MASS CONCENTRATION PHENOMENA FOR THE L^2 -CRITICAL NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

PASCAL BÉGOUT AND ANA VARGAS

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we show that any solution of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation $iu_t + \Delta u \pm |u|^{\frac{4}{N}}u = 0$, which blows up in finite time, satisfies a mass concentration phenomena near the blow-up time. Our proof is essentially based on Bourgain's (1998), which has established this result in the bidimensional spatial case, and on a generalization of Strichartz's inequality, where the bidimensional spatial case was proved by Moyua, Vargas and Vega (1999). We also generalize to higher dimensions the results in Keraani (2006) and Merle and Vega (1998).

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and let $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{4}{N}$. It is well-known that for any $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exists a unique maximal solution

$$u \in C((-T_{\min}, T_{\max}); L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^{\frac{4(\alpha+2)}{N\alpha}}((-T_{\min}, T_{\max}); L^{\alpha+2}(\mathbb{R}^N))$$

of

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} i \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \Delta u + \gamma |u|^\alpha u = 0, & (t, x) \in (-T_{\min}, T_{\max}) \times \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(0) = u_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

satisfying the conservation of charge, that is, for any $t \in (-T_{\min}, T_{\max})$, $\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$. The solution u also satisfies the following Duhamel's formula:

$$(1.2) \quad \forall t \in (-T_{\min}, T_{\max}), \quad u(t) = \mathcal{T}(t)u_0 + i\gamma \int_0^t (\mathcal{T}(t-s)\{|u|^\alpha u\})(s)ds,$$

where we design by $(\mathcal{T}(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ the group of isometries $(e^{it\Delta})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ generated by $i\Delta$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{C})$. Moreover u is maximal in the following sense. If $\alpha < \frac{4}{N}$, then $T_{\max} = T_{\min} = \infty$, if $\alpha = \frac{4}{N}$ and if $T_{\max} < \infty$, then

$$\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}((0, T_{\max}); L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R}^N))} = \infty,$$

Received by the editors July 20, 2005.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35B05, 35B33 35B40, 35Q55, 42B10.

Key words and phrases. Schrödinger equations, restriction theorems, Strichartz's estimate, blow-up.

This research was partially supported by the European network HPRN-CT-2001-00273-HARP (*Harmonic analysis and related problems*). The second author was also supported by Grant MTM2004-00678 of the MEC (Spain).

and if $\alpha = \frac{4}{N}$ and $T_{\min} < \infty$, then $\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}((-T_{\min}, 0); L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R}^N))} = \infty$ (see Cazenave and Weissler [6] and Tsutsumi [25], also Cazenave [5], Corollary 4.6.5 and Section 4.7). Now, assume that $\alpha = \frac{4}{N}$. It is well-known that if $\|u_0\|_{L^2}$ is small enough, then $T_{\max} = T_{\min} = \infty$, whereas if $\gamma > 0$, then there exists some $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $T_{\max} < \infty$ and $T_{\min} < \infty$. For example, it is sufficient to choose $u_0 = \lambda\varphi$, where $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^2(|x|^2; dx)$, $\varphi \not\equiv 0$, and where $\lambda > 0$ is large enough (Glassey [11], Vlasov, Petrishev and Talanov [27], Cazenave and Weissler [6]).

In the case $\gamma > 0$, when blow-up in finite time occurs, a mass concentration phenomena was observed near the blow-up time (see Theorem 2 in Merle and Tsutsumi [14] and Theorem 6.6.7 in Cazenave [5]), under the conditions that $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is spherically symmetric, $N \geq 2$ and $\gamma > 0$. Theorem 6.6.7 in Cazenave [5] asserts that if $T_{\max} < \infty$ for a solution u of equation (1.4) below, then for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$,

$$(1.3) \quad \liminf_{t \nearrow T_{\max}} \int_{B(0, (T_{\max}-t)^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})} |u(t, x)|^2 dx \geq \|Q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2,$$

where Q is the ground state, i.e., the unique positive solution of $\Delta Q - Q + |Q|^{4/N} Q = 0$ (see Merle and Tsutsumi [14], Tsutsumi [25]). The proof uses the conservation of energy and the compactness property of radially symmetric functions lying in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The spherical symmetry assumption was relaxed by Nawa [18]; see also [12]. Later, it was proved that for data in H^s , for some $s < 1$, (1.3) holds. This was proved by Colliander, Raynor, Sulem and Wright [7] for dimension 2, and extended by Tzirakis [26] to dimension 1 and by Visan and Zhang [28] to general dimension.

In Bourgain [3], a mass concentration phenomena, estimate (1.5) below, is obtained for any $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\gamma \neq 0$, but in spatial dimension $N = 2$. Consider solutions of the following critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} i \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \Delta u + \gamma |u|^{\frac{4}{N}} u = 0, & (t, x) \in (-T_{\min}, T_{\max}) \times \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(0) = u_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is a given parameter. Bourgain showed, in the case $N = 2$ (see Theorem 1 in [3]), that if $u \in C((-T_{\min}, T_{\max}); L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$ is a solution of (1.4) with initial data $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ which blows-up in finite time $T_{\max} < \infty$, then

$$(1.5) \quad \limsup_{t \nearrow T_{\max}} \sup_{c \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B(c, C(T_{\max}-t)^{\frac{1}{2}})} |u(t, x)|^2 dx \geq \varepsilon,$$

where the constants C and ε depend continuously and only on $\|u_0\|_{L^2}$ and $|\gamma|$. The proof is based on a refinement of Strichartz's inequality for $N = 2$, due to Moyua, Vargas and Vega (see Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.4 in [17]).

Very recently, Keraani [13] showed for $N \in \{1, 2\}$ that there is some $\delta_0 > 0$, such that, under the same assumptions, if in addition $\|u_0\|_{L^2} < \sqrt{2}\delta_0$, then, for any $\lambda(t) > 0$, such that $\lambda(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow T_{\max}$,

$$(1.6) \quad \liminf_{t \nearrow T_{\max}} \sup_{c \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B(c, \lambda(t)(T_{\max}-t)^{\frac{1}{2}})} |u(t, x)|^2 dx \geq \delta_0^2.$$

Keraani's proof uses a linear profile decomposition that was shown in dimension $N = 2$ by Merle and Vega [15] and in dimension $N = 1$ by Carles and Keraani [4] (see Theorem 5.4 below for the precise statement). The proofs of the decompositions

are based on the above mentioned refinement of Strichartz's inequality by Moyua, Vargas and Vega and another one for the case $N = 1$ observed by Carles and Keraani [4]. In this paper, we generalize the refinement of Strichartz's inequality (see Theorem 1.4 below) in order to establish the higher dimensional versions of all these results. Our proofs (namely, those of Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 3.3) rely on the restriction theorems for paraboloids proved by T. Tao [22]. There is another minor technical point, because Strichartz's exponent $\frac{2N+4}{N}$ is not a natural number when the dimension $N \geq 3$, except $N = 4$. We have to deal with this little inconvenience which did not appear in $N \in \{1, 2\}$.

This paper is organized as follows. At the end of this section, we state the main results (Theorems 1.1 and 1.4) and give some notations which will be used throughout this paper. Section 2 is devoted to the proof of the refinement of Strichartz's inequality (Theorems 1.2–1.4). In Section 3, we establish some preliminary results in order to prove a mass concentration result in Section 4 (Proposition 4.1). We prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 is devoted to the generalization to higher dimensions of the results by Keraani [13] and Merle and Vega [15].

Throughout this paper, we use the following notation. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, p' denotes the conjugate of p defined by $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$; $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{C})$ is the usual

Lebesgue space. The Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^N$ is written $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = u_t$ is the

time derivative of the complex-valued function u . For $c \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $R \in (0, \infty)$, we denote by $B(c, R) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; |x - c| < R\}$ the open ball of $\mathbb{R}^N$ of center c and radius R . We design by $\mathcal{C}$ the set of half-closed cubes in $\mathbb{R}^N$. So $\tau \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if

there exist $(a_1, \dots, a_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $R > 0$ such that $\tau = \prod_{j=1}^N [a_j, a_j + R)$. The length

of a side of $\tau \in \mathcal{C}$ is written $\ell(\tau) = R$. Given $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, we denote by $|A|$ its Lebesgue measure. Let $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j < k$. Then we denote $\llbracket j, k \rrbracket = [j, k] \cap \mathbb{N}$. We denote by

$\mathcal{F}$ the Fourier transform in $\mathbb{R}^N$ defined by ${}^1 \hat{u}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}u(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-2i\pi x \cdot \xi} u(x) dx$,

and by $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ its inverse given by $\mathcal{F}^{-1}u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{2i\pi \xi \cdot x} u(\xi) d\xi$. C are auxiliary positive constants and $C(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ indicates that the constant C depends only on positive parameters $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ and that the dependence is continuous.

Finally, we recall Strichartz's estimates (Stein–Tomas Theorem) (see Stein [20], Strichartz [21] and Tomas [24]). Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval, let $t_0 \in \bar{I}$ and let $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$.

Set for any $t \in I$, $\Phi_u(t) = i\gamma \int_{t_0}^t (\mathcal{T}(t-s)\{|u|^{\frac{4}{N}}u\})(s)ds$. Then we have

$$(1.7) \quad \|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)u_0\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_0 \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

$$(1.8) \quad \|\Phi_u\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(I \times \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_1 \|u\|_{L^{\frac{N+4}{N}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)},$$

where $C_0 = C_0(N) > 0$ and $C_1 = C_1(N, |\gamma|) > 0$. For more details, see Ginibre and Velo [10] (Lemma 3.1) and Cazenave and Weissler [6] (Lemma 3.1), also Cazenave [5] (Theorem 2.3.3). The main results of this paper are the following.

¹With this definition of the Fourier transform, $\|\mathcal{F}u\|_{L^2} = \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}u\|_{L^2} = \|u\|_{L^2}$, $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \text{Id}_{L^2}$, $\mathcal{F}(u * v) = \mathcal{F}u\mathcal{F}v$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(u * v) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}u\mathcal{F}^{-1}v$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, let $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ and let*

$$u \in C((-T_{\min}, T_{\max}); L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}((-T_{\min}, T_{\max}); L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R}^N))$$

be the maximal solution of (1.4) such that $u(0) = u_0$. There exists

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, N, |\gamma|) > 0$$

satisfying the following property. If $T_{\max} < \infty$, then

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T_{\max}} \sup_{c \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B(c, (T_{\max}-t)^{\frac{1}{2}})} |u(t, x)|^2 dx \geq \varepsilon,$$

and if $T_{\min} < \infty$, then

$$\limsup_{t \searrow -T_{\min}} \sup_{c \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B(c, (T_{\min}+t)^{\frac{1}{2}})} |u(t, x)|^2 dx \geq \varepsilon.$$

By keeping track of the constants through the proofs, it can be shown that $\varepsilon = C(N, |\gamma|) \|u_0\|_{L^2}^{-m}$ for some $m > 0$ (this was pointed out by J. Colliander). Notice that no hypothesis on the attractivity on the nonlinearity (that is, on the γ sign) on the spatial dimension N and on the smoothness on the initial data u_0 are made.

For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we break up $\mathbb{R}^N$ into dyadic cubes $\tau_k^j = \prod_{m=1}^N [k_m 2^{-j}, (k_m+1) 2^{-j})$, where $k = (k_1, \dots, k_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ with $\ell(\tau_k^j) = 2^{-j}$. Define $f_k^j(x) = f \chi_{\tau_k^j}(x)$. Let $1 \leq p < 2$ and let $1 \leq q < \infty$. We define the spaces

$$X_{p,q} = \{f \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^N); \|f\|_{X_{p,q}} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{X_{p,q}} = \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j \frac{N}{2} \frac{2-p}{p} q} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \|f_k^j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Then $(X_{p,q}, \|\cdot\|_{X_{p,q}})$ is a Banach space and the set of functions $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with compact support is dense in $X_{p,q}$ for the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X_{p,q}}$.

We prove the following improvement of Strichartz's (Stein–Tomas's) inequality.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $q = \frac{2(N+2)}{N}$ and $p < 2$ be such that $\frac{1}{p'} > \frac{N+3}{N+1} \frac{1}{q}$. For every function g , such that $\widehat{g} \in X_{p,q}$, we have*

$$(1.9) \quad \|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} \leq C \|\widehat{g}\|_{X_{p,q}},$$

where $C = C(N, p)$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $q > 2$ and let $1 < p < 2$. Then there exists $\mu \in (0, \frac{1}{p})$ such that for every function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have*

$$(1.10) \quad \|f\|_{X_{p,q}} \leq C \left[\sup_{(j,k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^N} 2^{j \frac{N}{2} (2-p)} \int_{\tau_k^j} |f(x)|^p dx \right]^\mu \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{1-\mu p} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

where $C = C(p, q)$ and $\mu = \mu(p, q)$. In particular, $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow X_{p,q}$. Moreover, $X_{p,q} \neq L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

As a corollary we obtain the following improvement of Strichartz's (Stein–Tomas's) inequality.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $q = \frac{2(N+2)}{N}$ and let $p < 2$ be such that $\frac{1}{p'} > \frac{N+3}{N+1} \frac{1}{q}$. Then, there exists $\mu \in \left(0, \frac{1}{p}\right)$ such that for every function $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have*

(1.11)

$$\|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} \leq C \left[\sup_{(j,k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^N} 2^{j\frac{N}{2}(2-p)} \int_{\tau_k^j} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^p d\xi \right]^\mu \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{1-\mu p} \leq C \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

where $C = C(N, p)$ and $\mu = \mu(N, p)$.

Remark 1.5 (See Bourgain [3] (p.262–263)). By Hölder's inequality, if $1 < p < 2$, then, for any $(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^N$,

$$\left[2^{j\frac{N}{2}(2-p)} \int_{\tau_k^j} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^p d\xi \right]^{1/p} \leq \left[2^{j\frac{N}{2}} \int_{\tau_k^j} |\widehat{g}(\xi)| d\xi \right]^\theta \|\widehat{g}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{1-\theta} \leq \|g\|_{B_{2,\infty}^0}^\theta \|\widehat{g}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{1-\theta},$$

for some $0 < \theta < 1$. Therefore, it follows from our Strichartz's refinement, Theorem 1.4, that the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall M > 0, \exists \eta > 0 \text{ such that if } \|u_0\|_{L^2} \leq M \text{ and } \|u_0\|_{B_{2,\infty}^0} < \eta, \\ \text{then } T_{\max} = T_{\min} = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where u is the corresponding solution of (1.4). Furthermore,

$$u \in L^{2\frac{N+2}{N}}(\mathbb{R}; L^{2\frac{N+2}{N}}(\mathbb{R}^N))$$

and there exists a scattering state in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The same result holds if the condition $\|u_0\|_{B_{2,\infty}^0} < \eta$ is replaced by

$$\sup_{(j,k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^N} 2^{j\frac{N}{2}(2-p)} \int_{\tau_k^j} |u_0(x)|^p dx < \eta',$$

for a suitable η' .

Very recently, K. Rogers and A. Vargas [19] have proved, for the nonelliptic cubic Schrödinger equation $i\partial_t u + \partial_{x_1}^2 u - \partial_{x_2}^2 u + \gamma|u|^2 u = 0$ in dimension 2, some results analogous to Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4.

2. STRICHARTZ'S REFINEMENT

We recall that $\mathcal{T}(t)g = K_t * g$, where $K_t(x) = (4\pi it)^{-\frac{N}{2}} e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}$ and that $\widehat{K_t}(\xi) = e^{-i4\pi^2|\xi|^2 t}$. Using that for any $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\mathcal{T}(t)g = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{K_t}\widehat{g})$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad (\mathcal{T}(t)g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{2i\pi(x \cdot \xi - 2\pi t|\xi|^2)} \widehat{g}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Let $S = \{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N; \tau = -2\pi|\xi|^2\}$, let $d\sigma(|\xi|^2, \xi) = d\xi$ and let f be defined on S by $f(\tau, \xi) = f(-2\pi|\xi|^2, \xi) = \widehat{g}(\xi)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad (\mathcal{T}(t)g)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(-2\pi|\xi|^2, \xi) e^{2i\pi(x \cdot \xi - 2\pi t|\xi|^2)} d\xi \\ &= \iint_S f(\tau, \xi) e^{2i\pi(t\tau + x \cdot \xi)} d\sigma(\tau, \xi) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(fd\sigma)(t, x). \end{aligned}$$

Our main tool will be the following bilinear restriction estimate proved by Tao [22]. We adapt the statements to our notation using the equivalence (2.2).

Theorem 2.1 (Theorem 1.1 in [22]). *Let Q, Q' be cubes of sidelength 1 in $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that*

$$\min\{d(x, y); x \in Q, y \in Q'\} \sim 1$$

and $\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}$ functions supported in Q and Q' respectively. Then, for all $r > \frac{N+3}{N+1}$ and $p \geq 2$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)f\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} \leq C\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^p(Q)}\|\widehat{g}\|_{L^p(Q')},$$

with a constant C independent of f, g, Q, Q' .

By interpolation with the trivial estimate

$$\|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)f\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} \leq C\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^1(Q)}\|\widehat{g}\|_{L^1(Q')} \leq C\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^p(Q)}\|\widehat{g}\|_{L^p(Q')},$$

for all $p \geq 1$, one obtains

Theorem 2.2 ([22]). *Let Q, Q' be cubes of sidelength 1 in $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that*

$$\min\{d(x, y); x \in Q, y \in Q'\} \sim 1$$

and $\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}$ functions supported in Q and Q' respectively. Then, for all $r > \frac{N+3}{N+1}$ and for all p such that $\frac{2}{p'} > \frac{N+3}{N+1} \frac{1}{r}$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)f\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} \leq C\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\|\widehat{g}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

with a constant C independent of f, g, Q, Q' .

By rescaling, and taking $r = \frac{N+2}{N}$, we obtain the following.

Corollary 2.3. *Let τ, τ' be cubes of sidelength 2^{-j} such that*

$$\min\{d(x, y); x \in \tau, y \in \tau'\} \sim 2^{-j}$$

and $\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}$ functions supported in τ and τ' respectively. Then, for $r = \frac{N+2}{N}$ and for all p such that $\frac{2}{p'} > \frac{N+3}{N+1} \frac{1}{r}$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)f\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} \leq C2^{jN\frac{2-p}{p}}\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\|\widehat{g}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

with a constant C independent of f, g, τ and τ' .

We will need to use the orthogonality of functions with disjoint support. More precisely, the following lemma, a proof of which can be found, for instance, in Tao, Vargas, Vega [23], Lemma 6.1.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $(R_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a collection of rectangles in frequency space and $c > 0$, such that the dilates $(1+c)R_k$ are almost disjoint (i.e. $\sum_k \chi_{(1+c)R_k} \leq C$), and suppose that $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a collection of functions whose Fourier transforms are supported on R_k . Then for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we have*

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f_k \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(N, c) \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|f_k\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}},$$

where $p^ = \min(p, p')$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We set $r = \frac{q}{2} = \frac{N+2}{N}$. We can assume that the support of $\widehat{g}$ is contained in the unit square. The general result follows by scaling and density. For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we decompose $\mathbb{R}^N$ into dyadic cubes τ_k^j of sidelength 2^{-j} . Given a dyadic cube τ_k^j we will say that it is the “parent” of the 2^N dyadic cubes of sidelength 2^{-j-1} contained in it. We write $\tau_k^j \sim \tau_{k'}^j$ if $\tau_k^j, \tau_{k'}^j$ are not adjacent but have adjacent parents. For each $j \geq 0$, write $g = \sum g_k^j$ where $\widehat{g}_k^j(\xi) = \widehat{g}\chi_{\tau_k^j}(\xi)$. Denote by Γ the diagonal of $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$, $\Gamma = \{(x, x); x \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$. We have the following decomposition (of Whitney type) of $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Gamma$ (see Figure 1):

$$(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \Gamma = \bigcup_j \bigcup_{k, k'; \tau_k^j \sim \tau_{k'}^j} \tau_k^j \times \tau_{k'}^j.$$

FIGURE 1. $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(t)g(x) \mathcal{T}(t)g(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{2i\pi(x \cdot \xi - 2\pi t|\xi|^2)} \widehat{g}(\xi) e^{2i\pi(x \cdot \eta - 2\pi t|\eta|^2)} \widehat{g}(\eta) d\xi d\eta \\ &= \sum_j \sum_k \sum_{k'; \tau_k^j \sim \tau_{k'}^j} \int_{\tau_k^j} \int_{\tau_{k'}^j} e^{2i\pi(x \cdot \xi - 2\pi t|\xi|^2)} \widehat{g}(\xi) e^{2i\pi(x \cdot \eta - 2\pi t|\eta|^2)} \widehat{g}(\eta) d\xi d\eta \\ &= \sum_j \sum_k \sum_{k'; \tau_k^j \sim \tau_{k'}^j} \mathcal{T}(t)g_k^j \mathcal{T}(t)g_{k'}^j \end{aligned}$$

(see also Tao, Vargas and Vega [23]). Thus,

$$\|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g\|_{L^{2r}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}^2 = \|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} = \left\| \sum_j \sum_{\substack{k, k': \\ \tau_k^j \sim \tau_{k'}^j}} \mathcal{T}(\cdot)g_k^j \mathcal{T}(\cdot)g_{k'}^j \right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}.$$

For each $k = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N)$, the support of the $(N+1)$ -dimensional Fourier transform of $\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g_k^j$ is contained in the set $\tilde{\tau}_k^j = \{(-2\pi|\xi|^2, \xi); \xi \in \tau_k^j\}$. Hence the support

of the Fourier transform of $\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g_k^j\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g_{k'}^j$ is contained in

$$\tilde{\tau}_k^j + \tilde{\tau}_{k'}^j = \{(-2\pi(|\xi|^2 + |\xi'|^2), \xi + \xi'); \xi \in \tau_k^j, \xi' \in \tau_{k'}^j\}.$$

Using the identity $|\xi|^2 + |\xi'|^2 = \frac{1}{2}|\xi + \xi'|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\xi - \xi'|^2$ we see that $\tilde{\tau}_k^j + \tilde{\tau}_{k'}^j$ is contained in the set $H_{j,k} = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} : |a - 2^{-j+1}k| \leq C2^{-j}, 2^{-2j} \leq -|a|^2 - \frac{b}{\pi} \leq 3N2^{-2j}\}$. Note that

$$\sum_j \sum_k \sum_{k'; \tau_k^j \sim \tau_{k'}^j} \chi_{H_{j,k}} \leq C(N).$$

Hence, the functions $\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g_k^j\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g_{k'}^j$ are almost orthogonal in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$. A similar orthogonality condition was the key in the proof of the L^4 -boundedness of the Bochner–Riesz multipliers given by A. Córdoba [8] (see also Tao, Vargas and Vega [23]) and implicitly appears in Bourgain [2], Moyua, Vargas and Vega [16, 17]. But we need something more, since we are not working in L^2 and we want to apply Lemma 2.4. For $M = 2[\ln(N+1)]$, we decompose each τ_j^k into dyadic subcubes of sidelength 2^{-j-M} . Consequently, we have a corresponding decomposition of $\tau_k^j \times \tau_{k'}^j$ and of $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$, as follows: set $\mathcal{D}$ the family of multi-indices $(m, m', \ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^N \times \mathbb{Z}^N \times \mathbb{Z}$, so that there exists some $\tau_k^{\ell-M}$ and $\tau_{k'}^{\ell-M}$ with $\tau_m^\ell \subset \tau_k^{\ell-M}$, $\tau_{m'}^\ell \subset \tau_{k'}^{\ell-M}$ and $\tau_k^{\ell-M} \sim \tau_{k'}^{\ell-M}$ ($j = \ell - M$.) Then,

$$(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \Gamma = \bigcup_{\mathcal{D}} \tau_m^\ell \times \tau_{m'}^\ell.$$

Hence,

$$\|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g\|_{L^{2r}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}^2 = \|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} = \left\| \sum_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{T}(\cdot)g_m^\ell \mathcal{T}(\cdot)g_{m'}^\ell \right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}.$$

Notice that if $(m, m', \ell) \in \mathcal{D}$, then the distance between τ_m^ℓ and $\tau_{m'}^\ell$ is bigger than $2^{-\ell+M} \geq N2^{-\ell}$, and smaller than $\sqrt{N}2^{-\ell+M}$. We **claim** that there are rectangles $R_{m,m',\ell}$, and $c = c(N)$, so that $\tilde{\tau}_m^\ell \times \tilde{\tau}_{m'}^\ell \subset R_{m,m',\ell}$ and $\sum_{\mathcal{D}} \chi_{(1+c)R_{m,m',\ell}} \leq C(N)$. We postpone the proof of this claim to the end of the proof. Assuming that it holds, and by Lemma 2.4, since $r < 2$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{T}(\cdot)g_m^\ell \mathcal{T}(\cdot)g_{m'}^\ell \right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} \leq C(N) \left[\sum_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g_m^\ell \mathcal{T}(\cdot)g_{m'}^\ell\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}^r \right]^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Now use Corollary 2.3 to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\sum_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g_m^\ell \mathcal{T}(\cdot)g_{m'}^\ell\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}^r \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ & \leq C(N, p) \left[\sum_{\ell} \sum_m \sum_{m'; (m, m', \ell) \in \mathcal{D}} 2^{\ell N r \frac{2-p}{p}} \|\widehat{g}_m^\ell\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^r \|\widehat{g}_{m'}^\ell\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^r \right]^{\frac{1}{r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for each (m, ℓ) there are at most $4^N 2^{MN}$ indices m' such that $(m, m', \ell) \in \mathcal{D}$. Hence,

$$\left[\sum_{\ell} \sum_m \sum_{m'; (m, m', \ell) \in \mathcal{D}} 2^{\ell N r \frac{2-p}{p}} \|\widehat{g}_m^{\ell}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^r \|\widehat{g}_{m'}^{\ell}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^r \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ \leq C(N) \left[\sum_{\ell} \sum_m 2^{\ell N r \frac{2-p}{p}} \|\widehat{g}_m^{\ell}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2r} \right]^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

FIGURE 2. $H_{m,m',\ell} \subset R_{m,m',\ell}$

We still have to justify the claim. Assume, for the sake of simplicity that

$$\tau_m^{\ell} \times \tau_{m'}^{\ell} \subset \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_i \geq 0 \ \forall i \in [1, N]\}.$$

Then $\tilde{\tau}_m^{\ell} \times \tilde{\tau}_{m'}^{\ell}$ is contained on a set $H_{m,m',\ell} = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} : a = (m + m')2^{-\ell} + v, v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N), 0 \leq v_i \leq 2^{-\ell+1}, 2^{-2\ell+2M} \leq -|a|^2 - \frac{b}{\pi} \leq 3N2^{-2\ell+2M}\}$. Consider the paraboloid defined by $-|a|^2 - \frac{b}{\pi} = 2^{-2\ell+2M}$. Take $\Pi_{m,m',\ell}$ to be the tangent hyperplane to this paraboloid at the point of coordinates (a_0, b_0) , with $a_0 = (m + m')2^{-\ell}$, $b_0 = -\pi|a_0|^2 - 2^{-2\ell+2M}$ (and passing through that point). Also consider the point (a_1, b_1) with $a_1 = a_0 + (2^{-\ell+1}, 2^{-\ell+1}, \dots, 2^{-\ell+1})$ and $b_1 = -\pi|a_1|^2 - 3N2^{-2\ell+2M}$. Then, the rectangle $R_{m,m',\ell}$ is defined as the only rectangle having a face contained in that hyperplane and the points (a_0, b_0) , and (a_1, b_1) as opposite vertices. Due to the convexity of paraboloids, it follows that $H_{m,m',\ell} \subset R_{m,m',\ell}$ (see Figure 2). Moreover, one can also see that, for small $c = c(N)$, $(1+c)R_{m,m',\ell} \subset \{(a, b) : a = (m + m')2^{-\ell} + v, v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N), |v_i| \leq C(N)2^{-\ell+1}, C'(N)2^{-2\ell+2M} \leq -|a|^2 - \frac{b}{\pi} \leq C''(N)2^{-2\ell+2M}\}$. Therefore, we have $\sum_{\mathcal{D}} \chi_{(1+c)R_{m,m',\ell}} \leq C(N)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Notice first that the second inequality follows from Hölder's. By homogeneity, we can assume that $\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 1$. Then, it suffices to show that

for any function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 1$,

$$\sum_j \sum_k 2^{j \frac{N}{2} \frac{2-p}{p} q} \left(\int_{\tau_k^j} |f|^p \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \leq C(p, q) \left[\sup_{j,k} \left\{ 2^{j \frac{N}{2} \frac{2-p}{p}} \left(\int_{\tau_k^j} |f|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\} \right]^\alpha,$$

where $\alpha = \mu p q$ and where μ has to be determined. Take α and β such that $\frac{2}{q} < \beta < 1$, $\beta > \frac{p}{2}$ and $\alpha + q\beta = q$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_j \sum_k 2^{j \frac{N}{2} \frac{2-p}{p} q} \left(\int_{\tau_k^j} |f|^p \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\ & \leq \left\{ \sum_j \sum_k 2^{j \frac{N}{2} \frac{2-p}{p} \beta q} \left(\int_{\tau_k^j} |f|^p \right)^{\beta \frac{q}{p}} \right\} \sup_{j,k} \left[2^{j \frac{N}{2} \frac{2-p}{p}} \left(\int_{\tau_k^j} |f|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

We set $\mu = \frac{\alpha}{pq} = \frac{1-\beta}{p} \in (0, \frac{1}{p})$. Hence, it is enough to show

$$\sum_j \sum_k 2^{j \frac{N}{2} \frac{2-p}{p} \beta q} \left(\int_{\tau_k^j} |f|^p \right)^{\beta \frac{q}{p}} \leq C(p, q).$$

We split the sum

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_j \sum_k 2^{j \frac{N}{2} \frac{2-p}{p} \beta q} \left(\int_{\tau_k^j} |f|^p \right)^{\beta \frac{q}{p}} \\ & \leq C \sum_j \sum_k 2^{j \frac{N}{2} \frac{2-p}{p} \beta q} \left(\int_{\tau_k^j \cap \{|f| > 2^{jN/2}\}} |f|^p \right)^{\beta \frac{q}{p}} \\ & \quad + C \sum_j \sum_k 2^{j \frac{N}{2} \frac{2-p}{p} \beta q} \left(\int_{\tau_k^j \cap \{|f| \leq 2^{jN/2}\}} |f|^p \right)^{\beta \frac{q}{p}} \stackrel{\text{not}}{=} C(A + B), \end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(p, q)$. We study the first term. Set for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f^j = f \chi_{\{|f| > 2^{jN/2}\}}$. Then,

$$A = \sum_j \sum_k \left(2^{j \frac{N}{2} (2-p)} \int_{\tau_k^j} |f^j|^p \right)^{\beta \frac{q}{p}}.$$

Since $\beta q > 2$, we also have $\beta \frac{q}{p} > 1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} A & \leq \left(\sum_j \sum_k 2^{j \frac{N}{2} (2-p)} \int_{\tau_k^j} |f^j|^p \right)^{\beta \frac{q}{p}} = \left(\sum_j 2^{j \frac{N}{2} (2-p)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f^j|^p \right)^{\beta \frac{q}{p}} \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f|^p \sum_{\{j; |f| > 2^{jN/2}\}} 2^{j \frac{N}{2} (2-p)} \right)^{\beta \frac{q}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $2 - p > 0$, we can sum the series and obtain

$$A \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f|^p |f|^{(2-p)} \right)^{\beta \frac{q}{p}} \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f|^2 \right)^{\beta \frac{q}{p}} \leq C,$$

by our assumption that $\|f\|_{L^2} = 1$. We now estimate B . Set for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f_j = f\chi_{\{|f| \leq 2^{jN/2}\}}$. Then,

$$B = \sum_j \sum_k 2^{j\frac{N}{2}\frac{2-p}{p}\beta q} \left(\int_{\tau_k^j} |f_j|^p \right)^{\beta \frac{q}{p}}.$$

We use Hölder's inequality with exponents $\frac{\beta q}{p}$ and $\frac{\beta q}{\beta q - p}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B &\leq \sum_j \sum_k 2^{j\frac{N}{2}\frac{2-p}{p}\beta q} \int_{\tau_k^j} |f_j|^{\beta q} \left(|\tau_k^j|^{\frac{\beta q - p}{\beta q}} \right)^{\beta \frac{q}{p}} \\ &= \sum_j \sum_k 2^{j\frac{N}{2}\frac{2-p}{p}\beta q} \int_{\tau_k^j} |f_j|^{\beta q} \left(2^{-jN\frac{\beta q - p}{\beta q}} \right)^{\beta \frac{q}{p}} \\ &= \sum_j \sum_k 2^{jN(1-\beta\frac{q}{2})} \int_{\tau_k^j} |f_j|^{\beta q} = \sum_j 2^{jN(1-\beta\frac{q}{2})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f_j|^{\beta q} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f|^{\beta q} \sum_{\{j; |f| \leq 2^{jN/2}\}} 2^{jN(1-\beta\frac{q}{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 - \beta\frac{q}{2} < 0$, we sum the series to obtain

$$B \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f|^{\beta q} |f|^{(2-\beta q)} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f|^2 \leq C,$$

since $\|f\|_{L^2} = 1$.

We give an example to show that $X_{p,q} \neq L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Let

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{N}{2}} |\ln |x||^{\frac{1}{2}}} \chi_{(0, \frac{1}{2})^N}.$$

Then for any $1 \leq p < 2$ and any $q > 2$, $f \in X_{p,q}$ but $f \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. $\square$

3. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this and the next section, we follow Bourgain's arguments ([3]). We have to modify them in the proof of Lemma 3.3, because Strichartz's exponent is not, in general, a natural number.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, such that*

$$\|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)f\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)} \geq \varepsilon,$$

there exist $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N_0 \leq C(\|f\|_{L^2}, N, \varepsilon)$, $(A_n)_{1 \leq n \leq N_0} \subset (0, \infty)$ and

$$(f_n)_{1 \leq n \leq N_0} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

satisfying the following properties:

- (1) $\forall n \in \llbracket 1, N_0 \rrbracket$, $\text{supp } \widehat{f_n} \subset \tau_n$, where $\tau_n \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\ell(\tau_n) \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^c \varepsilon^{-\nu} A_n$, and where the constants C , c and ν are positive and depend only on N .
- (2) $\forall n \in \llbracket 1, N_0 \rrbracket$, $|\widehat{f_n}| < A_n^{-\frac{N}{2}}$.
- (3) $\|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)f - \sum_{n=1}^{N_0} \mathcal{T}(\cdot)f_n\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)} < \varepsilon$.
- (4) $\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{N_0} \|f_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \|f - \sum_{n=1}^{N_0} f_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2$.

The proof relies on the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $\|T(\cdot)g\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)} \geq \varepsilon$.*

Then there exist $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $A > 0$ satisfying the following properties:

- (1) $\text{supp } \widehat{h} \subset \tau$, where $\tau \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\ell(\tau) \leq C\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-\nu} A$, and where the constants C , c and ν depend only on N .
- (2) $|\widehat{h}| \leq A^{-\frac{N}{2}}$ and $\|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \geq C\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-a} \varepsilon^b$, where the constants C , a and b depend only on N .
- (3) $\|g - h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 = \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2$.

Proof. We distinguish 3 cases.

Case 1. $\text{supp } \widehat{g} \subset [-1, 1]^N$. Then the function h will also satisfy $\text{supp } \widehat{h} \subset \tau \subset [-1, 1]^N$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let g be as in Lemma 3.2 such that $\text{supp } \widehat{g} \subset [-1, 1]^N$. It follows from Theorem 1.4 that

$$\varepsilon \leq \|T(\cdot)g\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{1-\mu p} \left[\sup_{(j,k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^N} 2^{j\frac{N}{2}(2-p)} \int_{\tau_k^j} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^p d\xi \right]^\mu.$$

So there exist $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{C}$, with $\tau \subset [-1, 1]^N$ and $\ell(\tau) = 2^{-j}$, such that

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\tau} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^p d\xi \geq C(\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\mu p-1} \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} 2^{-j\frac{N}{2}(2-p)}.$$

Let $M = \left((C\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\mu(p-2)-1} \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} 2^{-j\frac{N}{2}(2-p)-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}$, where C is the constant in (3.1).

Then by Plancherel's Theorem,

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\tau \cap \{|\widehat{g}| \geq M\}} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^p d\xi &= M^{p-2} \int_{\tau \cap \{|\widehat{g}| \geq M\}} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^p M^{2-p} d\xi \\ &\leq M^{p-2} \int |\widehat{g}|^p |\widehat{g}|^{2-p} = M^{p-2} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.1)–(3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau \cap \{|\widehat{g}| < M\}} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^p d\xi &= \int_{\tau} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^p d\xi - \int_{\tau \cap \{|\widehat{g}| \geq M\}} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^p d\xi \\ &\geq (C\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\mu p-1} \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} 2^{-j\frac{N}{2}(2-p)} - M^{p-2} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \\ &\geq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\mu}} 2^{-j\frac{N}{2}(2-p)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-\frac{1-\mu p}{\mu}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality and the above estimate, we get

$$C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\mu}} 2^{-j\frac{N}{2}(2-p)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-\frac{1-\mu p}{\mu}} \leq \int_{\tau \cap \{|\widehat{g}| < M\}} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^p d\xi \leq \left(\int_{\tau \cap \{|\widehat{g}| < M\}} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} |\tau|^{\frac{2-p}{2}}.$$

Since $|\tau| = 2^{-jN}$, we then obtain,

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\tau \cap \{|\widehat{g}| < M\}} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \geq C\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-\frac{2(1-\mu p)}{\mu p}} \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{\mu p}}.$$

Let $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $\widehat{h} = \widehat{g}\chi_{\tau \cap \{|\widehat{g}| < M\}}$ and let $A = M^{-\frac{2}{N}}$. Then $\text{supp } \widehat{h} \subset \tau \subset [-1, 1]^N$ with $\ell(\tau) = 2^{-j} = C\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\frac{2\mu(2-p)+2}{N\mu(2-p)}} \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{N\mu(2-p)}} A$. So we have (1), and (2) follows from (3.3). Since $\widehat{h}$ and $\widehat{g} - \widehat{h}$ have disjoint supports, (3) follows.

Case 2. $\text{supp } \widehat{g} \subset [-M, M]^N$ for some $M > 0$. Then h will also satisfy $\text{supp } \widehat{h} \subset \tau \subset [-M, M]^N$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let g be as in Lemma 3.2 such that $\text{supp } \widehat{g} \subset [-M, M]^N$ for some $M > 0$. Let $g' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $\widehat{g}'(\xi) = M^{\frac{N}{2}} \widehat{g}(M\xi)$. Then $\text{supp } \widehat{g}' \subset [-1, 1]^N$ and so we may apply Case 1 to g' . Thus there exist $h' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\tau' \in \mathcal{C}$ and $A' > 0$ satisfying (1)–(3). We define $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by $\widehat{h}(\xi) = M^{-\frac{N}{2}} \widehat{h}'\left(\frac{\xi}{M}\right)$. Then $\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|g'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ and $\|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|h'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$. In particular, the second part of (2) holds for g and h . Setting $\tau = M\tau'$, it follows that $\text{supp } \widehat{h} \subset \tau \subset [-M, M]^N$ and $\ell(\tau) = M\ell(\tau') \leq C\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^q \varepsilon^\nu M A'$. So h satisfies (1) with $A = M A'$. Finally, $|\widehat{h}| < M^{-\frac{N}{2}} A'^{-\frac{N}{2}} = A^{-\frac{N}{2}}$, which implies (2). Finally, (3) follows from the similar identity for $\widehat{g}'$ and $\widehat{h}'$.

Case 3. General case.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let g be as in Lemma 3.2. For $M > 0$, we define $u_M \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by $\widehat{u}_M = \widehat{g}\chi_{[-M, M]^N}$. It follows from Strichartz's estimate (1.7) and Plancherel's Theorem that

$$\|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)(u_M - g)\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C\|u_M - g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} = C\|\widehat{u}_M - \widehat{g}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \xrightarrow{M \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Then there exists $M_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)u_{M_0}\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Setting $g_0 = u_{M_0}$, we apply Case 2 to g_0 , obtaining h . Since $\|g_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$, properties (1) and (2) are clear for g and h . Also, property (3) holds for g and h , again because of the disjointness of supports. This achieves the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that

$$\|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)f\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)} \geq \varepsilon.$$

We apply Lemma 3.2 to f . Let $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\tau \in \mathcal{C}$, $A > 0$, $a = a(N) > 0$, $b = b(N) > 0$, $c = c(N) > 0$ and $\nu = \nu(N) > 0$ be given by Lemma 3.2. We set $f_1 = h$, $\tau_1 = \tau$ and $A_1 = A$. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \ell(\tau_1) \leq C\|f\|_{L^2}^c \varepsilon^{-\nu} A_1,$$

$$(3.5) \quad \|f - f_1\|_{L^2}^2 = \|f\|_{L^2}^2 - \|f_1\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \|f - f_1\|_{L^2}^{-a} \geq \|f\|_{L^2}^{-a} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_1\|_{L^2}^2 \geq C\|f\|_{L^2}^{-a} \varepsilon^b.$$

Now, we may assume that

$$\|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)f - \mathcal{T}(\cdot)f_1\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)} \geq \varepsilon,$$

otherwise we set $N_0 = 1$ and the proof is finished. So we may apply Lemma 3.2 to $g = f - f_1$. Let $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, let $\tau \in \mathcal{C}$ and let $A > 0$ be given by Lemma 3.2. We

set $f_2 = h$, $\tau_2 = \tau$ and $A_2 = A$. By Lemma 3.2 and (3.5), we have

$$(3.6) \quad \ell(\tau_2) \leq C\|f - f_1\|_{L^2}^c \varepsilon^{-\nu} A_2 \leq C\|f\|_{L^2}^c \varepsilon^{-\nu} A_2,$$

$$(3.7) \quad \|f - (f_1 + f_2)\|_{L^2}^2 = \|f - f_1\|_{L^2}^2 - \|f_2\|_{L^2}^2 = \|f\|_{L^2}^2 - (\|f_1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|f_2\|_{L^2}^2),$$

$$(3.8) \quad \|f_2\|_{L^2}^2 \geq C\|f - f_1\|_{L^2}^{-a} \varepsilon^b \geq C\|f\|_{L^2}^{-a} \varepsilon^b.$$

We repeat the process as long as

$$\|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)f - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{T}(\cdot)f_j\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)} \geq \varepsilon,$$

applying Lemma 3.2 to $g = f - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} f_j$. Then, by (3.4)–(3.8), we obtain functions $f_1, \dots, f_n$ satisfying properties (1) and (2) of Lemma 3.1 and

$$(3.9) \quad \|f - \sum_{j=1}^k f_j\|_{L^2}^2 = \|f\|_{L^2}^2 - \sum_{j=1}^k \|f_j\|_{L^2}^2,$$

$$(3.10) \quad \|f_k\|_{L^2}^2 \geq C\|f\|_{L^2}^{-a} \varepsilon^b,$$

for any $k \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, for some $n \geq 2$. From Strichartz's estimate (1.7) and (3.9)–(3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)f - \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{T}(\cdot)f_j\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \\ & \leq C\|f - \sum_{j=1}^n f_j\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\|f\|_{L^2}^2 - Cn\|f\|_{L^2}^{-a} \varepsilon^b) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

So the process stops for some $n \leq C(\|f\|_{L^2}, N, \varepsilon)$. We set $N_0 = n$, and the proof is achieved. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, let $\tau \in \mathcal{C}$, let $A > 0$ and let $C_0 > 0$ be such that $\text{supp } \widehat{g} \subset \tau$, $\ell(\tau) \leq C_0 A$ and $|\widehat{g}| < A^{-\frac{N}{2}}$. Let ξ_0 be the center of τ . Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N_1 \leq C(N, C_0, \varepsilon)$ and $(Q_n)_{1 \leq n \leq N_1} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ with*

$$(3.11) \quad Q_n = \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N; t \in I_n \text{ and } (x - 4\pi t \xi_0) \in C_n\},$$

where $I_n \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval with $|I_n| = \frac{1}{A^2}$ and $C_n \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\ell(C_n) = \frac{1}{A}$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1} \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{N_1} Q_n} |(\mathcal{T}(t))g(x)|^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} dt dx \right)^{\frac{N}{2(N+2)}} < \varepsilon.$$

Notice that the functions f_n obtained in Lemma 3.1 satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 3.3.

Proof. We define $g' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by $\widehat{g'}(\xi') = A^{\frac{N}{2}} \widehat{g}(\xi_0 + A\xi')$. Then

$$\text{supp } \widehat{g'} \subset \left[-\frac{C_0}{2}, \frac{C_0}{2} \right]^N,$$

$\|g'\|_{L^2} = \|g\|_{L^2}$ and $|\widehat{g'}| < 1$. It follows from (2.1) applied to g' that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathcal{T}(A^2 t)g')(A(x - 4\pi t\xi_0))| &= \left| \int_{(-\frac{C_0}{2}, \frac{C_0}{2})^N} e^{2i\pi(A(x-4\pi t\xi_0)\cdot\xi - 2\pi A^2 t|\xi|^2)} \widehat{g'}(\xi) d\xi \right| \\ &= A^{\frac{N}{2}} \left| \int_{(-\frac{C_0}{2}, \frac{C_0}{2})^N} e^{2i\pi(A(x-4\pi t\xi_0)\cdot\xi - 2\pi A^2 t|\xi|^2)} \widehat{g}(\xi_0 + A\xi) d\xi \right| \\ &= A^{-\frac{N}{2}} |(\mathcal{T}(t)g)(x)|, \end{aligned}$$

where the last identity follows from the change of variables $\zeta = \xi_0 + A\xi$. Setting

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} t' = A^2 t, \\ x' = A(x - 4\pi t\xi_0), \end{cases}$$

we then have

$$(3.13) \quad |(\mathcal{T}(t)g)(x)| = A^{\frac{N}{2}} |(\mathcal{T}(t')g')(x')|.$$

By (2.1),

$$(3.14) \quad |(\mathcal{T}(t)g')(x)| = \left| \int_{(-\frac{C_0}{2}, \frac{C_0}{2})^N} \widehat{g'}(\zeta) e^{2i\pi(x\cdot\zeta - 2\pi t|\zeta|^2)} d\zeta \right|.$$

By (2.2) (with g' in the place of g) and Corollary 1.2 of Tao [22], we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad \|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g'\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(N, q) \|\widehat{g'}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} = C(N, q) \|\widehat{g'}\|_{L^p((-\frac{C_0}{2}, \frac{C_0}{2})^N)},$$

for any $q > \frac{2(N+3)}{(N+1)}$ and any $p \geq 1$ such that $q = \frac{N+2}{N}p'$. Let $p' = p'(N) \in (1, 2)$ be such that

$$\frac{2(N+3)}{(N+1)} < \frac{N+2}{N}p' < \frac{2(N+2)}{N}.$$

Thus $q = q(N) = \frac{N+2}{N}p' < \frac{2(N+2)}{N}$, and it follows from (3.15) and Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g'\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)} &\leq C(N) \|\widehat{g'}\|_{L^p((-\frac{C_0}{2}, \frac{C_0}{2})^N)} \\ &\leq C(N) \left| \left(-\frac{C_0}{2}, \frac{C_0}{2} \right)^N \right|^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\widehat{g'}\|_{L^\infty((-\frac{C_0}{2}, \frac{C_0}{2})^N)}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g'\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(C_0, N).$$

This estimate implies that for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\{|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g'| < \lambda\}} |\mathcal{T}(t')g'(x')|^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} dt' dx' \\ &= \int_{\{|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g'| < \lambda\}} |\mathcal{T}(t')g'(x')|^{(\frac{2(N+2)}{N}-q)+q} dt' dx' \leq C(C_0, N) \lambda^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}-q}. \end{aligned}$$

So there exists $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(N, C_0, \varepsilon) \in (0, 1)$ small enough such that

$$(3.16) \quad \int_{\{|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g'| < 2\lambda_0\}} |\mathcal{T}(t')g'(x')|^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} dt' dx' < \varepsilon^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}.$$

Since $\text{supp } \widehat{g'} \subset [-\frac{C_0}{2}, \frac{C_0}{2}]^N$ and $\|\widehat{g'}\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1$, it follows from formula (2.1) that for any $(t', x') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ and any $(t'', x'') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$|\mathcal{T}(t')g'(x') - \mathcal{T}(t'')g'(x'')| \leq C(|t' - t''| + |x' - x''|),$$

where $C = C(C_0, N) \geq 1$. So for such a constant, if $(t', x') \in \{|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g'| \geq 2\lambda_0\}$ and if $(t'', x'') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ is such that $|t' - t''| \leq \frac{\lambda_0}{2C} < \frac{1}{2}$ and $|x' - x''| \leq \frac{\lambda_0}{2C} < \frac{1}{2}$, then $|\mathcal{T}(t'')g'(x'')| \geq \lambda_0$, that is, $(t'', x'') \in \{|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g'| \geq \lambda_0\}$. So there exist a set R and a family $(P_r)_{r \in R} = (J_r, K_r)_{r \in R} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$, where $J_r \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a closed interval of center $t' \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|J_r| = \frac{\lambda_0}{C}$ and $K_r \in \mathcal{C}$ of center $x' \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $\ell(K_r) = \frac{\lambda_0}{C}$ and $(t', x') \in \{|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g'| \geq 2\lambda_0\}$, such that

$$(3.17) \quad \forall (r, s) \in R \times R \text{ with } r \neq s, \text{Int}(P_r) \cap \text{Int}(P_s) = \emptyset,$$

$$(3.18) \quad \{|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g'| \geq 2\lambda_0\} \subset \bigcup_{r \in R} P_r \subset \{|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g'| \geq \lambda_0\},$$

where $\text{Int}(P_r)$ denotes the interior of the set P_r . We set $N_1 = \#R$. It follows from (3.17)–(3.18) and Strichartz's estimate (1.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{C} \right)^{N+1} &= \left| \bigcup_{r \in R} P_r \right| \leq |\{|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g'| \geq \lambda_0\}| \\ &\leq \lambda_0^{-\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} \|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)g'\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)}^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} \leq C \lambda_0^{-\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} \|g\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}, \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce that $N_1 < \infty$ and $N_1 \leq C(\|g\|_{L^2}, N, C_0, \varepsilon)$. Actually, since our hypothesis implies that $\|g\|_{L^2} \leq C_0^{N/2}$, we can also write $N_1 \leq C(N, C_0, \varepsilon)$. For any $n \in \llbracket 1, N_1 \rrbracket$, let (t_n, x_n) be the center of P_n , let $I_n \subset \mathbb{R}$ be the interval of center $\frac{t_n}{A^2}$ with $|I_n| = \frac{1}{A^2}$, let $I'_n = A^2 I_n$, let $C_n \in \mathcal{C}$ of center $\frac{1}{A} x_n$ with $\ell(C_n) = \frac{1}{A}$, let $C'_n = A C_n$ and let Q_n be defined by (3.11). Then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{N_1} P_n \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{N_1} (I'_n \times C'_n)$, which yields with (3.16) and (3.18),

$$(3.19) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1} \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{N_1} (I'_n \times C'_n)} |\mathcal{T}(t')g'(x')|^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} dt' dx' < \varepsilon^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}.$$

By (3.13),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1} \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{N_1} Q_n} |\mathcal{T}(t)g(x)|^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} dt dx = A^{N+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1} \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{N_1} Q_n} |\mathcal{T}(t')g'(x')|^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} dt dx.$$

But $(t, x) \in Q_n \iff (t', x') \in I'_n \times C'_n$, and so we deduce from the above estimate and (3.12) that

$$(3.20) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1} \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{N_1} Q_n} |\mathcal{T}(t)g(x)|^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} dt dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1} \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{N_1} (I'_n \times C'_n)} |\mathcal{T}(t')g(x')|^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} dt' dx'.$$

Putting together (3.19) and (3.20), we obtain the desired result. $\square$

4. MASS CONCENTRATION

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, let $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ and let*

$$u \in C((-T_{\min}, T_{\max}); L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}((-T_{\min}, T_{\max}); L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R}^N))$$

be the maximal solution of (1.4) such that $u(0) = u_0$. Then there exists $\eta_0 = \eta_0(N, |\gamma|) > 0$ satisfying the following properties. Let $(T_0, T_1) \subset (-T_{\min}, T_{\max})$ be an interval and let

$$(4.1) \quad \eta = \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}((T_0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

If $\eta \in (0, \eta_0]$, then there exist $t_0 \in (T_0, T_1)$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \|u(t_0)\|_{L^2(B(c, R))} \geq \varepsilon,$$

where $R = \min\{(T_1 - t_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}, (t_0 - T_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}\}$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, N, \eta) > 0$.

Proof. Let γ , u_0 , u and (T_0, T_1) be as in Proposition 4.1. Let $\eta > 0$ be as in (4.1). By (1.2), we have

$$(4.3) \quad \forall t \in (-T_{\min}, T_{\max}), \quad u(t) = \mathcal{T}(t - T_0)u(T_0) + i\gamma \int_{T_0}^t (\mathcal{T}(t - s)\{|u|^{\frac{4}{N}}u\})(s)ds.$$

Setting for any $t \in (-T_{\min}, T_{\max})$, $\Phi_u(t) = i\gamma \int_{T_0}^t (\mathcal{T}(t - s)\{|u|^{\frac{4}{N}}u\})(s)ds$ and applying Strichartz's estimate (1.8), we get with (4.1)

$$(4.4) \quad \|\Phi_u\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}((T_0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_1 \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}((T_0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}^N)}^{\frac{N+4}{N}} = C_1 \eta^{\frac{N+4}{N}},$$

where $C_1 = C_1(N, |\gamma|) \geq 1$. For every $a, b \geq 0$, $(a + b)^\alpha \leq C(\alpha)(a^\alpha + b^\alpha)$, where $C(\alpha) = 1$ if $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $C(\alpha) = 2^{\alpha-1}$ if $\alpha \geq 1$. Let C_2 be such a constant for $\alpha = \frac{4}{N}$. We choose $\eta_0 = \eta_0(N, |\gamma|) > 0$ small enough to have

$$(4.5) \quad 2(2C_1)^{\frac{4}{N}} C_2 \eta_0^{\frac{16}{N^2}} \leq 1.$$

Assume that $\eta \leq \eta_0$. We proceed in 3 steps.

Step 1. We show that there exist $f_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $A > 0$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{C}$ of center $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying $\text{supp } \widehat{f_0} \subset \tau$, $\ell(\tau) \leq C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, N, \eta)A$ and $|\widehat{f_0}| < A^{-\frac{N}{2}}$, and there exist an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $K \in \mathcal{C}$, with $|I| = \frac{1}{A^2}$ and $\ell(K) = \frac{1}{A}$, such that for $Q \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ defined by

$$Q = \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N; t \in I \text{ and } (x - 4\pi t \xi_0) \in K\},$$

we have

$$(4.6) \quad \iint_{((T_0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}^N) \cap Q} |u(t, x)|^2 |\mathcal{T}(t - T_0)f_0(x)|^{\frac{4}{N}} dt dx \geq C\eta^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}},$$

where $C = C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, N, \eta)$.

To prove this claim, we apply Lemma 3.1 to $f = u(T_0)$ with $\varepsilon_0 = \eta^{\frac{N+4}{N}}$. Note that, by (4.1), (4.3), (4.4), (4.5) and time translation, we have that

$$\|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)u(T_0)\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)} = \|\mathcal{T}(\cdot - T_0)u(T_0)\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)} \geq \eta/2 \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

It follows from Hölder's inequality (with $p = \frac{N+2}{N}$ and $p' = \frac{N+2}{2}$), (4.3)–(4.4) and Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{T_0}^{T_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(t, x)|^2 \left| u(t, x) - \sum_{n=1}^{N_0} \mathcal{T}(t - T_0)f_n(x) \right|^{\frac{4}{N}} dt dx \\ & \leq \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}((T_0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \|u - \sum_{n=1}^{N_0} \mathcal{T}(\cdot - T_0)f_n\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}((T_0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}^N)}^{\frac{4}{N}} \\ & \leq \eta^2 \left(\|\mathcal{T}(\cdot)u(T_0) - \sum_{n=1}^{N_0} \mathcal{T}(\cdot)f_n\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)} + C_1 \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}((T_0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}^N)}^{\frac{N+4}{N}} \right)^{\frac{4}{N}} \\ & \leq C_1^{\frac{4}{N}} \eta^2 (\varepsilon_0 + \eta^{\frac{N+4}{N}})^{\frac{4}{N}} \leq (2C_1)^{\frac{4}{N}} \eta_0^{\frac{16}{N^2}} \eta^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} \leq \frac{1}{2C_2} \eta^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}. \end{aligned}$$

The above estimate and (4.1) yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} \\ & = \iint_{T_0}^{T_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(t, x)|^2 \left| \left(u(t, x) - \sum_{n=1}^{N_0} \mathcal{T}(t - T_0)f_n(x) \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_0} \mathcal{T}(t - T_0)f_n(x) \right|^{\frac{4}{N}} dt dx \\ & \leq C_2 \left(\frac{1}{2C_2} \eta^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} + \iint_{T_0}^{T_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(t, x)|^2 \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N_0} \mathcal{T}(t - T_0)f_n(x) \right|^{\frac{4}{N}} dt dx \right), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(4.7) \quad \iint_{T_0}^{T_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(t, x)|^2 \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N_0} \mathcal{T}(t - T_0)f_n(x) \right|^{\frac{4}{N}} dt dx \geq \frac{1}{2C_2} \eta^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}.$$

By Lemma 3.1 and conservation of charge, $N_0 \leq C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, N, \eta)$. It follows from (4.7) that there exists $n_0 \in \llbracket 1, N_0 \rrbracket$ such that

$$(4.8) \quad \iint_{T_0}^{T_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(t, x)|^2 |\mathcal{T}(t - T_0)f_{n_0}(x)|^{\frac{4}{N}} dt dx \geq C\eta^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}},$$

where $C = C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, N, \eta)$. Set $A = A_{n_0}$, $\tau = \tau_{n_0}$ and $C_0 = C(N)\|u_0\|_{L^2}^{c(N)}\varepsilon_0^{-\nu(N)}$, where we have used the notations of Lemma 3.1. Let $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ be the center of τ_{n_0} . We apply Lemma 3.3 to $g = f_{n_0}$ and $\varepsilon_1 = \left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{4}} \eta$, where C is the constant in

(4.8). It follows from Hölder's inequality (with $p = \frac{N+2}{N}$ and $p' = \frac{N+2}{2}$), (4.1) and Lemma 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{((T_0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{N_1} Q_n} |u(t, x)|^2 |\mathcal{T}(t - T_0) f_{n_0}(x)|^{\frac{4}{N}} dt dx \\ & \leq \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}((T_0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \|\mathcal{T}(\cdot) f_{n_0}\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1} \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{N_1} Q_n)}^{\frac{4}{N}} \\ & \leq \eta^2 \varepsilon_1^{\frac{4}{N}} = \frac{C}{2} \eta^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}. \end{aligned}$$

The above estimate with (4.8) yield

$$(4.9) \quad \iint_{((T_0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}^N) \cap (\bigcup_{n=1}^{N_1} Q_n)} |u(t, x)|^2 |\mathcal{T}(t - T_0) f_{n_0}(x)|^{\frac{4}{N}} dt dx \geq C \eta^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}},$$

where $C = C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, N, \eta)$. By Lemma 3.3, $N_1 \leq C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, N, \eta)$. With (4.9), this implies that there exists $n_1 \in \llbracket 1, N_1 \rrbracket$ such that

$$(4.10) \quad \iint_{((T_0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}^N) \cap Q_{n_1}} |u(t, x)|^2 |\mathcal{T}(t - T_0) f_{n_0}(x)|^{\frac{4}{N}} dt dx \geq C \eta^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}},$$

where $C = C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, N, \eta)$. Hence we obtain the Step 1 claim with $f_0 = f_{n_0}$, $I = I_{n_1}$, $K = C_{n_1}$ and $Q = Q_{n_1}$.

Step 2. We show that $\frac{1}{A} \leq C(T_1 - T_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\mathcal{T}(t - T_0) f_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C A^{\frac{N}{2}}$, where $C = C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, N, \eta)$.

By (2.1) and Step 1, $|\mathcal{T}(t - T_0) f_0| \leq \int_{\tau}^t |\widehat{f_0}(\xi)| d\xi \leq A^{-\frac{N}{2}} \int_{\tau}^t 1 d\xi \leq C A^{\frac{N}{2}}$, which yields the second part of Step 2. Using this estimate, Step 1 and conservation of charge, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} C \eta^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} & \leq \iint_{((T_0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}^N) \cap Q} |u(t, x)|^2 |\mathcal{T}(t - T_0) f_0(x)|^{\frac{4}{N}} dx dt \\ & \leq C A^2 \iint_{((T_0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}^N) \cap Q} |u(t, x)|^2 dx dt \leq C A^2 \int_{T_0}^{T_1} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(t, x)|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq C A^2 \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 (T_1 - T_0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the Step 2 claim.

Step 3. Conclusion.

Let $K \in \mathcal{C}$, I and Q be as in Step 1, and let $\eta' = C \eta^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}$, where C is the constant of (4.10). Let $K(t) = K + 4\pi t \xi_0$ and let $\kappa > 0$ be small enough to be chosen later. It follows from Step 1, Step 2 and Hölder's inequality (with $p = \frac{N+2}{N}$

and $p' = \frac{N+2}{2}$) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \eta' &\leq \iint_{((T_0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}^N) \cap Q} |u(t, x)|^2 |\mathcal{T}(t - T_0)f_0(x)|^{\frac{4}{N}} dx dt \\
 &\leq \|\mathcal{T}(\cdot - T_0)f_0\|_{L^\infty}^{4/N} \int_{I \cap (T_0, T_1)} \left(\int_{K(t)} |u(t, x)|^2 dx \right) dt \\
 &\leq CA^2 \int_{I \cap (T_0, T_1)} \left(\int_{K(t)} |u(t, x)|^2 dx \right) dt \\
 &\leq CA^2 \int_{I \cap (T_0 + \frac{\kappa\eta'}{A^2}, T_1 - \frac{\kappa\eta'}{A^2})} \left(\int_{K(t)} |u(t, x)|^2 dx \right) dt \\
 &\quad + CA^2 \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}((T_0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \left(\int_{I \cap [(T_1 - \frac{\kappa\eta'}{A^2}, T_1) \cup (T_0, T_0 + \frac{\kappa\eta'}{A^2})]} \left(\int_{K(t)} 1 dx \right) dt \right)^{\frac{2}{N+2}} \\
 &\leq CA^2 |I| \sup_{t \in I \cap (T_0 + \frac{\kappa\eta'}{A^2}, T_1 - \frac{\kappa\eta'}{A^2})} \int_{K(t)} |u(t, x)|^2 dx + CA^2 \eta'^{\frac{N}{N+2}} \left(\frac{\kappa\eta'}{A^2} \right)^{\frac{2}{N+2}} \left(\frac{1}{A^2} \right)^{\frac{N}{N+2}} \\
 &\leq C \sup_{t \in I \cap (T_0 + \frac{\kappa\eta'}{A^2}, T_1 - \frac{\kappa\eta'}{A^2})} \int_{K(t)} |u(t, x)|^2 dx + C \kappa^{\frac{2}{N+2}} \eta',
 \end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, N, \eta)$. For such a C , let $\kappa > 0$ be small enough to have $C \kappa^{\frac{2}{N+2}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\kappa = \kappa(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, N, \eta)$ and

$$\sup_{t \in I \cap (T_0 + \frac{\kappa\eta'}{A^2}, T_1 - \frac{\kappa\eta'}{A^2})} \int_{K(t)} |u(t, x)|^2 dx \geq C \eta^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}},$$

where $C = C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, N, \eta)$. So there exists $t_0 \in I \cap (T_0 + \frac{\kappa\eta'}{A^2}, T_1 - \frac{\kappa\eta'}{A^2})$ such that

$$(4.11) \quad \int_{K(t_0)} |u(t_0, x)|^2 dx \geq C \eta^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}},$$

where $C = C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, N, \eta)$. Since $\ell(K(t_0)) = \frac{1}{A}$, then $K(t_0)$ is contained in a ball of radius $\frac{\sqrt{N}}{A}$. Furthermore, $t_0 < T_1 - \frac{\kappa\eta'}{A^2} \leq T_{\max} - \frac{\kappa\eta'}{A^2}$ and $t_0 > -T_{\min} + \frac{\kappa\eta'}{A^2}$, which yields

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{1}{A} \leq C \min\{(T_1 - t_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}, (t_0 - T_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}\},$$

where $C = C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, N, \eta)$. Using this and Step 2, it follows that $K(t_0)$ can be covered by a finite number (which depends only on $\|u_0\|_{L^2}$, N and η) of balls of radius $R = C \min\{(T_1 - t_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}, (t_0 - T_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}\}$. Then, by (4.11), there is some $c \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$(4.13) \quad \int_{B(c, R)} |u(t_0, x)|^2 dx \geq \varepsilon(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, N, \eta).$$

This concludes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let γ , u_0 and u be as in Theorem 1.1. Let $\eta_0 = \eta_0(N, |\gamma|) > 0$ be given by Proposition 4.1. We apply Proposition 4.1 with $\eta = \eta_0$. Let $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, N, |\gamma|) > 0$ be given by Proposition 4.1. Assume that $T_{\max} < \infty$. Then $\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}((0, T_{\max}); L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R}^N))} = \infty$ and so there exist

$$0 = T_1 < T_2 < \cdots < T_n < T_{n+1} < \cdots < T_{\max}$$

such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}((T_n, T_{n+1}) \times \mathbb{R}^N)} = \eta_0.$$

It follows from Proposition 4.1 that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $c_n \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $R_n > 0$ and $t_n \in (T_n, T_{n+1})$ such that

$$R_n \leq \min\{(T_{\max} - t_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}, (T_{\min} + t_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u(t_n)\|_{L^2(B(c_n, R_n))} \geq \varepsilon,$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence we have proved the result. $\square$

5. FURTHER RESULTS

As a corollary of the previous results, we can generalize to higher dimensions the 2-dimensional results proved by Merle and Vega [15] and the results proved by Keraani in [13] for dimensions 1 and 2. We state here the most interesting of them. We need first some notation.

Definition 5.1. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. We define δ_0 as the supremum of δ such that if

$$\|u_0\|_{L^2} < \delta,$$

then (1.4) has a global solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R}; L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R}^N))$.

We can prove the following

Theorem 5.2. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, let $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$, such that $\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} < \sqrt{2}\delta_0$, and let

$$u \in C((-T_{\min}, T_{\max}); L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}_{\text{loc}}((-T_{\min}, T_{\max}); L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R}^N))$$

be the maximal solution of (1.4) such that $u(0) = u_0$. Assume that $T_{\max} < \infty$, and let $\lambda(t) > 0$, such that $\lambda(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow T_{\max}$. Then there exists $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\liminf_{t \nearrow T_{\max}} \int_{B(x(t), \lambda(t)(T_{\max} - t)^{\frac{1}{2}})} |u(t, x)|^2 dx \geq \delta_0^2.$$

If $T_{\min} < \infty$ and $\lambda(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow -T_{\min}$, then there exists $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\liminf_{t \searrow -T_{\min}} \int_{B(x(t), \lambda(t)(T_{\min} + t)^{\frac{1}{2}})} |u(t, x)|^2 dx \geq \delta_0^2.$$

The main ingredient in the proof of that theorem is a profile decomposition of the solutions of the free Schrödinger equation. This decomposition was shown in the case $N = 2$ by Merle and Vega [15] (see also Theorem 1.4 in [4]) and by Carles and Keraani [4] when $N = 1$. We generalize it to higher dimensions thanks to the improved Strichartz estimate, Theorem 1.4. To describe it we need a definition. We follow the notation of Carles and Keraani [4].

Definition 5.3. If $\Gamma^j = \{\rho_n^j, t_n^j, \xi_n^j, x_n^j\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, is a family of sequences in $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$, we say that it is an *orthogonal family* if for all $j \neq k$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\rho_n^j}{\rho_n^k} + \frac{\rho_n^k}{\rho_n^j} + \frac{|t_n^j - t_n^k|}{(\rho_n^j)^2} + \left| \frac{x_n^j - x_n^k}{\rho_n^j} + \frac{t_n^j \xi_n^j - t_n^k \xi_n^k}{\rho_n^j} \right| \right) = \infty.$$

Now, we can state the theorem about the linear profiles.

Theorem 5.4. Let $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, there exists a subsequence (that we name $\{u_n\}$ for the sake of simplicity) that satisfies the following: there exists a family $\{\phi^j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and a family of pairwise orthogonal sequences $\Gamma^j = \{\rho_n^j, t_n^j, \xi_n^j, x_n^j\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, such that

$$\mathcal{T}(t)u_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^\ell H_n^j(\phi^j)(t, x) + w_n^\ell(t, x),$$

where

$$H_n^j(\phi)(t, x) = \mathcal{T}(t) \left(e^{i(\cdot) \frac{\xi_n^j}{2}} \mathcal{T}(-t_n^j) \frac{1}{(\rho_n^j)^{N/2}} \phi \left(\frac{\cdot - x_n^j}{\rho_n^j} \right) \right) (x),$$

with

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n^\ell\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \ell \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, for every $\ell \geq 1$,

$$\|u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^\ell \|\phi^j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \|w_n^\ell(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + o(1) \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

A similar result has been proved for wave equations by H. Bahouri and P. Gérard [1]. To prove Theorem 5.4 one can follow Carles and Keraani (proof of Theorem 1.4) in [4]. It is observed in that paper (Remark 3.5) that the result follows from the refined Strichartz's estimate, our Theorem 1.4, once we overcome a technical issue, due to the fact that the Strichartz exponent $\frac{2(N+2)}{N}$ is an even natural number when $N \in \{1, 2\}$ (which covers the cases that the previous authors considered) but not in higher dimensions (except $N = 4$). Thus, to complete the proof we only need the following orthogonality result.

Lemma 5.5. For any $M \geq 1$,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^M H_n^j(\phi^j) \right\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^M \|H_n^j(\phi^j)\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} + o(1) \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. The proof is based on a well-known orthogonality property (see Gérard [9] and (3.47) in Merle and Vega [15]): if we have two orthogonal families Γ^1 and Γ^2 , and two functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, ϕ^1 and ϕ^2 , then

$$(5.1) \quad \|H_n^1(\phi^1)H_n^2(\phi^2)\|_{L^{\frac{N+2}{N}}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

When $N = 1$ or $N = 2$, $\frac{2(N+2)}{N}$ is a natural number, so we can decompose the $L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}$ norm as a product and, using (5.1), we directly obtain the lemma. In the higher dimensional case, write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{j=1}^M H_n^j(\phi^j) \right\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}}^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} = \int \left| \sum_j H_n^j(\phi^j) \right|^2 \left| \sum_j H_n^j(\phi^j) \right|^{\frac{4}{N}} \\ &= \int \sum_j \sum_k |H_n^j(\phi^j) H_n^k(\phi^k)| \left| \sum_\ell H_n^\ell(\phi^\ell) \right|^{\frac{4}{N}} \\ &= \sum_j \int |H_n^j(\phi^j)|^2 \left| \sum_\ell H_n^\ell(\phi^\ell) \right|^{\frac{4}{N}} + \sum_j \sum_{k \neq j} \int |H_n^j(\phi^j) H_n^k(\phi^k)| \left| \sum_\ell H_n^\ell(\phi^\ell) \right|^{\frac{4}{N}} \\ &\stackrel{\text{not}}{=} A + B. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate B using Hölder's inequality with exponents $\frac{N+2}{N}$ and $\frac{N+2}{2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int |H_n^j(\phi^j) H_n^k(\phi^k)| \left| \sum_\ell H_n^\ell(\phi^\ell) \right|^{\frac{4}{N}} \\ &\leq \|H_n^j(\phi^j) H_n^k(\phi^k)\|_{L^{\frac{N+2}{N}}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} \left\| \sum_{\ell=1}^M H_n^\ell(\phi^\ell) \right\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}}^{\frac{4}{N}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we use the orthogonality (5.1) and obtain $B = o(1)$.

Regarding A , when $N \geq 4$ then $\frac{4}{N} \leq 1$ and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leq \sum_j \sum_\ell \int |H_n^j(\phi^j)|^2 |H_n^\ell(\phi^\ell)|^{\frac{4}{N}} \\ &= \sum_j \int |H_n^j(\phi^j)|^2 |H_n^j(\phi^j)|^{\frac{4}{N}} + \sum_j \sum_{\ell \neq j} \int |H_n^j(\phi^j)|^2 |H_n^\ell(\phi^\ell)|^{\frac{4}{N}}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term of the sum is

$$\sum_j \|H_n^j(\phi^j)\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}}^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}.$$

The second one is

$$\sum_j \sum_{\ell \neq j} \int |H_n^j(\phi^j)|^{2-\frac{4}{N}} |H_n^j(\phi^j) H_n^\ell(\phi^\ell)|^{\frac{4}{N}}.$$

We apply Hölder's inequality with exponents $\frac{N+2}{N-2}$ and $\frac{N+2}{4}$ and bound the last sum by

$$\sum_j \sum_{j \neq \ell} \|H_n^j(\phi^j)\|_{L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N-2}}}^{2-\frac{4}{N}} \|H_n^j(\phi^j) H_n^\ell(\phi^\ell)\|_{L^{\frac{N+2}{4}}}^{\frac{4}{N}}$$

which is $o(1)$ by (5.1). This finishes the proof of the lemma for $N \geq 4$.

When $N = 3$, then $\frac{4}{N} = \frac{4}{3} > 1$, which complicates the argument a bit. We write

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sum_j \int |H_n^j(\phi_j)|^2 \left| \sum_\ell H_n^\ell(\phi^\ell) \right| \left| \sum_m H_n^m(\phi^m) \right|^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\leq \sum_\ell \sum_j \sum_m \int |H_n^j(\phi^j)|^2 |H_n^\ell(\phi^\ell)| |H_n^m(\phi^m)|^{\frac{1}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using a similar argument as in the previous case, we show that the above integrals are $o(1)$ except in the case $j = \ell = m$. This ends the proof of the lemma for $N = 3$.

To prove Theorem 5.2, one can follow the arguments given by Keraani in [13]. Again one has to deal with the fact that $\frac{4}{N}$ is not in general a natural number. Apart from Lemma 5.5 we just need an elementary inequality (see (1.10) in Gérard [9]) for the function $F(x) = |x|^{\frac{4}{N}}x$:

$$\left| F\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} U^j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} F(U^j) \right| \leq \sum_j \sum_{k \neq j} |U^j| |U^k|^{\frac{4}{N}}.$$

Then, the arguments given by Keraani generalize to higher dimensions without difficulty, and prove Theorem 5.2. $\square$

Remark 5.6. As said in the beginning of this section, we generalize all the results of Keraani [13] to higher dimension N . In particular, we display two of them.

- (1) There exists an initial data $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\|u_0\|_{L^2} = \delta_0$, for which the solution u of (1.4) blows-up in finite time $T_{\max}$.
- (2) Let u be a blow-up solution of (1.4) at finite time $T_{\max}$ with initial data u_0 , such that $\|u_0\|_{L^2} < \sqrt{2}\delta_0$. Let $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any time sequence such that $t_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{\max}$. Then there exists a subsequence of $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (still denoted by $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$), which satisfies the following properties. There exist $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\|\psi\|_{L^2} \geq \delta_0$, and a sequence $(\rho_n, \xi_n, x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_n}{\sqrt{T_{\max} - t_n}} \leq A,$$

for some $A \geq 0$, and

$$\rho_n^{\frac{N}{2}} e^{ix\xi_n} u(t_n, \rho_n x + x_n) \rightharpoonup \psi \text{ in } L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Professors James Colliander and Patrick Gérard for their very useful comments. They would also like to thank Professor Sahbi Keraani for his willingness to show us his work [13].

REFERENCES

1. H. Bahouri and P. Gérard, *High frequency approximation of solutions to critical nonlinear wave equations*, Amer. J. Math. **121** (1999), 131–175. MR1705001 (2000i:35123)
2. J. Bourgain, *On the restriction and the multiplier problem in $\mathbb{R}^3$* , Geometric aspects of functional analysis (1989–90), Lecture Notes in Math. #**1469**, Springer, Berlin, 1991, 179–191. MR1122623 (92m:42017)

3. J. Bourgain, *Refinements of Strichartz' inequality and applications to 2D-NLS with critical nonlinearity*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **5** (1998) 253–283. MR1616917 (99f:35184)
4. R. Carles and S. Keraani, *On the role of quadratic oscillations in nonlinear Schrödinger equation II. The L^2 -critical case*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), 33–62.
5. T. Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **10**, New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York, 2003. MR2002047 (2004j:35266)
6. T. Cazenave and F. B. Weissler, *Some remarks on the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the critical case*, Nonlinear semigroups, Partial Differential Equations and Attractors, T.L. Gill and W.W. Zachary (eds.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics #**1394**, Springer, Berlin, 1989, 18–29. MR1021011 (91a:35149)
7. J. Colliander, S. Raynor, C. Sulem and J. D. Wright, *Ground state mass concentration in the L^2 -critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation below H^1* , Math. Res. Lett. **12** (2005), no. 2–3, 357–375. MR2150890 (2006e:35300)
8. A. Córdoba, *The Kakeya maximal function and the spherical summation multipliers*, Amer. J. Math. **99** (1977) 1–22. MR0447949 (56:6259)
9. P. Gérard, *Description du défaut de compacité de l'injection de Sobolev*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. **3** (1998) 213–233 (electronic). MR1632171 (99h:46051)
10. J. Ginibre and G. Velo, *The global Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation revisited*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **2** (1985) 309–327. MR0801582 (87b:35150)
11. R. T. Glassey, *On the blowing up of solutions to the Cauchy problem for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, J. Math. Phys. **18** (1977) 1794–1797. MR0460850 (57:842)
12. T. Hmidi and S. Keraani, *Blowup theory for the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations revisited*, International Math. Research Notices **46** (2005) 2815–2828. MR2180464
13. S. Keraani, *On the blow up phenomenon of the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, J. Funct. Anal. **235** (2006), 171–192. MR2216444
14. F. Merle and Y. Tsutsumi, *L^2 concentration of blow-up solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with critical power nonlinearity*, J. Differential Equations **84** (1990) 205–214. MR1047566 (91e:35194)
15. F. Merle and L. Vega, *Compactness at blow-up time for L^2 solutions of the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in $2D$* , International Math. Research Notices **8** (1998) 399–425. MR1628235 (99d:35156)
16. A. Moyua, A. Vargas and L. Vega, *Schrödinger maximal function and restriction properties of the Fourier transform*, International Math. Research Notices **16** (1996) 793–815. MR1413873 (97k:42042)
17. A. Moyua, A. Vargas and L. Vega, *Restriction theorems and maximal operators related to oscillatory integrals in $\mathbb{R}^3$* , Duke Math. J. **96** (1999) 547–574. MR1671214 (2000b:42017)
18. H. Nawa, *“Mass concentration” phenomenon for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the critical power nonlinearity*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **35** (1992), no. 1, 1–18. MR1172417 (93h:35193)
19. K. Rogers, A. Vargas, *A Refinement of the Strichartz Inequality on the Saddle and Applications*, J. Funct. Anal. **241** (2006), no. 1, 212–231. MR2264250
20. E. M. Stein, *Oscillatory integrals in Fourier analysis, Beijing Lectures in Harmonic Analysis*, Annals of Math. Study #**112** Princeton University Press, 1986. MR0864375 (88g:42022)
21. R. S. Strichartz, *Restriction of Fourier transforms to quadratic surfaces and decay of solutions of wave equation*, Duke Math. J. **44** (1977) 705–713. MR0512086 (58:23577)
22. T. Tao, *A sharp bilinear restrictions estimate for paraboloids*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **13** (2003) 1359–1384. MR2033842 (2004m:47111)
23. T. Tao, A. Vargas and L. Vega, *A bilinear approach to the restriction and Kakeya conjectures*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1998) 967–1000. MR1625056 (99f:42026)
24. P. A. Tomas, *A restriction theorem for the Fourier transform*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1975), 477–478. MR0358216 (50:10681)
25. Y. Tsutsumi, *L^2 -solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations and nonlinear groups*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **30** (1987) 115–125. MR0915266 (89c:35143)
26. N. Tzirakis, *Mass concentration phenomenon for the quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in $1D$* , SIAM J. Math. Anal. **37** (2006), 1923–1946 (electronic). MR2213400

- 27. S. N. Vlasov, V. A. Petrishev, V. I. Talanov, *Averaged description of wave beams in linear and nonlinear media (the method of moments)*, Izv. Vys. Uchebn. Zaved. Radiofiz. **14** 1353 [Radiophys. Quantum Electron. **14** 1062–1070 (1974)].
- 28. M. Visan, X. Zhang, *On the blowup for the L^2 -critical focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation in higher dimensions below the energy class*. To appear in SIAM J. Math. Anal.

LABORATOIRE JACQUES-LOUIS LIONS, UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE, BOÎTE COURRIER 187, 4, PLACE JUSSIEU, 75252 PARIS CEDEX 05, FRANCE

E-mail address: `begout@ann.jussieu.fr`

Current address: Département de Mathématiques, Laboratoire d'Analyse et Probabilités, Université d'Évry Val d'Essone, Boulevard François Mitterrand, 91025 Évry Cedex, France

E-mail address: `Pascal.Begout@univ-evry.fr`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE MADRID 28049 MADRID, SPAIN

E-mail address: `ana.vargas@uam.es`