DIALGEBRA COHOMOLOGY AS A G-ALGEBRA

ANITA MAJUMDAR AND GOUTAM MUKHERJEE

ABSTRACT. It is well known that the Hochschild cohomology $H^*(A, A)$ of an associative algebra A admits a G-algebra structure. In this paper we show that the dialgebra cohomology $HY^*(D, D)$ of an associative dialgebra D has a similar structure, which is induced from a homotopy G-algebra structure on the dialgebra cochain complex $CY^*(D, D)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known, since the pioneering work of M. Gerstenhaber [2], that the Hochschild cochain complex $C^*(A, A)$ of an associative algebra A admits a brace algebra structure. Moreover, in [3], M. Gerstenhaber and A. A. Voronov have shown that $C^*(A, A)$ admits a homotopy G-algebra structure which induces the G-algebra structure on the Hochschild cohomology as introduced in [2]. These structures on $C^*(A, A)$ are in fact induced from a natural operad structure on $C^*(A, A)$, where only the non- Σ part of the operad is responsible for inducing the above structures.

The notions of Leibniz algebras and associative dialgebras were introduced in [6], by J.-L. Loday. Leibniz algebras are a non-commutative variation of Lie algebras, and associative dialgebras are a variation of associative algebras. Recall that an associative algebra gives rise to a Lie algebra by $[x, y] = xy - yx$. The notion of associative dialgebra is introduced in order to build an analogue of the couple

$$\text{Lie algebras} \leftrightarrow \text{associative algebras},$$

when Lie algebras are replaced by Leibniz algebras. A cohomology theory associated with dialgebras has been developed by Loday, called dialgebra cohomology, where in the construction of the dialgebra complex which defines the dialgebra cohomology, planar binary trees play a crucial role. Dialgebra cohomology with coefficients has been studied by A. Frabetti in [1]. In [7], it has been shown that the dialgebra complex $CY^*(D, D)$ admits the structure of an associative algebra, and also of a pre-Lie algebra. The aim of this paper is to show that, as in the case of a Hochschild complex, $CY^*(D, D)$ admits a homotopy G-algebra structure which comes from a non- Σ operad structure on $CY^*(D, D)$. As a consequence, the dialgebra cohomology $HY^*(D, D)$ becomes a G-algebra.

Received by the editors September 27, 2002 and, in revised form, April 24, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13D03, 17B63; Secondary 18G60.

Key words and phrases. Dialgebra, operad, brace algebra, homotopy G-algebra, G-algebra.

The first author was supported by an N.B.H.M. Research Fellowship.

2. DIALGEBRA COMPLEX

In this section, we recall the construction of a dialgebra complex. Throughout this paper, by dialgebra we mean associative dialgebra.

Definition 2.1. Let K be a field. A dialgebra D over K is a vector space over K along with two K -linear maps, $\dashv: D \otimes D \longrightarrow D$ (called left) and $\vdash: D \otimes D \longrightarrow D$ (called right), satisfying the following axioms:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} x \dashv (y \dashv z) \stackrel{1}{=} (x \dashv y) \dashv z \stackrel{2}{=} x \dashv (y \vdash z), \\ (x \vdash y) \dashv z \stackrel{3}{=} x \vdash (y \dashv z), \\ (x \dashv y) \vdash z \stackrel{4}{=} x \vdash (y \vdash z) \stackrel{5}{=} (x \vdash y) \vdash z, \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y, z \in D$.

A planar binary tree with n vertices (in short, an n -tree) is a planar tree with $(n + 1)$ leaves, one root and each vertex trivalent. Let Y_n denote the set of all n -trees. Let Y_0 be the singleton set consisting of a root only. The n -trees for $0 \leq n \leq 3$ are given by the following diagrams:

$$Y_0 = \{|\}, \quad Y_1 = \{\vee\}, \quad Y_2 = \{\vee\vee, \vee\vee\}, \quad Y_3 = \{\vee\vee\vee, \vee\vee\vee, \vee\vee\vee, \vee\vee\vee, \vee\vee\vee\}$$

For any $y \in Y_n$, the $(n + 1)$ leaves are labelled by $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ from left to right, and the vertices are labelled $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, so that the i th vertex is between the leaves $(i - 1)$ and i . Recall from [6] that the only element $|\$ of Y_0 is denoted by $[0]$. The only element of Y_1 is denoted by $[1]$. The grafting of a p -tree y_1 and a q -tree y_2 is a $(p + q + 1)$ -tree denoted by $y_1 \vee y_2$ which is obtained by joining the roots of y_1 and y_2 and creating a new root from that vertex. This is denoted by $[y_1 \ p + q + 1 \ y_2]$ with the convention that all zeros are deleted except for the element in Y_0 . With this notation, the trees pictured above from left to right are $[0]$, $[1]$, $[12]$, $[21]$, $[123]$, $[213]$, $[131]$, $[312]$, $[321]$.

For any i , $0 \leq i \leq n$, there is a map, called the face map, $d_i: Y_n \longrightarrow Y_{n-1}$, $y \mapsto d_i y$, where $d_i y$ is obtained from y by deleting the i th leaf. The face maps satisfy the relation $d_i d_j = d_{j-1} d_i$, for all $i < j$.

Let D be a dialgebra over a field K . The cochain complex $CY^*(D, D)$ which defines the dialgebra cohomology $HY^*(D, D)$ is defined as follows. For any $n \geq 0$, let $K[Y_n]$ denote the K -vector space spanned by Y_n , and let

$$CY^n(D, D) := \text{Hom}_K(K[Y_n] \otimes D^{\otimes n}, D)$$

be the module of n -cochains of D with coefficients in D . The coboundary operator $\delta: CY^n(D, D) \longrightarrow CY^{n+1}(D, D)$ is defined as the K -linear map $\delta = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i \delta^i$, where

$$(\delta^i f)(y; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}) := \begin{cases} a_1 \circ_0^y f(d_0 y; a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}), & \text{if } i = 0, \\ f(d_i y; a_1, \dots, a_i \circ_i^y a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}), & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ f(d_{n+1} y; a_1, \dots, a_n) \circ_{n+1}^y a_{n+1}, & \text{if } i = n + 1, \end{cases}$$

for any $y \in Y_{n+1}$; $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in D$ and $f : K[Y_n] \otimes D^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow D$. Here, for any i , $0 \leq i \leq n+1$, the maps $\circ_i : Y_{n+1} \longrightarrow \{\dashv, \vdash\}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\circ_0(y) = \circ_0^y &:= \begin{cases} \dashv & \text{if } y \text{ is of the form } | \vee y_1, \text{ for some } n\text{-tree } y_1, \\ \vdash & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \circ_i(y) = \circ_i^y &:= \begin{cases} \dashv & \text{if the } i^{\text{th}} \text{ leaf of } y \text{ is oriented like } '\setminus', \\ \vdash & \text{if the } i^{\text{th}} \text{ leaf of } y \text{ is oriented like } ' / ', \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and

$$\circ_{n+1}(y) = \circ_{n+1}^y := \begin{cases} \vdash & \text{if } y \text{ is of the form } y_1 \vee |, \text{ for some } n\text{-tree } y_1, \\ \dashv & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where the symbol ' $\vee$ ' stands for grafting of trees [6].

3. BRACES FOR A DIALGEBRA COMPLEX

In this section, we introduce braces or multilinear operations in $CY^*(D, D)$ of a dialgebra D , generalizing the $\circ_i$ products as introduced in [7], which endow $CY^*(D, D)$ with a brace algebra structure.

Definition 3.1. A brace algebra is a graded vector space with a collection of braces (or multilinear operations) $x\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of degree $-n$ satisfying the identity (brace identity)

$$\begin{aligned}x\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\} &= \sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq j_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_m \leq j_m \leq n} (-1)^\epsilon x\{y_1, \dots, y_{i_1}, \\ &\quad x_1\{y_{i_1+1}, \dots, y_{j_1}\}, y_{j_1+1}, \dots, y_{i_2}, \\ &\quad x_2\{y_{i_2+1}, \dots, y_{j_2}\}, y_{j_2+1}, \dots, y_{i_m}, \\ &\quad x_m\{y_{i_m+1}, \dots, y_{j_m}\}, y_{j_m+1}, \dots, y_n\}\end{aligned}$$

where $x\{\}$ is understood as just x , $\deg x\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = \deg x + \sum_{i=1}^n \deg x_i - n$, $|x| = \deg x - 1$, and $\epsilon = \sum_{p=1}^m |x_p| \sum_{q=1}^{i_p} |y_q|$.

Definition 3.2. Let $n, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r, m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$ be non-negative integers with $n, m_1, \dots, m_r \geq 1$ such that

$$0 \leq i_1, i_1 + m_1 \leq i_2, \dots, i_{r-1} + m_{r-1} \leq i_r, i_r + m_r \leq N = n + \sum_1^r m_i - r.$$

For each j , $0 \leq j \leq r$, we define maps

$$R_{j+1}^{i_1, \dots, i_r}(N; n, m_1, \dots, m_r) : Y_N \longrightarrow Y_{m_j},$$

with $m_0 = n$, in the following way. For $j = 0$,

$$R_1^{i_1, \dots, i_r}(N; n, m_1, \dots, m_r) = \prod_{\substack{m_\ell \geq 2 \\ 1 \leq \ell \leq r}} (d_{i_\ell+1} \cdots d_{i_\ell+m_\ell-1}) \text{ if } 2 \leq m_0 < N,$$

where Π stands for composition of terms and $R_1^{i_1, \dots, i_r}(N; n, m_1, \dots, m_r)$ is the identity or the obvious constant map according to whether m_0 is N or 1.

For $1 \leq j \leq r$, if $2 \leq m_j < N$ we have

$$R_{j+1}^{i_1, \dots, i_r}(N; n, m_1, \dots, m_r) = \begin{cases} (d_0 \cdots d_{i_j-1})(d_{i_j+m_j+1} \cdots d_N), & i_j \geq 1 \text{ and} \\ & i_j + m_j + 1 \leq N, \\ (d_{m_j+1} \cdots d_N), & i_j = 0, \\ (d_0 \cdots d_{i_j-1}), & i_j + m_j + 1 > N, \end{cases}$$

and $R_{j+1}^{i_1, \dots, i_r}(N; n, m_1, \dots, m_r)$ is the identity or the obvious constant map according to whether $m_j = N$ or $m_j = 1$.

Definition 3.3. Let D be a dialgebra over a field K . For non-negative integers $n, i_1, \dots, i_r, m_1, \dots, m_r$ with $0 \leq i_1, i_1 + m_1 \leq i_2, \dots, i_{r-1} + m_{r-1} \leq i_r, i_r + m_r \leq N = n + \sum_1^r m_i - r$, the multilinear maps

$$\circ_{i_1, \dots, i_r} : CY^n(D, D) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^r CY^{m_j}(D, D) \longrightarrow CY^N(D, D)$$

are defined as follows. Let $f \in CY^n(D, D), g_j \in CY^{m_j}(D, D), 1 \leq j \leq r$. For $y \in Y_N$ and $x_1, \dots, x_N \in D$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f \circ_{i_1, \dots, i_r} (g_1, \dots, g_r)(y; x_1, \dots, x_N) \\ = & f(R_1^{i_1, \dots, i_r}(N; n, m_1, \dots, m_r)y; x_1, \dots, x_{i_1}, \\ & g_1(R_2^{i_1, \dots, i_r}(N; n, m_1, \dots, m_r)y; x_{i_1+1}, \dots, x_{i_1+m_1}), \dots, \\ & g_r(R_{r+1}^{i_1, \dots, i_r}(N; n, m_1, \dots, m_r)y; x_{i_r+1}, \dots, x_{i_r+m_r}), \dots, x_N). \end{aligned}$$

In the above definition, if $m_j = 0$ for some j , then

$$g_j \in CY^0(D, D) \cong \text{Hom}_K(K, D) = D$$

and the corresponding input is simply g_j .

Next we use these generalized $\circ_i$ products to define braces as follows.

Definition 3.4. For $f \in CY^n(D, D), g_\nu \in CY^{m_\nu}(D, D), \nu = 1, \dots, r$,

$$f\{g_1, \dots, g_r\} = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r} (-1)^\eta f \circ_{i_1, \dots, i_r} (g_1, \dots, g_r),$$

where $\eta = \sum_{\nu=1}^r |g_\nu| i_\nu$, and $|g_\nu| = \deg g_\nu - 1 = m_\nu - 1$.

Remark 3.5. It may be noted that by the above definition of braces on $CY^*(D, D)$, $f\{g\}$ coincides with the pre-Lie product $f \circ g$ as introduced in [7].

Henceforth, we shall use the symbol $f \circ g$ in order to denote $f\{g\}$. The following proposition will follow from Lemma 5.1.

Proposition 3.6. *The braces as defined above make the dialgebra cochain complex $CY^*(D, D)$ into a brace algebra.*

4. OPERAD STRUCTURE

In this section we show that the dialgebra complex $CY^*(D, D)$ of a dialgebra D admits the structure of a non- Σ operad.

Definition 4.1. A non- Σ operad $\mathcal{C}$ of K -vector spaces consists of vector spaces $\mathcal{C}(j), j \geq 0$, together with a unit map $K \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(1)$ and multilinear maps

$$\gamma : \mathcal{C}(k) \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(j)$$

for $k \geq 1; j_s \geq 0$ and $j = \sum_{s=1}^k j_s$. The maps γ are required to be associative and unital as in [8].

The following maps on trees will be used to define a non- Σ operad structure on $CY^*(D, D)$.

Definition 4.2. Given an integer j , with $j = \sum_{r=1}^k j_r$, $k \geq 1$ and $j_r \geq 1$, define maps

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^0(k; j_1, \dots, j_k) &: Y_j \longrightarrow Y_k, \\ \Gamma^r(k; j_1, \dots, j_k) &: Y_j \longrightarrow Y_{j_r}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq k,\end{aligned}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^0(k; j_1, \dots, j_k) &= d_1 \cdots d_{j_1-1} d_{j_1+1} \cdots d_{j_1+j_2-1} d_{j_1+j_2+1} \cdots d_{\sum_{s=1}^r j_s-1} d_{\sum_{s=1}^r j_s+1} \cdots \\ &\quad d_{\sum_{s=1}^{k-1} j_s-1} d_{\sum_{s=1}^{k-1} j_s+1} \cdots d_{j-1} \\ &= d_1 \cdots \check{d}_{p_1} \cdots \check{d}_{p_2} \cdots \check{d}_{p_r} \cdots \check{d}_{p_{k-1}} \cdots d_{p_k-1} \text{ for all } 1 \leq r \leq k-1,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^r(k; j_1, \dots, j_k) &= d_0 \cdots d_{\sum_{s=1}^{r-1} j_s-1} d_{\sum_{s=1}^{r-1} j_s+1} \cdots d_{\sum_{s=1}^k j_s} \\ &= d_0 \cdots d_{p_{r-1}-1} d_{p_{r-1}+1} \cdots d_j,\end{aligned}$$

where $p_r = j_1 + j_2 + \cdots + j_r$, $1 \leq r \leq k$, and the symbol $\check{d}_i$ appearing in any expression means that the map d_i has been omitted.

Remark 4.3. Given integers j , $k \geq 1$, $j_r \geq 1$ with $j = \sum_{r=1}^k j_r$, we shall often write the map $\Gamma^r(k; j_1, \dots, j_k)$ simply as Γ^r , for all $r = 0, 1, \dots, k$. However, to avoid confusion we shall write the maps Γ^r explicitly, along with the values of k , $j_1, \dots, j_k$, whenever necessary.

Theorem 4.4. For a dialgebra D over a field K , the dialgebra complex $CY^*(D, D)$ is a non- Σ operad of K -vector spaces.

To prove the above theorem we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. Let $j_r \geq 1$, $1 \leq r \leq k$ be integers with $j = \sum_{r=1}^k j_r$. Let $i = \sum_{t=1}^j i_t$, with integers $i_t \geq 1$. Set $p_s = j_1 + j_2 + \cdots + j_s$ and $q_s = i_{p_{s-1}+1} + \cdots + i_{p_s}$. Then for $1 \leq s \leq j_r$, $1 \leq r \leq k$ the corresponding maps

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^0(k; j_1, \dots, j_k) &: Y_j \longrightarrow Y_k, \\ \Gamma^0(j; i_1, \dots, i_j) &: Y_i \longrightarrow Y_j, \\ \Gamma^0(k; q_1, \dots, q_k) &: Y_i \longrightarrow Y_k, \\ \Gamma^0(j_r; i_{p_{r-1}+1}, \dots, i_{p_{r-1}+j_r}) &: Y_{q_r} \longrightarrow Y_{j_r}, \\ \Gamma^s(j_r; i_{p_{r-1}+1}, \dots, i_{p_{r-1}+j_r}) &: Y_{q_r} \longrightarrow Y_{i_{p_{r-1}+s}}, \\ \Gamma^r(k; j_1, \dots, j_k) &: Y_j \longrightarrow Y_{j_r}, \\ \Gamma^{p_{r-1}+s}(j; i_1, \dots, i_j) &: Y_i \longrightarrow Y_{i_{p_{r-1}+s}}, \\ \Gamma^r(k; q_1, \dots, q_k) &: Y_i \longrightarrow Y_{q_r}\end{aligned}$$

satisfy

- (a) $\Gamma^0(k; j_1, \dots, j_k) \Gamma^0(j; i_1, \dots, i_j) = \Gamma^0(k; q_1, \dots, q_k)$,
- (b) $\Gamma^r(k; j_1, \dots, j_k) \Gamma^0(j; i_1, \dots, i_j) = \Gamma^0(j_r; i_{p_{r-1}+1}, \dots, i_{p_{r-1}+j_r}) \Gamma^r(k; q_1, \dots, q_k)$,
- (c) $\Gamma^{p_{r-1}+s}(j; i_1, \dots, i_j) = \Gamma^s(j_r; i_{p_{r-1}+1}, \dots, i_{p_{r-1}+j_r}) \Gamma^r(k; q_1, \dots, q_k)$.

Proof. The above lemma is a repeated application of the simplicial identity $d_i d_j = d_{j-1} d_i$, $i < j$. We sketch below the proof of (a); the proofs of the other cases are similar. The operator $\Gamma^0 \Gamma^0$ on the left hand side of (a) is given by two strings of operators as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^0 \Gamma^0 &= (d_1 \cdots \check{d}_{p_1} \cdots \check{d}_{p_2} \cdots \check{d}_{p_{k-1}} \cdots d_{p_k-1}) \\ &\quad (d_1 \cdots \check{d}_{i_1} \cdots \check{d}_{i_1+i_2} \cdots \check{d}_{\sum_{t=1}^{j-1} i_t} \cdots d_{i-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Now that the operator d_1 at the extreme left in

$$d_1 \cdots \check{d}_{p_1} \cdots \check{d}_{p_2} \cdots \check{d}_{p_{k-1}} \cdots d_{p_k-1}$$

can be brought to the extreme right by successive application of $d_i d_j = d_{j-1} d_i$, $i < j$, yielding

$$d_1 \cdots \check{d}_{p_1-1} \cdots \check{d}_{p_2-1} \cdots \check{d}_{p_{k-1}-1} \cdots d_{p_k-2} d_1.$$

Now, by applying $d_{j-1} d_i = d_i d_j$, $i < j$, the operator d_1 at the right of the above string can be pushed into the string

$$d_1 \cdots \check{d}_{i_1} \cdots \check{d}_{i_1+i_2} \cdots \check{d}_{\sum_{t=1}^{j-1} i_t} \cdots d_{i-1},$$

to recover the operator d_{i_1} , thus yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^0 \Gamma^0 &= (d_1 \cdots \check{d}_{p_1-1} \cdots \check{d}_{p_2-1} \cdots \check{d}_{p_{k-1}-1} \cdots d_{p_k-2}) \\ &\quad (d_1 \cdots \check{d}_{i_1} \cdots \check{d}_{i_1+i_2} \cdots \check{d}_{\sum_{t=1}^{j-1} i_t} \cdots d_{i-1}). \end{aligned}$$

We repeat the above method, each time starting with the operator d_1 at the left of the first string to recover an omitted operator in the second string. After $(p_1 - 1)$ steps, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^0 \Gamma^0 &= (d_2 \cdots d_{p_2-p_1} d_{p_2-(p_1-2)} \cdots d_{p_r-p_1} d_{p_r-(p_1-2)} \cdots \\ &\quad d_{p_{k-1}-p_1} d_{p_{k-1}-(p_1-2)} \cdots d_{p_k-p_1}) (d_1 \cdots \check{d}_{i_1} \cdots \check{d}_{i_1+i_2} \cdots \\ &\quad \check{d}_{q_1} \cdots \check{d}_{\sum_{t=1}^{j-1} i_t} \cdots d_{i-1}), \end{aligned}$$

since $q_1 = i_1 + \cdots + i_{p_1}$. Again we apply the above method starting with the operators $d_2, \dots, d_{p_2-p_1}$ at the left end of the first string to replace all the omitted operators between d_{q_1+1} and $d_{q_1+q_2-1}$, of the second string. Proceeding this way, all the operators of the first string can be exhausted to yield

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^0 \Gamma^0 &= d_1 \cdots d_{q_1-1} d_{q_1+1} \cdots d_{q_1+q_2-1} d_{q_1+q_2+1} \cdots \\ &\quad d_{\sum_{s=1}^r q_s-1} d_{\sum_{s=1}^r q_s+1} \cdots d_{\sum_{s=1}^{k-1} q_s-1} d_{\sum_{s=1}^{k-1} q_s+1} \cdots d_{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\sum_{s=1}^k q_s = i$.

But this is the operator Γ^0 of the right hand side of the equality (a). This proves part (a). $\square$

Proof of the theorem. For each $j \geq 0$, set

$$\mathcal{C}(j) = CY^j(D, D) = \text{Hom}_K(K[Y_j] \otimes D^{\otimes j}, D).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(1) &= \text{Hom}_K(K[Y_1] \otimes D, D) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_K(D, D). \end{aligned}$$

Define the unit map $\eta : K \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(1)$ by $\eta(1) = id_D$. Now, for $k \geq 1, j_r \geq 0$ and $j = \sum j_r$ we define multilinear maps

$$(4.1) \quad \gamma : CY^k(D, D) \otimes \bigotimes_{r=1}^k CY^{j_r}(D, D) \longrightarrow CY^j(D, D)$$

as follows: For $f \in CY^k(D, D), g_r \in CY^{j_r}(D, D)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma(f; g_1, \dots, g_k)(y; x_1, \dots, x_j) \\ = & f(\Gamma^0(y); g_1(\Gamma^1(y); x_1, \dots, x_{j_1}), g_2(\Gamma^2(y); x_{j_1+1}, \dots, x_{j_1+j_2}), \dots, \\ & g_k(\Gamma^k(y); x_{\sum_{s=1}^{k-1} j_s+1}, \dots, x_{\sum_{s=1}^k j_s})) \\ = & f(\Gamma^0(y); g_1(\Gamma^1(y); x_1, \dots, x_{p_1}), g_2(\Gamma^2(y); x_{p_1+1}, \dots, x_{p_2}), \dots, \\ & g_k(\Gamma^k(y); x_{p_{k-1}+1}, \dots, x_{p_k})), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma^0 = \Gamma^0(k; j_1, \dots, j_k) : Y_j \longrightarrow Y_k$, and $\Gamma^r = \Gamma^r(k; j_1, \dots, j_k) : Y_j \longrightarrow Y_{j_r}$ are the maps as defined in Definition 4.2, $x_1, \dots, x_j \in D$ and $y \in Y_j$.

Note that if $j_r = 0$ for some r , then $g_r \in CY^0(D, D) \cong \text{Hom}_K(K, D) = D$, and the corresponding input in f is simply g_r .

To check associativity, let $f \in CY^k(D, D), g_r \in CY^{j_r}(D, D), r = 1, \dots, k$, and $h_t \in CY^{i_t}(D, D), t = 1, \dots, j = \sum_{r=1}^k j_r$. As in the above lemma, let $i = \sum_{t=1}^j i_t, p_s = j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_s, q_s = i_{p_{s-1}+1} + \dots + i_{p_s}$. Also set $q_{(r,s)} = i_{p_{r-1}+1} + \dots + i_{p_{r-1}+s}, 1 \leq s \leq j_r$. Then

$$(4.2) \quad \gamma \circ (\gamma \otimes id)((f; g_1, \dots, g_k), h_1, h_2, \dots, h_j) = \gamma(\gamma(f; g_1, \dots, g_k); h_1, \dots, h_j).$$

On the other hand, shuffle yields

$$((f, g_1, \dots, g_k), h_1, \dots, h_j) \xrightarrow{\text{shuffle}} (f, (g_1, h_1, \dots, h_{j_1}), (g_2, h_{j_1+1}, \dots, h_{p_2}), \dots, (g_k, h_{p_{k-1}+1}, \dots, h_{p_k=j})).$$

Now, composing with $\gamma \circ (id \otimes (\otimes_r \gamma))$, we get

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \gamma \circ (id \otimes (\otimes_r \gamma)) \circ (\text{shuffle})((f, g_1, \dots, g_k), h_1, \dots, h_j) \\ = & \gamma(f; \gamma(g_1; h_1, \dots, h_{p_1}), \gamma(g_2; h_{p_1+1}, \dots, h_{p_2}), \dots, \\ & \gamma(g_k; h_{p_{k-1}+1}, \dots, h_{p_k=j})). \end{aligned}$$

To show that (4.2) and (4.3) are the same cochain in $CY^i(D, D)$, let $y \in Y_i$ and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i \in D$. Then,

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \gamma(\gamma(f; g_1, \dots, g_k); h_1, \dots, h_j)(y; x_1, \dots, x_i) \\ = & \gamma(f; g_1, \dots, g_k)(\Gamma^0 y; h_1(\Gamma^1 y; x_1, \dots, x_{i_1}), h_2(\Gamma^2 y; x_{i_1+1}, \dots, x_{i_1+i_2}), \\ & \dots, h_j(\Gamma^j y; x_{\sum_{t=1}^{j-1} i_t+1}, \dots, x_i)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^0 y &= \Gamma^0(j; i_1, \dots, i_j) y = d_1 \cdots \check{d}_{i_1} \cdots \check{d}_{i_1+i_2} \cdots \check{d}_{\sum_{t=1}^{j-1} i_t} \cdots d_{i-1} y, \\ \Gamma^u y &= \Gamma^u(j; i_1, \dots, i_j) y = d_0 \cdots d_{\sum_{t=1}^{u-1} i_t} d_{\sum_{t=1}^u i_t+1} \cdots d_i y, \quad 1 \leq u \leq j. \end{aligned}$$

Now by definition of γ , as given in (4.1), the equation (4.4) is

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & f(\Gamma^0 \Gamma^0 y; g_1(\Gamma^1 \Gamma^0 y; h_1(\Gamma^1 y; x_1, \dots, x_{i_1}), \dots, \\ & h_{j_1}(\Gamma^{j_1} y; x_{\sum_{t=1}^{j_1-1} i_t+1}, \dots, x_{\sum_{t=1}^{j_1} i_t=q_1})), \dots, \\ & g_k(\Gamma^k \Gamma^0 y; h_{p_{k-1}+1}(\Gamma^{p_{k-1}+1} y; x_{\sum_{t=1}^{p_{k-1}-1} i_t+1}, \dots, x_{\sum_{t=1}^{p_{k-1}+1} i_t}), \dots, \\ & h_j(\Gamma^j y; x_{\sum_{t=1}^{j-1} i_t+1}, \dots, x_i))) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^0 \Gamma^0 y &= \Gamma^0(k; j_1, \dots, j_k) \Gamma^0(j; i_1, \dots, i_j) y \\ &= d_1 \cdots \check{d}_{p_1} \cdots \check{d}_{p_2} \cdots \check{d}_{p_{k-1}} \cdots d_{p_k-1} d_1 \\ &\quad \cdots \check{d}_{i_1} \cdots \check{d}_{i_1+i_2} \cdots \check{d}_{\sum_{t=1}^{j-1} i_t} \cdots d_{i-1} y\end{aligned}$$

and for $1 \leq r \leq k$

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^r \Gamma^0 y &= \Gamma^r(k; j_1, \dots, j_k) \Gamma^0(j; i_1, \dots, i_j) y \\ &= d_0 \cdots d_{p_{r-1}-1} d_{p_r+1} \cdots d_{p_k} d_1 \cdots \check{d}_{i_1} \cdots \check{d}_{i_1+i_2} \cdots \check{d}_{\sum_{t=1}^{j-1} i_t} \cdots d_{i-1} y.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}(4.6) \quad &\gamma(f; \gamma(g_1; h_1, \dots, h_{p_1}), \dots, \gamma(g_k; h_{p_{k-1}+1}, \dots, h_{p_k=j})) (y; x_1, \dots, x_i) \\ &= f(\Gamma^0 y; \gamma(g_1; h_1, \dots, h_{p_1})(\Gamma^1 y; x_1, \dots, x_{q_1}), \dots, \\ &\quad \gamma(g_k; h_{p_{k-1}+1}, \dots, h_{p_k=j})(\Gamma^k y; x_{\sum_{s=1}^{k-1} q_s+1}, \dots, x_{\sum_{s=1}^k q_s=i})),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^0 y &= \Gamma^0(k; q_1, \dots, q_k) y \\ &= d_1 \cdots \check{d}_{q_1} \cdots \check{d}_{q_1+q_2} \cdots \check{d}_{\sum_{s=1}^{k-1} q_s} \cdots d_{\sum_{s=1}^k q_s-1} y\end{aligned}$$

and, for $1 \leq r \leq k$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^r y &= \Gamma^r(k; q_1, \dots, q_k) y \\ &= d_0 \cdots d_{\sum_{s=1}^{r-1} q_s-1} d_{\sum_{s=1}^r q_s+1} \cdots d_{\sum_{s=1}^k q_s=i} y.\end{aligned}$$

By definition of γ , (4.6) can further be written as

$$\begin{aligned}(4.7) \quad &= f(\Gamma^0 y; g_1(\Gamma^0 \Gamma^1 y; h_1(\Gamma^1 \Gamma^1 y; x_1, \dots, x_{i_1}), \dots, \\ &\quad h_{j_1}(\Gamma^{j_1} \Gamma^1 y; x_{\sum_{t=1}^{j_1-1} i_t+1}, \dots, x_{q_1})), \dots, \\ &\quad g_k(\Gamma^0 \Gamma^k y; h_{p_{k-1}+1}(\Gamma^1 \Gamma^k y; x_{\sum_{s=1}^{k-1} q_s+1}, \dots, x_{\sum_{t=1}^{p_{k-1}+1} i_t}), \dots, \\ &\quad h_j(\Gamma^{j_k} \Gamma^k y; x_{\sum_{t=1}^{j-1} i_t+1}, \dots, x_i))),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^0 \Gamma^r y &= \Gamma^0(j_r; i_{p_{r-1}+1}, \dots, i_{p_{r-1}+j_r}) \Gamma^r(k; q_1, \dots, q_k) y \\ &= (d_1 \cdots \check{d}_{q(r,1)} \cdots \check{d}_{q(r,2)} \cdots \check{d}_{q(r,j_r-1)} \cdots d_{q_r-1}) \\ &\quad (d_0 \cdots d_{\sum_{s=1}^{r-1} q_s-1} d_{\sum_{s=1}^r q_s+1} \cdots d_{\sum_{s=1}^k q_s=i}) y\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^s \Gamma^r y &= \Gamma^s(j_r; i_{p_{r-1}+1}, \dots, i_{p_{r-1}+j_r}) \Gamma^r(k; q_1, \dots, q_k) y \\ &= (d_0 \cdots d_{q(r,s-1)-1} d_{q(r,s)+1} \cdots d_{q_r}) \\ &\quad (d_0 \cdots d_{\sum_{s=1}^{r-1} q_s-1} d_{\sum_{s=1}^r q_s+1} \cdots d_{\sum_{s=1}^k q_s=i}) y\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq s \leq j_r$ and $1 \leq r \leq k$.

Comparing (4.5) and (4.7), and using Lemma 4.5, it follows that the cochains in (4.2) and (4.3) are the same.

To check commutativity of unit diagrams, let $f \in \mathcal{C}(k) = CY^k(D, D)$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in K$. Then,

$$\gamma \circ (\text{id} \otimes \eta^k)(f \otimes (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)) = \gamma(f; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k),$$

where we identify $\alpha_i \in K$ with the map

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_i &: K[Y_1] \otimes D \longrightarrow D, \\ (y; a) &\mapsto \alpha_i a,\end{aligned}$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. If ϕ denotes the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}(k) \otimes K^k \cong \mathcal{C}(k),$$

then

$$\phi(f \otimes (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k))(y; x_1, \dots, x_k) = f(y; \alpha_1 x_1, \dots, \alpha_k x_k).$$

Now,

$$\gamma(f; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)(y; x_1, \dots, x_k) = f(\Gamma^0 y; \alpha_1(\Gamma^1 y; x_1), \dots, \alpha_k(\Gamma^k y; x_k)),$$

where $\Gamma^0 y = y$, as $\Gamma^0 = \Gamma^0(k; 1, \dots, 1)$ and $\Gamma^r y = d_0 \cdots d_{r-2} d_{r+1} \cdots d_k y$, $1 \leq r \leq k$.

Therefore,

$$\gamma(f; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)(y; x_1, \dots, x_k) = f(y; \alpha_1 x_1, \dots, \alpha_k x_k).$$

Hence,

$$\gamma \circ (\text{id} \otimes \eta^k)(f \otimes (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)) = \phi(f \otimes (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)).$$

Also, for $f \in \mathcal{C}(j)$ and $\alpha \in K$,

$$\gamma(\eta \otimes \text{id})(\alpha \otimes f) = \gamma(\alpha; f),$$

where α is regarded as an element of $\mathcal{C}(1)$ as above.

Now,

$$\gamma(\alpha; f)(y; x_1, \dots, x_j) = \alpha(\Gamma^0 y; f(\Gamma^1 y; x_1, \dots, x_j)),$$

where $\Gamma^0 y = \Gamma^0(1; j)y = d_1 \dots d_{j-1}y$ and $\Gamma^1 y = \Gamma^1(1; j)y = y$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\alpha; f)(y; x_1, \dots, x_j) &= \alpha(y'; f(y; x_1, \dots, x_j)) \\ &= \alpha f(y; x_1, \dots, x_j), \end{aligned}$$

where y' is the only tree in Y_1 .

Note that $\psi : K \otimes \mathcal{C}(j) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C}(j)$ is given by

$$\psi(\alpha \otimes f)(y; x_1, \dots, x_j) = \alpha f(y; x_1, \dots, x_j).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

5. BRACES INDUCED BY THE OPERAD STRUCTURE

We recall from [3] that if $\mathcal{C}(j), j \geq 0$, is a (non- Σ) operad with multiplication map γ , then the graded vector space $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus \mathcal{C}(j)$ admits a brace algebra structure. For $\mathcal{C}(j) = CY^j(D, D)$, the brace algebra structure is given by

$$f\{g_1, \dots, g_n\} = \sum (-1)^\epsilon \gamma(f; \text{id}_D, \dots, \text{id}_D, g_1, \text{id}_D, \dots, \text{id}_D, g_n, \text{id}_D, \dots, \text{id}_D)$$

where the summation runs over all possible substitutions of $g_1, \dots, g_n$ into f in the prescribed order, and $\epsilon = \sum_{p=1}^n |g_p| i_p$, i_p being the total number of variables one has to input in front of g_p . Here id_D represents $\eta(1)$. The brace identity is a consequence of the commutativity of associative and unit diagrams. Therefore, in view of Theorem 4.4, we see that $CY^*(D, D)$ admits a brace algebra structure. The following lemma now shows that the braces as introduced in Definition 3.4 make the dialgebra cochain complex into a brace algebra.

Lemma 5.1. *The braces on $CY^*(D, D)$ induced by the operad structure coincide with the braces as introduced in Definition 3.4.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(k) = CY^k(D, D)$ and $g_i \in \mathcal{C}(m_i) = CY^{m_i}(D, D)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Then, according to M. Gerstenhaber and A. A. Voronov [3], the brace induced by the multilinear maps γ is given by

$$f\{g_1, \dots, g_n\} = \sum (-1)^\epsilon \gamma(f; \text{id}, \dots, \text{id}, g_1, \text{id}, \dots, \text{id}, g_n, \text{id}, \dots, \text{id}),$$

where $\text{id} = \text{id}_D = \eta(1)$ and the summation is over all possible substitutions of $g_1, \dots, g_n$ into f , in the given order, and $\epsilon = \sum_{p=1}^n |g_p| i_p$ being the total number of inputs in front of g_p .

Observe that in the term

$$(-1)^\epsilon \gamma(f; \text{id}, \dots, \text{id}, g_1, \text{id}, \dots, \text{id}, g_n, \text{id}, \dots, \text{id})$$

of the above summation, the total number of identity entries in γ is $k - n$, the total number of identity entries in front of g_1 is i_1 and the total number of identity entries in front of g_r is $i_r - \sum_{t=1}^{r-1} m_t$, $2 \leq r \leq n$. Moreover, the following inequalities hold:

$$0 \leq i_1, i_1 + m_1 \leq i_2, \dots, i_{r-1} + m_{r-1} \leq i_r, i_n + m_n \leq k + \sum_{t=1}^n m_t - n = N \text{ (say).}$$

By definition of γ as given in (4.1), we have, for $y \in Y_N$,

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \gamma(f; \text{id}, \dots, \text{id}, g_1, \text{id}, \dots, \text{id}, g_n, \text{id}, \dots, \text{id})(y; x_1, \dots, x_N) \\ &= f(\Gamma^0 y; x_1, \dots, x_{i_1}, g_1(\Gamma^{i_1+1} y; x_{i_1+1}, \dots, x_{i_1+m_1}), x_{i_1+m_1+1}, \dots, x_{i_2}, \\ & \quad g_2(\Gamma^{i_2-m_1+2} y; x_{i_2+1}, \dots, x_{i_2+m_2}), x_{i_2+m_2+1}, \dots, x_{i_n}, \\ & \quad g_n(\Gamma^{i_n-\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} m_t+n} y; x_{i_n+1}, \dots, x_{i_n+m_n}), \dots, x_N), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Gamma^p = \Gamma^p(k; \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{i_1}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{i_2-m_1-i_1}, m_2, \dots, m_{r-1}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{i_r-m_{r-1}-i_{r-1}}, m_r, 1, \dots, m_n, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{N-m_n-i_n})$$

for $0 \leq p \leq k$. Note that in the definition of γ as given in (4.1), the map Γ^r yields the only tree in Y_1 when operated on y if $j_r = 1$ by Definition 4.2. In other words, Γ^r is the obvious constant map. For instance, by Definition 4.2, the map Γ^{i_1+2} appearing in (5.1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{i_1+2} &= d_0 \cdots d_{(i_1+m_1+1)-1} d_{(i_1+m_1+2)+1} \cdots d_N \\ &= d_0 \cdots d_{i_1+m_1+1} d_{i_1+m_1+2} \cdots d_N \end{aligned}$$

and consists of $N - 1$ face maps d_i ; hence $\Gamma^{i_1+2} y = y'$, where y' is the only tree in Y_1 . Hence the corresponding input $\text{id}(y'; x_i)$ in γ is simply x_i .

Now according to Definition 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^0 &= \check{d}_1 \cdots \check{d}_{i_1} d_{i_1+1} \cdots d_{i_1+m_1-1} \check{d}_{i_1+m_1} \cdots \check{d}_{i_2} d_{i_2+1} \cdots d_{i_2+m_2-1} \check{d}_{i_2+m_2} \\ & \quad \cdots \check{d}_{i_3} \cdots \check{d}_{i_r+m_r} \cdots \check{d}_{i_{r+1}} \cdots \check{d}_{i_n+m_n} \cdots \check{d}_N \\ &= d_{i_1+1} \cdots d_{i_1+m_1-1} d_{i_2+1} \cdots d_{i_2+m_2-1} \cdots d_{i_r+1} \\ & \quad \cdots d_{i_r+m_r-1} \cdots d_{i_n+1} \cdots d_{i_n+m_n-1} \\ &= R_1^{i_1, \dots, i_n}, \text{ as introduced in Definition 3.2.} \end{aligned}$$

Also the operator $\Gamma^{i_r-\sum_{t=1}^{r-1} m_t+r}$, corresponding to g_r , is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma^{i_r-\sum_{t=1}^{r-1} m_t+r} \\ &= d_0 \cdots d_{(i_r-\sum_{t=1}^{r-1} m_t)+\sum_{t=1}^{r-1} m_t-1} d_{(i_r-\sum_{t=1}^{r-1} m_t)+\sum_{t=1}^{r-1} m_t+m_r+1} \cdots d_N \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the number of identity entries in front of g_r is $i_r - \sum_{t=1}^{r-1} m_t$ and their degrees sum up to $i_r - \sum_{t=1}^{r-1} m_t$, while the sum of the degrees of $g_1, \dots, g_{r-1}$

is $\sum_{t=1}^{r-1} m_t$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{i_r - \sum_{t=1}^{r-1} m_t + r} &= d_0 \cdots d_{i_r-1} d_{i_r+m_r+1} \cdots d_N \\ &= R_{r+1}^{i_1, \dots, i_n}, \text{ as introduced in Definition 3.2.} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the N -cochain

$$\gamma(f; \text{id}, \dots, \text{id}, g_1, \text{id}, \dots, \text{id}, g_n, \text{id}, \dots, \text{id})$$

is the same as $f \circ_{i_1, \dots, i_n} (g_1, \dots, g_n)$. This sets up a sign-preserving bijective correspondence between the terms of the summation

$$\sum (-1)^\epsilon \gamma(f; \text{id}, \dots, \text{id}, g_1, \text{id}, \dots, \text{id}, g_n, \text{id}, \dots, \text{id}),$$

where the summation is over all possible substitutions of $g_1, \dots, g_n$ into f , in the given order, $\epsilon = \sum_{p=1}^n |g_p| i_p$, i_p being the total number of inputs in front of g_p , and the terms of the summation

$$\sum (-1)^\eta f \circ_{i_1, \dots, i_n} (g_1, \dots, g_n),$$

where the summation is over all $i_1, \dots, i_n$ such that $0 \leq i_1, i_1 + m_1 \leq i_2, \dots, i_{n-1} + m_{n-1} \leq i_n, i_n + m_n \leq k + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i - n$ and $\eta = \sum_{p=1}^n |g_p| i_p$.

Thus the braces as defined in section 3 are precisely the braces induced by the (non- Σ) operad structure. $\square$

6. G-ALGEBRA STRUCTURE ON COHOMOLOGY

In this final section we show that the dialgebra cohomology $HY^*(D, D)$ of a dialgebra D has a G-algebra structure which is induced from a homotopy G-algebra structure on the dialgebra cochain complex $CY^*(D, D)$ with the differential altered by a sign.

Let us first recall the following definitions from [3].

Definition 6.1. A homotopy G-algebra is a brace algebra $V = \bigoplus_n V^n$ provided with a differential d of degree one and a dot product $x \cdot y$ of degree zero making V into a differentially graded associative algebra. The dot product must satisfy the following compatibility identities:

$$(6.1) \quad (x_1 \cdot x_2) \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^\epsilon x_1 \{y_1, \dots, y_k\} \cdot x_2 \{y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n\},$$

where $\epsilon = (|x_2| + 1) \sum_{p=1}^k |y_p|$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & d(x \{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\}) - (dx) \{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\} \\ & - (-1)^{|x|} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{|x_1| + \dots + |x_{i-1}|} x \{x_1, \dots, dx_i, \dots, x_{n+1}\} \\ (6.2) \quad & = (-1)^{(|x|+1)|x_1|} x_1 \cdot x \{x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}\} \\ & - (-1)^{|x|} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{|x_1| + \dots + |x_i|} x \{x_1, \dots, x_i \cdot x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}\} \\ & + (-1)^{|x| + |x_1| + \dots + |x_n|} x \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cdot x_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.2. It should be mentioned here that the notion of homotopy G-algebras as defined above is different from the notion of strong homotopy G-algebras ($\mathcal{G}_\infty$ -algebras, for short) as considered in [4]. A $\mathcal{G}_\infty$ -algebra is an algebra over the minimal model of the Koszul operad describing G-algebras. However, the notion of homotopy G-algebras that we are considering do not really fit the general scheme of quadratic operad theory [5].

Definition 6.3. A multiplication on an operad $\mathcal{C}$ of vector spaces is an element $m \in \mathcal{C}(2)$ such that $m \circ m = 0$, where $m \circ m := m\{m\}$ and $\{ \}$ denote the associated braces.

The following lemma shows that the operad $CY^*(D, D)$ is equipped with a multiplication.

Lemma 6.4. *The 2-cochain $\pi \in CY^2(D, D)$ defined by*

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{cases} \pi([21]; a, b) &= a \dashv b, \\ \pi([12]; a, b) &= a \vdash b \end{cases}$$

for all $a, b \in D$ is a multiplication on the operad $CY^(D, D)$.*

Proof. By Remark 3.5, we only need to verify that $\pi \circ \pi = 0$. Now, by definition of pre-Lie product as introduced in [7], we have, for $y \in Y_3$ and $a, b, c \in D$,

$$\pi \circ \pi(y; a, b, c) = (\pi \circ_0 \pi - \pi \circ_1 \pi)(y; a, b, c).$$

The proof now follows from the dialgebra axioms. $\square$

In order to show that the dialgebra cochain complex $CY^*(D, D)$ admits a homotopy G-algebra structure, we shall make use of Proposition 2(3) from [3], which we describe below. Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote an operad, m a multiplication on $\mathcal{C}$, and let $m \circ x$ denote $m\{x\}$.

Proposition 6.5. *The product*

$$x \cdot y := (-1)^{|x|+1} m\{x, y\}$$

of degree 0 and the differential

$$dx = m \circ x - (-1)^{|x|} x \circ m, \quad d^2 = 0, \quad \deg d = 1,$$

define the structure of a differential graded (DG) associative algebra on $\mathcal{C}$.

First, we observe the following two facts.

Remark 6.6. Note that by Lemma 6.12 of [7], the coboundary operator

$$\delta : CY^n(D, D) \longrightarrow CY^{n+1}(D, D)$$

can be expressed in the form

$$(6.4) \quad \delta f = (-1)^{|f|} (\pi \circ f - (-1)^{|f|} f \circ \pi) = (-1)^{|f|} df.$$

Remark 6.7. The $*$ product, as introduced in Definition 6.8 of [7], can be expressed in terms of braces as

$$(6.5) \quad f * g = (-1)^{(|f|+1)(|g|)} \pi\{f, g\}.$$

This is because, by the definition of braces on $CY^*(D, D)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi\{f, g\}(y; x_1, \dots, x_{p+q}) &= (-1)^{p(q-1)} \pi \circ_{0,p} (f, g)(y; x_1, \dots, x_{p+q}) \\
 &= (-1)^{p(q-1)} \pi(R_1^{0,p}(p+q; 2, p, q)y; \\
 &\quad f(R_2^{0,p}(p+q; 2, p, q)y; x_1, \dots, x_p), \\
 &\quad g(R_3^{0,p}(p+q; 2, p, q)y; x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{p+q})) \\
 &= (-1)^{p(q-1)} \pi(d_1 \cdots d_{p-1} d_{p+1} \cdots d_{p+q-1}(y); \\
 &\quad f(d_{p+1} \cdots d_{p+q}(y); x_1, \dots, x_p), \\
 &\quad g(d_0 \cdots d_{p-1}(y); x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{p+q})) \\
 &= (-1)^{p(q-1)} \pi(d_1 \cdots d_{p-1} d_{p+1} \cdots d_{p+q-1}(y); \\
 &\quad f(d_{p+1} d_{p+1} \cdots d_{p+q-1}(y); x_1, \dots, x_p), \\
 &\quad g(d_0 \cdots d_{p-1}(y); x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{p+q})) \\
 &= (-1)^{p(q-1)} \pi(R_1^0(p+1; 2, p) R_1^p(p+q; p+1, q)(y); \\
 &\quad f(R_2^0(p+1; 2, p) R_1^p(p+q; p+1, q)(y); x_1, \dots, x_p), \\
 &\quad g(R_2^p(p+q; p+1, q)(y); x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{p+q})) \\
 &= (-1)^{p(q-1)} f * g(y; x_1, \dots, x_{p+q}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we make use of the fact that the operator d_{p+q} in the string of operators $d_{p+1} \cdots d_{p+q}$ can be moved to the extreme left of the same string using $d_i d_j = d_{j-1} d_i$, $i < j$, to yield $d_{p+1} d_{p+1} \cdots d_{p+q-1}$.

Therefore by equation (6.5), the dot product $f \cdot g$ determined by the multiplication m as in Proposition 6.5 is in this case nothing but the $*$ product, up to the sign $(-1)^{(|f|+1)(|g|+1)}$. Moreover, the differential d determined by m as in Proposition 6.5 is merely the coboundary operator δ , up to the sign $(-1)^{(|f|)}$; that is, $df = (-1)^{(|f|)} \delta(f)$.

Consequently, by Proposition 6.5 and Theorem 4.4, we deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 6.8. *The graded cochain module $CY^*(D, D)$ equipped with the $*$ product $f * g$, as introduced in [7], altered by the sign $(-1)^{(|f|+1)(|g|+1)}$ and the coboundary $df = (-1)^{|f|} \delta f$, is a differential graded associative algebra.*

Next we recall Theorem 3 of [3].

Theorem 6.9. *A multiplication on an operad $\mathcal{C}$ defines the structure of a homotopy G -algebra on $\bigoplus_k \mathcal{C}(k)$. A multiplication on a brace algebra is equivalent to the structure of a homotopy G -algebra on it.*

Thus in view of Theorem 4.4, Theorem 6.9 and Lemma 4.5 we have the following corollary.

Corollary 6.10. *The cochain complex $(CY^*(D, D), d)$, where $df = (-1)^{|f|} \delta f$, is a homotopy G -algebra with the dot product $f \cdot g = (-1)^{(|f|+1)(|g|+1)} f * g$.*

As a consequence, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 6.11. *The cochain complex $(CY^*(D, D), d)$ is a differential graded Lie algebra with respect to the commutator $[x, y] = x \circ y - (-1)^{|x||y|} y \circ x$.*

Proof. The brace identity, for $m = n = 1$, implies that

$$x\{x_1\}\{y_1\} = x\{x_1, y_1\} + x\{x_1\{y_1\}\} + (-1)^{|x_1||y_1|} x\{y_1, x_1\},$$

as $0 \leq i_1 \leq j_1 \leq 1$.

Using Remark 3.5, we deduce from above that

$$(6.6) \quad (x \circ x_1) \circ y_1 - x \circ (x_1 \circ y_1) = x\{x_1, y_1\} + (-1)^{|x_1||y_1|} x\{y_1, x_1\}.$$

A straightforward computation using equation (6.6) and the fact that $|x \circ y| = |x| + |y|$ shows that the commutator satisfies the graded Jacobi identity.

Moreover, the dot product is always *homotopy* graded commutative; that is,

$$(6.7) \quad x \cdot y - (-1)^{(|x|+1)(|y|+1)} y \cdot x = (-1)^{|x|} (d(x \circ y) - dx \circ y - (-1)^{|x|} x \circ dy).$$

This follows directly from equation (6.2), as

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{|x|} (d(x \circ y) - dx \circ y - (-1)^{|x|} x \circ dy) \\ &= (-1)^{|x|} ((-1)^{(|x|+1)|y|} y \cdot x + (-1)^{|x|} x \cdot y) \\ &= x \cdot y - (-1)^{(|x|+1)(|y|+1)} y \cdot x. \end{aligned}$$

Also, the differential is a derivation of the bracket. In other words,

$$d[x, y] - [dx, y] - (-1)^{|x|} [x, dy] = 0,$$

which is a direct consequence of the *homotopy* graded commutativity of the dot product. This shows that every homotopy G-algebra is a differential graded Lie algebra with respect to the commutator $[x, y] = x \circ y - (-1)^{|x||y|} y \circ x$. $\square$

Next we recall the following definition from [3].

Definition 6.12. A G-algebra is a graded vector space H with a dot product $x \cdot y$ defining the structure of a graded commutative algebra with a bracket $[x, y]$ of degree -1 defining the structure of a graded Lie algebra such that the bracket with an element is a derivation of the dot product:

$$[x, y \cdot z] = [x, y] \cdot z + (-1)^{|x|(|y|+1)} y \cdot [x, z].$$

Corollary 6.13. The $*$ product $x * y$, altered by the sign $(-1)^{(|x|+1)(|y|+1)}$ and the bracket $[x, y] = x \circ y - (-1)^{|x||y|} y \circ x$, defines the structure of a G-algebra on the dialgebra cohomology $HY^*(D, D)$ of a dialgebra D .

Proof. First observe that

$$HY^n(D, D) = H^n((CY^*(D, D), \delta)) = H^n((CY^*(D, D), d)).$$

The fact that the dot product $x \cdot y = (-1)^{|x|+1} \pi\{x, y\}$ lifts to the cohomology follows from Proposition 6.5. Equation (6.7) implies that this dot product is graded commutative. Moreover, by Corollary 6.11, the bracket $[x, y] = x \circ y - (-1)^{|x||y|} y \circ x$ of degree -1 defines the structure of a graded Lie algebra on $HY^*(D, D)$. It remains to show that the bracket with an element is a derivation of the dot product.

First we show that the commutator $[x, y] = x \circ y - (-1)^{|x||y|} y \circ x$ for all $x, y \in CY^*(D, D)$ is a graded derivation of the dot product up to null homotopy; that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & [x, y \cdot z] - [x, y] \cdot z - (-1)^{|x|(|y|+1)} y \cdot [x, z] \\ &= (-1)^{|x|+|y|+1} (d(x\{y, z\}) - (dx)\{y, z\} - (-1)^{|x|} x\{dy, z\} - (-1)^{|x|+|y|} x\{y, dz\}). \end{aligned}$$

By definition of the commutator, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [x, y \cdot z] - [x, y] \cdot z - (-1)^{|x|(|y|+1)} y \cdot [x, z] \\
&= x \circ (y \cdot z) - (-1)^{|x||y \cdot z|} (y \cdot z) \circ x - (x \circ y - (-1)^{|x||y|} y \circ x) \cdot z \\
&\quad - (-1)^{|x|(|y|+1)} y \cdot (x \circ z - (-1)^{|x||z|} z \circ x) \\
&= (x \circ (y \cdot z) - (-1)^{|x|(|y|+1)} y \cdot (x \circ z) - (x \circ y) \cdot z) \\
&\quad - (-1)^{|x||y \cdot z|} (y \cdot z) \circ x - (-1)^{|x||y|} (y \circ x) \cdot z + (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+1)} y \cdot (z \circ x) \\
&= (x \circ y \cdot z - (-1)^{|x|(|y|+1)} y \cdot (x \circ z) - (x \circ y) \cdot z) \\
&\quad - (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+1)} ((y \cdot z) \circ x - y \cdot (z \circ x) + (-1)^{|x|(|z|+1)} y \circ x \cdot z) \\
&= x \circ y \cdot z - (-1)^{|x|(|y|+1)} y \cdot (x \circ z) - (x \circ y) \cdot z \\
& \text{(as } ((y \cdot z) \circ x - y \cdot (z \circ x) + (-1)^{|x|(|z|+1)} y \circ x \cdot z) = 0, \text{ by equation (6.1))} \\
&= (-1)^{|x|+|y|+1} (d(x\{y, z\}) - (dx)\{y, z\} - (-1)^{|x|} x\{dy, z\} \\
&\quad - (-1)^{|x|+|y|} x\{y, dz\})
\end{aligned}$$

by equation (6.2). This implies that $[x, y \cdot z] = [x, y] \cdot z + (-1)^{|x|(|y|+1)} y \cdot [x, z]$ for all $x, y, z \in HY^*(D, D)$. Thus $HY^*(D, D)$ admits a G-algebra structure. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Frabetti, A. *Dialgebra (co)homology with coefficients*, Dialgebras and Related Operads, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol 1763, Springer, Berlin, 2001, pp. 67-103. MR **2002i**:17003
- [2] Gerstenhaber, M. *The Cohomology Structure of an Associative Ring*, Ann. of Math. 78 (1963) 267-288. MR **28**:5102
- [3] Gerstenhaber, M. and Voronov, A. A. *Homotopy G-algebras and moduli space operad*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 3 (1995), 141-153. MR **96c**:18004
- [4] Ginot, G. *Homology et modèle minimal des algèbres de Gerstenhaber*, preprint 2002, <http://www-irma.u-strasbg.fr/~ginot/gerstenhaber.ps>.
- [5] Ginzburg, V. and Kapranov, M. M. *Koszul duality for operads*, Duke Math Journal, 76(1) (1994) 203-272. MR **96a**:18004
- [6] Loday, J.-L. *Dialgebras*, Dialgebras and Related Operads, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol 1763, Springer, Berlin, 2001, pp. 7-66. MR **2002i**:17004
- [7] Majumdar, A. and Mukherjee, G. *Deformation theory of dialgebras*, K-theory 27 (2002), 33-60.
- [8] May, J. P. *Definitions: operads, algebras and modules*, Operads: Proceedings of Renaissance Conferences, Contemporary Mathematics, Vol 202, AMS, Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 1-7. MR **97m**:18001

STAT-MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, 203, B. T. ROAD, KOLKATA-700108, INDIA
E-mail address: anita_r@isical.ac.in

STAT-MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, 203, B. T. ROAD, KOLKATA-700108, INDIA
E-mail address: goutam@isical.ac.in