*, Israel J. Math. **37** (1980), 113–119.
8. P. A. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1978.
9. L. Hörmander, *L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator*, Acta Math. **113** (1965), 89–152.
10. ———, *Generators for some rings of analytic functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 943–949.
11. N. Sibony, *Problème de la couronne pour les domaines faiblement pseudoconvexes à bord lisse*, Ann. of Math. **126** (1987), 675–682.
12. H. Skoda, *Morphismes surjectifs de fibres vectoriels semi-positifs*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **11** (1978), 577–611.
13. N. Th. Varopoulos, *BMO functions and the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation*, Pacific J. Math. **71** (1977), 221–273.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND THE UNIVERSITY OF GÖTEBORG, S-412 96 GÖTEBORG, SWEDEN
E-mail address: matsa@math.chalmers.se