SPLITTING OF GAUGE GROUPS

DAISUKE KISHIMOTO AND AKIRA KONO

ABSTRACT. Let G be a topological group and let P be a principal G -bundle over a based space B . We denote the gauge group of P by $\mathcal{G}(P)$ and the based gauge group of P by $\mathcal{G}_0(P)$. Then the inclusion of the basepoint of B induces the exact sequence of topological groups $1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(P) \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$. We study the splitting of this exact sequence in the category of A_n -spaces and A_n -maps in connection with the triviality of the adjoint bundle of P and with the higher homotopy commutativity of G .

1. INTRODUCTION

We will always assume each space has the homotopy type of a CW-complex.

Let G be a topological group and let P be a principal G -bundle over a space B . The gauge group of P , denoted $\mathcal{G}(P)$, is the group of automorphisms of P covering the identity of B .

Fix a basepoint b_0 of B . Then the basepoint inclusion $b_0 \hookrightarrow B$ induces a homomorphism of topological groups

$$\mathcal{G}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(P|_{b_0}) \cong G.$$

Since we work with CW-complexes which are normal, this homomorphism is easily seen to be a surjection. We call the kernel of this homomorphism the based gauge group of P and denote it by $\mathcal{G}_0(P)$. Namely, $\mathcal{G}_0(P)$ consists of automorphisms of P covering 1_B which restrict to the identity on the fibre at the basepoint b_0 . Now we have an extension of topological groups:

$$(1.1) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0(P) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{G}(P) \xrightarrow{\pi} G \rightarrow 1.$$

The second author [14] classified the homotopy types of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ as spaces, not as topological groups, when P runs all over principal $SU(2)$ -bundles over S^4 . Later, Crabb and Sutherland [4] studied the homotopy type of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ as H -spaces for a general P . Moreover, when B is a simply connected 4-manifold and $G = SU(2)$, Tsukuda and the second author [15], [24] classified the homotopy types of the classifying spaces $B\mathcal{G}(P)$, equivalently, the homotopy types of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ as loop spaces. These results suggest to us to study the homotopy theory of gauge groups as spaces with intermediate higher homotopy associativity in the sense of Stasheff [20], that is, as A_n -spaces. In particular, we may study the group extension (1.1) in the

Received by the editors June 16, 2009 and, in revised form, September 18, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57S05, 55R70; Secondary 54C35.

Key words and phrases. Gauge group, fibrewise A_n -map, evaluation fibration, higher homotopy commutativity.

The second author was partially supported by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B).

©2010 American Mathematical Society
 Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

category of A_n -spaces and A_n -maps. The aim of this article is to study a splitting of (1.1) in the category of A_n -spaces and A_n -maps which we call an A_n -splitting. More precisely, we will consider:

- Question 1.1.** (1) Formulate an A_n -splitting.
 (2) What is the geometric meaning of an A_n -splitting of (1.1)?
 (3) Give a criterion for an A_n -splitting of (1.1).

Regarding the first question, we consider a relation between an A_n -splitting of (1.1) and the bundle P . Let $\text{ad} : G \rightarrow \text{aut}G$ be the adjoint action of G on itself and let $\text{ad}P = P \times_{\text{ad}} G$, the adjoint bundle of P . Introducing the fibrewise analogue of A_n -maps between topological monoids, we obtain:

Theorem 1.2. *There is an A_n -splitting of (1.1) if and only if $\text{ad}P$ is fibrewise A_n -equivalent to the trivial bundle $B \times G$.*

Let $\text{map}(X, Y; f)$ be the path component of the space of maps from X to Y containing f , where we will always take f to be basepoint preserving. Denote the universal G -bundle by $EG \rightarrow BG$. Regarding the second question, we will be concerned with the classical result of Atiyah and Bott [2]:

$$(1.2) \quad BG(P) \simeq \text{map}(B, BG; \alpha),$$

where α is the classifying map of P . Naturality of this homotopy equivalence allows us to identify the map $B\pi : BG(P) \rightarrow BG$ with the evaluation fibration $\text{map}(B, BG; \alpha) \rightarrow BG$. This leads us to the definition of $H(k, l)$ -spaces having the following property.

Theorem 1.3. *There is an A_l -splitting of (1.1) if BG is an $H(k, l)$ -space and $\text{cat}B \leq k$.*

As above, an $H(k, l)$ -space is motivated by the evaluation fibration $\text{map}(B, BG; \alpha) \rightarrow BG$ and, in particular, an $H(1, n)$ -space can be described by the connecting map $\delta : G \rightarrow \text{map}_0(B, BG; \alpha)$ in the fibre sequence $G \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{map}_0(B, BG; \alpha) \rightarrow \text{map}(B, BG; \alpha) \rightarrow BG$, where $\text{map}_0(X, Y; f)$ is the subspace of $\text{map}(X, Y; f)$ consisting of based maps. Note that the adjoint action $\text{ad} : G \rightarrow \text{aut}(G)$ induces a map $\text{Bad} : G \rightarrow \text{map}_0(BG, BG; 1)$ which assigns each $g \in G$ to the map $\text{Bad}(g) : BG \rightarrow BG$. Here we must notice that Bad does not mean the map $BG \rightarrow \text{Baut}(G)$ induced from the adjoint action $\text{ad} : G \rightarrow \text{aut}(G)$. Then we obtain:

Theorem 1.4. *The connecting map $\delta : G \rightarrow \text{map}_0(B, BG; \alpha)$ is given by $\delta(g) = \text{Bad}(g) \circ \alpha$ for $g \in G$.*

Let $E_nG \rightarrow B_nG$ be the n -th stage of Milnor's construction of the universal bundle $EG \rightarrow BG$ [18]. By definition, BG is an $H(1, n)$ -space if and only if the connecting map δ in Theorem 1.4 is trivial for the inclusion $i_n : B_nG \rightarrow BG$. Then we have:

Corollary 1.5. *BG is an $H(1, n)$ -space if and only if $\text{Bad} \circ i_n : G \rightarrow \text{map}_0(B_nG, BG; i_n)$ is null-homotopic.*

We will investigate an $H(k, l)$ -space further in view of higher homotopy commutativity as follows. By definition, the loop space of an $H(1, 1)$ -space is homotopy

commutative and an $H(\infty, \infty)$ -space is an H -space. On the other hand, Sugawara [23] constructed a class of spaces between homotopy commutative topological monoids and the loop spaces of H -spaces, called higher homotopy commutativity. Then we expect that the loop spaces of $H(k, l)$ -spaces form a new class of higher homotopy commutativity. Kawamoto and Hemmi [12] introduced $H_k(n)$ -spaces in order to unify Aguadé's T_k -spaces [1] and Félix and Tanré's $H(n)$ -spaces [6]. They also introduced higher homotopy commutativity called $C_k(n)$ -spaces in order to describe $H_k(n)$ -spaces by higher homotopy. An $H_k(n)$ -space is, in fact, given by patching together $H(i, j)$ -spaces for $i + j = n$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$. Moreover, in describing an $H_k(n)$ -space by a $C_k(n)$ -space, they worked at the level of $H(i, j)$ -spaces. This leads us to define a new class of higher homotopy commutativity, $C(k, l)$ -spaces, by cutting $C_k(n)$ -spaces into pieces and obtain:

Theorem 1.6. *A connected topological monoid is a $C(k, l)$ -space if and only if its classifying space is an $H(k, l)$ -space.*

Combining Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.6, we can conclude:

Corollary 1.7. *Let G be a connected topological group and let P be a principal G -bundle over B . If G is a $C(k, l)$ -space, then there is an A_n -splitting of (1.1); equivalently, $\text{ad}P$ is fibrewise A_n -homotopy equivalent to the trivial bundle $B \times G$.*

The authors would like to thank Y. Kawamoto for pointing out the similarity of $H_k(n)$ -spaces and $H(k, l)$ -spaces, and for letting the second author know about his work with Hemmi [12].

2. A_n -SPLITTING

In this section, we formulate a splitting of an extension of topological groups in the category of A_n -spaces and A_n -maps which we call an A_n -splitting. An A_n -space was introduced by Stasheff [20] to be a space with a multiplication which enjoys a certain higher homotopy associativity. Then an A_n -map should be a map between A_n -spaces preserving their A_n -space structures. Stasheff [21] defined an A_n -map between A_∞ -spaces. Later, he [22] defined an A_n -map from an A_n -space to an A_∞ -space and implied an A_n -map between A_n -spaces. Finally, Iwase and Mimura [13] described an A_n -map between A_n -spaces completely. Of course, these definitions of A_n -maps are consistent, and we will use them conveniently case by case.

An A_n -splitting of an extension of topological groups should be analogous to a splitting in the category of topological groups and their homomorphisms. However, the existence of a section is not enough for an A_n -splitting since it does not imply directly the “splitting” of an A_n -space. Then we define an A_n -splitting of an extension of topological groups as follows.

Definition 2.1. An A_n -splitting of an extension of topological groups $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \tilde{H} \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$ consists of the following:

- (1) There is an A_n -structure on $H \times K$, the direct product as spaces, not as topological groups, which restricts to the canonical group structures on $H \times \{1\}$ and $\{1\} \times K$.

- (2) There is an A_n -map $\theta : H \times K \rightarrow \tilde{H}$ with respect to the above A_n -structure on $H \times K$ satisfying the homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H} & \longrightarrow & H \longrightarrow 1 \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \uparrow \theta & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & H \times K & \xrightarrow{\pi} & H \longrightarrow 1, \end{array}$$

where π is the second projection.

Let $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \tilde{H} \xrightarrow{\pi} H \rightarrow 1$ be an extension of topological groups. A splitting of this extension as groups can be completely described by a section of π which is a group homomorphism. We shall show that there is an analogy for an A_n -splitting. Namely, a homotopy section of π which is an A_n -map, called an A_n -section, implies an A_n -splitting of the extension, where the homotopy section of π is a map $s : H \rightarrow \tilde{H}$ such that $\pi \circ s \simeq 1_H$.

Let us first recall Stasheff's polytope, the associahedron, which was used to define an A_n -space and an A_n -map from an A_n -space to an A_∞ -space (see [20] and [22]). The i -th associahedron K_i is an $(i-2)$ -dimensional convex polytope having the face maps

$$\partial_k(r, s) : K_r \times K_s \rightarrow K_i$$

for $r + s = i + 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq i - s + 1$ and the degeneracy maps

$$s_j : K_i \rightarrow K_{i-1}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq i$. In particular, we have the relations:

$$(2.1) \quad s_j \circ \partial_k(r, s) = \begin{cases} \partial_k(r, s-1) \circ (1 \times s_{j-k+1}) & k \leq j < k+s, \\ \partial_k(r-1, s) \circ (s_{j-s+1} \times 1) & j \geq k+s. \end{cases}$$

There is a one-to-one correspondence between vertices of K_i and connected binary trees with n leaves. In order to define an A_n -space structure from an A_n -section, we consider the following operations of binary trees. Let T_n be the set of connected binary trees with n leaves and let $\hat{T}_n$ be the set of ordered binary trees, not necessarily connected, with n leaves. Then we can label each leaf of an element of $\hat{T}_n$ from 1 to n in the obvious way. Define a map $\delta : T_{n+1} \rightarrow \hat{T}_n$ by deleting the branches from the root to the n -th leaf. For example, $\delta : T_7 \rightarrow \hat{T}_6$ is:

Then δ is a bijection. Analogously we define a map $\hat{\delta} : \hat{T}_n \rightarrow \hat{T}_{n-1}$ by applying the above map δ to the connected binary tree having the leaf labelled by n . Then $\delta : T_n \rightarrow \hat{T}_{n-1}$ is the restriction of $\hat{\delta} : \hat{T}_n \rightarrow \hat{T}_{n-1}$.

Let X be an H -space. For $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $t \in T_n$, we define $t(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ as in [22], which is consistent with the definition of A_n -spaces. For example, if $t \in T_4$ is

then $t(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = x_1((x_2x_3)x_4)$. Let G be a topological group. Using the above map t , for a map $f : X \rightarrow G$, we define a map $\hat{f} : \hat{T}_n \times X^n \rightarrow G$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(\hat{t}, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= f(t_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}))f(t_2(x_{n_1+1}, \dots, x_{n_1+n_2})) \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots f(t_k(x_{n_1+\dots+n_{k-1}+1}, \dots, x_n)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{t} = t_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup t_k \in \hat{T}_n$ such that $t_1 < \dots < t_k$ and $t_i \in T_{n_i}$.

Now we consider an extension of topological groups $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \tilde{H} \xrightarrow{\pi} H \rightarrow 1$. Suppose that π admits an A_n -section s whose A_n -form is $\{m_i : K_{i+1} \times H^i \rightarrow \tilde{H}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. As noted above, for a vertex $v \in K_{i+1}$ corresponding to $\hat{t} \in \hat{T}_i$, we have

$$h_i(v, x_1, \dots, x_i) = s(\hat{t}, x_1, \dots, x_i).$$

We write $\gamma_j(\tau, x) = h_j(s_{j+1}s_{j+2} \cdots s_i(\tau), \pi_{j+1}\pi_{j+2} \cdots \pi_i(x))$ for $\tau \in K_i, x \in K^i$ and the projection $\pi_j : K^i \rightarrow K^{i-1}$ omitting the i -th entry. Then, for a vertex $v \in K_i$ corresponding to $t \in T_i$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_i) \in K^i$, it follows from (2.1) that

$$(2.2) \quad \gamma_j(v, x) = s(\hat{d}^{i-j}t, x_1, \dots, x_i).$$

Define $M_i : K_i \times (H \times K)^i \rightarrow H \times K$ by

$$M_i(\tau, (h_1, k_1), \dots, (h_i, k_i)) = (h_1 h_2^{\gamma_1(\tau, k)} h_3^{\gamma_2(\tau, k)} \cdots h_i^{\gamma_{i-1}(\tau, k)}, k_1 \cdots k_i)$$

for $\tau \in K_i$ and $k = (k_1, \dots, k_i) \in K^i$, where $g^h = hgh^{-1}$ for $g, h \in H$. Then, by (2.2), it is straightforward to check that $\{M_i : K_i \times (H \times K)^i \rightarrow H \times K\}_{2 \leq i \leq n+1}$ is an A_{n+1} -form on $H \times K$ such that, for a vertex $v \in K_i$ corresponding to $t \in T_i$, $M_i(v, (h_1, k_1), \dots, (h_i, k_i)) = t((h_1, k_1), \dots, (h_i, k_i))$. In particular, the multiplication of $H \times K$ is defined by

$$(h_1, k_1) \cdot (h_2, k_2) = (h_1(h_2^{\sigma(k_1)}), k_1 k_2),$$

which is analogous to semidirect products of groups.

By a quite analogous observation, we can see that the map $\theta : H \times K \rightarrow \tilde{H}$ defined by

$$\theta(h, k) = h \cdot s(k)$$

for $h \in H$ and $k \in K$ admits an A_n -form. Summarizing, we have established:

Lemma 2.2. *An extension of topological groups $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \tilde{H} \xrightarrow{\pi} H \rightarrow 1$ has an A_n -splitting if and only if π admits an A_n -section.*

3. FIBREWISE A_n -MAP

In this section, we introduce the fibrewise analogue of A_n -maps between topological monoids and characterize them by using the fibrewise analogue of projective spaces. Let us first recall from [3] some notation and terminologies of fibrewise homotopy theory. Fix a space B . A fibrewise space over B is an arrow $X \xrightarrow{\pi_X} B$. π_X is called the projection and $\pi_X^{-1}(b)$ for $b \in B$ is called a fibre at b . Then the direct product $A \times B$ is a fibrewise space over B and, in particular, so is B itself. A fibrewise map from a fibrewise space $X \xrightarrow{\pi_X} B$ to $Y \xrightarrow{\pi_Y} B$ is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \pi_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_Y \\ B & \xlongequal{\quad} & B. \end{array}$$

Then fibrewise spaces over B and fibrewise maps between them form a category which is nothing but the comma category $\underline{\text{Top}} \downarrow B$, where $\underline{\text{Top}}$ is the category of topological spaces and continuous maps. Fibrewise homotopy theory is not homotopy theory by the canonical model category structure on $\underline{\text{Top}} \downarrow B$ induced from $\underline{\text{Top}}$, but it respects fibre homotopy equivalence in the classical sense. With this in mind, we recall basic constructions in fibrewise homotopy theory. The fibrewise product $X \times_B Y$ of $X \xrightarrow{\pi_X} B$ and $Y \xrightarrow{\pi_Y} B$ is the pullback of the triad $X \xrightarrow{\pi_X} B \xleftarrow{\pi_Y} Y$, that is,

$$X \times_B Y = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid \pi_X(x) = \pi_Y(y)\}.$$

Then the diagonal map restricts to the fibrewise diagonal map $X \rightarrow X \times_B X$, denoted by Δ_B . We often abbreviate the fibrewise product of n copies of a fibrewise space $X \rightarrow B$ by X^n by abuse of notation. We denote the fibrewise space $[0, 1] \times B \rightarrow B$ by I_B and call it the fibrewise interval; here the projection is the second projection. A fibrewise homotopy is a fibrewise map $X \times_B I_B \rightarrow Y$ and we have a fibrewise homotopy equivalence in the obvious sense, which are the classical fibre homotopy and fibre homotopy equivalence, respectively. With this notion of fibrewise homotopies, we have a fibrewise fibration and a fibrewise cofibration, which are characterized by a fibrewise homotopy lifting property and a fibrewise homotopy extension property, respectively (see [3]).

The fibrewise unreduced cone of $X \xrightarrow{\pi_X} B$, denoted $C_B X$, is a pushout of the cotriad $I_B \times_B X \leftarrow \{0\} \times X \xrightarrow{\pi_X} B$. Similarly, the fibrewise unreduced suspension of $X \xrightarrow{\pi_X} B$, denoted $\Sigma_B X$, is a pushout of the cotriad $I_B \times_B X \leftarrow \{0, 1\} \times X \xrightarrow{1 \times \pi_X} \{0, 1\} \times B$.

A fibrewise pointed space is a fibrewise space $X \rightarrow B$ with a distinguished section, and then we assume $B \subset X$. We have a fibrewise pointed map in the obvious sense. The fibrewise reduced cone $C_B^B X$ and the fibrewise reduced suspension $\Sigma_B^B X$ are the fibrewise collapses $C_B X /_B C_B B$ and $\Sigma_B X /_B \Sigma_B B$, respectively (see [3, p.55]). A fibrewise pointed space is said to be well-pointed if the section is a fibrewise cofibration. Then, as in the usual case, if a fibrewise pointed space X over B is well-pointed, then $C_B X$ is fibrewise homotopy equivalent to $C_B^B X$ relative to X . In particular, $\Sigma_B X$ is fibrewise homotopy equivalent to $\Sigma_B^B X$.

In order to introduce a fibrewise analogue of A_n -maps between topological monoids, we need to have a fibrewise analogue of topological monoids which is

given by replacing spaces and structure maps with fibrewise spaces and fibrewise maps of topological monoids as follows. A fibrewise topological monoid over B is a fibrewise space $X \xrightarrow{\pi_X} B$ with fibrewise maps $\epsilon : B \rightarrow X$ and $\mu : X \times_B X \rightarrow X$ satisfying two conditions:

$$\mu \circ (\mu \times 1) = \mu \circ (1 \times \mu), \quad \mu \circ (1 \times \epsilon\pi_X) \circ \Delta_B = 1 = \mu \circ (\epsilon \circ \pi_X \times 1).$$

In particular, a fibrewise topological monoid is a fibrewise pointed space, and each of its fibres is a topological monoid. We usually abbreviate $\mu(x, y)$ by xy . A fibrewise topological monoid $X \xrightarrow{\pi_X} B$ is a fibrewise topological group if it has a fibrewise map $\iota : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying

$$\mu \circ (1 \times \iota) \circ \Delta_B = \epsilon \circ \pi_X = \mu \circ (\iota \times 1) \circ \Delta_B.$$

Let us look at examples of fibrewise topological monoids.

Example 3.1. Let $X \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ be a fibrewise pointed space with a distinguished section s . The fibrewise Moore path space of X is

$$\Omega'_B X = \coprod_{b \in B} \Omega'(\pi^{-1}(b))$$

equipped with an appropriate topology (see [3]), where $\Omega'Y$ is the Moore path space of a space Y . Then the loop multiplication of $\Omega'(\pi^{-1}(b))$ makes $\Omega'_B X$ into a fibrewise topological monoid.

Example 3.2. Let G be a topological group and let $\pi : P \rightarrow B$ be a principal G -bundle. Then the adjoint bundle $\text{ad}P$ is a fibrewise topological group with the structure maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon : B &\rightarrow \text{ad}P, \quad \epsilon(b) = [\pi^{-1}(b), 1], \\ \mu : \text{ad}P \times_B \text{ad}P &\rightarrow \text{ad}P, \quad \mu([x, g], [x, h]) = [x, gh], \\ \iota : \text{ad}P &\rightarrow \text{ad}P, \quad \iota([x, g]) = [x, g^{-1}], \end{aligned}$$

where $[x, g]$ is an equivalence class of $(x, g) \in P \times G$ in $\text{ad}P$.

Now we define a fibrewise A_n -map between fibrewise topological monoids just by replacing objects and arrows with fibrewise ones and the interval $[0, 1]$ with the fibrewise interval I_B (see [21] for the definition of the usual A_n -maps between topological monoids).

Definition 3.3. Let X and Y be fibrewise topological monoids over B . A fibrewise map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a fibrewise A_n -map if there exists a sequence of fibrewise maps $\{h_i : I_B^{i-1} \times_B X^i \rightarrow Y\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ such that $h_1 = f$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &h_i(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, x_1, \dots, x_i) \\ &= \begin{cases} h_{i-1}(t_1, \dots, \widehat{t_j}, \dots, t_{i-1}, x_1, \dots, x_j x_{j+1}, \dots, x_i) & t_j = 0, \\ h_j(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, x_1, \dots, x_j) h_{i-j}(t_{j+1}, \dots, t_{i-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_i) & t_j = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By a quite analogous proof to [22] and [7], we can see the following properties of fibrewise A_n -maps.

Proposition 3.4. (1) *If a fibrewise map f is fibrewise homotopic to a fibrewise A_n -map, then so is f .*
 (2) *The composition of fibrewise A_n -maps is a fibrewise A_n -map.*

- (3) *A homotopy inverse of a fibrewise homotopy equivalence which is a fibrewise A_n -map is a fibrewise A_n -map.*

It follows from the above proposition that fibrewise homotopy equivalences which are fibrewise A_n -maps give an equivalence relation among fibrewise topological monoids. We call this equivalence a fibrewise A_n -equivalence.

Let us characterize fibrewise A_n -maps using the fibrewise analogue of projective spaces as in [21]. Note that we do not have appropriate quasi-fibrations in our fibrewise category. That is, we do not have weak equivalences nor quasi-fibrations, which can be replaced with fibrewise fibrations by weak equivalences, in our fibrewise category. Then it seems impossible to mimic the proof of [21, Theorem 4.5] directly. However, we only need to deal with fibrewise topological groups and we can overcome the above difficulty by restricting ourselves to fibrewise topological groups.

Let G be a fibrewise topological group over B . Then, by [3, p.37], we have a fibrewise analogue of the Milnor construction for classifying spaces. Denote the n -th stage of the fibrewise Milnor construction for G by $\mathbf{E}_B^n G \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_B^n G$, which is a finite numerable fibrewise fibre bundle. Thus, by a quite analogous observation of [19, Corollary 14], we have:

Lemma 3.5. *The fibrewise map $\mathbf{E}_B^n G \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_B^n G$ is a fibrewise fibration.*

It will be convenient for later use to state a characterization of fibrewise A_n -maps by using a fibrewise analogue of the Dold-Lashof construction which coincides with the Milnor construction in the usual case (see, for example, [8]). Then we define the fibrewise Dold-Lashof construction only by replacing everything in the Dold-Lashof construction with a fibrewise one as follows. Let H be a fibrewise topological monoid having a fibrewise action on E , denoted $m : H \times_B E \rightarrow E$ (see [3, p.15]). Start with a fibrewise map $q : E \rightarrow X$ enjoying $q(m(h, x)) = p(x)$ for $(h, x) \in H \times_B X$. Let $\mathrm{DL}_B(E)$ be the fibrewise quotient of $(H \times_B C_B E) \sqcup E$ by the relation $(h, (1, x)) \sim \mu(h, x)$ for $(h, (1, x)) \in H \times_B C_B E$ and let $\mathrm{DL}_B(X)$ be the fibrewise quotient of $C_B E \sqcup X$ by $(1, x) \sim q(x)$ for $(1, x) \in C_B E$. Then the Dold-Lashof construction for q is the fibrewise map

$$\mathrm{DL}_B(q) : \mathrm{DL}_B(E) \rightarrow \mathrm{DL}_B(X), (h, (t, x)) \mapsto (t, x).$$

Note that we do not have to take much care for topologies of $\mathrm{DL}_B(E)$ and $\mathrm{DL}_B(X)$ since we work in the category of spaces having the homotopy types of CW-complexes. Since H is fibrewise associative, we can apply the Dold-Lashof construction iteratively. We denote the iterated Dold-Lashof construction $\mathrm{DL}_B^n(\pi_H) : \mathrm{DL}_B^n(H) \rightarrow \mathrm{DL}_B^n(B)$ for the projection $\pi_H : H \rightarrow B$ by $\pi_B^n : E_B^n H \rightarrow P_B^n H$. As in the usual case, we can easily verify that if H is a fibrewise topological group, $\pi_B^n : E_B^n H \rightarrow P_B^n H$ coincides with the n -th stage of the Milnor construction $\mathbf{E}_B^n H \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_B^n H$ [8].

We follow [13] to characterize fibrewise A_n -maps. Then we first define a fibrewise A_n -structure of a fibrewise A_n -map. Let $D_B^n X = C_B E_B^n X$ for a fibrewise topological monoid X .

Definition 3.6. Let X and Y be fibrewise topological monoids over B . A fibrewise A_n -structure of a fibrewise map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ consists of:

- (1) f respects fibrewise units of X and Y .

(2) There are sequences of commutative squares of fibrewise maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (D_B^{i+1}X, E_B^iX) & \xrightarrow{f_E^i} & (D_B^{i+1}Y, E_B^iY) \\ \pi_{i+1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{i+1} \\ (P_B^{i+1}X, P_B^iX) & \xrightarrow{f_P^i} & (P_B^{i+1}Y, P_B^iY) \end{array}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ such that $f_E^1|_X = f$, $f_E^i|_{D_B^iX} = f_E^{i-1}$, $f_P^i|_{P_B^iX} = f_P^{i-1}$.

Now we give a characterization of a fibrewise A_n -map.

Theorem 3.7. *Let X be a fibrewise topological monoid over B and let Y be a fibrewise well-pointed topological group over B . A fibrewise map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a fibrewise A_n -map if and only if f possesses a fibrewise A_n -structure.*

Proof. The if part is done by Sugawara's construction [23]. In order to prove the only if part, we can mimic the proof of [21, Theorem 4.5] without replacement of quasi-fibrations with fibrations by Lemma 3.5. $\square$

4. SET OF SECTIONS

In this section, we consider the set of sections of a fibrewise space and prove Theorem 1.2. Let X be a fibrewise space over B . We denote the set of sections of X by $\Gamma(X)$. Then it is obvious that Γ is a functor from $\underline{\text{Top}} \downarrow B$ to $\underline{\text{Top}}$. Note that, by the pointwise multiplication, $\Gamma(X)$ is a topological monoid and a topological group according as X is a fibrewise topological monoid and a fibrewise topological group. In particular, for a principal bundle P , $\Gamma(\text{ad}P)$ is a topological group by which we have an isomorphism of topological groups

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{G}(P) \cong \Gamma(\text{ad}P)$$

(see [2]).

Let $C : \underline{\text{Top}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Top}}$ be the unreduced cone functor. We define a natural transformation $\lambda : C\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma C_B$ by

$$(4.2) \quad \lambda : C\Gamma(X) \rightarrow \Gamma(C_B X), \quad \lambda(t, s)(b) = (t, s(b))$$

for $b \in B$. Let H be a fibrewise topological monoid with a fibrewise action $\mu : H \times_B E \rightarrow E$ and let $q : E \rightarrow X$ be a fibrewise map such that $q(\mu(h, x)) = x$ for $(h, x) \in H \times_B E$. Then, by definition, the natural transformation λ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{DL}(\Gamma(E)) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\lambda}} & \Gamma(\text{DL}_B(E)) \\ \text{DL}(\Gamma(q)) \downarrow & & \downarrow \Gamma(\text{DL}_B(q)) \\ \text{DL}(\Gamma(X)) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \Gamma(\text{DL}_B(X)) \end{array}$$

in which all maps respect the action of $\Gamma(H)$, where $\text{DL}(-)$ means the usual Dold-Lashof construction. Then it follows that we have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (D^{n+1}\Gamma(H), E^n\Gamma(H)) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\lambda}_n} & (\Gamma(D_B^{n+1}H), \Gamma(E_B^nH)) \\ \pi^{n+1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_B^{n+1} \\ (P^{n+1}\Gamma(H), P^n\Gamma(H)) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_n} & (\Gamma(P_B^{n+1}H), \Gamma(P_B^nH)) \end{array}$$

for all n such that

$$\bar{\lambda}_n|_{D^n\Gamma(H)} = \bar{\lambda}_{n-1}, \quad \lambda_n|_{P^n\Gamma(H)} = \lambda_{n-1},$$

where, for a topological monoid Y , $\pi^n : E^nY \rightarrow P^nY$ is $\text{DL}^n(*) : \text{DL}(Y) \rightarrow \text{DL}(*)$ and $D^{n+1}Y = CE^nY$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that we have an A_n -splitting of (1.1). Then, by Lemma 2.2, we have an A_n -section σ of $\pi : \mathcal{G}(P) \rightarrow G$ which is identified with the evaluation at the basepoint $\Gamma(\text{ad}P) \rightarrow G$ through the isomorphism (4.1). Define a fibrewise map

$$\theta : B \times G \rightarrow \text{ad}P, \quad \theta(b, g) = \sigma(g)(b).$$

Then we have $\theta|_{\{b_0\} \times G} \simeq 1_G$ since σ is a section of the evaluation at the basepoint $\Gamma(\text{ad}P) \rightarrow G$, where b_0 is the basepoint of B . Thus, by Dold's theorem [5], θ is a fibrewise homotopy equivalence.

Since σ is an A_n -map, it possesses an A_n -structure in the sense of [13]; that is, there is a sequence of homotopy commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (D^{i+1}G, E^iG) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_E^i} & (D^{i+1}\Gamma(\text{ad}P), E^i\Gamma(\text{ad}P)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (P^{i+1}G, P^iG) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_P^i} & (P^{i+1}\Gamma(\text{ad}P), P^i\Gamma(\text{ad}P)) \end{array}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ in which $\sigma_1^E|_G = \sigma, \sigma_E^i|_{D^iG} = f_E^{i-1}, f_P^i|_{P^iG} = f_P^{i-1}$. Note that

$$D_B^i(B \times G) = B \times G, \quad E_B^i(B \times G) = B \times E^iG, \quad P^i(B \times G) = B \times P^iG$$

and then we shall make these identifications. Define fibrewise maps

$$\theta_E^i : (D_B^{i+1}(B \times G), E_B^i(B \times G)) \rightarrow (D_B^{i+1}\text{ad}P, E_B^i\text{ad}P)$$

and

$$\theta_P^i : (P_B^{i+1}(B \times G), P_B^i(B \times G)) \rightarrow (P_B^{i+1}\text{ad}P, P_B^i\text{ad}P)$$

by

$$\theta_E^i(b, x) = \bar{\lambda}_i(\sigma_E^i(x))(b), \quad \theta_P^i(b, y) = \lambda_i(\sigma_P^i(y))(b)$$

for $b \in B, x \in D^{i+1}G, y \in P^{i+1}G$. Then these fibrewise maps give a fibrewise A_n -structure of θ and therefore, by Theorem 3.7, θ is a fibrewise A_n -equivalence.

Let X be a fibrewise space over B . As in (4.2), we have a map

$$\rho : [0, 1] \times \Gamma(V) \rightarrow \Gamma(I_B \times_B X), \quad \rho(t, s)(b) = (t, s(b))$$

for $(t, s) \in [0, 1] \times \Gamma(V)$ and $b \in B$. Then a fibrewise A_n -map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ for fibrewise topological monoids X, Y induces an A_n -map $\Gamma(f) : \Gamma(X) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y)$ in the sense of [21].

Suppose that we have a fibrewise A_n -equivalence $\theta : B \times G \rightarrow \text{ad}P$. Then it follows that we have an A_n -equivalence $\Gamma(\theta) : \Gamma(B \times G) \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{ad}P)$. Now we have an isomorphism of topological groups $\Gamma(B \times G) \cong \text{map}(B, G)$ which is natural with respect to B . Then the evaluation at the basepoint $\Gamma(B \times G) \rightarrow G$ is nothing but the evaluation at the basepoint $\text{map}(B, G) \rightarrow G$ which admits a section as topological groups. Then we obtain an A_n -section of $\pi : \Gamma(\text{ad}P) \rightarrow G$ and thus, by Lemma 2.2, we have established an A_n -splitting of (1.1). $\square$

5. $H(k, l)$ -SPACE

In this section, we consider the second question, that is, a criterion for an A_n -splitting of (1.1). Our major tool is the homotopy equivalence (1.2). Then let us first recall the construction of the homotopy equivalence (1.2). Let G be a topological group. We denote by $\text{map}^G(X, Y)$ the space of all G -equivariant maps from X to Y for G -spaces X, Y . Let P and Q be principal G -bundles. Then $\mathcal{G}(P)$ acts on $\text{map}^G(X, Y)$ by composition. Now we consider the case $Q = EG$. Then we have:

Lemma 5.1 ([11, Theorem 5.2], [2, Proposition 2.4]). (1) $\text{map}^G(P, EG)$ is contractible.

(2) The action of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ on $\text{map}^G(P, EG)$ is free.

Then we have the universal $\mathcal{G}(P)$ -bundle:

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{G}(P) \rightarrow \text{map}^G(P, EG) \rightarrow \text{map}^G(P, EG)/\mathcal{G}(P).$$

Let us denote by θ the map $\text{map}^G(P, EG) \rightarrow \text{map}(B, BG; \alpha)$ induced from the projections $P \rightarrow B$ and $EG \rightarrow BG$, where B is the base space of P and α is the classifying map of P . Then one can easily see that the map θ induces a homeomorphism

$$(5.2) \quad \bar{\theta} : \text{map}^G(P, EG)/\mathcal{G}(P) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{map}(B, BG; \alpha),$$

which is natural with respect to P . Thus we obtain a homotopy equivalence

$$\hat{\theta} : B\mathcal{G}(P) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{map}(B, BG; \alpha),$$

which is natural with respect to P .

Consider the topological group G as the principal G -bundle over a point and identify $\mathcal{G}(G)$ with G . Then the basepoint inclusion $i : b_0 \rightarrow B$ induces a homotopy commutative diagram:

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B\mathcal{G}(P) & \xrightarrow{B\pi} & BG \\ \simeq \downarrow \hat{\theta} & & \parallel \hat{\theta} \\ \text{map}(B, BG; \alpha) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \text{map}(b_0, BG; 0), \end{array}$$

where 0 stands for the constant map. Then the evaluation at the basepoint $e : \text{map}(B, BG; \alpha) \rightarrow BG$ is a model for $B\pi : B\mathcal{G}(P) \rightarrow BG$, and this leads us to the following definition of $H(k, l)$ -spaces. Let $i_k : P^k\Omega X \rightarrow P^\infty\Omega X \simeq X$ denote the canonical inclusion.

Definition 5.2. A space X is called an $H(k, l)$ -space if there is a map $m : P^k\Omega X \times P^l\Omega X \rightarrow X$ satisfying a homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P^k\Omega X \vee P^l\Omega X & \xrightarrow{i_k \vee i_l} & X \\ \downarrow j & & \parallel \\ P^k\Omega X \times P^l\Omega X & \xrightarrow{m} & X, \end{array}$$

where j is the inclusion.

It is obvious that an $H(k, l)$ -space is an $H(k', l')$ -space if $k \geq k'$ or $l \geq l'$. The loop space of an $H(1, 1)$ -space is homotopy commutative and an $H(\infty, \infty)$ -space is an H -space. The loop spaces of $H(k, l)$ -spaces give intermediate states between H -spaces and the loop spaces of H -spaces which will be discussed in section 7. On the other hand, an $H(\infty, k)$ -space is Aguadé's T_k -space [1]. In particular, an $H(1, \infty)$ -space is Aguadé's T -space, and this can also be seen by the fibrewise homotopy equivalence $\text{ad}EG \simeq LBG$ over BG , where LX is the free loop space of X .

An $H(k, l)$ -space is defined to satisfy the following lemma:

Lemma 5.3. *If a classifying space of a topological group G is an $H(k, l)$ -space, then there is an A_n -splitting of the exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0(E^k G) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(E^k G) \xrightarrow{\pi} G \rightarrow 1$.*

Proof. Recall first from [22] that, for A_∞ -spaces X, Y , a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an A_n -map if and only if its adjoint $\bar{f} : \Sigma X \rightarrow P^\infty Y$ extends to $P^n X \rightarrow P^\infty Y$ up to homotopy.

Suppose that X is an $H(k, l)$ -space by $m : P^k\Omega X \times P^l\Omega X \rightarrow X$. Then, by the exponential law, the adjoint of m restricts to a map $\hat{m} : \Sigma\Omega X \rightarrow \text{map}(P^k\Omega X, X; i_k)$ such that $e \circ \hat{m} \simeq i_1$, where $e : \text{map}(P^k\Omega X, X; i_k) \rightarrow X$ is the evaluation at the basepoint. Then the adjoint of $\hat{m}$, say $\bar{m} : \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega\text{map}(P^k\Omega X, X; i_k)$, is a homotopy section of Ωe and thus $\bar{m}$ is an A_n -map. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2 and (5.3), Lemma 5.3 is established. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. It is well known that $\text{cat} B \leq k$ if and only if $i_k : P^k\Omega B \rightarrow B$ admits a homotopy section. Then, by naturality of i_k , if $\text{cat} B \leq k$, each map $f : B \rightarrow BG$ admits a map $\bar{f} : B \rightarrow P^k G$ such that $i_k \circ \bar{f} \simeq f$. This implies that $\bar{f}^{-1}E^k G \cong P$ and then an A_n -section for $\pi : \mathcal{G}(E^k G) \rightarrow G$ induces that of $\pi : \mathcal{G}(P) \rightarrow G$. Thus, by Lemma 5.3, the proof is completed. $\square$

6. INVESTIGATING $H(1, n)$ -SPACES

In the previous section, we have obtained the universal $\mathcal{G}(P)$ -bundle (5.1). Then it follows from (5.2) that there is a homotopy equivalence $\varphi : \text{map}^G(P, EG; \alpha)/\mathcal{G}_0(P) \rightarrow \text{map}_0(B, BG; \alpha)$ and $\bar{\varphi} : B\mathcal{G}_0(P) \rightarrow \text{map}^G(P, EG; \alpha)/\mathcal{G}_0(P)$ such that the following diagram of fibre sequences is homotopy commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} G & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B\mathcal{G}_0(P) & \xrightarrow{B\iota} & B\mathcal{G}(P) & \xrightarrow{B\pi} & BG \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \bar{\varphi} \simeq & & \downarrow \bar{\theta} & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{G}(P)/\mathcal{G}_0(P) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{map}^G(P, EG; f)/\mathcal{G}_0(P) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{map}^G(P, EG; \alpha)/\mathcal{G}(P) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & BG \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \varphi \simeq & & \downarrow \bar{\theta} \simeq & & \parallel \\ G & \xrightarrow{\delta_\alpha} & \text{map}_0(B, BG; \alpha) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{map}(B, BG; \alpha) & \xrightarrow{e} & BG. \end{array}$$

The aim of this section is to study the connecting map δ_α and characterize $H(1, n)$ -spaces by it. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} G & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{map}_0(BG, BG; 1) & \longrightarrow & \text{map}(BG, BG; 1) & \xrightarrow{e} & BG \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \alpha^* & & \downarrow \alpha^* & & \parallel \\ G & \xrightarrow{\delta_\alpha} & \text{map}_0(B, BG; \alpha) & \longrightarrow & \text{map}(B, BG; \alpha) & \xrightarrow{e} & BG. \end{array}$$

Then it is sufficient to consider the universal connecting map $\delta : G \rightarrow \text{map}_0(BG, BG; 1)$.

Put $\mathcal{E} = \text{map}^G(EG, EG)$, $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(EG)$ and $\mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{G}_0(EG)$. Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{E}$ consisting of G -equivariant maps $EG \rightarrow EG$ restricting to the identity on the fibre at the basepoint. Then we have a fibre sequence $\mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{map}^G(G, EG)$ induced from the basepoint inclusion of BG . Then it follows from Lemma 5.1 that $\mathcal{E}_0$ is contractible and $\mathcal{G}_0$ acts freely on $\mathcal{E}_0$ by composition. Then we have the universal $\mathcal{G}_0$ -bundle

$$\mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{G}_0.$$

On the other hand, the projection $\theta_0 : \mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \text{map}_0(BG, BG; 1)$ induces a homeomorphism

$$\bar{\theta}_0 : \mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{G}_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{map}_0(BG, BG; 1).$$

Note that the inclusion $\kappa : \mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ induces a map $\bar{\kappa} : \mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{G}$ by which the diagram

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{G}_0 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\kappa}} & \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{G}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{G} \\ \downarrow \bar{\theta}_0 & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \bar{\theta} \\ \text{map}_0(BG, BG; 1) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{map}_0(BG, BG; 1) & \longrightarrow & \text{map}(BG, BG; 1) \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy.

Let us construct an alternative universal $\mathcal{G}$ -bundle to describe the connecting map δ . Following Milnor [18], we denote an element of EG by $t_0g_0 \oplus t_1g_1 \oplus \cdots$ for $\sum_i t_i = 1$, $t_i \geq 0$ and $g_i \in G$ such that finite t_i 's are positive. The basepoint of EG is $1e \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots$, where e is the unity of G . For $g \in G$, we denote by ξ_g the principal bundle map

$$EG \rightarrow EG, \quad t_0g_0 \oplus t_1g_1 \oplus \cdots \mapsto t_0g^{-1}g_0 \oplus t_1g^{-1}g_1 \oplus \cdots.$$

Then we have a commutative diagram:

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} EG & \xrightarrow{\xi_g} & EG \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BG & \xrightarrow{\text{Bad}(g)} & BG. \end{array}$$

Now we let $\mathcal{G}$ act on $\mathcal{E}_0 \times EG$ from the right by

$$(f, x) \cdot g = (\xi_{\pi(g)^{-1}} \circ f \circ g, x \cdot \pi(g))$$

for $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and $(f, x) \in \mathcal{E}_0 \times EG$. One can easily check that this action is free, and then we have established the universal $\mathcal{G}$ -bundle

$$\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0 \times EG \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_0 \times EG)/\mathcal{G}.$$

Thus there exist a homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{G} \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_0 \times EG)/\mathcal{G}$ and a $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant homotopy equivalence $\nu : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0 \times EG$ by which the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{G} & \xlongequal{\quad} & B\mathcal{G} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \nu \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}_0 \times EG & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{E}_0 \times EG)/\mathcal{G} & \xlongequal{\quad} & B\mathcal{G} \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy. Since the above diagram is that of $\mathcal{G}_0$ -spaces and $\mathcal{G}_0$ -equivariant maps, we obtain a homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} G \xlongequal{\quad} \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{G}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{G} & \xlongequal{\quad} & B\mathcal{G} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \bar{\nu} \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{E}_0 \times EG)/\mathcal{G}_0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{E}_0 \times EG)/\mathcal{G} & \xlongequal{\quad} & B\mathcal{G}. \end{array}$$

Note that the above action of $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathcal{E}_0 \times EG$ restricts to the product of the usual action of $\mathcal{G}_0$ on $\mathcal{E}_0$ and the trivial action of $\mathcal{G}_0$ on EG . Then we have $(\mathcal{E}_0 \times EG)/\mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{G}_0 \times EG$ and thus the first projection $\pi_1 : \mathcal{E}_0 \times EG \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0$ induces a homotopy equivalence $\bar{\pi}_0 : (\mathcal{E}_0 \times EG)/\mathcal{G}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{G}_0$. Since the map $\text{map}^{\mathcal{G}_0}(\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_0)$ is contractible, in particular, path connected, the $\mathcal{G}_0$ -equivariant map $\pi_1 \circ \nu \circ \kappa$ is homotopic to the identity of $\mathcal{E}_0$ as $\mathcal{G}_0$ -equivariant maps. Then, by (6.2), we have established a homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{G}_0 & & \\ & & \uparrow \bar{\pi}_1 & & \\ G & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{E}_0 \times EG)/\mathcal{G}_0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{E}_0 \times EG)/\mathcal{G} \\ & & \uparrow \bar{\nu} & & \uparrow \simeq \\ G & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{G}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{G} \\ & & \uparrow \bar{\kappa} & & \downarrow \bar{\theta} \simeq \\ & & \mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{G}_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad \varphi \quad} & \\ & & \downarrow \bar{\theta}_0 & & \\ G & \longrightarrow & \text{map}_0(B\mathcal{G}, B\mathcal{G}; 1) & \longrightarrow & \text{map}(B\mathcal{G}, B\mathcal{G}; 1). \end{array}$$

Therefore we have obtained:

Lemma 6.1. *There is a homotopy commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{G}_0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{E}_0 \times EG)/\mathcal{G} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \bar{\theta}_0 \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ G & \xrightarrow{\quad \delta \quad} & \text{map}_0(B\mathcal{G}, B\mathcal{G}; 1) & \longrightarrow & \text{map}(B\mathcal{G}, B\mathcal{G}; 1). \end{array}$$

In particular, the connecting map δ is Bad.

Theorem 1.4 follows from (6.1).

Remark 6.2. It is an old problem to describe the connecting map of the evaluation fibration:

$$\mathrm{map}_0(X, Y; f) \rightarrow \mathrm{map}(X, Y; f) \rightarrow Y.$$

Whitehead [25] first studied the case when X is a sphere and, later, Lang [16] studied the case when X is a suspension. They described the connecting map by Whitehead products. By [17], Y has the homotopy type of the classifying space of some topological group when Y is a connected countable simplicial complex. Then Theorem 1.4 is not only a characterization of $H(1, n)$ -spaces but also an answer to the above old problem. One can easily deduce the results of Whitehead [25] and Lang [16] by using the adjointness of Whitehead products and Samelson products.

7. $C(k, l)$ -SPACE

In this section, we discuss a relation between $H(k, l)$ -spaces and higher homotopy commutativity as promised in section 5. Higher homotopy commutativity was first introduced by Sugawara [23] as intermediate states between loop spaces and loop spaces of H -spaces. Later, Williams [26] introduced another kind of higher homotopy commutativity using associahedra in section 2. Recently, Hemmi and Kawamoto [12] studied a relation between higher homotopy commutativity, Aguadé's T_k -spaces [1] and Félix and Tanré's $H(n)$ -spaces [6]. In order to relate them, they introduced $H_k(n)$ -spaces and $C_k(n)$ -spaces. $H_k(n)$ -spaces collect Aguadé's T_k -spaces and Félix and Tanré's $H(n)$ -spaces whose definition is given by a sequence of $H(k, l)$ -spaces for $k + l = n$ (see [12]). On the other hand, $C_k(n)$ -spaces are defined as follows by using Gel'fand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky's polytopes called resultohedra (see [9], [10] for the definition of resultohedra).

Let $\mathbf{R}_+ = \{x \in \mathbf{R} | x \geq 0\}$. The resultohedron $N_{m,n}$ is an $(m+n-1)$ -dimensional polytope in $\mathbf{R}_+^{m+n+2}$ which consists of all points $(p_0, \dots, p_m, q_0, \dots, q_n) \in \mathbf{R}_+^{m+n+2}$ satisfying:

$$\sum_{i=0}^m p_i = n, \quad \sum_{i=0}^n q_i = m, \quad h_{i,j} \geq 0, \quad h_{m,n} = 0,$$

where

$$(7.1) \quad h_{i,j} = \sum_{k=0}^i (i-k)p_k + \sum_{l=0}^j (j-l)q_l - ij$$

for $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$. Then, in particular, $N_{0,0}$ is the one-point set and $N_{k,1}$ and $N_{1,k}$ are affinely homeomorphic to the k -simplex Δ^k . Vertices of $N_{m,n}$ are labelled by integer lattice paths from $(0,0)$ to (m,n) .

For $x = p_i, q_j$ and $h_{i,j}$ in (7.1), we put

$$N(x) = \{(p_0, \dots, p_m, q_0, \dots, q_n) \in N_{m,n} | x = 0\}.$$

Gel'fand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky [10] described the face maps

$$\epsilon^{(p_i)} : N_{m-1,n} \rightarrow N(p_i), \quad \epsilon^{(q_j)} : N_{m,n-1} \rightarrow N(q_j), \quad \epsilon^{(h_{i,j})} : N_{i,j} \times N_{m-i,n-j} \rightarrow N(h_{i,j}).$$

On the other hand, Hemmi and Kawamoto [12] described the degeneracy maps

$$\delta_i : N_{m,n} \rightarrow N_{m-1,m}, \quad \delta'_j : N_{m,n} \rightarrow N_{m,n-1}.$$

Now a $C_k(n)$ -space is defined by a coherent sequence of maps $Q_{r,s} : N_{r,s} \times X^{r+s} \rightarrow X$ for a topological monoid X , $r + s \leq n$ and $s \leq k$ (see [12] for a precise definition). The main result of [12] is:

Theorem 7.1 ([12, Theorem A]). *A connected topological monoid is a $C_k(n)$ -space if and only if its classifying space is an $H_k(n)$ -space.*

As noted above, the definition of an $H_k(n)$ -space is a collection of $H(k, l)$ -spaces for $k + l \leq n$ and, actually, the proof of Theorem 7.1 is done by collecting constructions on $H(k, l)$ -spaces. Then, by defining $C(k, l)$ -spaces as follows, which is a modification of that of $C_k(n)$ -spaces, we obtain Theorem 1.6.

Definition 7.2. A topological monoid X is a $C(k, l)$ -space if there exists a sequence of maps $Q_{r,s} : N_{r,s} \times X^{r+s} \rightarrow X$ for $0 \leq r \leq k$ and $0 \leq s \leq l$ satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{r,0}(*, x_1, \dots, x_r) &= x_1 \cdots x_r, \quad Q_{0,s}(*, y_1, \dots, y_s) = y_1 \cdots y_s, \\ Q_{r,s}(\epsilon^{(p_i)}(\sigma), x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s) &= \begin{cases} x_1 \cdot Q_{r-1,s}(\sigma, x_2, \dots, y_s) & i = 0 \\ Q_{r-1,s}(\sigma, x_1, \dots, x_i x_{i+1}, \dots, y_s) & 0 < i < r \\ Q_{r-1,s}(\sigma, x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}, y_1, \dots, y_s) & i = r, \end{cases} \\ Q_{r,s}(\epsilon^{(q_j)}(\sigma), x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s) &= \begin{cases} y_1 \cdot Q_{r,s-1}(\sigma, x_1, \dots, x_r, y_2, \dots, y_s) & j = 0 \\ Q_{r,s-1}(\sigma, x_1, \dots, y_j y_{j+1}, \dots, y_s) & 0 < j < s \\ Q_{r,s-1}(\sigma, x_1, \dots, y_{s-1}) & j = s, \end{cases} \\ Q_{r,s}(\epsilon^{(h_{i,j})}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2), x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s) &= Q_{i,j}(\sigma_1, x_1, \dots, x_i, y_1, \dots, y_j) \cdot Q_{r-i,s-j}(\sigma_2, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_r, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_s), \\ Q_{r,s}(\sigma, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, *, x_{i+1}, \dots, y_s) &= Q_{r-1,s}(\delta_i(\sigma), x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, y_s), \\ Q_{r,s}(\sigma, x_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, *, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_s) &= Q_{r,s-1}(\delta'_j(\sigma), x_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_s). \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Aguadé, *Decomposable free loop spaces*, Canad. J. Math. **39** (1987), no. 4, 938-955. MR915024 (88m:55012)
- [2] M.F. Atiyah and R. Bott, *The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **308** (1983), no. 1505, 523-615. MR702806 (85k:14006)
- [3] M. Crabb and I. James, *Fibrewise Homotopy Theory*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 1998. MR1646248 (99k:55001)
- [4] M.C. Crabb and W.A. Sutherland, *Counting homotopy types of gauge groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **81** (2000), no. 3, 747-768. MR1781154 (2001m:55024)
- [5] A. Dold, *Partitions of unity in the theory of fibrations*, Ann. of Math. (2) **78** (1963) 223-255. MR0155330 (27:5264)
- [6] Y. Félix and D. Tanré, *H-space structure on pointed mapping spaces*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **5** (2005), 713-724 (electronic). MR2153111 (2006a:55020)
- [7] M. Fuchs, *Verallgemeinerte Homotopie-Homomorphismen und klassifizierende Räume*, Math. Ann. **161** (1965) 197-230. MR0195090 (33:3295)
- [8] P. Gajer, *Geometry of Deligne cohomology*, Invent. Math. **127** (1997), no. 1, 155-207. MR1423029 (98f:14012)
- [9] I.M. Gel'fand, M.M. Kapranov and A.V. Zelevinsky, *Newton polytopes of the classical resultant and discriminant*, Adv. Math. **84** (1990), no. 2, 237-254. MR1080979 (92a:14060)
- [10] I.M. Gel'fand, M.M. Kapranov and A.V. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, resultants, and multi-dimensional determinants*, Mathematics: Theory & Applications, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994. MR1264417 (95e:14045)
- [11] D.H. Gottlieb, *Applications of bundle map theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **171** (1972), 23-50. MR0309111 (46:8222)

- [12] Y. Hemmi and Y. Kawamoto, *Higher homotopy commutativity and the resultohedra*, preprint.
- [13] N. Iwase and M. Mimura, *Higher homotopy associativity*, Algebraic topology (Arcata, CA, 1986), 193-220, Lecture Notes in Math., **1370**, Springer, Berlin, 1989. MR1000378 (90f:55017)
- [14] A. Kono, *A note on the homotopy type of certain gauge groups*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **117** (1991), no. 3-4, 295-297. MR1103296 (92b:55005)
- [15] A. Kono and S. Tsukuda, *4-manifolds X over $BSU(2)$ and the corresponding homotopy types $\text{map}(X, BSU(2))$* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra **151** (2000), no. 3, 227-237. MR1776430 (2001k:55020)
- [16] G.E. Lang, Jr., *The evaluation map and EHP sequences*, Pacific J. Math. **44** (1973), 201-210. MR0341484 (49:6235)
- [17] J. Milnor, *Construction of universal bundles. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **63** (1956), 272-284. MR0077122 (17:994b)
- [18] J. Milnor, *Construction of universal bundles. II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **63** (1956), 430-436. MR0077932 (17:1120a)
- [19] E.H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Toronto, Ont.-London, 1966. MR0210112 (35:1007)
- [20] J.D. Stasheff, *Homotopy associativity of H -spaces I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 275-292. MR0158400 (28:1623)
- [21] J.D. Stasheff, *Homotopy associativity of H -spaces II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 293-312. MR0158400 (28:1623)
- [22] J.D. Stasheff, *H -spaces from a Homotopy Point of View*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **161**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970. MR0270372 (42:5261)
- [23] M. Sugawara, *On the homotopy-commutativity of groups and loop spaces*, Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser. A Math. **33** (1960/1961), 257-269. MR0120645 (22:11394)
- [24] S. Tsukuda, *Comparing the homotopy types of the components of $\text{map}(S^4, BSU(2))$* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra **161** (2001), no. 1-2, 235-243. MR1834088 (2002g:55013)
- [25] G.W. Whitehead, *On products in homotopy groups*, Ann. of Math (2) **47**, (1946), 460-475. MR0016672 (8:50b)
- [26] F.D. Williams, *Higher homotopy-commutativity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (1969) 191-206. MR0240818 (39:2163)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN
E-mail address: kishi@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN
E-mail address: kono@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp