CHARACTERIZATIONS OF BERGMAN SPACES AND BLOCH SPACE IN THE UNIT BALL OF $\mathbb{C}^n$

CAIHENG OUYANG, WEISHENG YANG, AND RUHAN ZHAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove that, in the unit ball B of $\mathbb{C}^n$, a holomorphic function f is in the Bergman space $L_a^p(B)$, $0 < p < \infty$, if and only if

$$\int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} (1 - |z|^2)^{n+1} d\lambda(z) < \infty,$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}$ and λ denote the invariant gradient and invariant measure on B , respectively. Further, we give some characterizations of Bloch functions in the unit ball B , including an exponential decay characterization of Bloch functions. We also give the analogous results for $BMOA(\partial B)$ functions in the unit ball.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $A(B)$ denote the class of holomorphic functions in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$. For $0 < p < \infty$, the Bergman spaces $L_a^p(B)$, the Hardy spaces $H^p(B)$ and the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}(B)$ on the unit ball B are defined respectively as

$$L_a^p(B) = \left\{ f : f \in A(B), \|f\|_{L_a^p}^p = \int_B |f(z)|^p dm(z) < \infty \right\},$$

$$H^p(B) = \left\{ f : f \in A(B), \|f\|_{H^p}^p = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\partial B} |f(r\xi)|^p d\sigma(\xi) < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}(B) = \left\{ f : f \in A(B), \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \sup_{z \in B} Q_f(z) < \infty \right\},$$

where Q_f was defined by R. Timoney in [9], dm is the normalized Lebesgue measure on B , and $d\sigma$ is the normalized Lebesgue measure on the boundary ∂B of B .

In [8], M. Stoll proved that a holomorphic function f on B is in $H^p(B)$, $0 < p < \infty$, if and only if

$$\int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} (1 - |z|^2)^n d\lambda(z) < \infty,$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}$ denotes the invariant gradient and λ the invariant measure on B . Furthermore, if $f \in H^p(B)$, then

Received by the editors September 19, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32A37.

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (1 - r^2)^n \int_{B_r} |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} d\lambda(z) = 0,$$

where $B_r = \{z \in B : |z| < r\}$.

These results were first given by S. Yamashita in [11] and [12] in the unit disk of $\mathbb{C}$. In [13], the results for Bergman spaces similar to that of Yamashita's were given on the unit disk D .

The main purpose of this paper is to obtain the analogous result for functions in the Bergman spaces L_a^p on the unit ball B of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Furthermore, some new characterizations of Bloch space $\mathcal{B}(B)$, including an exponential decay type characterization, are given too. The main results of this paper, which are also similar to that of [13] in case $n = 1$, are as follows:

Theorem 1. *A holomorphic function f is in $L_a^p(B)$, $0 < p < \infty$, if and only if*

$$\int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} (1 - |z|^2)^{n+1} d\lambda(z) < \infty.$$

Furthermore, if $f \in L_a^p(B)$, then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (1 - r^2)^{n+1} \int_{B_r} |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} d\lambda(z) = 0.$$

Theorem 2. *Let $n > 1$, $p \geq 2$; then the following quantities are equivalent:*

- (i) $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^p$,
- (ii) $J_2 = \sup_{a \in B} \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z) - f(a)|^{p-2} \cdot (1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2)^{n+1} |\varphi_a(z)|^{-2n+2} d\lambda(z)$,
- (iii) $J_3 = \sup_{a \in B} \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z) - f(a)|^{p-2} [G(z, a)]^{1+\frac{1}{n}} d\lambda(z)$,

where φ_a denotes the involutive automorphism of B satisfying $\varphi_a(0) = a$, $\varphi_a(a) = 0$, $\varphi_a(\varphi_a(z)) = z$, and $G(z, a)$ denotes the Green's function of B .

Theorem 3. *Let $n > 1$; then a holomorphic function $f \in \mathcal{B}(B)$ if and only if for every $a \in B$ and every $t > 0$ there are positive constants K and β , such that*

$$\int_{E_{a,t}} |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 [G(z, a)]^{1+\frac{1}{n}} d\lambda(z) \leq K e^{-\beta t},$$

where $E_{a,t} = \{z \in B : |f(z) - f(a)| > t\}$. When $f \in \mathcal{B}$, $K = K_0 \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2$, $\beta = C/\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}$, where K_0 and C are constants depending only on n .

In Section 2, we first give some notations. Theorem 1 is proved in Section 3. Theorems 2 and 3 are proved in Section 4. In Section 5, we give some characterizations of $\text{BMOA}(\partial B)$ which are similar to Theorems 2 and 3.

2. NOTATIONS

For each $a \in B$, let $\varphi_a(z)$ denote the involutive automorphism of B as given in [6] by W. Rudin. Let $\nabla f(z) = (\partial f / \partial z_1, \dots, \partial f / \partial z_n)$ denote the complex gradient of f and $Rf = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j (\partial f / \partial z_j)$ the radial derivative of f . Let

$$d\lambda(z) = \frac{n+1}{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}} dm(z);$$

then $d\lambda$ is the invariant volume measure corresponding to the Bergman metric on B ; that is,

$$\int_B f(z) d\lambda(z) = \int_B f \circ \psi(z) d\lambda(z)$$

for each $f \in L^1(d\lambda)$ and all $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$, the group of Möbius transformations of B .

For $f \in C^2(\Omega)$, Ω an open subset of B , define

$$\tilde{\Delta}f(z) = \frac{1}{n+1} \Delta(f \circ \varphi_z)(0),$$

as in [1],

$$\tilde{\Delta}f(z) = \frac{4}{n+1} (1 - |z|^2) \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\delta_{ij} - z_i \bar{z}_j) \frac{\partial^2 f(z)}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}.$$

The operator $\tilde{\Delta}$ is invariant under $\mathcal{M}$; that is, $\tilde{\Delta}(f \circ \psi) = (\tilde{\Delta}f) \circ \psi$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$. See [6, Section 4.1] for details. Let $\tilde{\nabla}$ denote the invariant gradient on B . Then

$$(\tilde{\nabla}f(z), \tilde{\nabla}g(z)) = \frac{4}{n+1} (1 - |z|^2) \mathcal{R} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n (\delta_{ij} - z_i \bar{z}_j) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \right].$$

If f is holomorphic on B , it is given in [8] that

$$\tilde{\Delta}|f|^2 = |\tilde{\nabla}f|^2 = \frac{4}{n+1} (1 - |z|^2) (|\nabla f|^2 - |Rf|^2).$$

Throughout this paper, C and C_j are constants depending only on the dimension n . M is a finite number, and $M(r)$ is a finite number for a fixed $r \in (0, 1]$. C is not necessarily the same in each appearance, nor are C_j , M , $M(r)$.

For convenience, $A(f, r) \sim B(f, r)$ means that there exist constants N_1 , N_2 , C_1 and C_2 , so that

$$N_1 + C_1 A(f, r) \leq B(f, r) \leq N_2 + C_2 A(f, r),$$

where N_1 , N_2 may depend on f , but they are finite quantities for a fixed function f .

By [10], the invariant Green's function on B is given by $G(z, a) = g(\varphi_a(z))$, where

$$g(z) = \frac{n+1}{2n} \int_{|z|}^1 (1-t^2)^{n-1} t^{-2n+1} dt.$$

Here we state the Green's formula for an invariant Laplacian (see [7, (92.5)]). If Ω is an open subset of B , $\bar{\Omega} \subset B$, whose boundary is good enough (in our application, Ω will be an annulus) and if u, v are real-valued functions such that $u, v \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, then

$$\int_{\Omega} (u \tilde{\Delta}v - v \tilde{\Delta}u) d\bar{\tau} = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(u \frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{n}} - v \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{n}} \right) d\bar{\sigma},$$

where $\bar{\tau}$ and $\bar{\sigma}$ are the volume element on B and surface area element on $\partial\Omega$ determined by the Bergman metric, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{n}}$ denotes the outward normal

differentiation along $\partial\Omega$ with respect to the Bergman metric. It is known (cf. [1]) that the volume element $\bar{\tau}$ is given by

$$d\bar{\tau}(z) = \frac{\omega_n(n+1)^n}{2n(1-|z|^2)^{n+1}} dm(z),$$

where ω_n denotes the Euclidean surface area of ∂B and the surface area element $\bar{\sigma}_r$ on ∂B_r is given by

$$d\bar{\sigma}_r(r\xi) = \frac{\omega_n(n+1)^{n-\frac{1}{2}}r^{2n-1}}{(1-r^2)^n} d\sigma(\xi).$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

To prove Theorem 1, for $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$v_\varepsilon(z) = (|f(z)|^2 + \varepsilon)^{p/2}, \quad 0 < p < \infty;$$

then $v_\varepsilon \in C^\infty$. Since $\tilde{\Delta}g = 0$ on $B \setminus \{0\}$ and $g = g(r)$ on $\partial B_r = \{z \in B : |z| = r\}$, using Green's formula with $u = g - g(r)$, $v = v_\varepsilon$ and $\Omega = \{z \in B : \delta < |z| < r\}$, we can conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\delta < |z| < r} (g - g(r))\tilde{\Delta}v_\varepsilon d\bar{\tau} \\ &= - \int_{\partial B_r} v_\varepsilon \frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{n}} d\bar{\sigma}_r - \left[(g(\delta) - g(r)) \int_{\partial B_\delta} \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial \bar{n}} d\bar{\sigma} - \int_{\partial B_\delta} v_\varepsilon \frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{n}} d\bar{\sigma}_\delta \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Because $\frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial \bar{n}}$ is bounded on ∂B_δ , $g(\delta)\delta^{2n-1} \rightarrow 0$ ($\delta \rightarrow 0$) and g is integrable near 0 ($\delta \neq 0$), taking the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$(1) \quad \int_{B_r} (g - g(r))\tilde{\Delta}v_\varepsilon d\lambda = \int_{\partial B} v_\varepsilon(r\xi) d\sigma(\xi) - v_\varepsilon(0).$$

Let

$$f_p^\#(z) = \frac{p^2}{4}|f(z)|^{p-2}|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|^2,$$

by [8], for $0 < p < \infty$; when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\tilde{\Delta}v_\varepsilon(z) \rightarrow f_p^\#(z) \quad \text{a.e. on } B.$$

For a fixed r , from (1) and by the monotone convergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_r} (g - g(r))\tilde{\Delta}v_\varepsilon d\lambda &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{\partial B} v_\varepsilon(r\xi) d\sigma(\xi) - v_\varepsilon(0) \right) \\ &= \int_{\partial B} |f(r\xi)|^p d\sigma(\xi) - |f(0)|^p. \end{aligned}$$

By (2) and the Fatou Lemma

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} (g - g(r))f_p^\# d\lambda &= \int_{B_r} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (g - g(r))\tilde{\Delta}v_\varepsilon d\lambda \\ &\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_r} (g - g(r))\tilde{\Delta}v_\varepsilon d\lambda \\ &= \int_{\partial B} |f(r\xi)|^p d\sigma(\xi) - |f(0)|^p = M(r) < \infty; \end{aligned}$$

thus, $(g - g(r))f_p^\#$ is integrable on B_r with respect to $d\lambda$.

As $0 < p < 2$, for a fixed r , by [8], $\tilde{\Delta}v_\varepsilon(z) \leq \frac{2}{p}f_p^\#(z)$, a.e. on B , and thus

$$(g - g(r))\tilde{\Delta}v_\varepsilon \leq (g - g(r))\frac{2}{p}f_p^\#, \quad \text{a.e. on } B.$$

As $p \geq 2$, for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$

$$\tilde{\Delta}v_\varepsilon \leq M(r) < \infty, \quad \text{on } B_r,$$

and thus

$$(g - g(r))\tilde{\Delta}v_\varepsilon \leq M(r)(g - g(r))$$

(here $g - g(r)$ is obviously integrable on B_r).

Using the dominated convergence theorem with both $0 < p < 2$ and $p \geq 2$ and from (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad \int_{B_r} (g - g(r))f_p^\# d\lambda &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_r} (g - g(r))\tilde{\Delta}v_\varepsilon d\lambda \\ &= \int_{\partial B} |f(r\xi)|^p d\sigma(\xi) - |f(0)|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\chi_{|z|}(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t > |z|, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

then the left side of (3) is

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad &\int_{B_r} (g(z) - g(r))f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z) \\ &= \int_{B_r} f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z) \left(\frac{n+1}{2n} \int_{|z|}^r \frac{(1-t^2)^{n-1}}{t^{2n-1}} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{n+1}{2n} \int_{B_r} f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z) \int_0^r \frac{(1-t^2)^{n-1}}{t^{2n-1}} \chi_{|z|}(t) dt \\ &= \frac{n+1}{2n} \int_0^r \frac{(1-t^2)^{n-1}}{t^{2n-1}} dt \int_{B_t} f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the end of (4)

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad &\frac{n+1}{2n} \int_0^r \frac{(1-t^2)^{n-1}}{t^{2n-1}} dt \int_{B_t} f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z) \\ &\geq \frac{n+1}{2n} r^{-2n+1} \int_0^r (1-t^2)^{n-1} dt \int_{B_t} f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $0 < r < 1$, there exists a positive integer k , so that $1/2^k < r \leq 1/2^{k-1}$; then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{n+1}{2n} \int_0^r \frac{(1-t^2)^{n-1}}{t^{2n-1}} \left(\int_{B_t} f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z) \right) dt \\
&= \frac{n+1}{2n} \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{2k}} + \int_{\frac{1}{2k}}^r \right) \frac{(1-t^2)^{n-1}}{t^{2n-1}} \left(\int_{B_t} f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z) \right) dt \\
&\leq \frac{n+1}{2n} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(1-t^2)^{n-1}}{t^{2n-1}} \left(\int_{B_t} f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z) \right) dt \\
(6) \quad &+ \frac{n+1}{2n} 2^{k(2n-1)} \left(\frac{2^{-(k-1)}}{r} \right)^{2n-1} \int_0^r (1-t^2)^{n-1} \left(\int_{B_t} f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z) \right) dt \\
&= \frac{n+1}{2n} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(1-t^2)^{n-1}}{t^{2n-1}} \left(\int_{B_t} f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z) \right) dt \\
&+ \frac{n+1}{2n} 2^{2n-1} r^{-2n+1} \int_0^r (1-t^2)^{n-1} \left(\int_{B_t} f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z) \right) dt \\
&= I_1 + I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

By (4) and (3),

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}} (g(z) - g(\tfrac{1}{2})) f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z) \\
&= \int_{\partial B} |f(\tfrac{1}{2}\xi)|^p d\sigma(\xi) - |f(0)|^p < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from (4), (5) and (6) that

$$\int_{B_r} (g(z) - g(r)) f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z) \sim r^{-2n+1} \int_0^r (1-t^2)^{n-1} \left(\int_{B_t} f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z) \right) dt.$$

Moreover, by (3), we have

$$(7) \quad \int_{\partial B} |f(r\xi)|^p d\sigma(\xi) \sim r^{-2n+1} \int_0^r (1-t^2)^{n-1} \left(\int_{B_t} f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z) \right) dt.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L_a^p}^p &= 2n \int_0^1 r^{2n-1} dr \int_{\partial B} |f(r\xi)|^p d\sigma(\xi) \\
&\sim \int_0^1 dr \left(\int_0^r (1-t^2)^{n-1} dt \int_{B_t} f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z) \right) \\
&= \int_0^1 (1-t^2)^{n-1} dt \int_{B_t} f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z) \int_t^1 dr \\
&\sim \int_0^1 (1-t^2)^n dt \int_{B_t} f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z) \\
&= \int_B f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z) \int_{|z|}^1 (1-t^2)^n dt \\
&\sim \int_B (1-|z|^2)^{n+1} f_p^\#(z) d\lambda(z) \\
&\sim \int_B |\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} (1-|z|^2)^{n+1} d\lambda(z),
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$f \in L_a^p(B) \Leftrightarrow \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} (1 - |z|^2)^{n+1} d\lambda(z) < \infty.$$

That is the main part of Theorem 1.

When $f \in L_a^p(B)$, by the above result,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \int_{B_t} |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} (1 - |z|^2)^n d\lambda(z) dt \\ &= \int_B \int_{|z|}^1 |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} (1 - |z|^2)^n dt d\lambda(z) \\ &\leq \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} (1 - |z|^2)^{n+1} d\lambda(z) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$(8) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_r^1 \int_{B_t} |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} (1 - |z|^2)^n d\lambda(z) dt = 0.$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - r^2)^{n+1} \int_{B_r} |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} d\lambda(z) \\ (9) \quad & \leq 2(1 - r) \int_{B_r} |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} (1 - |z|^2)^n d\lambda(z) \\ & \leq 2 \int_r^1 \int_{B_t} |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} (1 - |z|^2)^n d\lambda(z) dt. \end{aligned}$$

By (8) and (9), we conclude

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (1 - r^2)^{n+1} \int_{B_r} |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} d\lambda(z) = 0.$$

That is the last part of Theorem 1.

Remark 1. From (7) we can get another proof of Theorem 1 of [8]. In fact, letting $r \rightarrow 1$ in (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{H^p}^p &\sim \int_0^1 (1 - t^2)^{n-1} dt \int_{B_t} f_p^{\#}(z) d\lambda(z) \\ &= \int_B f_p^{\#}(z) d\lambda(z) \int_{|z|}^1 (1 - t^2)^{n-1} dt \\ &\sim \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} (1 - |z|^2)^n d\lambda(z). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. Theorem 2 in [8] can be concluded from (3). Taking the limit $r \rightarrow 1$ on two sides of (3), using the monotone convergence theorem, we get

$$(10) \quad \|f\|_{H^p}^p = |f(0)|^p + \frac{p^2}{4} \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} g(z) d\lambda(z).$$

This is equivalent to the result of Theorem 2 of [8].

4. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF BLOCH SPACE IN THE UNIT BALL

Lemma 1. *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, then there are constants C_1 and C_2 such that, for all $z \in B \setminus \{0\}$,*

$$C_1(1 - |z|^2)^n |z|^{-2(n-1)} \leq g(z) \leq C_2(1 - |z|^2)^n |z|^{-2(n-1)},$$

where

$$g(z) = \frac{n+1}{2n} \int_{|z|}^1 r^{-2n+1} (1-r^2)^{n-1} dr.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$(11) \quad \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{g(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^n |z|^{-2(n-1)}} = \frac{n+1}{4n^2},$$

and

$$(12) \quad \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^n |z|^{-2(n-1)}} = \frac{n+1}{4n(n-1)}.$$

The result of Lemma 1 comes by the continuity of $g(z)$, (11) and (12).

Proof of Theorem 2. Replacing f in (3) by $f_a = f \circ \varphi_a(\cdot) - f \circ \varphi_a(0)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{p^2}{4} \int_{B_r} |\tilde{\nabla} f_a(w)|^2 |f_a(w)|^{p-2} (g(w) - g(r)) d\lambda(w) \\ &= \int_{\partial B} |f_a(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4}{p^2} \|f_a\|_{L_a^p}^p &= \frac{4}{p^2} \int_B |f_a(z)|^p dm(z) = \frac{8n}{p^2} \int_0^1 r^{2n-1} dr \int_{\partial B} |f_a(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &= 2n \int_0^1 r^{2n-1} dr \int_{B_r} |\tilde{\nabla} f_a(w)|^2 |f_a(w)|^{p-2} (g(w) - g(r)) d\lambda(w) \\ &\leq 2n \int_0^1 dr \int_{B_r} |\tilde{\nabla} f_a(w)|^2 |f_a(w)|^{p-2} (g(w) - g(r)) d\lambda(w) \\ &= (n+1) \int_0^1 dr \int_0^r \frac{(1-t^2)^{n-1}}{t^{2n-1}} dt \int_{B_t} |\tilde{\nabla} f_a(w)|^2 |f_a(w)|^{p-2} d\lambda(w) \\ &= (n+1) \int_0^1 \frac{(1-t^2)^{n-1}}{t^{2n-1}} dt \int_t^1 dr \int_{B_t} |\tilde{\nabla} f_a(w)|^2 |f_a(w)|^{p-2} d\lambda(w) \\ &\leq (n+1) \int_0^1 \frac{(1-t^2)^n}{t^{2n-1}} dt \int_{B_t} |\tilde{\nabla} f_a(w)|^2 |f_a(w)|^{p-2} d\lambda(w) \\ &= (n+1) \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f_a(w)|^2 |f_a(w)|^{p-2} d\lambda(w) \int_{|w|}^1 \frac{(1-t^2)^n}{t^{2n-1}} dt \\ &\leq 2n \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f_a(w)|^2 |f_a(w)|^{p-2} (1 - |w|^2) g(w) d\lambda(w) \\ &\leq C \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f_a(w)|^2 |f_a(w)|^{p-2} (1 - |w|^2)^{n+1} |w|^{-2n+2} d\lambda(w). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is given by Lemma 1. Letting $\varphi_a(w) = z$, we can find

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_a\|_{L_a^p}^p &\leq C \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z) - f(a)|^{p-2} \\ &\quad \cdot (1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2)^{n+1} |\varphi_a(z)|^{-2n+2} d\lambda(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\sup_{a \in B} \|f_a\|_{L_a^p}^p \leq C J_2.$$

By Theorem 4.7 in [9],

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C \|f\|_X,$$

where $\|f\|_X = \sup_{z \in B} |\nabla f(z)|(1 - |z|^2)$. By the lemma in [5],

$$\|f\|_X \leq c \sup_{a \in B} \|f_a\|_{L_a^p}.$$

Therefore

$$(13) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^p \leq C J_2.$$

Because $|\varphi_a(z)| < 1$ for $z, a \in B$, we know

$$|\varphi_a(z)|^{-2n+2} \leq |\varphi_a(z)|^{-2(n-\frac{1}{n})}.$$

By Lemma 1 and $G(z, a) = g(\varphi_a(z))$,

$$(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2)^{n+1} |\varphi_a(z)|^{-2(n-\frac{1}{n})} \leq C(G(z, a))^{1+\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\leq \sup_{a \in B} \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z) - f(a)|^{p-2} \\ &\quad \cdot (1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2)^{n+1} |\varphi_a(z)|^{-2(n-\frac{1}{n})} d\lambda(z) \\ (14) \quad &\leq C \sup_{a \in B} \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z) - f(a)|^{p-2} (G(z, a))^{1+\frac{1}{n}} d\lambda(z) \\ &= C J_3. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty$. By Theorem 4.7 in [9],

$$|\nabla f(z)|(1 - |z|^2) \leq C_1 \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Thus by Lemma 2.2 in [2],

$$|\nabla_T f(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}},$$

where $\nabla_T f$ is the complex tangential gradient of f . Hence by the proof of Theorem 2.4 in [2],

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 &= \tilde{\Delta}|f|^2(z) \\ &\leq 4(1 - |z|^2)^2 |\nabla f(z)|^2 + 4(1 - |z|^2) |\nabla_T f(z)|^2 \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

From this and Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_3(a) &= \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z) - f(a)|^{p-2} (G(z, a))^{1+\frac{1}{n}} d\lambda(z) \\
 &\leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2 \int_B |f \circ \varphi_a(w) - f \circ \varphi_a(0)|^{p-2} (g(w))^{1+\frac{1}{n}} \\
 &\quad \cdot (1 - |w|^2)^{-n-1} dm(w) \\
 &\leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2 \int_B |f_a(w)|^{p-2} |w|^{\frac{-2(n^2-1)}{n}} dm(w).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{15}$$

When $p = 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_3(a) &\leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2 \int_B |w|^{\frac{-2(n^2-1)}{n}} dm(w) \\
 &= 2nC \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2 \int_0^1 r^{2n-1-\frac{2(n^2-1)}{n}} dr \\
 &= 2nC \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2 \int_0^1 r^{\frac{2}{n}-1} dr = n^2 C \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{16}$$

When $p > 2$, let $\alpha = \max(n^2 + 1, \frac{1}{p-2})$; then it is easy to know that

$$\left(\int_B |w|^{\frac{-2(n^2-1)}{n} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} dm(w) \right)^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} = M < \infty.$$

By the Lemma in [5],

$$\left(\int_B |f_a(w)|^{(p-2)\alpha} dm(w) \right)^{1/\alpha} \leq C(\Gamma((p-2)\alpha + 1))^{1/\alpha} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{p-2}.$$

Thus, by (15), using the Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_3(a) &\leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2 \left(\int_B |f_a(w)|^{(p-2)\alpha} dm(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\
 &\quad \cdot \left(\int_B |w|^{\frac{-2(n^2-1)}{n} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} dm(w) \right)^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\
 &\leq CM(\Gamma((p-2)\alpha + 1))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^p.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{17}$$

By (16) and (17), for $p \geq 2$,

$$J_3 = \sup_{a \in B} J_3(a) \leq C(\Gamma((p-2)\alpha + 1))^{1/\alpha} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^p.
 \tag{18}$$

By (13), (14) and (18), the quantities $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^p$, J_2 and J_3 are equivalent. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

Remark 3. It is authors' belief that the results of Theorem 2 should hold for all p 's, that is, also for $0 < p < 2$. In this case more delicate techniques seem to be needed.

Proof of Theorem 3. First, let $f \in \mathcal{B}$. For each integer $k > 0$, let $\alpha = n^2 + 1$ in (18), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{k+2}(a) &= \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z) - f(a)|^k (G(z, a))^{1+\frac{1}{n}} d\lambda(z) \\
 &\leq C(\Gamma(k(n^2 + 1) + 1))^{\frac{1}{n^2+1}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{k+2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $(\Gamma(k(n^2 + 1) + 1))^{\frac{1}{n^2+1}} \leq (n^2 + 1)^k k!$. Hence

$$I_{k+2}(a) \leq C(n^2 + 1)^k k! \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{k+2}.$$

Note that, when $k = 0$, the above inequality is also valid by (16). Taking a constant τ , $0 < \tau < \frac{1}{n^2+1}$, then if we set $\beta = \tau/\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\beta t} \int_{E_{a,t}} |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 (G(z, a))^{1+\frac{1}{n}} d\lambda(z) \\ \leq \int_{E_{a,t}} |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 e^{\beta|f(z)-f(a)|} (G(z, a))^{1+\frac{1}{n}} d\lambda(z) \\ \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^k}{k!} I_{k+2}(a) \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^k}{k!} (n^2 + 1)^k k! \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{k+2} \\ = C \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ((n^2 + 1)\tau)^k = K < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where $K = K_0 \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2$, K_0 is an absolute constant. Hence

$$(19) \quad \int_{E_{a,t}} |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 (G(z, a))^{1+\frac{1}{n}} d\lambda(z) \leq K e^{-\beta t}.$$

Conversely, let f satisfy (19); then

$$\int_0^{\infty} dt \int_{E_{a,t}} |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 (G(z, a))^{1+\frac{1}{n}} d\lambda(z) \leq K \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\beta t} dt = \frac{K}{\beta} < \infty.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} dt \int_{E_{a,t}} |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 (G(z, a))^{1+\frac{1}{n}} d\lambda(z) \\ = \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 (G(z, a))^{1+\frac{1}{n}} \left(\int_0^{|f(z)-f(a)|} dt \right) d\lambda(z) \\ = \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z) - f(a)| (G(z, a))^{1+\frac{1}{n}} d\lambda(z). \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\sup_{a \in B} \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z) - f(a)| (G(z, a))^{1+\frac{1}{n}} d\lambda(z) \leq \frac{K}{\beta} < \infty.$$

By Theorem 2 with $p = 3$, we know that $f \in \mathcal{B}(B)$. The proof is complete.

5. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF BMOA IN THE UNIT BALL

Let $f \in H^1(B)$, the Hardy space in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We say that $f \in \text{BMOA}(\partial B)$ if its radial limit function f^* is a function of bounded mean oscillations on ∂B with respect to nonisotropic balls generated by the nonisotropic metric $\rho(\zeta, \eta) = |1 - \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle|^{1/2}$ on ∂B . See [3] for details.

Let $f_a = f \circ \varphi_a(\cdot) - f \circ \varphi_a(0)$. In [4], Ouyang proved that a holomorphic function $f \in \text{BMOA}(\partial B)$ if and only if

$$(20) \quad \sup_{a \in B} \|f_a\|_{H^p}^p < \infty.$$

Furthermore, he proved that if $f \in \text{BMOA}(\partial B)$, then

$$(21) \quad \sup_{a \in B} \|f_a\|_{H^p}^p \leq \frac{K\Gamma(p+1)}{C^p} \|f\|_{**}^p < \infty$$

where

$$\|f\|_{**} = \sup_{a \in B} \|f_a\|_{H^1}.$$

Now replacing f in (10) with f_a , we get

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f_a\|_{H^p}^p &= \frac{p^2}{4} \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f_a(w)|^2 |f \circ \varphi_a(w) - f \circ \varphi_a(0)|^{p-2} g(w) d\lambda(w) \\ &= \frac{p^2}{4} \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z) - f(a)|^{p-2} G(z, a) d\lambda(z). \end{aligned}$$

By (20), (21) and (22), we get the following

Proposition 1. For $0 < p < \infty$, a holomorphic function $f \in \text{BMOA}(\partial B)$ if and only if

$$\sup_{a \in B} \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z) - f(a)|^{p-2} G(z, a) d\lambda(z) < \infty.$$

Moreover, if $f \in \text{BMOA}(\partial B)$, we have

$$(23) \quad \sup_{a \in B} \int_B |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z) - f(a)|^{p-2} G(z, a) d\lambda(z) \leq \frac{K\Gamma(p+1)}{C^p} \|f\|_{**}^p.$$

Remark 4. When $p = 2$, the above result was proved by J. S. Choa and B. R. Choe (see [1, Theorem A]).

Using (23) and a similar method of the proof of Theorem 3, we can obtain an exponential decay characterization of $\text{BMOA}(\partial B)$ as follows.

Theorem 4. A holomorphic function $f \in \text{BMOA}(\partial B)$ if and only if for every $a \in B$ and every $t > 0$,

$$\int_{E_{a,t}} |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 (G(z, a)) d\lambda(z) \leq K e^{-\beta t}$$

where $E_{a,t} = \{z \in B : |f(z) - f(a)| > t\}$, and $K, \beta > 0$ are constants. When $f \in \text{BMOA}(\partial B)$, $K = K_0 \|f\|_{**}^2$, $\beta = C/\|f\|_{**}$, where K_0 and C are absolute constants.

REFERENCES

1. J. S. Choa and B. R. Choe, *A Littlewood-Paley type identity and a characterization of BMOA*, Complex Variables **17** (1991), 15–23.
2. J. S. Choa, H. O. Kim and Y. Y. Park, *A Bergman-Carleson measure characterization of Bloch functions in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **29** (1992), 285–293.
3. R. Coifman, R. Rochberg and G. Weiss, *Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables*, Ann. of Math. (2) **103** (1976), 611–635.
4. C. H. Ouyang, *Some classes of functions with exponential decay in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **25** (1989), 263–277.
5. ———, *An extension theorem for Bloch functions in the unit ball*, Acta Math. Sci. **10** (1990), 455–461. (Chinese)
6. W. Rudin, *Function theory in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.

7. I. Sokolnikoff, *Tensor analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1964.
8. M. Stoll, *A characterization of Hardy spaces on the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$* , J. London Math. Soc. (2) **48** (1993), 126–136.
9. R. Timoney, *Bloch functions in several complex variables. I*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **12** (1980), 241–267.
10. D. Ullrich, *Radial limits of $\mathcal{M}$ -subharmonic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **292** (1985), 501–518.
11. S. Yamashita, *Criteria for functions to be Hardy class H^p* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1979), 69–72.
12. ———, *Holomorphic functions and area integrals*, Boll. Un. Math. Ital. **A6** (1982), 115–120.
13. R. H. Zhao, *Characterizations of weighted Bergman spaces and Bloch space* (to appear).

WUHAN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ACADEMIA SINICA, P. O. Box 71007, WUHAN 430071, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA