FINE ASYMPTOTICS OF CHRISTOFFEL FUNCTIONS FOR GENERAL MEASURES

ELLIOT FINDLEY

ABSTRACT. Let μ be a measure on the unit circle satisfying Szegő's condition. In 1991, A. Máté calculated precisely the first-order asymptotic behavior of the sequence of Christoffel functions associated with μ when the point of evaluation lies on the circle, resolving a long-standing open problem. We extend his results to measures supported on smooth curves in the plane. In the process, we derive new asymptotic estimates for the Cesáro means of the corresponding 1-Faber polynomials and investigate some applications to orthogonal polynomials, linear ill-posed problems and the mean ergodic theorem.

INTRODUCTION

Let μ be a Borel measure of the complex plane with compact support, Γ . The so-called Christoffel functions associated with μ are defined by

$$(1) \quad \lambda_n(\mu, z) := \inf \frac{1}{|P(z)|^2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int |P^2| d\mu,$$

where the infimum is evaluated over all complex polynomials of degree at most $n-1$ which do not vanish at z . We want to understand how the asymptotic behavior of this sequence depends on the geometry of the support, Γ , when the point of evaluation, $z \in \Gamma$. It is easy to see, for instance, that $\lambda_n(\mu, z) \rightarrow \mu(\{z\})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if Γ is sufficiently regular and z lies on the outer boundary of Γ . What is the rate of this convergence? For certain classes of measures supported on a circle or a union of intervals, the answer is already known to first order ([6], [12]): If μ is absolutely continuous with weight, μ' , in Szegő's class (defined below), then

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \lambda_n(\mu, e^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \mu'(e^{i\theta}) \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \lambda_n(\mu, x) = \pi \sqrt{1-x^2} \mu'(x)$$

(almost everywhere on the supports) when Γ is, respectively, the unit circle or the interval, $[-1, 1]$. The geometric significance of these equations is elucidated by elementary potential theory, which we briefly review.

Every measure, μ , with compact support, Γ , has associated logarithmic energy defined as follows:

$$I(\mu) := \int \int \log \frac{1}{|z-w|} d\mu(z) d\mu(w).$$

Received by the editors October 7, 2008 and, in revised form, March 2, 2009, April 20, 2009 and May 21, 2009.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30E10.

This research was partially supported by NSF grant NSF DMS 0700471.

©2011 American Mathematical Society
 Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

If Γ is sufficiently dense, then $I(\nu) > \epsilon > 0$ for all probability measures, where ν is supported on Γ . In this case, the fundamental theorem of potential theory guarantees a unique ν , called an equilibrium measure and denoted ν_Γ , whose logarithmic energy is least among all such measures. It is supported on the outer boundary of Γ and determined entirely by the geometry of this boundary. Let Ω be the unbounded component of $\mathbf{C} \setminus \Gamma$ and $g_\Omega(z, \infty)$ be its Green's function with pole at infinity. If Γ is smooth, then we may exploit the following useful representation of equilibrium measure:

$$(3) \quad d\nu_\Gamma(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\partial g_\Omega(z, \infty)}{\partial \mathbf{n}} ds \quad (z \in \Gamma),$$

where ds denotes arc length measure and $\mathbf{n}$, the outward normal along Γ . Recall that $g_\Omega(z, \infty)$ is the unique harmonic function on Ω which vanishes on the boundary, Γ , and satisfies $g_\Omega(z, \infty) \sim \log |z|$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. If Φ is a conformal mapping of Ω onto the exterior of the unit disk, then, by the uniqueness of Green's function, $g_\Omega(z, \infty) = \log |\Phi(z)|$. Thus, by equation (3), $d\nu_\Gamma = (2\pi)^{-1} |\Phi'| ds$. For example, if $\Gamma = [-1, 1]$, then $d\nu_\Gamma(x) = (\pi\sqrt{1-x^2})^{-1} dx$. (See [8, ch. 3] for a stellar introduction.)

Equations (2) can now be consolidated:

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \lambda_n(\mu, z) = \frac{d\mu}{d\nu_\Gamma}(z),$$

where Γ is the unit circle or interval. Since the Christoffel functions are infima, it is easy to show that the upper bound,

$$(5) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \lambda_n(\mu, z) \leq \frac{d\mu}{d\nu_\Gamma}(z),$$

holds for all finite Borel measures (a.e. on the support). (See [6, p. 435].) Until fairly recently, however, (4) was known only for continuous weights bounded away from zero. That it holds almost everywhere (with respect to ν_Γ) for the more general class of measures satisfying Szegő's condition,

$$(6) \quad \int \log \left(\frac{d\mu}{d\nu_\Gamma} \right) d\nu_\Gamma > -\infty,$$

was a long-standing conjecture, finally proved by Máté, Nevai, and Totik in 1991 ([6]). Since then, Totik has extended this result to measures supported on any compact subset of the real line that is regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem ([12]).

Our main goal is to prove (4) given (6) when Γ is a smooth Jordan curve in the Hölder space $C^{1,\alpha}$. We accomplish this by an abstraction of Máté's technique in [6] and investigate some applications. This is the first extension of these results to measures with general supports in the plane, although Totik has recently proven (4) for regular measures supported on multiple curves whose weights are continuous at z ([13]).

Note that our method does not extend the latter formula in (2), which applies to a measure on $[-1, 1]$. Indeed, no analogues of (4) have been produced for measures supported on general arcs in the plane. The sole result in this direction was proved by Golinskii for smooth weights supported on a single circular arc ([2]); his method relies on explicit asymptotics for the corresponding orthogonal polynomials.

MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this essay, $\mathbf{C}$ denotes the complex plane, U denotes a bounded, simply connected domain with boundary $\Gamma := \partial U$ in the class $C^{1,\alpha}$, and $\Omega := \overline{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \overline{U}$. Δ denotes the closed unit disk and $\Delta_R := \{z : |z| \leq R\}$ with boundaries γ and γ_R , respectively. Φ is the outer mapping function of Γ , a conformal mapping of Ω onto $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \Delta$ with $\Phi(\infty) = \infty$ and $\Psi := \Phi^{-1}$. Φ^* maps $U \rightarrow \Delta$ conformally and $\Psi^* := (\Phi^*)^{-1}$. $\Gamma_R := \Psi(\gamma_R)$ if $R \geq 1$ and $\Gamma_R := \Psi^*(\gamma_R)$ if $R < 1$. U_R is the interior of Γ_R and $\Omega_R := \overline{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \overline{U_R}$. Let ds denote arc length measure and let $d\mu = W|\Phi'|ds + d\mu_s$ be a measure supported on Γ with singular part μ_s . Since $d\mu/d\nu_\Gamma = 2\pi W$ almost everywhere, the following, our main result, correctly abstracts equation (4):

Theorem 1. *Let $d\mu = W|\Phi'|ds + d\mu_s$ be a Borel measure supported on a closed curve $\Gamma \in C^{1,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and assume that W satisfies Szegő's condition, (6). If $\alpha > 1/2$, or W is bounded and $d\mu_s \equiv 0$, then*

$$(7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \lambda_n(\mu, \zeta_0) = W(\zeta_0),$$

for ν_Γ -almost every $\zeta_0 \in \Gamma$.

Theorem 1 is really the intersection of two broader results. Unfortunately, our proof of the upper bound, (5), requires a more stringent restriction on the smoothness of Γ than that of the lower bound. This inadequacy is intrinsic to our method, as will become transparent in the proof.

Theorem 2. *Let $d\mu = W|\Phi'|ds + d\mu_s$ be a Borel measure supported on a closed curve $\Gamma \in C^{1,\alpha}$. If $\alpha > 1/2$, or W is bounded and $d\mu_s \equiv 0$, then*

$$(8) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \lambda_n(\mu, \zeta_0) \leq W(\zeta_0),$$

for ν_Γ -almost every $\zeta_0 \in \Gamma$.

Theorem 3. *Let $d\mu = W|\Phi'|ds + d\mu_s$ be a Borel measure supported on a closed curve $\Gamma \in C^{1,\alpha}$ where $\alpha > 0$. If W satisfies (6), then*

$$(9) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \lambda_n(\mu, \zeta_0) \geq W(\zeta_0),$$

for ν_Γ -almost every $\zeta_0 \in \Gamma$.

Theorem 2 admits the simpler proof, since it is an upper bound on the infimum, λ_n . We simply need a sequence of polynomials whose $L_2(\mu)$ -norms converge at the optimal rate dictated by Theorem 3. This is easy if Γ is the unit circle. With $\zeta_0 = e^{i\phi}$, the sequence

$$(10) \quad Q_n(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\overline{\zeta_0} z)^k$$

suffices: $Q_n(\zeta_0) = 1$ and

$$\frac{n}{2\pi} \int |Q_n(z)|^2 d\mu(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{ik(t-\phi)} \right|^2 d\mu(e^{it}) = \sigma_n(\mu, \zeta),$$

the (order n) Fejér mean of the Fourier-Stieltjes series of $d\mu$ evaluated at ζ_0 . The sequence of Fejér means converges to W for almost every ζ_0 , which proves (8). (See [14, Vol. 1, Th. III.8.1, p.105].) This is the technique of Máté and Nevai in [5]. For

a general curve, Γ , we can deduce the upper bound from this case by substituting z^k in $Q_n(z)$ by the (k -th order) 1-Faber polynomial associated with Γ ,

$$(11) \quad F_k(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_R} \frac{\Phi^k(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \Phi'(\zeta) d\zeta \quad (z \in U_R).$$

Now, redefine Q_n by

$$(12) \quad Q_n(z) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_k(z) \quad \text{and let} \quad S_n(z) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Phi'(z) \Phi^k(z).$$

With $d\tilde{\mu}(\theta) := d\mu(\Psi(e^{i\theta}))$, we have

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \int |S_n|^2 d\mu &= \int \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Phi(\zeta)^k \right|^2 |\Phi'(\zeta)|^2 d\mu(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{ik\theta} \right|^2 |\Psi'(e^{i\theta})|^{-2} d\tilde{\mu}(\theta) = \frac{2\pi}{n} \sigma_n(d\tilde{\mu}/|\Psi'|^2, 1), \end{aligned}$$

so the revised sequence, $\{Q_n\}$, will serve our purpose if we can show that $Q_n - S_n \rightarrow 0$ with sufficient rapidity. We can, with some restrictions on μ .

Lemma 1. *For any $\alpha > 0$ and $\Gamma \in C^{1,\alpha}$, $Q_n - S_n \rightarrow 0$ pointwise and*

$$(14) \quad \int_{\Gamma} |Q_n - S_n|^2 ds = o(n^{-1}).$$

If $\alpha > 1/2$, then the limit holds uniformly for $z \in \Gamma$:

$$(15) \quad \sup_{z \in \Gamma} |Q_n(z) - S_n(z)| = o(n^{-1/2}).$$

Our choice of Q_n in (12) is a natural extension of (10): The Faber polynomials generalize the monomials, z^k , in a variety of contexts, e.g. locally uniform (Taylor-series type) expansions of analytic functions in U . Let us quickly verify Theorem 2 using these estimates.

Proof of Theorem 2. Minkowski gives

$$(16) \quad \sqrt{n} \|Q_n\|_{L_2(\mu)} \leq \sqrt{n} \|Q_n - S_n\|_{L_2(\mu)} + \sqrt{n} \|S_n\|_{L_2(\mu)}.$$

Under the conditions of Theorem 2, Lemma 1 implies that $\|Q_n - S_n\|_{L_2(\mu)} = o(1/\sqrt{n})$, so the first term on the right-hand side vanishes as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By multiplying the outer mapping function in (12) by a constant of unit modulus, we can ensure that the Fejér means in (13) converge at $z = 1$. Thus, (16) implies that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{2\pi} \int |Q_n|^2 d\mu \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{2\pi} \int |S_n|^2 d\mu = W(\zeta_0) |\Phi'(\zeta_0)|^2,$$

where $\zeta_0 = \Psi(1)$. Finally, since $Q_n(\zeta_0) - S_n(\zeta_0) \rightarrow 0$ and $S_n(\zeta_0) = \Phi'(\zeta_0)$,

$$(17) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{n}{|Q_n(\zeta_0)|^2} \int |Q_n|^2 d\mu \leq W(\zeta_0).$$

□

The more difficult proof of the lower bound, (9), will employ Hardy space methods. $D(z)$ and $Q(z)$ represent, respectively, the outer functions associated with $\log \sqrt{W}$ in U and Ω . They are analytic and non-vanishing in their respective domains and have non-tangential limits almost everywhere at their common boundary, Γ , with $|D(\zeta)|^2 = |Q(\zeta)|^2 = W(\zeta)$, for almost every $\zeta \in \Gamma$. Fix $\zeta_0 \in \Gamma$, a Lebesgue point of D , Q and $\log W$, and choose a polynomial, P_n , of degree at most $n-1$ for which

$$\lambda_n(\mu, \zeta_0) = \frac{1}{|P_n(\zeta_0)|^2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int |P_n|^2 d\mu.$$

P_n is defined only up to a multiplicative constant, so we may assume that the sequence $\{|P_n(\zeta_0)|\}_n$ is bounded above and below. For the same reason, we may assume that $\Phi(\zeta_0) = 1$. If necessary, multiply Q by a constant of unit modulus so that $Q(\zeta_0) = D(\zeta_0)$.

Máté's proof of the lower bound in [6] relies heavily on a knowledge of the locations of the zeros of P_n . Szegő proved in his canonical treatise on orthogonal polynomials that the zeros of P_n lie on the unit circle when the measure is supported on the circle; for general supports, no analogous results exist. We can surmount this obstacle to our adaptation of Máté's method by means of a weighted Bernstein-Walsh inequality, which permits an estimate of the sequence $\{|P_n|\}_n$ in vanishing neighborhoods of the point of evaluation, ζ_0 .

Lemma 2. *Fix $c > 0$ and assume that $W \leq 1$ satisfies Szegő's condition. $\{|P_n(z)|\}_n$ is bounded uniformly for all $|z - \zeta_0| < c/n$.*

Before proceeding to prove the main theorem and supporting lemmata, we investigate some interesting applications.

APPLICATIONS

Orthogonal polynomials. First we note an immediate consequence for the theory of orthogonal polynomials. Let $\{p_n(\mu, z)\}$ denote the sequence of orthonormal polynomials associated with the measure μ . When W is smooth and positive, Suetin ([11]) obtains precise norm estimates for this sequence using standard Fourier-analytic techniques. His methods fail for the more general Szegő class of measures since their weights may be highly erratic and not amenable to the methods of classical approximation theory. Although our results do not fully extend his, they do imply the following sup-norm estimate for Szegő weights:

Corollary 1. *Let μ satisfy all the hypotheses of Theorem 1. For almost every $\zeta_0 \in \Gamma$,*

$$|p_n(\mu, \zeta_0)| = o(\sqrt{n}).$$

Proof. Elementary linear algebra provides the following representation of the Christoffel functions:

$$\lambda_n(\mu, z)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |p_k(\mu, z)|^2.$$

Thus,

$$|p_n(\mu, z)|^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}(\mu, z)} - \frac{1}{\lambda_n(\mu, z)},$$

and the result follows from Theorem 1. □

Operator-theoretic formulation and ill-posed problems. Let H be a Hilbert space with inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Let $y \in H$ and let A be a linear operator on H . The problem of solving the equation $Ax = y$ is ill-posed if A is not invertible. Ill-posed problems obviously have no solution in general, although stable approximate solutions minimizing $\|Ax - y\|$ may be found by certain recursive algorithms. Our method pertains when $A = \zeta_0 - T$, where T is a normal operator with spectrum $\sigma(T) = \Gamma \in C^{1,\alpha}$ and $\zeta_0 \in \Gamma$. A typical approach uses approximants of the form $x_n = R_n(T)y$, where R_n is a polynomial of degree at most n . (See, for example, [1].) Ideally, $\|(\zeta_0 - T)x_n - y\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. What is the optimal rate of this convergence and can we find a sequence, $\{Q_n\}_n$, which attains this rate?

The answer follows immediately from Theorem 1: If $\{E_\zeta\}_{\zeta \in \Gamma}$ denotes the spectral family of projections associated with T , then

$$\|(\zeta_0 - T)x_n - y\|^2 = \int_{\Gamma} |(\zeta_0 - \zeta)R_n(\zeta) - 1|^2 d\mu_y(\zeta),$$

where $d\mu_y(\zeta) = W_y(\zeta)|d\Phi(\zeta)| + d\mu_s(\zeta) := (y, dE_\zeta y)$. If $0 \notin \Gamma$, then W_y satisfies Szegő's condition precisely when y lies outside of $S_y := \overline{\text{span}}\{T^k y\}_{k \geq 1}$. Indeed, Szegő's classical result for measures $d\nu = W(\theta) d\theta$ on the unit circle is

$$\inf_{p \in A(\Delta), p(0)=0} \int |1 - p|^2 d\nu = \exp \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \log W(\theta) d\theta \right),$$

where $A(\Delta)$ is the set of analytic functions in Δ . A conformal mapping generalizes this to any simply connected domain with boundary $\Gamma \in C^{1,\alpha}$ as long as $0 \notin \Gamma$. Applying the spectral theorem as above, it follows that y is isolated from S_y precisely when $\log W_y$ is integrable. This gives an equivalent formulation of our main result:

Corollary 2. *Let T be a bounded normal operator on a Hilbert space, H , with spectrum $\Gamma \in C^{1,\alpha}$ such that $0 \notin \Gamma$. If $y \in H \setminus S_y$, then*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \|(\zeta_0 - T)x_n - y\|^2 \geq W_y(\zeta_0),$$

for ν_Γ -almost every $\zeta_0 \in \Gamma$.

If the hypotheses of Theorem 2 hold and $Q_n(z)$ is given by (12), then $x_n = R_n(T)y$ attains the optimal convergence rate as long as $(\zeta_0 - z)R_n(z) - 1 = cQ_n(z)$ for some constant, c . When $Q_n(\zeta_0) \neq 0$, choosing $c = -1/Q_n(\zeta_0)$ gives

$$R_n(z) = \frac{1}{Q_n(\zeta_0)} \frac{Q_n(\zeta_0) - Q_n(z)}{\zeta_0 - z}$$

as an asymptotically optimal approximant, attaining the lower bound in Corollary 2. This result is relevant to ergodic theory.

Let $T_\theta = e^{i\theta}U$ be a rotation of a unitary operator, U , with full spectrum $(\sigma(U) = \partial\Delta)$. The mean ergodic theorem states that

$$(18) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T_\theta^k y \rightarrow \Pi y \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where Π is the orthogonal projection onto $N(I - T_\theta)$. In this case, $\Gamma = \partial\Delta$, so Q_n is given by (10) with $\zeta_0 = 1$. Also, $(1 - z)R_n(z) - 1 = -Q_n(z)$, so

$$n \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T_\theta^k y \right\|^2 \rightarrow 2\pi W_y(e^{-i\theta}) \quad \text{a.e. } \theta \in [-\pi, \pi],$$

a strengthening of the mean ergodic theorem when $y \notin S_y$. This implies that $y \perp N(I - T_\theta)$ for almost every θ and gives the precise norm-rate of convergence in (18). Corollary 2 further implies that, for almost every $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$, this rate cannot be improved by a different choice of polynomials, $\{Q_n(z)\}_n$.

PROOFS

We begin with the proof of Lemma 2. Let ω_D denote harmonic measure in the domain D . If ∂D is smooth, then there are kernels, $K_D(z, \zeta)$, such that $d\omega_D(z, \zeta) = K_D(z, \zeta)|d\zeta|$, for $\zeta \in \partial D$. For every $r > 1$, let Φ_r denote the conformal map of Ω_r onto $\mathbf{C} \setminus \Delta$ with $\Phi_r(\zeta_0) > 0$ and let Ψ_r denote its inverse. Since $\Gamma \in C^{1,\alpha}$, the Kellogg-Warschawski theorem ([7, Theorem 3.6]) states that $\Phi', \Psi' \in Lip(\alpha)$. Consequently, $\{\Phi'_r\}$ is in $Lip(\alpha)$ and has uniformly bounded Lipschitz constants for $1/2 < r < 1$. The same is true of Ψ'_r , so the family $\{\Phi'_r : 1/2 < r < 1\}$ is uniformly bounded away from 0 and ∞ . This permits the following estimate:

Lemma 3. *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $1/2 < r < 1$, $z \in \Omega_r$ and $\eta \in \Gamma$,*

$$(19) \quad \int_{\Gamma_r} K_U(\zeta, \eta) K_{\Omega_r}(z, \zeta) |d\zeta| \leq C \frac{|\Phi_r(\eta)|^2 |\Phi_r(z)|^2 - 1}{|\Phi_r(\eta) \Phi_r(z) - 1|^2}.$$

Proof. The conformal equivalence of the harmonic measure implies that

$$K_{\Omega_r}(z, \zeta) = |\Phi'_r(\zeta)| K_{\mathbf{C} \setminus \Delta}(\Phi_r(z), \Phi_r(\zeta)) \leq C_1 \frac{|\Phi_r(z)|^2 - |\Phi_r(\zeta)|^2}{|\Phi_r(z) - \Phi_r(\zeta)|^2},$$

for all $\zeta \in \Gamma_r$ and $\zeta \in \Omega_r$. Similarly, for every $\eta \in \Gamma$ and $z \in \Omega_r$,

$$K_U(\zeta, \eta) \leq C_2 \frac{1 - |\Phi^*(\zeta)|^2}{|\Phi^*(\zeta) - \Phi^*(\eta)|^2}.$$

Note that $1 - |\Phi^*(\zeta)|^2 \sim \text{dist}(\Gamma, \Gamma_r) \sim |\Phi_r(\eta)|^2 - 1$, uniformly for $\zeta \in \Gamma_r$ and $\eta \in \Gamma$, and for all $1/2 < r < 1$. This implies, since $|(\Phi^*)'|$ is also bounded above and below, that

$$K_U(\zeta, \eta) \leq C_3 \frac{|\Phi_r(\eta)|^2 - 1}{|\Phi_r(\zeta) - \Phi_r(\eta)|^2}.$$

Thus, with $\Phi_r(\eta) = Re^{i\theta}$ and $\Phi_r(z) = \rho e^{i\phi}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma_r} K_U(\zeta, \eta) K_{\Omega_r}(z, \zeta) |d\zeta| &\leq C_4 \int_{\Gamma_r} \frac{|\Phi_r(\eta)|^2 - 1}{|\Phi_r(\zeta) - \Phi_r(\eta)|^2} \cdot \frac{|\Phi_r(z)|^2 - |\Phi_r(\zeta)|^2}{|\Phi_r(z) - \Phi_r(\zeta)|^2} |d\zeta| \\ &\leq C_5 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{R^2 - 1}{|Re^{i\theta} - e^{it}|^2} \frac{\rho^2 - 1}{|\rho e^{i\phi} - e^{it}|^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows again from the fact that Φ'_r is uniformly bounded away from 0. To evaluate, we use the series development of the Poisson kernel:

$$\frac{1 - x^2}{|1 - xe^{it}|^2} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x^{|n|} e^{int} \quad (0 < x < 1).$$

Since $R, \rho > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{R^2 - 1}{|Re^{i\theta} - e^{it}|^2} \frac{\rho^2 - 1}{|\rho e^{i\phi} - e^{it}|^2} dt \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\{ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} R^{-|n|} e^{in(t-\theta)} \times \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho^{-|k|} e^{ik(t-\phi)} \right\} dt \\ = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (\rho R)^{-|n|} e^{in(\phi-\theta)} = \frac{\rho^2 R^2 - 1}{|\rho R - e^{i(\phi-\theta)}|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which equals the fraction on the right-hand side of (19). $\square$

Proof of Lemma 2. Let h_r represent the solution to the Dirichlet problem in Ω_r with boundary data $h_r(\zeta) = \log |D(\zeta)|$ ($\zeta \in \Gamma_r$). First, we prove that if $r = r_n < 1$ and $1 - r_n \sim 1/n$, then

$$(20) \quad |P_n(z)| \leq C e^{-h_r(z) + ng_{\Omega_r}(z, \infty)} \quad (z \in \Omega_r),$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of r and n . For $z \in \Gamma_r$ and $r < \rho < 1$,

$$|P_n(z)D(z)|^2 = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_\rho} \frac{P_n(\zeta)^2 D(\zeta)^2}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_\rho} |P_n D|^2 ds \cdot \max_{\zeta \in \Gamma_\rho} \frac{1}{|\zeta - z|}.$$

Letting $\rho \rightarrow 1^-$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |P_n(z)D(z)|^2 &\leq \max_{\zeta \in \Gamma} |\Phi'(\zeta)|^{-1} \times \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} |P_n|^2 W |\Phi'| ds \cdot \frac{C_1}{1-r} \\ &\leq C_2 \frac{|P_n(\zeta_0)|^2 \lambda_n(\mu, \zeta_0)}{1-r} \leq C_3 \frac{|P_n(\zeta_0)D(\zeta_0)|^2}{n(1-r)}, \end{aligned}$$

by (17). The constant C_3 is independent of n and r . So, $|P_n(z)D(z)|$ is bounded uniformly for $z \in \Gamma_r$ as $r = r_n \rightarrow 1$ as long as $1 - r \sim 1/n$. This proves, under the stated assumptions, that

$$u(z) = \log |P_n(z)| + h_r(z) - ng_{\Omega_r}(z, \infty)$$

is bounded on Γ_r . It is also clearly subharmonic in Ω_r and bounded at ∞ , so it must be true that $u(z) \leq \log C$ in Ω_r , which establishes (20).

Let us examine the exponent on the right-hand side of (20). Since Φ'_r are uniformly bounded, we may choose $\delta > 0$ so that $S_r := \{w : |w - \zeta_0| < \delta \cdot \text{dist}(\zeta_0, \Gamma_r)\}$ has $\text{diam}(\Phi_r(S_r)) < \frac{1}{2} \text{dist}(\zeta_0, \Gamma_r)$ for all $1/2 < r < 1$. Since $\Phi_r(\zeta_0)$ is real, this implies that the sets $\Phi_r(S_r)$ are contained in a fixed sector, Σ , of $\mathbf{C} \setminus \Delta$ emanating from 1 and symmetric about the real axis. We claim that the functions $-h_r$ are uniformly bounded on S_r . To see this, let $z \in S_r$ and apply (19) and the fact that

$\log |D(\zeta)|^{-1}$ is harmonic in U :

$$\begin{aligned}
 (21) \quad -h_r(z) &= \int_{\Gamma_r} \log |D(\zeta)|^{-1} K_{\Omega_r}(z, \zeta) |d\zeta| \\
 &= \int_{\Gamma_r} \left(\int_{\Gamma} \log |D(\eta)|^{-1} K_U(\zeta, \eta) |d\eta| \right) K_{\Omega_r}(z, \zeta) |d\zeta| \\
 &= \int_{\Gamma} \log |D(\eta)|^{-1} \left(\int_{\Gamma_r} K_U(\zeta, \eta) K_{\Omega_r}(z, \zeta) |d\zeta| \right) |d\eta| \\
 &\leq C_1 \int_{\Gamma} \log |D(\eta)|^{-1} \frac{|\Phi_r(\eta)|^2 |\Phi_r(z)|^2 - 1}{|\Phi_r(\eta) \overline{\Phi_r(z)} - 1|^2} |d\eta|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now let $R_r(\theta)e^{i\theta} = \Phi_r(\eta)$ and set $\Phi_r(z) = \rho e^{i\phi}$ and $F_r(\theta) = \log |D \circ \Psi_r(R_r(\theta)e^{i\theta})|^{-1}$. With a change of variables, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 -h_r(z) &\leq C_2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F_r(\theta) \frac{1 - 1/(R_r(\theta)\rho)^2}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{i\phi}/(R_r(\theta)\rho)|^2} d\theta \\
 &\leq C_3 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F_r(\theta) \frac{1 - 1/(R'_r\rho)^2}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{i\phi}/(R'_r\rho)|^2} d\theta,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $R'_r > 1$ are constants. The second inequality follows from the fact that $R_r(\theta)\rho$ is bounded away from 1 uniformly for $z \in S_r$. But the last term is the Poisson integral, $[PF_r](e^{i\phi}/(R'_r\rho))$ of F_r evaluated at the point $e^{i\phi}/(R'_r\rho) = 1/R'_r \overline{\Phi_r(z)}$. Since $\Phi_r(S_r) \subset \Sigma$, this point is contained in the reciprocal sector, $\Sigma^{-1} \subset \Delta$. Σ^{-1} is based at 1 and non-tangential to $\partial\Delta$, so we may apply a fundamental inequality for Poisson integrals of finite measures (see [10, p. 242, Thm. 11.20]) to conclude that

$$-h_r(z) \leq C_4(MF_r)(1) \quad (z \in S_r).$$

MF_r is the Hardy maximal function of F_r : For intervals I , centered at $\theta = 0$, and $I' = \{e^{i\theta} : \theta \in I\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 MF_r(1) &= \sup_I \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I F_r(\theta) d\theta \leq C_5 \sup_I \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{\Psi_r(I')} \log |D(\eta)|^{-1} |d\eta| \\
 &= C_6 \sup_I \frac{|\Psi_r(I')|}{|I|} \frac{1}{|\Psi_r(I')|} \int_{\Psi_r(I')} \log W(\eta)^{-1} |d\eta|,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes arc-length measure. The last integral is bounded independently of r since the arcs $\Psi_r(I)$ shrink to ζ_0 , which is a Lebesgue point of $\log W$. This proves our claim.

Finally, since $z \in S_r$ implies that $|\Phi_r(z)| < 1 + c|1 - r|$, $g_{\Omega_r}(z, \infty) = \log |\Phi_r(z)| \leq c|1 - r|$ and, therefore, $n g_{\Omega_r}(z, \infty)$ is bounded on S_r as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since $1 - r_n \sim 1/n$. Therefore, (20) ensures that $P_n(z)$ is bounded uniformly on the sets S_r . For sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ and large n , $r_n = 1 - 1/(n\epsilon)$ makes $\{z : |z - \zeta_0| < c/n\} \subset S_{r_n}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3. Since the Christoffel functions are clearly monotonic in the measure, we may presume that $W \leq 1$. Otherwise, the left-hand side of (9) is only increased. Choose a sequence $z_n \rightarrow 1$ non-tangentially in $\{z : |z| > 1\}$ in such a

way that $|z_n - 1| \sim 1/n$. We will prove that

$$(22) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ |P_n(\Psi(z_n))Q(\Psi(z_n))| - |P_n(\zeta_0)|\sqrt{\lambda_n(\zeta_0)} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |z_n|^{2k} \right)^{1/2} \right\} \leq 0.$$

Let us first derive our desired result, (9), from (22). It suffices to show, for arbitrarily small $\epsilon > \epsilon' > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, the existence of a sequence $z_n \rightarrow 1$ non-tangentially in $\{z : |z| > 1\}$ and with $\epsilon'/n < |z_n - 1| < \epsilon/n$ such that

$$(23) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |P_n(\Psi(z_n))| \geq (1 - \delta)|P_n(\zeta_0)|.$$

For then $\Psi(z_n) \rightarrow \zeta_0$ non-tangentially in Ω , so that $|Q(\Psi(z_n))|^2 \rightarrow W(\zeta_0)$, which implies by virtue of (22) that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n(\mu, \zeta_0) \sum_{k=0}^n |z_n|^{2k} \geq (1 - \delta)^2 W(\zeta_0).$$

This, along with

$$\sum_{k=0}^n |z_n|^{2k} \leq n \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{n} \right)^{2n} < ne^{2\epsilon},$$

proves (9). Now, Lemma 2 provides constants M and c such that $|P_n(z)| \leq M$ whenever $|z - \zeta_0| \leq c/n$. Thus,

$$|z - \zeta_0| \leq \frac{c}{2n} \implies |P'_n(z)| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta - z| = c/(2n)} \frac{P_n(\zeta)}{(z - \zeta)^2} d\zeta \right| \leq \frac{2M}{c}n,$$

so if ϵ is sufficiently small and $|z - \zeta_0| < \epsilon/n$, then

$$|P_n(z) - P_n(\zeta_0)| = \left| \int_{\zeta_0}^z P'_n(\zeta) d\zeta \right| \leq \delta$$

and, consequently, $|P_n(z)| \geq (1 - \delta)|P_n(\zeta_0)|$. Since $|\Psi'|$ is uniformly bounded, the required sequence, $\{z_n\}_n$, exists.

To establish (22), define the kernels

$$H_n(z) := \sum_{k=0}^n z_n^k \overline{\Phi(z)}^k.$$

With $\tilde{F} := F \circ \Psi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} P_n(\zeta) Q(\zeta) H_n(\zeta) \Phi'(\zeta) d\zeta &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \tilde{P}_n(w) \tilde{Q}(w) \frac{1 - z_n^{n+1} \bar{w}^{n+1}}{1 - z_n \bar{w}} dw \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\tilde{P}_n(w) \tilde{Q}(w)}{1 - z_n \bar{w}} dw - \frac{z_n^{n+1}}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\tilde{P}_n(w) \tilde{Q}(w)}{w^n (w - z_n)} dw. \end{aligned}$$

$\tilde{P}_n \sim w^{n-1}$ as $w \rightarrow \infty$, so the second integral (with the substitution $z = 1/w$) equals

$$\frac{z_n^n}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\tilde{P}_n(1/z) \tilde{Q}(1/z) z^{n-1}}{(z_n^{-1} - z)} dz = -z_n \tilde{P}_n(z_n) \tilde{Q}(z_n).$$

Subtracting, we obtain

$$(24) \quad z_n \tilde{P}_n(z_n) \tilde{Q}(z_n) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} P_n(\zeta) Q(\zeta) H_n(\zeta) \Phi'(\zeta) d\zeta \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\tilde{P}_n(w) \tilde{Q}(w)}{1 - z_n \bar{w}} dw =: I.$$

We show that $I \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. To this end, choose a large $a > 0$ and let $K_1 = [-a/n, a/n]$ and $K_2 = [-\pi, \pi] \setminus K_1$. With

$$I_j := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{K_j} \frac{\tilde{P}_n(w) \tilde{Q}(w)}{1 - z_n \bar{w}} dw \quad (w = e^{i\theta}),$$

$I = I_1 + I_2$. Since $z_n \rightarrow 1$ non-tangentially, $|e^{i\theta} - z_n| \geq r\theta$, for some $r > 0$. Therefore,

$$(25) \quad |I_2|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{K_2} |\tilde{P}_n \tilde{Q}|^2 d\theta \times \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{K_2} \frac{d\theta}{|e^{i\theta} - z_n|^2} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} |P_n Q|^2 |d\Phi| \\ \times \frac{1}{r^2 \pi} \int_{a/n}^{\infty} \frac{d\theta}{\theta^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} |P_n|^2 W |\Phi'| ds \times \frac{n}{r^2 \pi a} = |P_n(\zeta_0)|^2 \lambda_n(\zeta_0) \frac{n}{\pi a r^2},$$

which can be made arbitrarily small for sufficiently large a , since $n\lambda_n(\zeta_0)$ is bounded for all n , by (17). (Remember that $|P_n(\zeta_0)|$ is bounded above and below.) To estimate I_1 , decompose it as $I_1 = I_{11} + I_{12}$, where

$$I_{11} := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{K_1} \frac{\tilde{P}_n(w) \tilde{D}(w)}{1 - z_n \bar{w}} dw \quad \text{and} \\ I_{12} := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{K_1} \frac{\tilde{P}_n(w)}{1 - z_n \bar{w}} [\tilde{Q}(w) - \tilde{D}(w)] dw.$$

Lemma 2 implies that

$$|I_{12}| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \max_{w \in K_1} \left| \frac{\tilde{P}_n(w)}{1 - z_n \bar{w}} \right| \times \int_{K_1} |\tilde{Q}(e^{i\theta}) - \tilde{D}(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \\ \leq \frac{C}{|z_n| - 1} \int_{K_1} |\tilde{Q}(e^{i\theta}) - \tilde{D}(e^{i\theta})| d\theta,$$

which tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since $n(|z_n| - 1) > \epsilon' > 0$; 1 is a Lebesgue point of $\tilde{Q}$ and $\tilde{D}$ and $\tilde{Q}(1) = \tilde{D}(1)$. With $J_1 := \Psi(K_1)$, we have

$$I_{11} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{K_1} \frac{\tilde{P}_n(w) \tilde{D}(w) w}{w - z_n} dw = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{J_1} \frac{P_n(\zeta) D(\zeta) \Phi(\zeta)}{\Phi(\zeta) - z_n} \Phi'(\zeta) d\zeta.$$

Consider $G(z) := \Phi(\zeta_0) + \Phi'(\zeta_0)(z - \zeta_0)$, the linearization of Φ about ζ_0 , and define

$$I_{11}^* := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{J_1} \frac{P_n(\zeta) D(\zeta) \Phi^*(\zeta)}{G(\zeta) - z_n} (\Phi^*)'(\zeta) d\zeta.$$

Since $\Phi \in C^{1,\alpha}$, $|G(\zeta) - \Phi(\zeta)| \leq C_1 |\zeta - \zeta_0|^{1+\alpha}$. Consequently, the curve $L := \{G(\zeta) : \zeta \in \Gamma\}$ is tangent to γ at 1, so, since $z_n \rightarrow 1$ non-tangentially to γ , $|G(\zeta) - z_n| \geq c|\Phi(\zeta) - z_n| \geq c'/n$ for all $\zeta \in \Gamma$ and sufficiently large n . Thus,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\Phi(\zeta) - z_n} - \frac{1}{G(\zeta) - z_n} \right| \leq C_2 \frac{|\zeta - \zeta_0|^{1+\alpha}}{n^{-2}} \leq C_3 n^{1-\alpha},$$

for $\zeta \in J_1$. By applying Cauchy's inequality, we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (26) \quad |I_{11} - I_{11}^*| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{J_1} P_n(\zeta) D(\zeta) \Phi(\zeta) \left(\frac{1}{\Phi(\zeta) - z_n} - \frac{1}{G(\zeta) - z_n} \right) \Phi'(\zeta) d\zeta \right| \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{J_1} \frac{P_n(\zeta) D(\zeta)}{G(\zeta) - z_n} [\Phi(\zeta) \Phi'(\zeta) - \Phi^*(\zeta) (\Phi^*)'(\zeta)] d\zeta \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{J_1} |P_n D|^2 |\Phi'| |d\zeta| \right)^{1/2} \times \left(\int_{J_1} \left| \frac{1}{\Phi(\zeta) - z_n} - \frac{1}{G(\zeta) - z_n} \right|^2 |\Phi'(\zeta) d\zeta| \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\quad + C_4 n \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{J_1} |P_n D|^2 |\Phi'| |d\zeta| \right)^{1/2} \times \left(\int_{J_1} \frac{|\Phi(\zeta) \Phi'(\zeta) - \Phi^*(\zeta) (\Phi^*)'(\zeta)|^2}{|\Phi'(\zeta)|} |d\zeta| \right)^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (26) is bounded above by

$$C_5 \sqrt{|P_n(\zeta_0)| \lambda_n(\mu, \zeta_0)} n^{1-\alpha} |J_1|^{1/2},$$

which, by Lemma 2, converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. ($|\cdot|$ denotes arc-length measure.) The final term in (26) does as well, since its last integrand is continuous. Now, the integrand of I_{11}^* is holomorphic in U , so we may deform the contour, J_1 , to the homologous contour, $-J_2 := -\Psi(K_2)$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_{11}^*| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{J_2} \frac{P_n(\zeta) D(\zeta) \Phi^*(\zeta)}{G(\zeta) - z_n} (\Phi^*)'(\zeta) d\zeta \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{\Gamma} |P_n D|^2 |(\Phi^*)'| |d\zeta| \right)^{1/2} \times \left(\int_{J_2} \frac{|(\Phi^*)'(\zeta)|}{|G(\zeta) - z_n|^2} |d\zeta| \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq C_6 \left(\int_{\Gamma} |P_n D|^2 |\Phi'| |d\zeta| \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{K_2} \frac{d\theta}{|e^{i\theta} - z_n|^2} \right)^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

As in (25), this can be made arbitrarily small by choosing sufficiently large a . We conclude that I_1 and I_2 are arbitrarily small if n is sufficiently large, which establishes that $I \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} P_n(\zeta) Q(\zeta) H_n(\zeta) d\Phi(\zeta) \right|^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} |P_n(\zeta) Q(\zeta)|^2 |d\Phi(\zeta)| \times \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} |H_n(\zeta)|^2 |d\Phi(\zeta)| \\
 &= |P_n(\zeta_0)|^2 \lambda_n(\zeta_0) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n z_n^k e^{-ik\theta} \right|^2 d\theta = |P_n(\zeta_0)|^2 \lambda_n(\zeta_0) \sum_{k=0}^n |z_n|^{2k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (24), completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 1. The Laurent series $\Phi(\zeta) \sim c_{-1}\zeta + c_0 + c_1/\zeta + \cdots$ converges uniformly on Γ_R for sufficiently large R , so

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_R} \frac{\Phi'(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = c_{-1} \quad (z \in \Gamma),$$

and $F_n(z) = I + c_{-1}\Phi^n(z)$, where

$$I := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_R} \frac{\Phi^n(\zeta) - \Phi^n(z)}{\zeta - z} \Phi'(\zeta) d\zeta.$$

Make the substitutions $w = e^{it} = \Phi(\zeta)$ and $e^{i\theta} = \Phi(z)$ and factor the integrand to obtain

$$(27) \quad I = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_R} \frac{w - e^{i\theta}}{\Psi(w) - \Psi(e^{i\theta})} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w^k e^{i(n-1-k)\theta} dw \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{it} - e^{i\theta}}{\Psi(e^{it}) - \Psi(e^{i\theta})} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{ikt} e^{i(n-k)\theta} dt.$$

(Since the latter integrand is continuous and analytic in $|w| > 1$, we may deform the contour back to γ .) Now let

$$f(x, y) := \frac{e^{ix} - e^{iy}}{\Psi(e^{ix}) - \Psi(e^{iy})} \quad \text{and} \quad F_y(x) := f(x, y),$$

and let $S_n F$ denote the partial sums of the Fourier series of F and $D_n(t)$, the order- n Dirichlet kernel. F_θ is the continuation to γ of a function analytic and bounded in $\mathbf{C} \setminus \Delta$, so its Fourier coefficients, $\hat{F}_\theta(k)$, with positive index vanish. Thus, from (27) we obtain

$$I = e^{in\theta} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int F_\theta(t) \sum_{k=1}^n e^{ik(t-\theta)} dt = e^{in\theta} \int F_\theta(t) [D_n(t-\theta) - 1] dt \\ = e^{in\theta} (S_n F_\theta(\theta) - \hat{F}_\theta(0))$$

and, consequently, $F_n(z) = e^{in\theta} (S_n F_\theta(\theta) - \hat{F}_\theta(0) + c_{-1})$. In the following, the complex measures $dw/w|_{\gamma_R}$ are uniformly bounded and the integrand is analytic for $|w| > 1$ and continuous for $|w| \geq 1$ so we may deform the contour of integration and evaluate asymptotically: since $\Psi(w) \sim (c_{-1})^{-1}w$ as $w \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\hat{F}_\theta(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{w - e^{i\theta}}{\Psi(w) - \Psi(e^{i\theta})} \frac{dw}{w} = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_R} \frac{w}{\Psi(w)} \frac{dw}{w} = c_{-1}.$$

Furthermore, since $F_\theta(\theta) = \Psi'(e^{i\theta})^{-1} = \Phi'(z)$, we have

$$(28) \quad F_n(z) - \Phi'(z)\Phi^n(z) = e^{in\theta} (S_n F_\theta(\theta) - F_\theta(\theta))$$

and so, in particular,

$$(29) \quad \int \left| \sum_{k=0}^n [F_k(z) - \Phi'(z)\Phi^k(z)] \right|^2 ds \sim \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n e^{ik\theta} (S_k F_\theta(\theta) - F_\theta(\theta)) \right|^2 d\theta.$$

This reduces the problem to an estimation of the convergence $S_n F_\theta \rightarrow F_\theta$.

We show that the functions $F_\theta \in Lip(\alpha)$ and have uniformly bounded Lipschitz constants. Since the F_θ are uniformly bounded, it suffices to prove this true of the family $\{1/F_\theta\}$. Set $e^{i\theta_k} = u_k$ and $e^{i\theta} = w$. If $u_k \neq w$, we have

$$F_\theta(\theta_1)^{-1} - F_\theta(\theta_2)^{-1} = \frac{1}{u_1 - w} \int_w^{u_1} \Psi'(z) dz - \frac{1}{u_2 - w} \int_w^{u_2} \Psi'(z) dz \\ = \frac{1}{u_1 - w} \int_0^{u_1 - w} (\Psi'(z + w) - \Psi'(z/a + w)) dz,$$

where $a = (u_1 - w)/(u_2 - w)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |F_\theta(\theta_1)^{-1} - F_\theta(\theta_2)^{-1}| &\leq \frac{C}{|u_1 - w|} \int_0^{u_1 - w} |z - z/a|^\alpha |dz| \\ &\leq \frac{C'|1 - 1/a|^\alpha}{|u_1 - w|} |u_1 - w|^{1+\alpha} = C'|u_1 - u_2|^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

If $u_1 = w$, the result follows in a similar way. A standard result from approximation theory now applies on the rate of convergence of $\{S_n\}_n$ for functions in a Lipschitz class: $|S_n F_\theta(x) - F_\theta(x)| \leq C n^{-\alpha} \ln n$, where C is independent of x and θ . (See e.g. [4, pp. 180, 192-194].) Thus,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |S_k F_\theta(\theta) - F_\theta(\theta)| \leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\ln k}{k^\alpha} = O\left(\frac{\ln n}{n^\alpha}\right),$$

which, with (28), proves (15) if $\alpha > 1/2$.

To prove (14) using (29), consider the partial sums, S_{nm} , of the double Fourier series of $f(x, y)$. We claim that they converge to f uniformly in x and y as $n \sim m \rightarrow \infty$. Let $D_n(t)$ denote the (normalized) Dirichlet kernels:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{nm}(x, y) - f(x, y) &= \iint D_n(t) D_m(u) [f(x - t, y - u) - f(x, y)] dt du \\ &= \iint D_n(t) D_m(u) [f(x - t, y - u) - f(x - t, y)] dt du \\ &\quad + \int D_n(t) [f(x - t, y) - f(x, y)] dt =: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

We have already shown that $|I_2| = O(n^{-\alpha} \ln n)$. On the other hand, since $F_x(y) = F_y(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq \sup_t \left| \int D_m(u) [f(x - t, y - u) - f(x - t, y)] du \right| \times \int |D_n(\tau)| d\tau \\ &= \sup_t |S_m F_{x-t}(y) - F_{x-t}(y)| \ln n \leq C \frac{\ln n \ln m}{m^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves our claim.

We may now evaluate the right-hand side of (29) in terms of the development

$$\frac{w - u}{\Psi(w) - \Psi(u)} \sim \sum_{m,l} \frac{c_{ml}}{w^m u^l} := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m,l=0}^N \frac{c_{ml}}{w^m u^l} \quad (|w| \geq 1, |u| \geq 1),$$

which converges uniformly on the torus $\gamma \times \gamma$:

$$S_k F_\theta(\theta) = \sum_{|j| < k} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ij(\theta-t)} \sum_{m,l} c_{ml} e^{-i(m\theta+lt)} dt = \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{m,j} e^{-i(m+j)\theta}.$$

Also, $F_\theta(\theta) = \sum_{m,l} c_{ml} e^{-i(m+l)\theta}$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
 (30) \quad & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n e^{ik\theta} (S_k F_\theta(\theta) - F_\theta(\theta)) \right|^2 d\theta \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j>k} \sum_{m \geq 0} c_{mj} e^{-i(m+j-k)\theta} \right|^2 d\theta = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{r=j-k}^{\infty} \sum_{j>k} |c_{r+k-j,j}|^2 \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{r=l}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |c_{r-l,l+k}|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |c_{r,l+k}|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

These series converge since the continuity of f implies that

$$\sum_{r,l} |c_{r,l}|^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \iint |f(x,y)|^2 dx dy < \infty.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{r,l} |c_{r,l+k}|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

which, by virtue of (29) and (30), completes the proof. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Vilmos Totik for his pivotal contributions to this work. Lemma 2, in particular, was proposed and ultimately proved by him, although a somewhat different proof is given here.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bakushinsky and A. Goncharsky. *Ill-Posed Problems: Theory and Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1994. MR1325921 (96d:65101)
- [2] L. Golinskii. The Christoffel Function for Orthogonal Polynomials on a Circular Arc. *J. Approx. Theory* **101**, pp. 165-174 (1999). MR1726450 (2001b:42032)
- [3] U. Grenander and G. Szegő. *Toeplitz Forms and their Applications*. University of California Press, Los Angeles, 1958. MR0094840 (20:1349)
- [4] N. Korneichuk. *Exact Constants in Approximation Theory*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1991. MR1124406 (92m:41002)
- [5] A. Máté and P. Nevai. Bernstein's inequality in L^p for $0 < p < 1$ and $(C,1)$ bounds for orthogonal polynomials, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **111** (1980), 145-154. MR558399 (81c:42003)
- [6] A. Máté, P. Nevai and V. Totik. Szegő's Extremum Problem on the Unit Circle, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **134** (1991), 433-453. MR1127481 (92i:42014)
- [7] Ch. Pommerenke. *Boundary Behavior of Conformal Mappings*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, **299**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1992. MR1217706 (95b:30008)
- [8] T. Ransford. *Potential Theory in the Complex Plane*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. MR1334766 (96e:31001)
- [9] W. Ross and W. Wogen. Common Cyclic Vectors for Normal Operators, *Ind. Univ. Math. J.* **53** (2004), 1537-1550. MR2106335 (2005h:47041)
- [10] W. Rudin. *Real and Complex Analysis*, 3rd ed., Mc-Graw Hill, Singapore, 1987. MR924157 (88k:00002)
- [11] P. K. Suetin. Fundamental Properties of Polynomials Orthogonal on a Contour. *Russian Math. Surveys* **21** (1968), 35-83. MR0198111 (33:6270)
- [12] V. Totik. Asymptotics for Christoffel functions for general measures on the real line. *J. Anal. Math.* **81** (2000), 283-303. MR1785285 (2001j:42021)

- [13] V. Totik. Christoffel functions on curves and domains. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362** (2010), 2053–2087. MR2574887 (2011b:30006)
- [14] A. Zygmund. *Trigonometric Series*, Vols. I and II, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1979. MR0236587 (38:4882)

E-mail address: `marty0801@gmail.com`