ENTIRE MAJORANTS VIA EULER–MACLAURIN SUMMATION

FRIEDRICH LITTMANN

ABSTRACT. It is the aim of this article to give extremal majorants of type $2\pi\delta$ for the class of functions $f_n(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As applications we obtain positive definite extensions to $\mathbb{R}$ of $\pm(it)^{-m}$ defined on $\mathbb{R} \setminus [-1, 1]$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, optimal bounds in Hilbert-type inequalities for the class of functions $(it)^{-m}$, and majorants of type 2π for functions whose graphs are trapezoids.

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

An entire function $F(z)$ is said to be of type $2\pi\delta$ if

$$(1) \quad |F(z)| \leq A_\varepsilon \exp(|z|(2\pi\delta + \varepsilon))$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and some constant $A_\varepsilon > 0$ depending on ε (in the notation of [2] this a function of order 1 and type $2\pi\delta$). The set of all functions of type $2\pi\delta$ that are real in $\mathbb{R}$ will be denoted by $E(2\pi\delta)$.

By the Paley-Wiener Theorem (cf. [2]), functions in $E(2\pi\delta) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ have a Fourier transform with support in $[-\delta, \delta]$, where the Fourier transform of $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$\mathcal{F}f(t) := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-N}^N f(x)e(-tx)dx.$$

Here the notation $e(y) = \exp(2\pi iy)$ is used.

In the 1930's A. Beurling studied the entire function

$$(2) \quad B(z) := \frac{\sin^2 \pi z}{\pi^2} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z-n)^{-2} - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} (z-n)^{-2} + 2z^{-1} \right).$$

He found that $B(z)$ satisfies the following extremal property: $B(z)$ is of type 2π , $B(x) \geq \operatorname{sgn}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (B - \operatorname{sgn}) = 1$, and any $F \in E(2\pi)$ with $F \geq \operatorname{sgn}$ on the real line and $F \neq B$ satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (F - \operatorname{sgn}) > 1$.

This motivates

Definition 1. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For $F \in E(2\pi\delta)$ consider the conditions

- (i) $f(x) \leq F(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (ii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (F - f) = \min_{\substack{G \in E(2\pi\delta) \\ G \geq f}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (G - f).$

A function $F \in E(2\pi\delta)$ satisfying (i) and (ii) is called an extremal majorant of type $2\pi\delta$ of f . Extremal minorants are defined with the obvious modifications.

Received by the editors January 24, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42A10; Secondary 42A38.

©2006 American Mathematical Society
 Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

A. Selberg discovered $B(z)$ independently, and he used it to obtain a sharp form of the large sieve inequality ([11], chapter 20; see also [8]).

A general method to construct candidates for extremal majorants when $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is given by S. W. Graham and J. D. Vaaler in [3]. Their applications include a finite form of the Wiener-Ikehara Tauberian theorem (see also [5], chapter 5), a proof of the large sieve inequality, and inequalities for character sums.

Although Beurling never published his results, an account can be found in the survey [12] by Vaaler.

The function $B(z)$ can be used to give a short and elegant proof for a general form of Hilbert's inequality (cf. [11], chapter 20, and [12], Theorem 16; for the first proof cf. [9]). This result will be generalized in Corollary 2.

It is the purpose of this note to give extremal minorants and majorants for the class of functions

$$f_n(x) := \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n,$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The way extremal minorants and majorants are obtained is similar to the method of [12], except that the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula is employed rather than the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality.

As usual, $\operatorname{sgn}(x)$ denotes the symmetric signum function, i.e. $\operatorname{sgn}(x) = -1$ for $x < 0$, $\operatorname{sgn}(x) = 1$ for $x > 0$, and $\operatorname{sgn}(0) = 0$. Also, $\operatorname{sgn}_+(x)$ denotes the right-continuous signum function, i.e. $\operatorname{sgn}_+(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x)$ for $x \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{sgn}_+(0) = 1$. The expression $\bar{z}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$F_\alpha(z) := \pi^{-2} \sin^2 \pi(z - \alpha) \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The following definition provides us with the candidates for extremal minorants and majorants of $f(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n$.

Definition 2. Define for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$H_n(z; \alpha) := F_\alpha(z) \left(z^n \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}_+(k)}{(x - k - \alpha)^2} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^n B_{k-1}(\alpha) z^{n-k} + 2 \frac{B_n(\alpha)}{z - \{\alpha\}} \right),$$

where $\{\alpha\}$ denotes the fractional part of α , and $B_n(\alpha)$ is the n -th Bernoulli polynomial (cf. Section 4). For $n = 0$ the second sum is assigned the value zero.

The equality $B(z) = H_0(z; 0)$ holds, where $B(z)$ is Beurling's function defined in (2).

Note that $H_n(z; \alpha)$ is real entire, because the zeros of F_α cancel the poles of the first and the last terms in the parenthesis, and the second term is a polynomial.

Next it will be shown that $H_n(\delta z; \alpha)$ is of type $2\pi\delta$. The expressions obtained by multiplying $F_\alpha(z)$ with the second and the third terms in the parenthesis of Definition 2 are of type 2π . It remains to estimate the first term in Definition 2. The series $\sum_{\ell} |z - \ell - \alpha|^{-2} F_\alpha(z - \ell)$ is bounded uniformly for all z satisfying $|z - k - \alpha| < 1/4$ with some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, for all z and k satisfying $|z - k - \alpha| \geq 1/4$, the sum $\sum_{\ell} |z - \ell - \alpha|^{-2}$ is bounded uniformly in z . Since $F_\alpha(z)$ is of type 2π , it follows that $H_n(z; \alpha)$ is of type 2π as well, and one obtains from (1) that $H_n(\delta z; \alpha)$ is of type $2\pi\delta$.

It will be shown in (37) and (38) that the function $H_n(x; \alpha)$ is an extremal function for $\operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n$ precisely when the 1-periodic function

$$B_{n+1}(\alpha) - B_{n+1}(t + \alpha - [t + \alpha])$$

has no changes of sign for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (here $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to x). This motivates the following choices for the values of α .

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The function $B_{2n}(t)$ ($n \geq 1$) has exactly one zero in the interval $(0, 1/2)$. Denote this zero by z_{2n} , and let $z_0 = 0$. By a result of D. H. Lehmer [6] the inequality $1/4 - \pi^{-1}2^{-2n-1} < z_{2n} < 1/4$ holds for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The odd Bernoulli polynomials $B_{2n+1}(t)$ have zeros at $t = 0$ and $t = 1/2$, but no zeros in the interval $(0, 1/2)$ (cf. Section 4).

Define two sequences $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and $\{\beta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ by

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_{4k} &:= 1 - z_{4k}, & \beta_{4k} &:= z_{4k}, \\ \alpha_{4k+1} &:= 0, & \beta_{4k+1} &:= \frac{1}{2}, \\ \alpha_{4k+2} &:= z_{4k+2}, & \beta_{4k+2} &:= 1 - z_{4k+2}, \\ \alpha_{4k+3} &:= \frac{1}{2}, & \beta_{4k+3} &:= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Note that $B_{n+1}(t)$ assumes a maximum in $[0, 1]$ at $t = \alpha_n$, and $B_{n+1}(t)$ assumes a minimum in $[0, 1]$ at $t = \beta_n$ (cf. Lemma 5).

With these definitions $H_n(z; \alpha_n)$ and $H_n(z; \beta_n)$ turn out to be the extremal minorant and the extremal majorant of $\operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n$, respectively:

Theorem 1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The inequality*

$$(4) \quad \delta^{-n} H_n(\delta x; \alpha_n) \leq \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n \leq \delta^{-n} H_n(\delta x; \beta_n)$$

holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover,

(i) *for every function $F \in E(2\pi\delta)$ satisfying $F(x) \geq \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n$*

$$(5) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F(x) - \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n) dx \geq -2 \frac{B_{n+1}(\beta_n)}{(n+1)\delta^{n+1}}$$

with equality exactly for $F(x) = \delta^{-n} H_n(\delta x; \beta_n)$, and

(ii) *for every function $F \in E(2\pi\delta)$ satisfying $G(x) \leq \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n$*

$$(6) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n - G(x)) dx \geq 2 \frac{B_{n+1}(\alpha_n)}{(n+1)\delta^{n+1}}$$

with equality exactly for $G(x) = \delta^{-n} H_n(\delta x; \alpha_n)$.

Let S be $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{Z}$. A function $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called *positive definite* if for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, any $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{C}$, and any $x_1, \dots, x_N \in S$, the inequality

$$(7) \quad \sum_{\nu, \mu=1}^N a_\nu \bar{a}_\mu f(x_\nu - x_\mu) \geq 0$$

holds.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. As a first corollary of Theorem 1, positive definite extensions to $\mathbb{R}$ of the functions $\pm m!(2\pi it)^{-m}$ restricted to $\mathbb{R} \setminus [-1, 1]$ are obtained. Define

$$(8) \quad s_{m, \alpha}(t) := -2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{k+m}(\alpha)}{(k+1)!} \left(\frac{k+1}{k+m} - |t| \right) (-2\pi it)^k,$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $|t| < 1$.

FIGURE 1. Plots of $f_2(t)$ and $g_2(t)$ (cf. Corollary 1)

Corollary 1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The following functions are positive definite on $\mathbb{R}$:*

$$f_m(t) = \begin{cases} m!(2\pi it)^{-m} & \text{if } |t| \geq 1, \\ s_{m, \alpha_{m-1}}(t) & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

$$g_m(t) = \begin{cases} -m!(2\pi it)^{-m} & \text{if } |t| \geq 1, \\ -s_{m, \beta_{m-1}}(t) & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The following functions are positive definite on $\mathbb{Z}$:

$$p_m(k) = \begin{cases} m!(2\pi ik)^{-m} & \text{if } k \neq 0, \\ B_m(\alpha_{m-1}) & \text{if } k = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$q_m(k) = \begin{cases} -m!(2\pi ik)^{-m} & \text{if } k \neq 0, \\ -B_m(\beta_{m-1}) & \text{if } k = 0. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $f_m(0) = B_m(\alpha_{m-1})$, $g_m(0) = -B_m(\beta_{m-1})$, and the values $f_m(0)$, $g_m(0)$, $p_m(0)$, $q_m(0)$ are all minimal in the sense that none of $\pm m!(2\pi it)^{-m}$ (resp. $\pm(2\pi ik)^{-m}$) restricted to $\mathbb{R} \setminus [-1, 1]$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$) can have a positive extension to $\mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}$) having a smaller value at the origin.

The proof of Corollary 1 will be given in Section 6. A consequence of this corollary are sharp bounds in certain Hilbert-type inequalities. Let $(a_\nu)_{\nu=1}^N$ be a finite sequence of complex numbers, and let $\{\lambda_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^N$ be a set of real numbers which are well-spaced in the sense that $|\lambda_\nu - \lambda_\mu| \geq \delta > 0$ for all $\nu \neq \mu$, and let $h(t)$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) be a hermitian function, i.e. $h(-t) = \overline{h(t)}$. We are interested in optimal bounds $L_\delta(h)$ and $U_\delta(h)$ such that

$$(9) \quad -L_\delta(h) \sum_{\nu=1}^N |a_\nu|^2 \leq \sum_{\substack{\mu, \nu=1 \\ \mu \neq \nu}}^N a_\nu \overline{a_\mu} h(\lambda_\nu - \lambda_\mu) \leq U_\delta(h) \sum_{\nu=1}^N |a_\nu|^2$$

holds independently of $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and independently of the sequences $\{a_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^N$ and $\{\lambda_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^N$.

For $h_1(t) = (it)^{-1}$ the problem of finding the best possible values for $L(h_1)$ and $U(h_1)$ was solved by Montgomery and Vaughan [9]. As mentioned in the Introduction, Beurling's majorant $B(z)$ can be used to give a proof of Montgomery and Vaughan's result (cf. [12], Theorem 16, [11], chapter 20). Their result will be extended to the functions

$$(10) \quad h_m(t) = (it)^{-m}, \text{ where } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Corollary 2. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\delta > 0$, and let L_δ, U_δ be as in (9). In this case the optimal bounds are*

$$\begin{aligned} L_\delta((it)^{-m}) &= (2\pi)^m \frac{B_m(\alpha_{m-1})}{m! \delta^m}, \\ U_\delta((it)^{-m}) &= -(2\pi)^m \frac{B_m(\beta_{m-1})}{m! \delta^m}. \end{aligned}$$

For example, since $-2\pi^2 B_2(1/2) = \pi^2 B_2(0) = \zeta(2)$ we obtain for $m = 2$ and $\delta = 1$ that

$$(11) \quad -\zeta(2) \sum_{\nu=1}^N |a_\nu|^2 \leq \sum_{\substack{\mu, \nu=1 \\ \mu \neq \nu}}^N \frac{a_\nu \bar{a}_\mu}{(\lambda_\nu - \lambda_\mu)^2} \leq 2\zeta(2) \sum_{\nu=1}^N |a_\nu|^2$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and all sequences $(a_\nu), \{\lambda_\nu\}$ as above.

For $m = 2$ and $\delta = 1$ we have the following extremal configurations. An extremal configuration for the upper bound is given by $\lambda_\nu := \nu$, $a_\nu := 1$, and $N \rightarrow \infty$, since

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\nu, \mu=1 \\ n \neq m}}^N \frac{1}{(\nu - \mu)^2} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{k=1-N \\ k \neq 0}}^{N-1} \frac{N - |k|}{k^2} = 2\zeta(2).$$

An extremal configuration for the lower bound is given by $\lambda_\nu := \nu$, $a_\nu := (-1)^\nu$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$, since

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\nu, \mu=1 \\ n \neq m}}^N \frac{(-1)^{\nu-\mu}}{(\nu - \mu)^2} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{k=1-N \\ k \neq 0}}^{N-1} (-1)^k \frac{N - |k|}{k^2} = -\zeta(2).$$

Note that $L_\delta((it)^{-m}) = U_\delta((it)^{-m})$ for odd-valued, but not for even-valued $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

The proof of Corollary 2 will be given in Section 6.

Another application is the following result originally obtained by J. J. Holt (cf. [4], Theorem 1 and Corollary 1). Let $\alpha > 0$, and define

$$(12) \quad R_\alpha(x) = \alpha^{-1}(|x + \alpha| - |x|) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Holt obtained extremal majorants and minorants of type 2π for $R_\alpha(x)$ in the case that $\alpha \in A := (0, 1/2] \cup \{k + 1/2 : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and he obtained non-extremal minorants and majorants of type 2π for all other $\alpha > 0$. We will obtain Holt's result for $\alpha \in A$, and we will give slightly better (also non-extremal) majorants and

minorants for all positive $\alpha \notin A$. Define

$$(13) \quad M_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} H_0(x; 0) & \text{if } 0 < \alpha \leq 1/2, \\ \alpha^{-1}(H_1(x + \alpha; 1/2) - H_1(x; 0)) & \text{if } \alpha > 1/2, \end{cases}$$

$$(14) \quad m_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} H_0(x + \alpha; 1) & \text{if } 0 < \alpha \leq 1/2, \\ \alpha^{-1}(H_1(x + \alpha; 0) - H_1(x; 1/2)) & \text{if } \alpha > 1/2. \end{cases}$$

For $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$ the inequality $H_0(x + \alpha; 1) \leq R_\alpha(x) \leq H_0(x; 0)$ holds (cf. [4], Corollary 1). For any $\alpha > 0$ we have by Theorem 1 that $H_1(x + \alpha; 0) \leq |x + \alpha| \leq H_1(x + \alpha; 1/2)$ and $-H_1(x; 1/2) \leq -|x| \leq -H_1(x; 0)$. So for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(15) \quad m_\alpha(x) \leq R_\alpha(x) \leq M_\alpha(x).$$

Moreover for $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$, $\int (H_0(x; 0) - R_\alpha(x))dx = \int (R_\alpha(x) - H_0(x + \alpha; 1))dx = 1 - \alpha$. Since $-B_2(1/2) + B_2(0) = 1/12 + 1/6 = 1/4$, Theorem 1 implies for $\alpha > 1/2$

$$(16) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (M_\alpha - R_\alpha) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (R_\alpha - m_\alpha) = (4\alpha)^{-1}.$$

Define

$$(17) \quad d(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 - \alpha & \text{if } 0 < \alpha \leq 1/2, \\ (4\alpha)^{-1} & \text{if } \alpha > 1/2. \end{cases}$$

We have shown

Corollary 3. *The functions M_α and m_α are of type 2π , and they majorize and minorize R_α , respectively, on the real line. Moreover,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (M_\alpha - R_\alpha) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (R_\alpha - m_\alpha) = d(\alpha).$$

We use Corollary 3 to obtain majorants and minorants of type 2π for trapezoids. Define $f_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(R_\alpha(x) + R_\gamma(\beta - x))$. The graph of $f_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(x)$ is a trapezoid with base-length $\alpha + \beta + \gamma$, top-length β , height 1, and left point at $x = -\alpha$. Define

$$(18) \quad M_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(M_\alpha(x) + M_\gamma(\beta - x)),$$

$$(19) \quad m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(m_\alpha(x) + m_\gamma(\beta - x)).$$

From Corollary 3 we obtain

Corollary 4. *$M_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ and $m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ are functions of type 2π , they satisfy*

$$m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(x) \leq f_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(x) \leq M_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(x)$$

for all real x , and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (M_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} - f_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} - m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}) = \frac{1}{2}(d(\alpha) + d(\gamma)).$$

3. OUTLINE OF THE PROOFS

We will first deal with the case $\delta = 1$. At the end of this section we will indicate how to obtain majorants for any $\delta > 0$. Let $\delta = 1$. Since most of the following statements are concerned with the difference of $H_n(x; \alpha)$ and $\operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n$, we define

$$(20) \quad \psi_{n,\alpha}(x) := H_n(x; \alpha) - \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is divided into a series of lemmata whose proofs are given in Section 5.

Lemma 1. *Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The function $\psi_{n,\alpha}(x)$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$) is absolutely integrable. Moreover, if $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and $\{\beta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ are defined by (3), then*

$$H_n(x; \alpha_n) \leq \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n \leq H_n(x; \beta_n).$$

Since $\psi_{n,\alpha}(x)$ is integrable, its Fourier transform exists. Its value is given by

Lemma 2. *Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We have*

$$(21) \quad \mathcal{F}\psi_{n,\alpha}(t) = -2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{k+n+1}(\alpha)}{(k+1)!} \left(\frac{k+1}{k+n+1} - |t| \right) (-2\pi it)^k \\ + \frac{B_n(\alpha)}{\pi i} \operatorname{sgn}(t) (e(-\{\alpha\}t) - 1) \text{ for } |t| < 1,$$

$$(22) \quad \mathcal{F}\psi_{n,\alpha}(t) = -\frac{2 \cdot n!}{(2\pi it)^{n+1}} \text{ for } |t| \geq 1.$$

By taking the value of $\mathcal{F}\psi_{n,\alpha}(t)$ at $t = 0$ in Lemma 2, we obtain the equalities in (5) for $F(x) = H_n(x; \beta_n)$ and in (6) for $G(x) = H_n(x; \alpha_n)$.

The next lemma establishes the extremality properties of $H_n(x; \alpha)$.

Lemma 3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $F_n, G_n \in E(2\pi)$ be real entire functions such that*

$$G_n(x) \leq \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n \leq F_n(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$(23) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F_n(x) - \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n) dx \geq -\frac{2}{n+1} \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B_{n+1}(t),$$

$$(24) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n - G_n(x)) dx \geq \frac{2}{n+1} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B_{n+1}(t).$$

Moreover, in (23) and (24) equality can hold only for the minorants and majorants defined in Lemma 1.

The proof of Theorem 1 is completed by considering the case of arbitrary $\delta > 0$. Defining $f_\delta(x) := f(\delta x)$ for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we note that $\widehat{f_\delta}(t) = \delta^{-1} \widehat{f}(t/\delta)$. Moreover,

$$\delta^{-n} \psi_{n,\alpha}(\delta x) = \delta^{-n} H_n(\delta x; \alpha) - \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n.$$

This implies $\delta^{-n} \widehat{\psi}_{n,\alpha}(0) = \delta^{-n-1} \widehat{\psi}_{n,\alpha}(0)$, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

4. BERNOULLI FUNCTIONS AND EULER-MACLAURIN SUMMATION

In this section we give a brief review of some facts about Bernoulli polynomials that we will need in our proofs. Most of these facts are taken from [1], [7], and [10].

The Bernoulli polynomials $B_n(x)$ can be defined by the power series expansion

$$(25) \quad \frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n(x)}{n!} t^n,$$

where $|t| < 2\pi$, the Bernoulli numbers B_n by

$$(26) \quad B_n = B_n(0),$$

and the Bernoulli periodic functions $\mathcal{B}_n(t)$ by

$$(27) \quad \mathcal{B}_n(t) = B_n(t - [t]).$$

The Bernoulli polynomials satisfy $B'_n(t) = nB_{n-1}(t)$ and

$$\int_0^1 B_n(t) dt = 0.$$

This implies that for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ the Bernoulli periodic functions have the antiderivatives

$$(28) \quad \int_0^x \mathcal{B}_n(t + \alpha) dt = \frac{1}{n+1} (\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(x + \alpha) - \mathcal{B}_{n+1}(\alpha)).$$

For $n \geq 1$ the Bernoulli periodic functions have the Fourier series expansion

$$(29) \quad \mathcal{B}_n(t) = -\frac{n!}{(2\pi i)^n} \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ k \neq 0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^n} e(kt),$$

which is valid for $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ with symmetric summation if $n = 1$, and it is valid for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ if $n \geq 2$.

We will need the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula in the following form:

Lemma 4. For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $x > 0$ and any $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(30) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+n-\alpha)^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\mu} \frac{B_{n-1}(\alpha)}{x^n} + (\mu+1) \int_0^{\infty} \frac{B_{\mu}(\alpha) - \mathcal{B}_{\mu}(t+\alpha)}{(x+t)^{\mu+2}} dt.$$

Proof. Induction on μ . For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ we obtain with integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+n-\alpha)^2} &= \int_{0+}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+t)^2} d[t+\alpha] = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+t)^2} dt + \int_{0+}^{\infty} \frac{d[t+\alpha] - dt}{(x+t)^2} \\ &= \frac{B_0(\alpha)}{x} + 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{B_1(\alpha) - \mathcal{B}_1(t+\alpha)}{(x+t)^3} dt, \end{aligned}$$

and for $\alpha = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+n-1)^2} &= \frac{1}{x^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+n)^2} = \frac{1}{x} + 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 + B_1(0) - \mathcal{B}_1(t)}{(x+t)^3} dt \\ &= \frac{B_0(1)}{x} + 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{B_1(1) - \mathcal{B}_1(t+1)}{(x+t)^3} dt, \end{aligned}$$

since $\mathcal{B}_1(t)$ is 1-periodic. This establishes (30) for $\mu = 1$.

The remaining part of the induction follows with repeated applications of integrations by parts using (28). $\square$

We will need the extrema of the Bernoulli polynomials in the interval $[0, 1]$. The locations of these extrema are collected in the following lemma. These facts come from [10], chapter 2.

Lemma 5. *Let $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and $n \geq 1$.*

- (i) $B_{4n}(x)$ assumes its maximum value at $x = 1/2$ and its minimum value at $x = 0, x = 1$.
- (ii) $B_{4n+1}(x)$ assumes its minimum value at a unique $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ and its maximum value at $1 - \alpha \in (1/2, 1)$.
- (iii) $B_{4n-2}(x)$ assumes its maximum value at $x = 0, x = 1$ and its minimum value at $x = 1/2$.
- (iv) $B_{4n-1}(x)$ assumes its maximum value at a unique $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ and its minimum value at $1 - \alpha \in (1/2, 1)$.

Finally, $B_0(x) = 1$ and $B_1(x) = x - 1/2$. As was pointed out in Section 2, Lehmer showed in [6] that the zeros z_{2n} of the even Bernoulli polynomial in $(0, 1/2)$ (or, what amounts to the same thing, the extrema of the odd Bernoulli polynomials in $(0, 1/2)$) satisfy

$$\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{\pi 2^{2n+1}} < z_{2n} < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Decimal approximations for the first four z_{2n} are $z_2 = 0.2113$, $z_4 = 0.2403$, $z_6 = 0.2475$, $z_8 = 0.2494$.

5. PROOF OF THE LEMMATA

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Recall

$$(31) \quad \psi_{n,\alpha}(x) = H_n(x; \alpha) - \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n.$$

We will consider the cases $x > 0$ and $x < 0$ separately. Let $x > 0$. We have by Lemma 4 with $\mu = n + 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}_+(k + \alpha)}{(x - k - \alpha)^2} + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{B_{\ell-1}(\alpha)}{x^\ell} + \frac{2B_n(\alpha)}{x^n(x - \{\alpha\})} - \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x - k - \alpha)^2} \\ &= -2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x + k - \alpha)^2} + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} \frac{B_{\ell-1}(\alpha)}{x^\ell} + \frac{B_n(\alpha)}{x^n} \left(\frac{2}{x - \{\alpha\}} - \frac{2}{x} \right) \\ (32) \quad &= -2(n+2) \int_0^\infty \frac{B_{n+1}(\alpha) - B_{n+1}(t + \alpha)}{(x + t)^{n+3}} dt + O(x^{-n-2}) \\ &\ll x^{-n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

because $B_{n+1}(\alpha) - B_{n+1}(t + \alpha)$ is bounded. Since for $x > 0$

$$x^n = x^n \left(\frac{\sin \pi(x - \alpha)}{\pi} \right)^2 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x - k - \alpha)^2},$$

we obtain

$$(33) \quad \psi_{n,\alpha}(x) = H_n(x; \alpha) - x^n = O(x^{-2})$$

for $x > 0$.

Now let $x < 0$. Putting $y = -x > 0$ and using $B_\ell(\alpha) = (-1)^\ell B_\ell(1 - \alpha)$ we obtain with a similar computation that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}_+(k + \alpha)}{(x - k - \alpha)^2} + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{B_{\ell-1}(\alpha)}{x^\ell} + \frac{2B_n(\alpha)}{x^n(x - \{\alpha\})} + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x - k - \alpha)^2} \\ (34) \quad & = 2(n+2) \int_0^\infty \frac{B_{n+1}(1 - \alpha) - \mathcal{B}_{n+1}(t - \alpha)}{(y + t)^{n+3}} dt + O(y^{-n-2}) \\ & \ll y^{-n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain for $x < 0$ that

$$(35) \quad \psi_{n,\alpha}(x) = H_n(x; \alpha) + x^n = O(x^{-2}).$$

Equalities (33) and (35) prove the first statement of Lemma 1.

For the second statement we use the representation for $\psi_{n,\alpha}(x)$ derived in (32) and (34). If

$$(36) \quad \frac{B_n(\alpha)}{x^n} \left(\frac{2}{x - \{\alpha\}} - \frac{2}{x} \right) = 0,$$

then (32) implies for $x > 0$

$$(37) \quad \psi_{n,\alpha}(x) = -2(n+2)F(x - \alpha)x^n \int_0^\infty \frac{B_{n+1}(\alpha) - \mathcal{B}_{n+1}(t + \alpha)}{(x + t)^{n+3}} dt,$$

and (34) implies for $x < 0$

$$(38) \quad \psi_{n,\alpha}(x) = 2(n+2)F(x - \alpha)(-x)^n \int_0^\infty \frac{B_{n+1}(1 - \alpha) - \mathcal{B}_{n+1}(t + 1 - \alpha)}{(-x + t)^{n+3}} dt.$$

If $B_{n+1}(t)$ restricted to $[0, 1]$ has a maximum at $t = \alpha$, then it has a minimum at $t = 1 - \alpha$ if n is even, and a maximum if n is odd, since $B_\ell(\alpha) = (-1)^\ell B_\ell(\alpha)$. This implies that for such α the expressions $B_{n+1}(\alpha) - \mathcal{B}_{n+1}(t + \alpha)$ and $B_{n+1}(1 - \alpha) - \mathcal{B}_{n+1}(t + 1 - \alpha)$ do not change their signs for $t \in [0, \infty)$, and since $-x^n = (-x)^n(-1)^{n+1}$ we obtain that for such α the expressions in (37) and (38) are either both positive or both negative for all x in the respective ranges. Moreover, $\psi_{n,\alpha} \geq 0$ if $B_{n+1}(t)$ assumes its minimum on $[0, 1]$ at $t = \alpha$, and $\psi_{n,\alpha} \leq 0$ if $B_{n+1}(t)$ assumes its maximum at $t = \alpha$.

Since by Lemma 5 the function $B_{n+1}(t)$ assumes its minimum on $[0, 1]$ at $t = \beta_n$ and its maximum at $t = \alpha_n$, we have

$$H_n(x; \alpha_n) \leq \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n \leq H_n(x; \beta_n),$$

and this finishes the proof of Lemma 1. $\square$

(36) is satisfied for $\alpha = 0$. This provides us with the majorant. Since $\operatorname{sgn}(x)$ is odd, any majorant $M(x)$ of $\operatorname{sgn}(x)$ gives rise to a minorant $-M(-x)$ of $\operatorname{sgn}(x)$, and $-H_0(-x; 0) = H_0(x; 1)$.

Proof of Lemma 2. Recall $\operatorname{sgn}_+(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x+)$, and let

$$F(z) = \pi^{-2} \sin^2 \pi z \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Performing the index shift $k + n + 1 \mapsto k$ in the series representing $\mathcal{F}\psi_{n,\alpha}(t)$ for $|t| < 1$ leads to (21) in the form in which we will prove it:

$$(39) \quad \mathcal{F}\psi_{n,\alpha}(t) = -2 \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{B_k(\alpha)}{(k-n)!} \left(\frac{k-n}{k} - |t| \right) (-2\pi i t)^{k-n-1} \\ + \frac{B_n(\alpha)}{\pi i} \operatorname{sgn}(t) (e(-\{\alpha\}t) - 1) \text{ for } |t| < 1.$$

The first part of the proof will be similar to the proof of Theorem 6 in [12]. Define

$$H_{0,K}(x, \alpha) := F(x - \alpha) \left(\sum_{k=-K}^{K-1} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}_+(k + \alpha)}{(x - k - \alpha)^2} + \frac{2}{x - \{\alpha\}} \right).$$

With the Fourier expansions

$$(40) \quad \frac{F(x)}{x^2} = \int_{-1}^1 (1 - |t|) e(xt) dt,$$

$$(41) \quad \frac{F(x)}{x} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1}^1 \operatorname{sgn}(t) e(xt) dt,$$

we obtain

$$H_{0,K}(x, \alpha) = \int_{-1}^1 (1 - |t|) \left[\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} e(-(k + \alpha)t) - \sum_{k=-K}^{-1} e(-(k + \alpha)t) \right] e(xt) dt \\ + \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-1}^1 \operatorname{sgn}(t) e(-\{\alpha\}t) e(xt) dt.$$

We have for $t \neq 0$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} e(-(k + \alpha)t) - \sum_{k=-K}^{-1} e(-(k + \alpha)t) = 2 \frac{e(-\alpha t)}{1 - e(-t)} (1 - \cos 2\pi Kt),$$

and since the last expression is bounded in a neighborhood of $t = 0$ we obtain

$$H_{0,K}(x, \alpha) = \int_{-1}^1 (1 - |t|) \left[\frac{2e(-\alpha t)}{1 - e(-t)} - e(-\alpha t) \frac{2 \cos 2\pi Kt}{1 - e(-t)} \right] e(xt) dt \\ + \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-1}^1 \operatorname{sgn}(t) e(-\{\alpha\}t) e(xt) dt.$$

In order to apply the lemma of Riemann-Lebesgue we have to remove the poles in the fractions of the first integral. We do this by differentiating both sides with respect to x and dividing the resulting expression by 2. We obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} H'_{0,K}(x, \alpha) = \int_{-1}^1 (1 - |t|) \left[\frac{2\pi i t e(-\alpha t)}{1 - e(-t)} - e(-\alpha t) \frac{2\pi i t \cos 2\pi Kt}{1 - e(-t)} \right] e(xt) dt \\ + \int_{-1}^1 |t| e(-\alpha t) e(xt) dt.$$

By the lemma of Riemann-Lebesgue we have

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{2\pi i t \cos 2\pi Kt}{1 - e(-t)} e(xt) dt = 0.$$

Since $\{H_{0,K}(x, \alpha)\}_{K \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of entire functions that converges to $H_0(x, \alpha)$ uniformly on any compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$, the sequence of derivatives $\{H'_{0,K}(x, \alpha)\}_{K \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $H'_0(x, \alpha)$ uniformly on any compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Thus

$$\frac{1}{2}H'_0(x, \alpha) = \int_{-1}^1 \left[(1 - |t|) \frac{2\pi i t e(-\alpha t)}{1 - e(-t)} + |t| e(-\{\alpha\}t) \right] e(xt) dt,$$

and using (25) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (42) \quad \mathcal{F}\left[\frac{1}{2}H'_0(x, \alpha)\right](t) &= (1 - |t|) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_k(\alpha)}{k!} (-2\pi i t)^k + |t| e(-\{\alpha\}t) \\ &= 1 + (1 - |t|) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_k(\alpha)}{k!} (-2\pi i t)^k + |t| (e(-\{\alpha\}t) - 1) \end{aligned}$$

for $|t| < 1$, and $\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{1}{2}H'_0(x, \alpha)\right](t) = 0$ for $|t| \geq 1$.

Now we can prove (22) and (39) by induction on n . The difference $\psi_{0,\alpha}(x) = H_0(x, \alpha) - \operatorname{sgn}(x)$ is absolutely integrable by Lemma 1, so its Fourier transform exists.

From

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e(-xt) d\psi_{0,\alpha}(x) = \mathcal{F}\left[\frac{1}{2}H'_0(x, \alpha)\right](t) - 1$$

we obtain with (42) and $2\pi i t \mathcal{F}f(t) = \mathcal{F}[f'](t)$ that for $|t| < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}\psi_{0,\alpha}(t) &= \frac{1}{\pi i t} \left(\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{1}{2}H'_0(x, \alpha)\right] - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi i t} \left((1 - |t|) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_k(\alpha)}{k!} (-2\pi i t)^k + |t| (e(-\{\alpha\}t) - 1) \right) \\ &= -2(1 - |t|) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_k(\alpha)}{k!} (-2\pi i t)^{k-1} + \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(t)}{\pi i} (e(-\{\alpha\}t) - 1), \end{aligned}$$

and this is (39) for $n = 0$. Moreover, for $|t| \geq 1$

$$\mathcal{F}\psi_{0,\alpha}(t) = \frac{1}{\pi i t} \left(\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{1}{2}H'_0(x, \alpha)\right] - 1 \right) = -\frac{1}{\pi i t},$$

and this is (22) for $n = 0$.

Induction step. Assume that (22) and (39) are true for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. From Definition 2 with n and $n + 1$ we obtain

$$(43) \quad H_{n+1}(z; \alpha) = zH_n(z; \alpha) + 2F(z - \alpha) \frac{B_{n+1}(\alpha) - \{\alpha\}B_n(\alpha)}{z - \{\alpha\}}$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Since by equation (39) the Fourier transforms of $\psi_{n,\alpha}$ and $\psi_{n+1,\alpha}$ exist, we obtain with (43) and (41) for $|t| < 1$

$$(44) \quad \mathcal{F}\psi_{n+1,\alpha}(t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}\psi_{n,\alpha}(t) + \frac{1}{\pi i} (B_{n+1}(\alpha) - \{\alpha\}B_n(\alpha)) \operatorname{sgn}(t) e(-\{\alpha\}t).$$

By the induction hypothesis, (39) holds for n , i.e. for $|t| < 1$

$$(45) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}\psi_{n,\alpha}(t) = & -2 \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{B_k(\alpha)}{(k-n)!} \left(\frac{k-n}{k} - |t| \right) (-2\pi it)^{k-n-1} \\ & + \frac{B_n(\alpha)}{\pi i} \operatorname{sgn}(t) (e(-\{\alpha\}t) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

For $k \geq n+2$

$$(46) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{k-n}{k} - |t| \right) t^{k-n-1} = (k-n) \left(\frac{k-n-1}{k} - |t| \right) t^{k-n-2}.$$

Inserting (45) in (44), splitting off the first term of the series, and applying (46) to the remaining part of the series, we obtain for $|t| < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}\psi_{n+1,\alpha}(t) = & -2 \sum_{k=n+2}^{\infty} \frac{B_k(\alpha)}{(k-n-1)!} \left(\frac{k-n-1}{k} - |t| \right) (-2\pi it)^{k-n-2} \\ & - \frac{B_{n+1}(\alpha) \operatorname{sgn}(t)}{\pi i} + \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(t) B_n(\alpha)}{(-2\pi i) \pi i} (-2\pi i \{\alpha\}) e(-\{\alpha\}t) \\ & + \frac{1}{\pi i} (B_{n+1}(\alpha) - \{\alpha\} B_n(\alpha)) \operatorname{sgn}(t) e(-\{\alpha\}t) \\ = & -2 \sum_{k=n+2}^{\infty} \frac{B_k(\alpha)}{(k-n-1)!} \left(\frac{k-n-1}{k} - |t| \right) (-2\pi it)^{k-n-2} \\ & + \frac{B_{n+1}(\alpha)}{\pi i} \operatorname{sgn}(t) (e(-\{\alpha\}t) - 1), \end{aligned}$$

and this is (39) for $n+1$.

Since the Fourier transform of $(x - \{\alpha\})^{-1} \sin^2 \pi(x - \alpha)$ equals zero outside the interval $[-1, 1]$, we have with (43) for $|t| \geq 1$

$$\mathcal{F}\psi_{n+1,\alpha}(t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}\psi_{n,\alpha}(t) = -\frac{2(n+1)!}{(2\pi it)^{n+2}},$$

and this is (22) for $n+1$. □

Proof of Lemma 3. Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and let $F_n \in E(2\pi)$ be a majorant for $\operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n$. Assume that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F_n(x) - \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n) dx < \infty.$$

Let $\psi_n(x) = F_n(x) - \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n$, and recall that $\psi_{n,\alpha}(x) = H_n(x; \alpha) - \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n$. Since $F_n(x) - H_n(x; \alpha)$ is an absolutely integrable function in $E(2\pi)$, we know by the Paley-Wiener Theorem that the support of its Fourier transform is a subset of $[-1, 1]$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}[F_n(x) - H_n(x, \alpha)](t) = 0 \text{ for } |t| \geq 1.$$

It follows from Lemma 2 that

$$(47) \quad \mathcal{F}\psi_n(t) = \mathcal{F}\psi_{n,\alpha}(t) = -\frac{2n!}{(2\pi it)^{n+1}} \text{ for } |t| \geq 1.$$

Now use (47), the Poisson summation formula and (29) to obtain that

$$(48) \quad 0 \leq \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_n(\ell+t) = \mathcal{F}\psi_n(0) - \frac{2}{n+1} \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{(n+1)!}{(2\pi i k)^{n+1}} e(kt) \\ = \mathcal{F}\psi_n(0) + \frac{2}{n+1} \mathcal{B}_{n+1}(t),$$

and since this has to hold for all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$(49) \quad \mathcal{F}\psi_n(0) \geq -\frac{2}{n+1} \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \mathcal{B}_{n+1}(t).$$

Similarly, with $\phi_n(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n - G_n(x)$

$$(50) \quad \mathcal{F}\phi_n(0) \geq \frac{2}{n+1} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \mathcal{B}_{n+1}(t).$$

Vaaler showed in Theorem 9 of [12] that any integrable function in $E(2\pi)$ is already uniquely determined by its values and the values of its first derivative at the integers, and he used this result to prove the case $n = 0$ of Lemma 3. We will use his argument.

Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ be such that $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(t)$ has its minimum on $[0, 1]$ at $t = \alpha$. If $F_n \in E(2\pi)$ is chosen such that F_n is a majorant of $\operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n$ with

$$\mathcal{F}\psi_n(0) = -\frac{2}{n+1} \mathcal{B}_{n+1}(\alpha),$$

then we have equality in (48) for $t = \alpha$. This means that

$$F(\alpha+k) = \operatorname{sgn}_+(\alpha+k)(\alpha+k)^n \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The same is true for $H_n(x; \alpha)$ by construction. If $\alpha = 0$ or 1 , let $n \geq 2$. Since both $F_n(x)$ and $H_n(x; \alpha)$ are majorants of $\operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n$, they must have the same derivatives at the numbers $\alpha+k$, namely $n \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha+k)(\alpha+k)^{n-1}$. From Theorem 9 of [12] we obtain

$$F_n(z) - H_n(z; \alpha) = 0$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. The computation for $G_n(z)$ follows along the same lines.

If $n = 0, 1$ and $\alpha = 0, 1$, then we cannot immediately conclude that $F_n(x)$ and $H_n(x; \alpha)$ have equal derivatives at $x = 0$. However, as in the proof of Theorem 8 in [12]

$$F_n(z) - H_n(z; \alpha) = (F'_n(0) - H'_n(0; \alpha))\pi^{-2}x^{-1}\sin^2 \pi z,$$

and since $x^{-1}\sin^2 \pi x$ is not integrable on the real line, we must have $F'_n(0) = H'_n(0; \alpha)$. Thus, $F_n(z) = H_n(z; \alpha)$ holds in this case as well. $\square$

6. PROOFS OF COROLLARIES 1 AND 2

Proof of Corollary 1. We will prove the statements about f_m and p_m of Corollary 1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By Theorem 1

$$\phi_{n, \alpha_n}(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n - H_n(x; \alpha_n) \geq 0,$$

by Lemma 1 the function is integrable on $\mathbb{R}$, and by Lemma 2

$$\mathcal{F}\phi_{n, \alpha_n}(t) = \frac{2 \cdot n!}{(2\pi i t)^{n+1}}$$

for $|t| \geq 1$. By the easy implication of Bochner's theorem, $\mathcal{F}\phi_{n, \alpha_n}$ is positive definite. Equation (21) of Lemma 2 yields the explicit representation of $\mathcal{F}\phi_{n, \alpha_n}(t)$

for $|t| < 1$. Note that the last term in (21) is equal to zero, since by definition one of the equations $B_n(\alpha_n) = 0$, $\alpha_n = 0$, or $\alpha_n = 1$ holds. Performing the substitution $m = n + 1$ yields the statements about f_m .

To verify the claims about p_m consider the function $p_{m,c} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ($c \in \mathbb{R}$) defined by

$$p_{m,c}(k) = \begin{cases} m!(2\pi ik)^{-m} & \text{if } k \neq 0 \\ c & \text{if } k = 0 \end{cases} \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

By (29)

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} p_{m,c}(k) e(kt) = c - \mathcal{B}_m(t),$$

and this is non-negative if, and only if,

$$c \geq \mathcal{B}_m(t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1].$$

We obtain, using Bochner's theorem, that $p_{m,c}$ is a positive definite function on $\mathbb{Z}$ if, and only if, $c \geq \max \mathcal{B}_m(t) = B_m(\alpha_{m-1})$, which shows that $p_m(0) = B_m(\alpha_{m-1})$ is the minimal value that gives rise to a positive extension of $p_m(k) = (2\pi ik)^{-m}$ ($k \neq 0$) to $\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, if $c < B_m(\alpha_{m-1})$, then there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$, numbers $a_\nu \in \mathbb{C}$, and distinct numbers $\lambda_\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(51) \quad \sum_{\nu, \mu=1}^N a_\nu \bar{a}_\mu f(\lambda_\nu - \lambda_\mu) = \sum_{\nu, \mu=1}^N a_\nu \bar{a}_\mu p_{m,c}(\lambda_\nu - \lambda_\mu) < 0,$$

which shows that the value $f_m(0) = B_m(\alpha_{m-1})$ of Corollary 1 is optimal as well.

The statements about g_m and q_m follow similarly by considering

$$\psi_{n,\beta_n}(x) = H_n(x; \beta_n) - \operatorname{sgn}(x)x^n$$

instead of ϕ_{n,α_n} . □

Proof of Corollary 2. We will prove the corollary for $\delta = 1$. The general case follows by noting that $|\lambda_\nu - \lambda_\mu| \geq \delta > 0$ implies $|\lambda_\nu/\delta - \lambda_\mu/\delta| \geq 1$.

From Corollary 1 (i) we obtain that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_\nu \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\lambda_\nu \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sum_{\nu, \mu=1}^N a_\nu \bar{a}_\mu f_m(\lambda_\nu - \lambda_\mu) \geq 0.$$

If we require additionally that $|\lambda_\nu - \lambda_\mu| \geq 1$ for all $\nu \neq \mu$, then after a multiplication by $m!^{-1}(2\pi)^m$ we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{\nu, \mu=1 \\ \nu \neq \mu}}^N a_\nu \bar{a}_\mu (i(\lambda_\nu - \lambda_\mu))^{-m} \geq -f_m(0) \frac{(2\pi)^m}{m!} \sum_{\nu=1}^N |a_\nu|^2.$$

This shows that the function $(it)^{-m}$ satisfies (9) with $L_1((it)^{-m})$ as in Corollary 2. The optimality of $L_1((it)^{-m})$ follows from (51). (Note that the set of integers $\{\lambda_\nu\}$ used in (51) obviously satisfies $|\lambda_\nu - \lambda_\mu| \geq 1$ for all $\nu \neq \mu$.)

The validity of $U_1((it)^{-m})$ is verified in the same way using g_m and q_m . □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Harold Diamond for many discussions and Jeffrey Vaaler for helpful suggestions concerning the construction of extremal functions.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz, I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of mathematical functions*, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series 55, June 1964. MR0167642 (29:4914)
- [2] R. P. Boas, *Entire Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1954. MR0068627 (16:914f)
- [3] S. W. Graham and J. D. Vaaler, *A class of extremal functions for the Fourier transform*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **265** (1981), 283–302. MR0607121 (82i:42008)
- [4] J. J. Holt, *A class of extremal functions and trigonometric polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory **88** (1997), 275–303. MR1432575 (98j:42002)
- [5] J. Korevaar, *A century of complex Tauberian theory*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **39** (October 2002), 475–532. MR1920279 (2003g:40004)
- [6] D. H. Lehmer, *On the maxima and minima of Bernoulli polynomials*, Amer. Math. Monthly **47** (1940), 533–538. MR0002378 (2:43a)
- [7] L. M. Milne-Thomson, *The Calculus of Finite Differences*, MacMillan and Co., London 1933. MR0043339 (13:245c) (review of 1951 reprint)
- [8] H. Montgomery, *The analytic principle of the large sieve*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **84** (4) (July 1978), 547–567. MR0466048 (57:5931)
- [9] H. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, *Hilbert’s inequality*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **8** (1974), 73–81. MR0337775 (49:2544)
- [10] N. E. Nörlund, *Vorlesungen über Differenzenrechnung*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York 1954.
- [11] A. Selberg, *Lectures on sieves*, Collected Papers II, Springer-Verlag, New York 1991. MR1295844 (95g:01032)
- [12] J. D. Vaaler, *Some extremal functions in Fourier analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (April 1985), 183–216. MR0776471 (86g:42005)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY, FARGO, NORTH DAKOTA 58105-5075

E-mail address: Friedrich.Littmann@ndsu.edu