EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS INDUCED BY ACTIONS OF POLISH GROUPS

SLAWOMIR SOLECKI

ABSTRACT. We give an algebraic characterization of those sequences (H_n) of countable abelian groups for which the equivalence relations induced by Borel (or, equivalently, continuous) actions of $H_0 \times H_1 \times H_2 \times \cdots$ are Borel. In particular, the equivalence relations induced by Borel actions of H^ω , H countable abelian, are Borel iff $H \simeq \bigoplus_p (F_p \times \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^{n_p})$, where F_p is a finite p -group, $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ is the quasicyclic p -group, $n_p \in \omega$, and p varies over the set of all primes. This answers a question of R. L. Sami by showing that there are Borel actions of Polish abelian groups inducing non-Borel equivalence relations. The theorem also shows that there exist non-locally compact abelian Polish groups all of whose Borel actions induce only Borel equivalence relations. In the process of proving the theorem we generalize a result of Makkai on the existence of group trees of arbitrary height.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a group acting on a set X . Put for $x, y \in X$

$$xE_G^X y \Leftrightarrow \exists g \in G \quad gx = y.$$

Then $E_G^X \subset X \times X$ is an equivalence relation and is called the *equivalence relation induced by the action of G on X* . If G is Polish, X is a standard Borel space, and the action of G is Borel, then E_G^X is Σ_1^1 . If additionally G is locally compact, then E_G^X is Borel. By Silver's theorem, it follows that the topological Vaught conjecture holds in this case; i.e., the action of G has either countably or "perfectly" many orbits. It was proved by R. L. Sami [S, Theorem 2.1] that the topological Vaught conjecture holds for Borel actions of abelian Polish groups. The proof, however, was different from the one in the locally compact case; in particular, it did not show that E_G^X was Borel for G Polish abelian.

The natural question was raised by Sami (see [S, p. 339]) whether E_G^X is Borel for all Borel (or, equivalently, continuous if X is a Polish space, see [BK]) actions of Polish abelian groups. We answer this question in the negative. We consider groups of the form $H_0 \times H_1 \times H_2 \times \cdots$ where the H_n 's are countable. Such groups are equipped with the product topology (each H_n carrying the discrete topology) which is Polish and compatible with the group structure. We fully characterize those sequences (H_n) of countable abelian groups for which

Received by the editors June 2, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 04A15; Secondary 20K25, 20K10, 20A05.

Key words and phrases. Actions of Polish groups, equivalence relation induced by an action.

all Borel actions of $H_0 \times H_1 \times H_2 \times \dots$ induce Borel equivalence relations. This happens precisely when all but finitely many of the H_n 's are torsion and, for each prime p , for all but finitely many n 's the p -component of H_n is of the form $F \times \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^m$, where F is a finite p -group, $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ is the quasicyclic p -group (i.e., $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty) \simeq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \exists n \ z^{p^n} = 1\}$), and $m \in \omega$. In particular, if $H_n = H$, $n \in \omega$, and H is countable abelian, then all Borel actions of $H \times H \times H \times \dots$ induce Borel equivalence relations iff $H \simeq \bigoplus_p (F_p \times \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^{n_p})$, where F_p is a finite abelian p -group, $n_p \in \omega$, and p varies over the set of all primes. Thus, e.g., the group $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \dots$ is abelian, Polish, and has a Borel action which induces a non-Borel equivalence relation. This answers Sami's question. On the other hand, $\mathbb{Z}(2^\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}(2^\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}(2^\infty) \times \dots$ provides an interesting example of a Polish abelian group which is not locally compact but whose Borel actions induce only Borel equivalence relations. This shows that the implication " G locally compact $\Rightarrow E_G^X$ Borel" cannot be reversed. Some results for non-abelian H_n 's are also obtained.

Now, we state some definitions and establish notation. By ω we denote the set of all natural numbers $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Ordinal numbers are identified with the set of their predecessors; in particular $n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, for $n \in \omega$. By $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}(p)$, $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$, p a prime, we denote the group of integers, the cyclic group with p elements, and the quasicyclic p -group, respectively. By e we denote the identity element of a group and by $\langle X \rangle$, for a subset X of a group, the subgroup generated by X . We write $\langle h \rangle$ for $\langle \{h\} \rangle$. If H is a group, $\bigoplus_\omega H$ stands for the direct sum of countably many copies of H . A group H is called p -compact if for any decreasing sequence of groups $G_k < \mathbb{Z}(p) \times H$ with $\pi[G_k] = \mathbb{Z}(p)$, for each $k \in \omega$, we have $\pi[\bigcap_{k \in \omega} G_k] = \mathbb{Z}(p)$ where $\pi: \mathbb{Z}(p) \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(p)$ is the projection. If H is an abelian group and p is a prime, by the p -component of H we mean the maximal p -subgroup of H .

For a sequence of sets (H_n) , $n \in \omega$, we write

$$H^n = H_0 \times \dots \times H_{n-1}, \quad H^{<\omega} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} H^n, \quad \text{and} \quad H^\omega = H_0 \times H_1 \times \dots.$$

We also write A^ω for the product of infinitely many copies of A . If $x \in H^\omega$, put $lh x = \omega$; if $\sigma \in H^n$, some $n \in \omega$, put $lh \sigma = n$. For $\sigma \in H^{<\omega}$ and $x \in H^{<\omega} \cup H^\omega$, we write $\sigma * x$ for the concatenation of σ and x . If $x \in H^{<\omega} \cup H^\omega$ and $X \subset \omega$, we write $x|X$ for the unique element $y \in H^{<\omega} \cup H^\omega$ such that the domain of y is ω , if $X \cap lh x$ is infinite, and n , if $X \cap lh x$ is finite and has n elements, and $y(i) = x$ (the $(i+1)$ th element of X). A set $S \subset H^{<\omega}$ is called a tree on (H_n) if $\sigma \in S$ implies $\sigma|n \in S$ for any $n < lh(\sigma)$. If S is a tree on (H_n) and $\sigma \in H^{<\omega}$, put $S_\sigma = \{\tau \in H^{<\omega} : \sigma * \tau \in S\}$. For a tree S on (H_n) , H_n countable, define $S' = \{\sigma \in S : \exists \tau \in S \ \sigma \subset \tau, \sigma \neq \tau\}$. By transfinite induction define, for $\beta \in \omega_1$, $S^0 = S$ and $S^\beta = (S^\gamma)'$ if $\beta = \gamma + 1$, and $S^\beta = \bigcap_{\gamma < \beta} S^\gamma$ if β is limit. Put $ht(S) = \min\{\beta : S^\beta = S^{\beta+1}\}$. For $\sigma \in H^{<\omega}$, put $r_S(\sigma) = \min\{\beta \in \omega_1 : \sigma \notin S^\beta\}$ if there exists $\beta < \omega_1$ with $\sigma \notin S^\beta$, and $r_S(\sigma) = \omega_1$ otherwise. If there is no danger of confusion, we will omit the subscript in r_S . A tree on (H_n) is well-founded if there is no sequence $\sigma_i \in S$, $i \in \omega$, such that $\sigma_i \subset \sigma_{i+1}$ and $lh(\sigma_i) \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Now, assume that the H_n 's are groups. The identity element $(e, e, \dots)$ of H^ω is denoted by $\bar{e}$. A tree S on (H_n) is called a coset tree if $S \cap H^n$ is a left coset of a subgroup of H^n for any $n \in \omega$; i.e., if $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in S \cap H^n$, then $\sigma_1 \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_3 \in S$. A coset

tree S is called a *group tree* if $S \cap H^n$ is a subgroup of H^n for any $n \in \omega$. The notion of a group tree was introduced by Makkai in [M] and rediscovered by the author. We say that (H_n) *admits group (coset) trees of arbitrary height* if, for any $\beta < \omega_1$, there is a group (coset) tree T on (H_n) with $\text{ht}(T) > \beta$. Let S be a coset tree on a sequence of groups (H_n) . Then for each $n \in \omega$ there is a unique subgroup G_n of H^n which $S \cap H^n$ is a coset of. We actually have $G_n = \sigma^{-1}(S \cap H^n)$ for any $\sigma \in S \cap H^n$. Define

$$\alpha(S) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} G_n.$$

Thus $\alpha(S) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \sigma_n^{-1}(S \cap H^n)$ where $\sigma_n \in S \cap H^n$ if $S \cap H^n \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma_n = e$ otherwise. It is easy to see that $\alpha(S)$ is a group tree.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. *Let (H_n) be a sequence of countable abelian groups. Then the equivalence relation induced by any Borel action of H^ω is Borel iff, for all but finitely many n , H_n is torsion, and for all primes p for all but finitely many n the p -component of H_n is of the form $F \times \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^k$, where $k \in \omega$ and F is a finite abelian p -group.*

If H is countable, abelian, and torsion, then $H = \bigoplus_p H_p$, where p ranges over the set of all primes, and H_p is the p -component of H (see [F]). Thus we get the following corollary.

Corollary. *Let H be an abelian countable group. Then the equivalence relations induced by Borel actions of H^ω are Borel if and only if H is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_p (F_p \times \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^{n_p})$, where p ranges over the set of all primes, $n_p \in \omega$, and F_p is a finite abelian p -group.*

For not necessarily abelian countable groups, we have the following version of one implication from Theorem 1. (The definition of p -compactness is formulated in the introduction.)

Theorem 2. *Let (H_n) be a sequence of countable groups. If for each prime p , for all but finitely many n , H_n is p -compact, then the equivalence relations induced by Borel actions of H^ω are Borel.*

It is an open question whether the converse of Theorem 2 holds. This would be a natural extension of Theorem 1, since, as we show in Lemma 9, a countable abelian group is p -compact iff it is torsion and its p -component has the form as in Theorem 1.

Some of the ingredients of the proofs are: the theorem of Becker and Kechris [BK] on the existence of universal actions, the structure theory for countable abelian groups, and a construction of group trees of arbitrary height. It turns out that both conditions in Theorem 1 are equivalent to (H_n) not admitting group trees of arbitrary height (Lemma 12). This generalizes the known results that the sequence (H_n) , $H_n = \mathbb{Z}$ for each $n \in \omega$, admits group trees of arbitrary height (Makkai [M, Lemma 2.6]), and that the sequence (H_n) , $H_n = \bigoplus_\omega \mathbb{Z}(2)$ for each n , admits group trees of arbitrary height (Shelah [M, Appendix]). (See also [L, p. 979] for a proof of the latter result and its generalizations to groups which are direct sums of κ many copies of $\mathbb{Z}(2)$ for certain cardinals κ .) The

known proofs in the above two cases— $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}(2)$ —were different from each other, and Makkai's construction for $\mathbb{Z}$ rested on Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions. We present a construction (Lemma 10) that encompasses both these cases and is purely combinatorial.

Here is how Theorems 1 and 2 follow from the lemmas in Sections 3–5. In Section 3, we prove that all Borel actions of H^{ω} , (H_n) a sequence of countable groups, induce Borel equivalence relations iff (H_n) does not admit well-founded coset trees of arbitrary height (Lemma 2). In Section 4, we show that (H_n) does not admit well-founded coset trees of arbitrary height iff it does not admit group trees of arbitrary height (Lemma 6). Then, in Section 5, we show that if for each prime p , for all but finitely many n , H_n is p -compact, then (H_n) does not admit group trees of arbitrary height (Lemma 8). This proves Theorem 2. Next, we prove that if (H_n) is a sequence of abelian groups, then (H_n) does not admit group trees of arbitrary height iff, for all but finitely many n , H_n is torsion and, for all primes p , for all but finitely many n , the p -component of H_n has the form as in Theorem 1 (Lemma 12). This proves Theorem 1.

3. GROUP ACTIONS AND COSET TREES

The following construction is from [BK]. Let G be a Polish group. Consider $\mathcal{F}(G)$ the space of all closed subsets of G with the Effros Borel structure, i.e., the Borel structure generated by sets of the form $\{F \in \mathcal{F}(G) : F \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$ for $V \subset G$ open. Put $\mathcal{U}_G = \mathcal{F}(G)^{\omega}$, and define the following G -action on $\mathcal{U}_G$: $(g, (F_n)) \rightarrow (gF_n)$.

Theorem (Becker-Kechris [BK]). *$\mathcal{U}_G$ with the above G -action is a universal Borel G space; i.e., if X is a standard Borel space on which G acts by Borel automorphisms, then there is a Borel injection $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_G$ such that $\pi(gx) = g\pi(x)$ for $g \in G$ and $x \in X$.*

Let X be a standard Borel G -space. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_G$ be a Borel injection whose existence is guaranteed by the above theorem. Then, for $x, y \in X$, we have

$$xE_G^X y \Leftrightarrow \pi(x)E_G^{\mathcal{U}_G} \pi(y).$$

This shows that the following corollary to the theorem above is true.

Lemma 1. *Let G be a Polish group. The relation induced by any Borel G -action is Borel iff the relation induced by the G -action on $\mathcal{U}_G$ is Borel.*

Lemma 2. *Let (H_n) be a sequence of countable groups. The equivalence relation induced by any Borel H^{ω} -action is Borel iff (H_n) does not admit well-founded coset trees of arbitrary height.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the family of all trees on (H_n) . The set $\mathcal{T}$ is a Polish space with the topology generated by sets of the form $\{T \in \mathcal{T} : \sigma \in T\}$ and $\{T \in \mathcal{T} : \sigma \notin T\}$ for $\sigma \in H^{<\omega}$.

($\Leftarrow$) By Lemma 1, it is enough to prove that the H^{ω} -action on $\mathcal{U}_{H^{\omega}}$ induces a Borel relation. Let $\mathcal{T}_p$ be the family of all pruned trees on (H_n) , i.e., trees with no finite branches, with the topology inherited from $\mathcal{T}$. This topology makes $\mathcal{T}_p$ a Polish space. The mapping $\phi: \mathcal{T}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(H^{\omega})$ given by $\phi(T) = \{x \in H^{\omega} : \forall n \in \omega \ x|n \in T\}$ is a Borel isomorphism. For $x \in H^{\omega}$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}_p$ define

$$xT = \{\sigma \in H^{<\omega} : \sigma \in x|m(T \cap H^m) \text{ where } m = lh(\sigma)\}.$$

Then easily $xT \in \mathcal{T}_p$. Also $\phi(xT) = x\phi(T)$. Thus it is enough to check that the following action of H^ω on $\mathcal{T}_p^\omega$ induces a Borel equivalence relation: $(x, (T_n)) \rightarrow (xT_n)$, for $x \in H^\omega$, $(T_n) \in \mathcal{T}_p^\omega$.

Now define $\Phi: \mathcal{T}_p \times \mathcal{T}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ by

$$\Phi(T, S) = \{\sigma \in H^{<\omega} : T \cap H^m = \sigma(S \cap H^m) \text{ where } m = lh(\sigma)\}.$$

Easily $\Phi(T, S)$ is a coset tree. Define the mapping $\Psi: \mathcal{T}_p^\omega \times \mathcal{T}_p^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ by

$$\Psi((T_n), (S_n)) = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \Phi(T_n, S_n).$$

Note that the intersection of a family of coset trees is a coset tree. Thus, for any $(T_n), (S_n) \in \mathcal{T}_p^\omega$, $\Psi((T_n), (S_n))$ is a coset tree. Also note that

$$(T_n)E_{H^\omega}^{\mathcal{T}_p^\omega}(S_n) \Leftrightarrow \Psi((T_n), (S_n)) \text{ is not well-founded.}$$

Indeed, if $\sigma_0 \subset \sigma_1 \subset \dots$, $lh(\sigma_i) \rightarrow \infty$, and $\sigma_i \in \Psi((T_n), (S_n))$, then $xS_n = T_n$ for each $n \in \omega$ where $x = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} \sigma_i$. If $xS_n = T_n$ for all $n \in \omega$ and some $x \in H^\omega$, then $x|i \in \Psi((T_n), (S_n))$ and $\{x|i : i \in \omega\}$ witnesses that $\Psi((T_n), (S_n))$ is not well-founded. Clearly Ψ is a Borel mapping. Thus, if we assume that there is $\beta \in \omega_1$ such that any well-founded coset tree on (H_n) has height $< \beta$, we get

$$(\mathcal{T}_p \times \mathcal{T}_p) \setminus E_{H^\omega}^{\mathcal{T}_p^\omega} = \Psi^{-1}(\{T \in \mathcal{T} : T \text{ well-founded and } ht(T) < \beta\}).$$

But $\{T \in \mathcal{T} : T \text{ well-founded and } ht(T) < \beta\}$ is Borel, whence $E_{H^\omega}^{\mathcal{T}_p^\omega}$ is Borel.

($\Rightarrow$) Assume (H_n) admits well-founded coset trees of arbitrary height. Define the following continuous action of H^ω on $\mathcal{T}$:

$$(x, T) \rightarrow xT = \{\sigma \in H^{<\omega} : \sigma \in x|m(T \cap H^m) \text{ where } m = lh(\sigma)\}.$$

Define a Borel function $\Phi_1: \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ by

$$\Phi_1(T, S) = \{\sigma \in H^{<\omega} : \forall m \leq lh(\sigma) \ T \cap H^m = \sigma(S \cap H^m)\}.$$

Now, if $E_{H^\omega}^{\mathcal{T}}$ is Borel, $\Phi_1[(\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}) \setminus E_{H^\omega}^{\mathcal{T}}]$ is Σ_1^1 . Also $\Phi_1[(\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}) \setminus E_{H^\omega}^{\mathcal{T}}] \subset \{T \in \mathcal{T} : T \text{ is well-founded}\}$. Since $\{T \in \mathcal{T} : T \text{ is well-founded}\}$ is a Π_1^1 set and $T \rightarrow ht(T)$ is a Π_1^1 -norm on it, by the boundedness principle, there is $\beta \in \omega_1$ such that, for any $T, S \in \mathcal{T}$, if $(T, S) \notin E_{H^\omega}^{\mathcal{T}}$, then $ht(\Phi_1(T, S)) < \beta$. But note that if T is a coset tree, then $\Phi_1(T, \alpha(T)) = T$. Thus, for any well-founded coset tree T on (H_n) , $ht(T) = ht(\Phi(T, \alpha(T))) < \beta$, a contradiction.

4. COSET AND GROUP TREES

The next several lemmas lead to a proof that the existence of well-founded coset trees of arbitrary height is equivalent to the existence of group trees of arbitrary height (Lemma 6). We will use a few times the easy fact that $\{r(\sigma) : \sigma \in T\} \supset ht(T)$ for any tree T on (H_n) .

Lemma 3. *Let S be a coset tree. Then:*

- (i) $\alpha(S') = \alpha(S)'$;
- (ii) if $S^\xi \cap H^k \neq \emptyset$ for each $k \in \omega$, then $\alpha(S^\xi) = \alpha(S)^\xi$.

Proof. To show (i), let $\sigma \in H^n$. Then $\sigma \in \alpha(S')$ implies that there are $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in S'$ such that $\sigma = \tau_1^{-1}\tau_2$. Now we can find $g, h \in H_n$ with $\tau_1 * g, \tau_2 * h \in S$. But then $\sigma * (g^{-1}h) = (\tau_1 * g)^{-1}(\tau_2 * h) \in \alpha(S)$. Thus $\sigma \in \alpha(S')$. On the other hand, if $\sigma \in \alpha(S)'$, then there are $g \in H_n$ and $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in S$ with $\tau_1^{-1}\tau_2 = \sigma * g$. But then $\sigma = (\tau_1|n)^{-1}(\tau_2|n)$ and $\tau_1|n, \tau_2|n \in S'$, whence $\sigma \in \alpha(S')$.

Notice that if $S_n \supset S_{n+1}$, $n \in \omega$, are coset trees, and, for some $k \in \omega$, $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} (S_n \cap H^k) \neq \emptyset$, then $\alpha(\bigcap_{n \in \omega} S_n) \cap H^k = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \alpha(S_n) \cap H^k$. To see this, pick $\sigma \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} S_n \cap H^k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\left(\bigcap_{n \in \omega} S_n\right) \cap H^k &= \sigma^{-1} \left(\bigcap_{n \in \omega} S_n \cap H^k \right) = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \sigma^{-1}(S_n \cap H^k) \\ &= \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \alpha(S_n) \cap H^k. \end{aligned}$$

Using (i) and the above observation, we get (ii) by transfinite induction.

Lemma 4. *Let T be a group tree. Let $\sigma_n \in H^n$, $n \in \omega$, be such that $(\sigma_{n+1}|n)^{-1}\sigma_n \in T^\beta$ for some $\beta \in \omega_1$. Put $S = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \sigma_n(T \cap H^n)$. Then S is a coset tree, and for any $\xi \leq \beta$ we have $S^\xi = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \sigma_n(T^\xi \cap H^n)$.*

Proof. For $\xi \leq \beta$, define

$$S^{(\xi)} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \sigma_n(T^\xi \cap H^n).$$

In particular, $S^{(0)} = S$. First note that each $S^{(\xi)}$ is a coset tree. Indeed, if $m < n$, then $(\sigma_n|m)^{-1}\sigma_m \in T^\xi$. This follows easily by induction from our assumptions that it holds for $n = m + 1$ and the fact that T^ξ is a group tree. To check that $S^{(\xi)}$ is a tree, let $\tau \in T^\xi \cap H^n$. Then, for $m < n$, $(\sigma_n\tau|m) = (\sigma_n|m)(\tau|m) = \sigma_m(\sigma_m^{-1}(\sigma_n|m)(\tau|m)) \in S^{(\xi)} \cap H^m$ since $(\sigma_m^{-1}(\sigma_n|m))(\tau|m) \in T^\xi \cap H^m$. Thus $S^{(\xi)}$ is a tree, and because of the way it was defined, it is a coset tree. It is obvious that $\alpha(S^{(\xi)}) = T^\xi$ and that $\sigma_n \in S^{(\xi)}$ for any $n \in \omega$, $\xi \leq \beta$.

Now, we show by induction that, for $\xi \leq \beta$, $\alpha(S^\xi) = T^\xi$ and $\sigma_n \in S^\xi$ for each $n \in \omega$. Both statements are true for $\xi = 0$. If ξ is the limit and $\sigma_n \in S^\zeta$ for all $\zeta < \xi$, then clearly $\sigma_n \in S^\xi$. By Lemma 3(ii), we also have $\alpha(S^\xi) = \alpha(S)^\xi = T^\xi$. If ξ is a successor, say $\xi = \zeta + 1$, then, by Lemma 3(i) and the induction hypothesis, we get $\alpha(S^\xi) = \alpha(S^\zeta)' = (T^\zeta)' = T^\xi$. Since $\sigma_{n+1} \in S^\zeta$, $\sigma_{n+1}|n \in S^\xi$. Since $(\sigma_{n+1}|n)^{-1}\sigma_n \in T^\beta \subset T^\xi$, we have $\sigma_n = (\sigma_{n+1}|n)((\sigma_{n+1}|n)^{-1}\sigma_n) \in S^\xi$.

Thus $\alpha(S^{(\xi)}) = T^\xi = \alpha(S^\xi)$, i.e., for each $n \in \omega$, $S^{(\xi)} \cap H^n$ and $S^\xi \cap H^n$ are left cosets of the same subgroup of H^n . Also $(S^{(\xi)} \cap H^n) \cap (S^\xi \cap H^n) \neq \emptyset$, as σ_n belongs to the intersection. Thus we get $S^{(\xi)} \cap H^n = S^\xi \cap H^n$ for each $n \in \omega$, i.e., $S^{(\xi)} = S^\xi$.

Lemma 5. *Let T be a group tree with $\text{ht}(T) > \omega$. Then there exist $\sigma_n \in H^n$ such that:*

- (i) $(\sigma_{n+1}|n)^{-1}\sigma_n \in T$;
- (ii) $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \sigma_n(T \cap H^n)$ is a well-founded tree of height $< \omega \cdot 2$.

Proof. We start with the following observation. Let K be a countable group and let K_n , $n \in \omega$, be a strictly decreasing sequence of subgroups of K . Then

there exist $g_n \in K$, $n \in \omega$, such that $g_n^{-1}g_{n+1} \in K_n$ and $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} g_n K_n = \emptyset$. To see that this is true, enumerate $K = \{k_n : n \in \omega\}$ and pick $g_n \in K$ recursively so that $g_{n+1}K_{n+1} \subset g_n K_n$ and $k_n \notin g_{n+1}K_{n+1}$.

Now, assume that T is a group tree and $\text{ht}(T) > \omega$. Let σ_0 be such that $r(\sigma_0) = \omega$. Put $k_0 = lh(\sigma_0) + 1$. Then $\{r(\sigma) : \sigma \in T \cap H^{k_0}\} \cap \omega$ is cofinal in ω . Let $p_n : H^n \rightarrow H^{k_0}$, $n > k_0$, denote the projection on the first k_0 coordinates. Since $\{\sigma \in H^{k_0} : r(\sigma) \geq m\} = p_{k_0+m}[T \cap H^{k_0+m}]$, there is an increasing sequence $k_0 < m_0 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots$ such that $p_{m_{n+1}}[T \cap H^{m_{n+1}}] \neq p_{m_n}[T \cap H^{m_n}]$ and, obviously, $p_{m_{n+1}}[T \cap H^{m_{n+1}}] \subset p_{m_n}[T \cap H^{m_n}]$. Pick $\tau_n \in H^{k_0}$, $n \in \omega$, as in the preceding paragraph for $K_n = p_{m_n}[T \cap H^{m_n}]$, i.e.,

$$\tau_{n+1}^{-1}\tau_n \in p_{m_n}[T \cap H^{m_n}] \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \tau_n(p_{m_n}[T \cap H^{m_n}]) = \emptyset.$$

We recursively construct $\sigma_n \in H^n$, $n \in \omega$, so that $\sigma_{m_n}|k_0 = \tau_n$ and $(\sigma_{n+1}|n)^{-1}\sigma_n \in T$. First, find $\rho_n \in H^{m_n}$ so that $\rho_n|k_0 = \tau_n$ and $(\rho_{n+1}|m_n)^{-1}\rho_n \in T$. For ρ_0 take any extension of τ_0 in H^{m_0} . Now assume ρ_n has been constructed. Then $\tau_{n+1}^{-1}(\rho_n|k_0) = \tau_{n+1}^{-1}\tau_n \in p_{m_n}[T \cap H^{m_n}]$. Let $\sigma \in T \cap H^{m_n}$ be such that $\tau_{n+1}^{-1}(\rho_n|k_0) = \sigma|k_0$. Note that $(\rho_n\sigma^{-1})|k_0 = \tau_{n+1}$, and let ρ_{n+1} be an arbitrary extension of $\rho_n\sigma^{-1}$ in $H^{m_{n+1}}$. Now, put $\sigma_n = \rho_l|n$ if $0 \leq n \leq m_0$ and $l = 0$ or if $m_{l-1} < n \leq m_l$ and $l > 0$.

We have $\sigma_{m_n}|k_0 = \rho_n|k_0 = \tau_n$. Also $(\sigma_{n+1}|n)^{-1}\sigma_n \in T$, i.e., (i) is easy to see. Put $S = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \sigma_n(T \cap H^n)$. To check (ii), let $\sigma \in S \cap H^{k_0}$. Pick the unique $k \in \omega$ such that $\sigma \in \tau_k(p_{m_k}[T \cap H^{m_k}]) \setminus \tau_{k+1}(p_{m_{k+1}}[T \cap H^{m_{k+1}}])$. Then for any $\sigma' \in S$ with $\sigma' \supset \sigma$, we have $lh\sigma' < m_{k+1}$. Otherwise, $\sigma' \in \sigma_n(T \cap H^n)$ for some $n \geq m_{k+1}$, whence $\sigma = p_n(\sigma') \in \tau_n(p_n[T \cap H^n])$, a contradiction. Thus $r_S(\sigma) < \omega$ for any $\sigma \in S \cap H^{k_0}$. It follows that S is well-founded and $\text{ht}(S) \leq \omega + k_0$.

Lemma 6. *Let (H_n) be a sequence of countable groups. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) (H_n) admits well-founded coset trees of arbitrary height;
- (ii) (H_n) admits coset trees of arbitrary height;
- (iii) (H_n) admits group trees of arbitrary height.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is obvious.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Note that if $S \subset T$ are coset trees and $S \neq T$, then $\alpha(S) \subset \alpha(T)$ and $\alpha(S) \neq \alpha(T)$. To see this, pick $k \in \omega$ such that $S \cap H^k \neq T \cap H^k$ and $\sigma \in S \cap H^k$. Then $\alpha(S) \cap H^k = \sigma^{-1}(S \cap H^k) \neq \sigma^{-1}(T \cap H^k) = \alpha(T) \cap H^k$.

Now, let S be a given coset tree. Define

$$\gamma = \min\{\min\{\xi : \exists k \ S^\xi \cap H^k = \emptyset\}, \text{ht}(S)\}.$$

Then, by Lemma 3(ii) and the above observation, we have $\alpha(S)^\xi = \alpha(S^\xi) \neq \alpha(S^\zeta) = \alpha(S)^\zeta$ for $\xi < \zeta < \gamma$, whence $\text{ht}(\alpha(S)) \geq \gamma$. But it is easy to see that $\text{ht}(S) < \gamma + \omega$. Thus (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is proved.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let T be a group tree of height $> \beta + \omega$. We show that there is a well-founded coset tree of height $\geq \beta$. To this end consider T^β . Then $\text{ht}(T^\beta) > \omega$. Apply Lemma 5 to T^β to find $\sigma_n \in H^n$, $n \in \omega$, as in Lemma 5(i) and (ii). Put $S = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \sigma_n(T \cap H^n)$. Then, by Lemma 4, S is

a coset tree and $S^\beta = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \sigma_n(T^\beta \cap H^n) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 5(ii), $S^{\beta+\omega \cdot 2} = (\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \sigma_n(T^\beta \cap H^n))^{\omega \cdot 2} = \emptyset$. Thus S is a well-founded tree with $\text{ht}(S) \geq \beta$.

5. GROUP TREES AND ALGEBRAIC PROPERTIES OF GROUPS

Lemma 7. *Let H be a countable group. If H is not torsion, it is not p -compact for any prime p .*

Proof. Clearly, if a subgroup of H is not p -compact, neither is H . Thus it is enough to show that $\mathbb{Z}$ is not p -compact. This is witnessed by the following sequence of subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}(p) \times \mathbb{Z}$:

$$G_k = \{(m(p+1)^k \bmod p, m(p+1)^k) : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad k \in \omega.$$

Lemma 8. *Let (H_n) be a sequence of countable groups. If (H_n) admits group trees of arbitrary height, then there exist a prime p and infinitely many $n \in \omega$ such that H_n is not p -compact.*

Proof. If, for infinitely many $n \in \omega$, H_n is not torsion, we are done by Lemma 7. Also, if (H_n) admits group trees of arbitrary height, so does $(H_n)_{n \geq N}$ for any $N \in \omega$. This follows from Lemma 6 as soon as we notice that if S is a coset tree on (H_n) and $\sigma \in H^N$, then S_σ is a coset tree on $(H_n)_{n \geq N}$, and that, given $\beta < \omega_1$, if $\text{ht}(S)$ is large enough, then $\text{ht}(S_\sigma) > \beta$ for some $\sigma \in H^N$. Thus, we can assume that H_n is torsion for each n , and that there exists a group tree on (H_n) of height $> \omega^2$.

Let T be a group tree on (H_n) . Let p be a prime. Assume $\sigma \in T \cap H^n$, $r(\sigma) < \omega_1$, and the order of σ is a power of p . Let $\beta < r(\sigma)$. Then there is $\tau \supset \sigma$ such that $r(\tau) = \beta$ and the order of τ is a power of p . To see this, let $\tau' \supset \sigma$, $\tau' \neq \sigma$ and $r(\tau') \geq \beta$. Let $l \in \omega$ be such that p does not divide it and the order of $l\tau'$ is a power of p . Since the order of σ is a power of p , there is $l' \in \omega$ such that $l'l\sigma = \sigma$. Put $\tau_1 = l'l\tau'$. Note that $\tau_1 \supset \sigma$ and $\tau_1 \neq \sigma$. Since, for any $\gamma \in \omega_1$ and $m \in \omega$, $\{\tau \in T \cap H^m : r(\tau) \geq \gamma\}$ is a subgroup of H^m (this follows easily from the facts that $\{\tau \in T \cap H^m : r(\tau) \geq \gamma\} = T^\gamma \cap H^m$ and that T^γ is a group tree), $r(\tau_1) = r(l'l\tau') \geq r(\tau') \geq \beta$. If $r(\tau_1) = \beta$, we are done. If $r(\tau_1) > \beta$, we repeat the above construction and get $\tau_2 \supset \tau_1$, $\tau_2 \neq \tau_1$, whose order is a power of p and $r(\tau_2) \geq \beta$. Again, if $r(\tau_2) = \beta$, we are done; otherwise we repeat the construction. Note that we cannot do it indefinitely, since then we would produce a sequence $\omega \subset \tau_1 \subset \tau_2 \subset \dots$, $\tau_m \neq \tau_{m+1}$, whence $r(\sigma) = \omega_1$, a contradiction. Thus we must obtain $\tau_m \supset \sigma$ such that $r(\tau_m) = \beta$ and the order of τ_m is a power of p .

Next, notice that if $\tau \in T \cap H^n$, $r(\tau)$ is a limit, and the order of τ is a power of p , p a prime, then H_n is not p -compact. Indeed, let γ_k , $k \in \omega$, be a strictly increasing sequence of ordinals tending to $r(\tau)$. Put $G_k = \{\sigma \in T \cap H^{n+1} : r(\sigma) \geq \gamma_k\}$. Let $\pi : H^{n+1} \rightarrow H^n$ be the projection. Notice that (G_k) is a decreasing sequence of subgroups of H^{n+1} and $\tau \in \bigcap_{k \in \omega} \pi[G_k] \setminus \pi[\bigcap_{k \in \omega} G_k]$. Let $C = \langle \tau \rangle$. Then $C < H^n$ and $C \simeq \mathbb{Z}(p^m)$ for some $m \in \omega$. Put $G'_k = G_k \cap (C \times H_n)$. Let $\phi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(p)$ be a surjective homomorphism. Let $\Phi = \phi \times \text{id} : C \times H_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(p) \times H_n$. Since Φ is finite-to-1, $\Phi[\bigcap_{k \in \omega} G'_k] = \bigcap_{k \in \omega} \Phi[G'_k]$. Note also that $\pi' \circ \Phi = \phi \circ \pi$ where $\pi' : \mathbb{Z}(p) \times H_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(p)$ is the projection.

Thus

$$\phi \left[\pi \left[\bigcap_{k \in \omega} G'_k \right] \right] = \pi' \left[\bigcap_{k \in \omega} \Phi[G'_k] \right].$$

But $\pi[\bigcap_{k \in \omega} G'_k] \neq C$ whence $\pi[\bigcap_{k \in \omega} G'_k] \subset \ker(\phi)$. Thus $\phi[\pi[\bigcap_{k \in \omega} G'_k]] = \{0\}$ and finally

$$\pi' \left[\bigcap_{k \in \omega} \Phi[G'_k] \right] = \{0\}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\bigcap_{k \in \omega} \pi'[\Phi[G'_k]] = \phi \left[\bigcap_{k \in \omega} \pi[G'_k] \right] = \mathbb{Z}(p).$$

Thus the decreasing sequence of groups $\Phi[G'_k]$, $k \in \omega$, witnesses that H_n is not p -compact.

Now, let T be a group tree on (H_n) with $\text{ht}(T) > \omega^2$. There exists a prime p and $\sigma \in T$ such that the order of σ is a power of p and $\omega^2 \leq r(\sigma) < \omega_1$. To show this, first find $\tau \in T$ with $r(\tau) = \omega^2$. The group $G = \langle \tau \rangle$ is cyclic and finite. Thus there are $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m \in T \cap H^n$, $n = lh(\tau)$, which commute with each other, their orders are powers of distinct primes and $\tau = \sigma_0 \cdots \sigma_m$. Note that for each $0 \leq i \leq m$ there is $k \in \omega$ with $k\tau = \sigma_i$. Thus, since $\{\sigma \in T \cap H^n : r(\sigma) \geq \omega^2\}$ is a subgroup of H^n , $r(\sigma_i) \geq \omega^2$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m$. Also $\{\sigma \in T \cap H^n : r(\sigma) \geq \omega_1\}$ is a subgroup of H^n , thus there is i such that $r(\sigma_i) < \omega_i$, and we are done.

Now, fix the prime p and $\sigma \in T$ as above. Let $N \in \omega$. We show that there are more than N numbers n such that H_n is not p -compact. Indeed, we can recursively produce $\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_N \in T$ so that $\sigma \subset \tau_0$ and $r(\tau_0) = \omega^2$, $\tau_i \subset \tau_{i+1}$, the order of each τ_i is a power of p , and $r(\tau_i) = \omega \cdot (N + 1 - i)$, $1 \leq i \leq N$. But then if we put $n_i = lh(\tau_i)$, we get $n_0 < n_1 < \dots < n_N$ and H_{n_i} is not p -compact since ω^2 and $\omega \cdot (N + 1 - i)$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, are limit.

In the following lemma, we essentially find all abelian countable groups which are p -compact.

Lemma 9. *Let H be an abelian countable group. Let p be a prime. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) H is p -compact;
- (ii) H is torsion, and the p -component of H is of the form $F \times \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^n$ where F is a finite p -group and $n \in \omega$;
- (iii) H is torsion, and there is no surjective homomorphism mapping a subgroup of H onto $\bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}(p)$.

Proof. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let $G_k < \mathbb{Z}(p) \times H$, $k \in \omega$, $G_{k+1} < G_k$, and $\pi[G_k] = \mathbb{Z}(p)$ where $\pi: \mathbb{Z}(p) \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(p)$ is the projectin. Now, $H = H_p \times H'$ and $G_k = (G_k)_p \times G'_k$ where H_p and $(G_k)_p$ are the p -components of H and G_k , respectively, and the order of any element of H' or G'_k is not divisible by p [F, Theorem 8.4]. Clearly we have $(G_k)_p < \mathbb{Z}(p) \times H_p$. We say that a group fulfils the minimum condition if each strictly decreasing sequence of subgroups is finite. Since, as one can easily see, $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ and finite groups fulfil the minimum condition, and the property of fulfilling the minimum condition is preserved

under taking finite products, $\mathbb{Z}(p) \times H_p$ fulfils the minimum condition. Thus there is $k_0 \in \omega$ such that $(G_k)_p = (G_{k_0})_p$ for $k \geq k_0$. But then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \left[\bigcap_{k \in \omega} G_k \right] &= \pi \left[\bigcap_{k \in \omega} (G_k)_p \times \bigcap_{k \in \omega} G'_k \right] \supset \pi[(G_{k_0})_p \times \{0\}] \\ &= \pi[(G_{k_0})_p \times G'_{k_0}] = \pi[G_{k_0}] = \mathbb{Z}(p). \end{aligned}$$

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). By Lemma 7, H is torsion. Note that if F_1 can be mapped by a homomorphism onto F_2 , F_1 , F_2 groups, and F_2 is not p -compact, then F_1 is not p -compact either. Indeed, let $\phi: F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ be a surjective homomorphism, and let the sequence (G_k) of subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}(p) \times F_2$ witness that F_2 is not p -compact, then

$$G'_k = \{(m, g) \in \mathbb{Z}(p) \times F_1 : (m, \phi(g)) \in G_k\}$$

witness that F_1 is not p -compact. Thus to prove that H is not p -compact, assuming (iii) fails, it is enough to show that $\bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}(p)$ is not p -compact. Let $\{e_i : i \in \omega\}$ be an independent set generating $\bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}(p)$. Let us fix a sequence of sets $X_k \subset \omega$, $k \in \omega$, such that $X_{k+1} \subset X_k$ and $\bigcap_{k \in \omega} X_k = \emptyset$. Define $G_k < \mathbb{Z}(p) \times \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}(p)$ by

$$G_k = \{(m, me_i) : i \in X_k, m \in \mathbb{Z}(p)\}.$$

Then (G_k) witnesses that $\bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}(p)$ is not p -compact.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume (iii). Let H_p be the p -component of H . Let $H_p^1 = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} nH_p$ be its first Ulm group. If H_p/H_p^1 is infinite, then

$$H_p/H_p^1 \simeq \bigoplus_{m \in \omega} \mathbb{Z}(p^{n_m})$$

for a sequence $n_m \in \omega \setminus \{0\}$ [F, Theorem 17.2 and remarks on p. 155]. Thus H_p/H_p^1 , and hence H_p , can be mapped homomorphically onto $\bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}(p)$. Therefore H_p/H_p^1 is finite. Put $F = H_p/H_p^1$. But then H_p^1 is divisible [F, Lemma 37.2] and $H_p \simeq F \times H_p^1$ [F, Theorem 21.2]. Now, by [F, Theorem 23.1], either $H_p^1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})^n$, for some $n \in \omega$, and we are done, or $H_p^1 \simeq \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$. But in the latter case H_p^1 , and hence H , contain an isomorphic copy of $\bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}(p)$, a contradiction.

Remark. (In this remark the notation and terminology follow [F].) One can give other characterizations of p -compactness among countable torsion abelian groups. For example p -compactness of H is equivalent to the following conditions:

(iv) the p -component of H fulfils the minimum condition;

(v) for any finite p -group $F < H$ the p -rank of H/F is finite.

Obviously (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv), and (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) as in the proof of (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Now, assuming (iv) and noticing that a homomorphic image of a group fulfilling the minimum condition fulfils the minimum condition, we get that the p -component of H/F , $F < H$ finite, fulfils the minimum condition. This obviously implies that its p -rank is finite. Thus (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v). To see (v) $\Rightarrow$ (ii), let H_p be the p -component of H . Let τ be its Ulm type. First note that if $\tau = \gamma + 1$, for some γ , then H_p^{γ}/H_p^{τ} is finite. Otherwise, $r_p(H_p^{\gamma}/H_p^{\tau}) = \infty$, and since $H_p \simeq H_p/H_p^{\tau} \times H_p^{\tau}$, we get $r_p(H_p) = \infty$. Now, we claim that τ is neither a limit

ordinal nor a successor of a limit ordinal. Otherwise, using the above observation there is a sequence of groups $G_n < H_p/H_p^\tau$, $n \in \omega$, such that $G_{n+1} < G_n$, $G_{n+1} \neq G_n$ and $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} G_n$ is finite. Put $G = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} G_n$. Then we can pick recursively $g_k \in H_p/H_p^\tau$ so that $pg_k \in G$ and for each k there is an n with $g_k \in G_n$ and $g_i \notin G_n$ for $i < k$. Then clearly the image of $\{g_k : k \in \omega\}$ under the natural homomorphism $H_p/H_p^\tau \rightarrow (H_p/H_p^\tau)/G$ is infinite independent. Again, since $H_p \simeq H_p/H_p^\tau \times H_p^\tau$, $r_p(H/G') = r_p(H_p/H_p^\tau) = \infty$ for some finite p -group G' . Next, notice that τ is not of the form $\gamma + 2$ because in this case $H_p^{\gamma+1}/H_p^\tau$ is finite and $r_p(H_p^\gamma/H_p^{\gamma+1}) = \infty$ whence $r_p((H_p^\gamma/H_p^\tau)/(H_p^{\gamma+1}/H_p^\tau)) = \infty$. And as before $r_p(H/G') = \infty$ for some finite p -group G' . Thus $\tau \leq 1$, and if $\tau = 1$, then H_p/H_p^1 is finite. If $\tau = 0$, H_p is divisible, and since $r_p(H_p) < \infty$, there is $n \in \omega$ with $H_p \simeq \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^n$. If $\tau = 1$, put $F = H_p/H_p^1$. Then $H_p \simeq F \times H_p^1$, F finite, H_p^1 divisible. Since $r_p(H_p^1) < \infty$, there is $n \in \omega$ with $H_p^1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^n$.

Now, we make a technical definition useful in proving the existence of group trees of arbitrary height. An abelian countable group H is called *manageable* if there exist two decreasing sequences of subgroups (G_n^0) , (G_n^1) with $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} G_n^i = \{e\}$, for $i = 0, 1$, and a homomorphism $\phi: H \times H \rightarrow H$ such that $\phi[G_n^0 \times G_n^1] = H$ for any $n \in \omega$.

Lemma 10. *Let H be a countable abelian group. If H is manageable, then (H_n) , where $H_n = H$ for each $n \in \omega$, admits group trees of arbitrary height.*

Proof. Fix two decreasing sequences of subgroups (G_n^0) and (G_n^1) and a homomorphism ϕ as in the definition of manageability. For each ordinal $\beta < \omega_1$, we produce a group tree T_β such that:

— if $\beta = \gamma + 1$, then $T_\beta^\gamma \cap H = H$ and $\forall h \in H$ ($h \neq e \Rightarrow (T_\beta)_h$ is well-founded);

— if β is limit, then $\forall \gamma < \beta \exists n \in \omega$ ($T_\beta^\gamma \cap H^2 \supset G_n^0 \times G_n^1$) and $\forall \sigma \in H^2$ ($\sigma \neq (e, e) \Rightarrow (T_\beta)_\sigma$ is well-founded).

Then clearly $\omega_1 > r_{T_\beta}(h) \geq \beta$ for any $h \in H \setminus \{e\}$ in the first case, and for any $\gamma < \beta$, $\omega_1 > r_{T_\beta}(\sigma) \geq \gamma$ for some $\sigma \in H^2 \setminus \{(e, e)\}$ in the latter. Thus $\text{ht}(T_\beta) \geq \beta$ for any $\beta \in \omega_1$.

Put $T_0 = \{\bar{e}\}$ and $T_1 = H \cup \{\bar{e}\}$. Assume T_γ has been defined for all $\gamma < \beta$. If $\beta = \gamma + 1$ and γ is a successor, put

$$T_\beta = \{\emptyset\} \cup H \cup \{\sigma(0) * \sigma : \sigma \in T_\gamma, l h \sigma \geq 1\}.$$

If $\beta = \gamma + 1$ and γ is a limit, put

$$T_\beta = \text{the tree generated by } \{\phi(\sigma(0), \sigma(1)) * \sigma : \sigma \in T_\gamma, l h \sigma \geq 2\}.$$

Checking that the T_β 's work is straightforward. Now, assume β is a limit ordinal. Note that it is enough to construct two group trees S_0 and S_1 such that there is an increasing sequence $\gamma_n \rightarrow \beta$ with $S_0^{\gamma_n} \cap H \supset G_n^0$ and $S_1^{\gamma_n} \cap H \supset G_n^1$ and $\forall h \in H$ ($h \neq e \Rightarrow (S_0)_h$ and $(S_1)_h$ are well-founded). If S_0 and S_1 are defined, let

$$T_\beta = \{\sigma \in H^{<\omega} : \sigma|_{\{2k : k \in \omega\}} \in S_0 \text{ and } \sigma|_{\{2k+1 : k \in \omega\}} \in S_1\}.$$

We will define a group tree $S = S_0$ as above; the construction of S_1 is analogous. Put $G_n^0 = G_n$. Fix an increasing sequence of successors $\gamma_n \rightarrow$

$\beta, n \in \omega$. Find pairwise disjoint infinite sets $X_n, n \in \omega$, with $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} X_n = \omega$. Let

$$R_n = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{h * \sigma : h \in G_n, \sigma|X_n \in T_{\gamma_n}, \sigma|(\omega \setminus X_n) \subset \vec{e}, \text{ and} \\ \text{if } lh\sigma > \min X_n, \text{ then } h = (\sigma|X_n)(0)\}.$$

Note that each R_n is a group tree. Define

$$S = \bigcup_{k \in \omega} \langle H^k \cap \bigcup_{n \in \omega} R_n \rangle.$$

Easily S is a group tree. To see $S^{\gamma_n} \cap H \supset G_n$, just notice that, for each $h \in G_n$, $r_{T_{\gamma_n}}(h) \geq \gamma_n$, and there is a monotone 1-to-1 mapping $\psi: (T_{\gamma_n})_h \rightarrow S$ defined by $\psi(\sigma) = h * \tau$, where $\tau \in H^{<\omega}$ is maximal such that $\tau|X_n = h * \sigma$ and $\tau|(\omega \setminus X_n) \subset \vec{e}$. To show that $(S)_h$ is well-founded for $h \in H \setminus \{e\}$, fix $h \in H$ with $h \neq e$, and assume towards a contradiction that $h * x$ is an infinite branch through S for some $x \in H^\omega$. Find $n \in \omega$ with $h \notin G_n$. Let $k \in \omega$ be such that $k \cap X_i \neq \emptyset$ for $i \in n$. Put $\tau = x|k$ and $n_i = \min X_i$ for $i \in n$. If $\tau(n_{i_0}) \neq e$ for some $i_0 \in n$, notice that $x|X_{i_0}$ is an infinite branch through $T_{\gamma_{i_0}}$ with $(x|X_{i_0})(0) \neq e$ which contradicts the inductive assumption. Thus we can assume that $\tau(n_i) = e$ for all $i \in n$. Then, since the R_i 's are group trees, $h * \tau = \sigma \cdot \prod_{i \in n} (h_i * \tau_i)$ for some $\sigma \in G_n \times H^k$ with $\sigma(n_i) = e$ and some $h_i * \tau_i \in R_i \cap H^{k+1}$. By the definition of R_i , $h_i = \tau_i(n_i) = \tau(n_i) = e$. Thus $h = \sigma(0) \in G_n$, a contradiction.

Lemma 11. *Let (H_n) be a sequence of countable groups. Then (H_n) admits group trees of arbitrary height if either of the following conditions holds.*

(i) *There exists a sequence $n_0 < n_1 < \dots$ such that (H_{n_k}) admits group trees of arbitrary height.*

(ii) *For each n , G_n is a homomorphic image of a subgroup of H_n , and (G_n) admits group trees of arbitrary height.*

Proof. (i) Let T be a group tree on (H_{n_k}) . Define $\bar{T}$ a group tree on (H_n) as follows:

$$\sigma \in \bar{T} \text{ iff } \sigma|X \in T \text{ and } \sigma|(\omega \setminus X) = \vec{e}|(\omega \setminus X)$$

where $X = \{n_k : k \in \omega\}$. Then $\text{ht}(\bar{T}) \geq \text{ht}(T)$.

(ii) Fix $H'_n < H_n$ and surjective homomorphisms $\phi_n: H'_n \rightarrow G_n$. Let T be a group tree on (G_n) . Define $\bar{T}$ a group tree on (H_n) as follows:

$$\sigma \in \bar{T} \text{ iff } \forall k < lh\sigma \ (\sigma(k) \in H'_n \text{ and } (\phi_0(\sigma(0)), \dots, \phi_k(\sigma(k))) \in T).$$

Then $\text{ht}(\bar{T}) \geq \text{ht}(T)$.

Lemma 12. *Let (H_n) be a sequence of countable abelian groups. Then (H_n) does not admit group trees of arbitrary height iff H_n is torsion for all but finitely many n , and for each prime p , for all but finitely many n the p -component of H_n is of the form $F \times \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^k$, where F is a finite p -group, $k \in \omega$.*

Proof. The implication $\Leftarrow$ follows from Lemmas 8 and 9. To see $\Rightarrow$, assume the conclusion does not hold. Then either there exist infinitely many n such that H_n contains an isomorphic copy of $\mathbb{Z}$ or, by Lemma 9, there exist a prime p and infinitely many n such that a subgroup of H_n can be mapped homomorphically onto $\bigoplus_\omega \mathbb{Z}(p)$. Thus, by Lemma 11, it is enough to show

that (H_n) , where $H_n = \mathbb{Z}$ for each n or $H_n = \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}(p)$ for each n , admits group trees of arbitrary height.

By Lemma 10, it suffices to prove that $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}(p)$ are manageable. For $\mathbb{Z}$, put $G_n^0 = \langle 2^n \rangle$, $G_n^1 = \langle 3^n \rangle$. Define $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by $\phi(m, l) = m + l$. For $\bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}(p)$, fix an infinite independent set $\{e_i: i \in \omega\}$ generating $\bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}(p)$. Find a decreasing sequence of nonempty sets $X_n \subset \omega$, $n \in \omega$, such that $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} X_n = \emptyset$. Put $G_n^0 = \langle \{e_i: i \in X_n\} \rangle$ and $G_n^1 = \{e\}$. Fix a function $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ so that, for any n , $m \in \omega$, $f^{-1}(m) \cap X_n \neq \emptyset$. Define $\phi': \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}(p) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}(p)$ to be the unique homomorphism extending $\phi'(e_i) = e_{f(i)}$. Let $\phi: \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}(p) \times \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}(p) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}(p)$ be the composition of the projection to the first coordinate with ϕ' .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Professor A. S. Kechris for valuable discussions and for pointing out the relevance of the papers [L] and [M].

REFERENCES

- [BK] H. Becker and A. S. Kechris, *Borel actions of Polish groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **28** (1993), 334–341.
- [F] L. Fuchs, *Infinite abelian groups*, vols. I and II, Academic Press, New York and London, 1970, 1973.
- [L] D. Lascar, *Why some people are excited by Vaught's conjecture*, J. Symbolic Logic **50** (1985), 973–981.
- [M] M. Makkai, *An example concerning Scott heights*, J. Symbolic Logic **46** (1981), 301–318.
- [S] R. L. Sami, *Polish group actions and the Vaught conjecture*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **341** (1994), 335–353.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS 253-37, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91125

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095

E-mail address: solecki@cco.caltech.edu