ON THE SYMMETRIC ENVELOPING ALGEBRA OF PLANAR ALGEBRA SUBFACTORS

STEPHEN CURRAN, VAUGHAN F. R. JONES, AND DIMITRI SHLYAKHTENKO

ABSTRACT. We give a diagrammatic description of Popa's symmetric enveloping algebras associated to planar algebra subfactors. As an application we construct a natural family of derivations on these factors and compute a certain free entropy dimension type quantity.

INTRODUCTION

Inspired by Voiculescu's [19] description of the large N limit of the distribution of families of independent random matrices, Guionnet, Jones and Shlyakhtenko [7] introduced diagrammatic traces on a tower of graded algebras $Gr_0(\mathcal{P}) \subset Gr_1(\mathcal{P}) \subset \cdots$ which is naturally associated to a subfactor planar algebra $\mathcal{P}$. The main result of [7] is that the completions form a tower of II_1 factors $M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots$ whose standard invariant is $\mathcal{P}$, thus giving a diagrammatic proof of a fundamental result of Popa [15]. In subsequent work [8] they were able to identify the isomorphism class of these factors in the case that $\mathcal{P}$ is finite-depth. Explicitly, M_k is isomorphic to an interpolated free group factor $L(\mathbb{F}_{r_k})$, where

$$(*) \quad r_k = 1 + 2\delta^{-2k}I(\delta - 1).$$

Here δ^2 is the index $[M_1 : M_0]$; see Section 3 for the definition of the global index I . The fact that M_k is an interpolated free group factor was also obtained independently by Kodiyalam and Sunder [12]. See also [9], [10], [11].

Given an inclusion of II_1 factors $N \subset M$, Popa [14] has shown that there is a unique II_1 factor $M \boxtimes_{e_N} M^{op}$, called the *symmetric enveloping algebra* of the inclusion, which is generated by a copy of $M \otimes M^{op}$ and a projection e_N which is simultaneously the Jones projection for the inclusions $N \subset M$ and $N^{op} \subset M^{op}$. This algebra, together with the inclusion $M \otimes M^{op} \subset M \boxtimes_{e_N} M^{op}$, encodes a number of important analytic properties of the subfactor $N \subset M$, such as amenability and property T [16]. When the inclusion $N \subset M$ is *strongly amenable*, for example if M is hyperfinite and $N \subset M$ is finite-depth, then $M \otimes M^{op} \subset M \boxtimes_{e_N} M^{op}$ is isomorphic to Ocneanu's *asymptotic inclusion* [13].

In this paper we give a diagrammatic construction of the symmetric enveloping algebras of the inclusions $M_k \subset M_{k+1}$. (In the case that $\mathcal{P}$ is the Temperley-Lieb planar algebra; this was announced in [17].) Using this description, we give a simple

---

Received by the editors October 3, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46L37, 46L54.

The first author's research was supported by an NSF postdoctoral fellowship and NSF grant DMS-0900776.

The second author's research was supported by NSF grant DMS-0856316.

The third author's research was supported by NSF grant DMS-0900776.

All the authors were also supported by DARPA Award 0011-11-0001.

diagrammatic proof that the index $[M_k \boxtimes_{e_{k-1}} M_k^{op} : M_k \otimes M_k^{op}]$ is the global index I , first computed by Ocneanu [13]. As an application we construct a module of closable derivations $\delta : Gr_0 \rightarrow Gr_0 \otimes Gr_0^{op}$, related to the Schwinger-Dyson equation from [9], whose Murray-von Neumann dimension (after completion) is precisely the parameter r_0 from (*). Since the dimensions of such spaces of derivations are well-known to be related to Voiculescu's free entropy dimension (see e.g. [18]), this provides a more conceptual understanding of the rather mysterious parameters r_k computed in [8].

Our paper is organized as follows. We begin by briefly recalling the construction from [7] in Section 1. In Section 2 we construct an auxiliary semi-finite algebra $\mathfrak{M}$, starting from a subfactor planar algebra $\mathcal{P}$. Section 3 contains our main result: the symmetric enveloping algebras of the inclusions $M_k \subset M_{k+1}$ are naturally isomorphic to certain compressions of $\mathfrak{M}$. We then compute the index of $M_k \otimes M_k^{op} \subset M_k \boxtimes_{e_{k-1}} M_k^{op}$. In Section 4 we construct derivations on Gr_0 and compute a free entropy dimension type quantity for M_0 .

1. PLANAR ALGEBRA SUBFACTORS

In this section we briefly recall the construction from [7]. The reader is referred to this paper and to [10], [8] for further details.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a subfactor planar algebra with graded components P_k^ϵ , $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $\epsilon = \pm$. For $n, k \geq 0$ and $\epsilon = \pm$ let $P_{n,k}^\epsilon$ be a copy of P_{n+k}^ϵ . Elements of $P_{n,k}^\epsilon$ will be represented by diagrams

where the thick lines to the left and right represent k strings, and the thick line at the top represents $2n$ strings. We will typically suppress the marked point $\star$, and take the convention that it occurs at the top-left corner and is shaded according to ϵ .

Define a product $\wedge_k : P_{n,k}^\epsilon \times P_{m,k}^\epsilon \rightarrow P_{n+m,k}^\epsilon$ by

The involution $\dagger : P_{n,k}^\epsilon \rightarrow P_{n,k}^\epsilon$ is given by

The Voiculescu trace $\tau_k : P_{n,k}^\epsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

where $\sum TL$ denotes the sum over all loopless Temperley-Lieb diagrams with $2n$ boundary points. Let $Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} P_{n,k}^\epsilon$, and observe that the formulas above

give $Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$ the structure of a graded $*$ -algebra with trace τ_k . The unit of $Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$ is the element of $P_{0,k}^\epsilon$ consisting of k parallel lines.

Let $\mathbf{e}_{k,\epsilon}$ denote the following element of $P_{0,k+2}^\epsilon$, $k \geq 0$:

$$\mathbf{e}_{k,\epsilon} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \end{array}$$

Note that there are natural inclusions of $Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$ into $Gr_{k+1}^\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$ defined by

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \end{array} \mapsto \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \end{array}$$

The main result of [7] is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *For $k \geq 0$ and $\epsilon = \pm$, the Voiculescu trace τ_k is a faithful tracial state on $Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$, and its GNS completion is a II_1 factor M_k^ϵ as long as $\delta > 1$. The inclusions $Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P}) \subset Gr_{k+1}^\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$ extend to $M_k^\epsilon \subset M_{k+1}^\epsilon$, and $(M_{k+1}^\epsilon, \mathbf{e}_{k,\epsilon})$ is the tower of the basic construction for the subfactor $M_0^\epsilon \subset M_1^\epsilon$. Moreover, the planar algebra of $M_0 \subset M_1$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}$. $\square$*

2. A SEMI-FINITE ENVELOPING ALGEBRA ASSOCIATED TO A PLANAR ALGEBRA

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a subfactor planar algebra. For integers k_1, k_2, s, t which add to $2n$, and $\epsilon = \pm$, let $V_{k_1, k_2}^\epsilon(s, t)$ be a copy of P_n^ϵ . Elements of $V_{k_1, k_2}^\epsilon(s, t)$ will be represented by diagrams of the form

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \end{array}$$

where the thick lines at the left, right, top and bottom represent k_1, k_2, s and t strings, respectively. We take the convention that the marked point occurs at the upper left corner, which is shaded ϵ . If ϵ is not specified we assume that $\epsilon = +$.

Define a product $\wedge : V_{k_1, k_2}^\epsilon(s, t) \times V_{k'_1, k'_2}^{\epsilon'}(s', t') \rightarrow V_{k_1, k'_2}^\epsilon(s + s', t + t')$ to be zero unless $k_2 = k'_1$ and $\epsilon' = (-1)^s \cdot \epsilon$, and otherwise by

$$x \wedge y = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \end{array}$$

The adjoint $\dagger : V_{k_1, k_2}^\epsilon(s, t) \rightarrow V_{k_2, k_1}^{(-1)^s \epsilon}(s, t)$ is defined by

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \end{array}$$

Define $Tr : V_{k_1, k_2}^\epsilon(s, t) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to be zero unless $k_1 = k_2$ and both s and t are even, and otherwise by

$$Tr(x) = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \end{array}$$

Let

$$V = \bigoplus_{\epsilon=\pm} \bigoplus_{\substack{k_1, k_2, s, t \geq 0 \\ k_1 + k_2 + s + t \text{ even}}} V_{k_1, k_2}^{\epsilon}(s, t).$$

It is easy to see that the formulas above give V the structure of a (non-unital) graded $*$ -algebra with trace Tr .

Theorem 2.1. *Tr is a faithful, positive linear functional on V . Moreover, V acts by bounded operators on the GNS Hilbert space for Tr .*

The (semi-finite) von Neumann algebra obtained by completing V under the GNS representation for Tr will be denoted $\mathfrak{M}$. To prove Theorem 2.1 we use the orthogonalization method of [10].

2.1. An orthogonal basis. We will now define a new multiplication and trace on V . To distinguish between these two structures, we define $W_{k_1, k_2}^{\epsilon}(s, t)$ to be a copy of $V_{k_1, k_2}^{\epsilon}(s, t)$, and let W be the same direct sum defining V .

Define a product $\star$ on $W_{k_1, k_2}^{\epsilon}(s, t) \times W_{k'_1, k'_2}^{\epsilon'}(s', t')$ to be zero unless $k_2 = k'_1$ and $\epsilon' = (-1)^s \cdot \epsilon$, and otherwise by

$$x \star y = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \min(s, s') \\ 1 \leq j \leq \min(t, t')}} \text{Diagram}$$

where i and j mean that there are i parallel strings at the top and j parallel strings at the bottom. The numbers of the other parallel strings are then determined by our conventions.

Define a trace $Tr' : W_{k_1, k_2}^{\epsilon}(s, t)$ to be zero unless $k_1 = k_2$ and $s = t = 0$, and otherwise by

$$Tr'(x) = \text{Diagram}$$

Observe that there is a natural action of $TL \otimes TL^{op}$ on V as follows: if a, b are TL diagrams with s' (resp. t) points on the top and s (resp. t') points on the bottom, then $a \otimes b$ acts as the sum over k_1, k_2 and ϵ of the linear maps $V_{k_1, k_2}^{\epsilon}(s, t) \rightarrow V_{k_1, k_2}^{\epsilon}(s', t')$ defined by

$$x \mapsto \text{Diagram}$$

Recall that a TL diagram is called *epi* if each point on the top is connected to a point on the bottom, and *monic* if each point on the bottom is connected to a point on the top. Furthermore, an epi (resp. monic) TL diagram is said to be *non-nested* if each “turn-back” on the bottom (resp. top) of the diagram encloses no other turn-backs.

Define $X : V \rightarrow W$ to be the sum of all $a \otimes b$ where a (resp. b) are epi (resp. monic) TL diagrams. Likewise, define $Y : W \rightarrow V$ to be the sum of all $a \otimes b$ where

a (resp. b) are non-nested epi (resp. monic) TL diagrams, and the coefficient on $a \otimes b$ is $(-1)^{s+t-s'-t'}$ when a, b are as above.

Proposition 2.2.

- (1) $XY = 1 = YX$.
- (2) $X(a \wedge b) = X(a) \star X(b)$.
- (3) $X(a^*) = X(a)^*$.
- (4) $Tr'(X(a)) = Tr(a)$.

Proof. The proof is identical to the argument in [10, Section 5], and will therefore be omitted. $\square$

Corollary 2.3. $(W, \star, *)$ is an associative $*$ -algebra.

Proof. Since $(V, \wedge, *)$ is clearly associative, this follows from the isomorphism in Proposition 2.2. $\square$

We are now prepared to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By Proposition 2.2, to prove the theorem it suffices to show that Tr' is a faithful, positive state on W and that W acts by bounded operators on the GNS Hilbert space for Tr' . That Tr' is positive and faithful is one of the defining axioms of the subfactor planar algebra $\mathcal{P}$. The fact that W acts by bounded operators on the associated GNS Hilbert space may be proved along the same lines as [10, Theorem 3.3]. $\square$

3. THE SYMMETRIC ENVELOPING ALGEBRA

For $k \geq 0$ and $\epsilon = \pm$, let $p_{k,\epsilon} \in V_{2k,2k}^\epsilon(0,0)$ be the diagram consisting of $2k$ parallel strings, which is a projection in V . Define $Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P}) \boxtimes Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$ to be the compression $p_{k,\epsilon} V p_{k,\epsilon}$, i.e.

$$Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P}) \boxtimes Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P}) = \bigoplus_{k,s,t \geq 0} V_{2k,2k}^\epsilon(2s, 2t).$$

Define $\tau_k \boxtimes \tau_k$ on $Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P}) \boxtimes Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$ to be δ^{-2k} times the restriction of Tr .

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that $\tau_k \boxtimes \tau_k$ is a faithful, tracial state on $Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P}) \boxtimes Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$. Let $M_k^\epsilon \boxtimes M_k^\epsilon$ denote its GNS completion, which is naturally identified with the compression $p_{k,\epsilon} \mathfrak{M} p_{k,\epsilon}$.

As an example, consider the element $|| \in V_{0,0}^+(2,2) \subset M_0 \boxtimes M_0$ which consists of 2 vertical lines. We will show that the moments of $||$ are related to the famous meander problem (see e.g. [3]). Recall that a (generalized) *meander* of order n is a planar configuration of closed, non-intersecting loops crossing an infinite oriented line through $2n$ points. Let $M_n^{(k)}$ denote the number of meanders of order n with k connected components, and define the *meander polynomials* $m_n(q)$ by

$$m_n(q) = \sum_{k=0}^n M_n^{(k)} q^k.$$

Proposition 3.1. If $\mathcal{P}$ is a subfactor planar algebra of modulus δ , then

$$\tau_0 \boxtimes \tau_0(| |^n) = m_n(\delta).$$

Proof. We have

$$\tau_0 \boxtimes \tau_0((| |)^n) = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\sum TL} \\ \textcircled{2n} \\ \boxed{\sum TL} \end{array}$$

As explained in [3, Section 3.3], this is precisely $m_n(\delta)$. $\square$

We will now show that $M_k \boxtimes M_k$ is naturally identified with Popa's symmetric enveloping algebra $M_k \boxtimes_{e_{k-1}} M_k^{op}$. First note that there is a natural anti-automorphism $y \mapsto y^{op}$ of $Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P}) \boxtimes Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$, determined by

$$\begin{array}{c} \star \\ \boxed{y^{op}} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \star \\ \boxed{y} \\ \star \end{array}$$

Since $y \mapsto y^{op}$ preserves the trace $\tau_k \boxtimes \tau_k$, this extends to an anti-automorphism of $M_k^\epsilon \boxtimes M_k^\epsilon$.

Observe also that $Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P}) \boxtimes Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$ contains a copy of $Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P}) \otimes Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P})^{op}$ as follows:

$$x \otimes y^{op} \mapsto \begin{array}{c} \star \\ \boxed{\begin{array}{c} \star \\ \boxed{x} \\ \star \end{array}} \\ \boxed{y} \\ \star \end{array}$$

Since $\tau_k \boxtimes \tau_k$ restricts to the Voiculescu trace on $Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P}) \otimes Gr_k^\epsilon(\mathcal{P})^{op}$, this inclusion extends to $M_k^\epsilon \otimes (M_k^\epsilon)^{op} \subset M_k^\epsilon \boxtimes M_k^\epsilon$. Finally, if $k \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{f}_{k,\epsilon} \in M_k^\epsilon \boxtimes M_k^\epsilon$ is the projection

$$\mathbf{f}_{k,\epsilon} = \delta^{-1} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\overbrace{\quad}^{k-1}} \\ \textcircled{\quad} \end{array}$$

then $\mathbf{f}_{k,+}$ implements the Jones projections for both $M_{k-1} \subset M_k$ and $M_{k-1}^{op} \subset M_k^{op}$. It now follows from [14, Theorem 1.2] and the discussion above that $W^*(M_k \otimes M_k^{op}, \mathbf{f}_k)$ is isomorphic to $M_k \boxtimes_{e_{k-1}} M_k^{op}$; in particular, it is a factor. We will now show that $M_k \boxtimes M_k = W^*(M_k \otimes M_k^{op}, \mathbf{f}_k)$. First we need a lemma.

Let $k \geq 0$ and $\epsilon = \pm$, and define $c_{k,\epsilon} \in V_{2k,2k+2}^\epsilon(1,1)$ by

$$c_{k,\epsilon} = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\textcircled{2k}} \end{array}$$

It follows from [7, Lemma 2] that $c_{k,\epsilon} c_{k,\epsilon}^*$ is invertible in $M_k^\epsilon \boxtimes M_k^\epsilon$. Let $q_{k,\epsilon}$ be the initial projection of $c_{k,\epsilon}^* c_{k,\epsilon}$,

$$q_{k,\epsilon} = c_{k,\epsilon}^* (c_{k,\epsilon} c_{k,\epsilon}^*)^{-1} c_{k,\epsilon}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Fix $k \geq 0$ and $\epsilon = \pm$.*

(1) *The map*

$$\theta_{k,\epsilon}(x) = c_{k,\epsilon}^* (c_{k,\epsilon} c_{k,\epsilon}^*)^{-1/2} x (c_{k,\epsilon} c_{k,\epsilon}^*)^{-1/2} c_{k,\epsilon}$$

is an isomorphism of $M_k^\epsilon \boxtimes M_k^\epsilon$ onto $q_{k,\epsilon} (M_{k+1}^{-\epsilon} \boxtimes M_{k+1}^{-\epsilon}) q_{k,\epsilon}$.

(2) If $k \geq 1$, then $\theta_{k,\epsilon}$ restricts to an isomorphism

$$W^*(M_k^\epsilon \otimes (M_k^\epsilon)^{op}, \mathbf{f}_{k,\epsilon}) \simeq q_{k,\epsilon}(W^*(M_{k+1}^{-\epsilon} \otimes (M_{k+1}^{-\epsilon})^{op}, \mathbf{f}_{k+1,-\epsilon}))q_{k,\epsilon}.$$

Proof. (1) is straightforward; the inverse of $\theta_{k,\epsilon}$ is given by

$$y \mapsto (c_{k,\epsilon}c_{k,\epsilon}^*)^{-1/2}c_{k,\epsilon}yc_{k,\epsilon}^*(c_{k,\epsilon}c_{k,\epsilon}^*)^{-1/2}.$$

For (2), since it is clear that $\theta_{k,\epsilon}$ takes $M_k^\epsilon \otimes (M_k^\epsilon)^{op}$ onto $q_{k,\epsilon}(M_{k+1}^{-\epsilon} \otimes (M_{k+1}^{-\epsilon})^{op})q_{k,\epsilon}$ and $\mathbf{f}_{k,\epsilon}$ to $q_{k,\epsilon}\mathbf{f}_{k+1,-\epsilon}q_{k,\epsilon}$, it suffices to show that $q_{k,\epsilon}W^*(M_{k+1}^{-\epsilon} \otimes (M_{k+1}^{-\epsilon})^{op}, \mathbf{f}_{k+1,-\epsilon})q_{k,\epsilon}$ is generated by $q_k(M_{k+1}^{-\epsilon} \otimes (M_{k+1}^{-\epsilon})^{op})q_{k,\epsilon}$ and $q_{k,\epsilon}\mathbf{f}_{k+1,-\epsilon}q_{k,\epsilon}$. Note that $q_{k,\epsilon}$ is contained in the II_1 factor $M_1^\epsilon \otimes (M_1^\epsilon)^{op}$, so there are partial isometries $v_1, \dots, v_n \in M_1^\epsilon \otimes (M_1^\epsilon)^{op}$ such that $v_i^*v_i \leq q_{k,\epsilon}$ and $\sum_i v_i^*q_{k,\epsilon}v_i = 1$. Let $m_1, \dots, m_l \in M_{k+1}^{-\epsilon} \otimes (M_{k+1}^{-\epsilon})^{op}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & q_{k,\epsilon}(m_1\mathbf{f}_{k+1,-\epsilon}m_2 \cdots \mathbf{f}_{k+1,-\epsilon}m_l)q_{k,\epsilon} \\ &= q_{k,\epsilon}m_1\left(\sum_{i_1=1}^n v_{i_1}^*q_{k,\epsilon}v_{i_1}\right)\mathbf{f}_{k+1,-\epsilon}m_2\left(\sum_{i_2=1}^n v_{i_2}^*q_{k,\epsilon}v_{i_2}\right) \\ & \quad \cdots m_{l-1}\left(\sum_{i_{l-1}=1}^n v_{i_{l-1}}^*q_{k,\epsilon}v_{i_{l-1}}\right)\mathbf{f}_{k+1,-\epsilon}m_lq_{k,\epsilon} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{l-1} \leq n} q_{k,\epsilon}(m_1v_{i_1}^*)q_{k,\epsilon}\mathbf{f}_{k+1,-\epsilon}q_{k,\epsilon}(v_{i_1}m_2v_{i_2}^*)q_{k,\epsilon}\mathbf{f}_{k+1,-\epsilon} \\ & \quad \cdots \mathbf{f}_{k+1,-\epsilon}q_{k,\epsilon}(v_{i_{l-1}}m_l)q_{k,\epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\mathbf{f}_{k+1,-\epsilon}$ commutes with $M_1^{-\epsilon} \otimes (M_1^{-\epsilon})^{op}$. The result follows. $\square$

Theorem 3.3. For any $k \geq 1$ we have

$$M_k \boxtimes M_k \simeq M_k \boxtimes_{e_{k-1}} M_k^{op}.$$

Proof. As discussed above, we just need to show that $M_k \boxtimes M_k = W^*(M_k \otimes M_k^{op}, \mathbf{f}_k)$. If $x \in Gr_k(\mathcal{P}) \boxtimes Gr_k(\mathcal{P})$, then by Lemma 3.2 we have $x \in W^*(M_k \otimes M_k^{op}, \mathbf{f}_k)$ if $c_{k,+}^*xc_{k,+} \in W^*(M_{k+1}^{-\epsilon} \otimes (M_{k+1}^{-\epsilon})^{op}, \mathbf{f}_{k+1,-\epsilon})$. Iterating, it is enough to show that for l sufficiently large we have

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: A box labeled } x \text{ with four vertical lines passing through it. Each line has a circle labeled } 2l \text{ at its top and bottom ends.} \end{array} \in W^*(M_{k+2l} \otimes M_{k+2l}^{op}, \mathbf{f}_{k+2l})$$

Let $x \in V_{2k,2k}(2s, 2t)$. Then for $l = t + k$ we have that

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: A box labeled } x \text{ with four vertical lines passing through it. Each line has a circle labeled } 2l \text{ at its top and bottom ends.} \end{array} = \delta^{-(l+t)} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: A box labeled } x \text{ with four vertical lines passing through it. The lines are grouped into two pairs. The top pair has circles labeled } 2l \text{ and } k. \text{ The bottom pair has circles labeled } 2l \text{ and } k. \text{ There are also circles labeled } l \text{ and } t \text{ on the lines.} \end{array}$$

is the product of three elements in $M_{k+2l} \boxtimes M_{k+2l}$. The first and third terms are (manifestly) elements of $M_{k+2l} \otimes M_{k+2l}^{op}$. The second term is a Temperley-Lieb element, but since $W^*(M_{k+2l} \otimes M_{k+2l}^{op}, \mathbf{f}_{k+2l})$ contains all of the standard Temperley-Lieb generators

$$e_i = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \end{array} \quad (i = 0, \dots, 2k + 4l - 2),$$

it contains any such Temperley-Lieb element. This completes the proof. $\square$

We will now give a diagrammatic computation of the index $[M_0 \boxtimes M_0 : M_0 \otimes M_0^{op}]$. Let Γ be the principal graph of $\mathcal{P}$ (see e.g. [6]), and let Γ_+ denote the collection of even vertices. Associated to each $v \in \Gamma_+$ is an irreducible $M_0 - M_0$ bimodule X_v . Let $*$ denote the distinguished vertex, corresponding to $X_* = L^2(M_0)$, and for $k \geq 0$ let $\Gamma_{+,k}$ denote the set of vertices in Γ whose distance from $*$ is less than or equal to $2k$. Then we have

$${}_{M_0} L^2(M_k)_{M_0} \simeq \bigoplus_{v \in \Gamma_{+,k}} X_v \otimes \mathcal{H}_v(k),$$

where $\mathcal{H}_v(k)$ are auxiliary finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces, whose dimensions we denote by $n_k(v)$.

It follows that

$${}_{M_0 \otimes M_0^{op}} L^2(M_k \otimes M_k^{op})_{M_0 \otimes M_0^{op}} \simeq \bigoplus_{v, w \in \Gamma_{+,k}} X_v \otimes \overline{X_w} \otimes (\mathcal{H}_v(k) \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}_w(k)}),$$

where $\overline{X_w}$ is the contragredient bimodule and $\overline{\mathcal{H}_w(k)}$ is the conjugate Hilbert space. Let $1_v(k) \in \mathcal{H}_v(k) \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}_v(k)}$ be the unit under the natural identification with $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{H}_v(k))$, and define $p_k(v)$ to be the projection from $L^2(M_k \otimes M_k^{op})$ onto $X_v \otimes \overline{X_v} \otimes 1_v(k)$. Then define

$$p_k = \sum_{v \in \Gamma_{+,k}} p_k(v).$$

Recall that P_{2k} can be identified with $\text{Hom}_{M_0, M_0}(L^2(M_k))$; in particular, the central projections $q_k(v)$ of P_{2k} are indexed by $v \in \Gamma_{+,k}$. Let $t_k(v)$ denote the trace of a minimal projection in $P_{2k}(v) := P_{2k} \cdot q_k(v)$, the central component of P_{2k} corresponding to $v \in \Gamma_{+,k}$. If $\{e_{ij}(v) : 1 \leq i, j \leq n_k(v)\}$ is a choice of matrix units for $P_{2k}(v)$, then we have

$$p_k(v) = \frac{1}{n_k(v)} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n_k(v)} e_{ij}(v) \otimes e_{ji}(v)^{op}.$$

Graphically, we will represent $p_k(v)$ using a Sweedler type convention as follows:

$$p_k(v) = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \boxed{p_k^{(1)}(v)} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \boxed{p_k^{(2)}(v)} \text{---} \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $x, y \in P_{2k}$ and $v \in \Gamma_{+,k}$; then we have*

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1: A box labeled } p_k^{(1)}(v) \text{ connected to a box labeled } x. \text{ A box labeled } p_k^{(2)}(v) \text{ connected to a box labeled } y. \text{ Both } p_k^{(1)}(v) \text{ and } p_k^{(2)}(v) \text{ are connected to a common top and bottom line.} \\ \text{Diagram 2: A box labeled } x \text{ connected to a box labeled } y. \text{ Both } x \text{ and } y \text{ are connected to a central box labeled } q_k(v) \text{ with a star symbol.} \end{array} = \frac{\delta^{2k} \cdot t_k(v)}{n_k(v)} \cdot \text{Diagram 2}$$

Proof. Let Tr_v denote the unnormalized trace on $P_{2k}(v) \simeq M_{n_k(v)}(\mathbb{C})$, so that $\tau_k|_{P_{2k}(v)} = t_k(v) \cdot Tr_v$. Then the left hand side of the formula in the statement is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n_k(v)} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n_k(v)} \delta^{4k} \cdot t_v(k)^2 Tr_v(e_{ij}(v)x) Tr_v(y e_{ji}(v)) \\ = \frac{\delta^{2k} \cdot t_k(v)}{n_k(v)} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n_k(v)} \delta^{2k} \cdot t_k(v) Tr_v(x y q_k(v)), \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to the right hand side. $\square$

Define $\Psi_k : Gr_k \otimes Gr_k^{op} \rightarrow Gr_0 \boxtimes Gr_0$ by linear extension of

$$\Psi_k(x \otimes y^{op}) = \sum_{v \in \Gamma_{+,k}} \sqrt{\frac{t_k(v)}{n_k(v)}} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: A box labeled } x \text{ connected to a box labeled } q_k(v) \text{ with a star symbol, which is connected to a box labeled } y. \end{array}$$

Theorem 3.5. Ψ_k extends to an $M_0 \otimes M_0^{op}$ -bilinear partial isometry from $L^2(M_k \otimes M_k^{op})$ into $L^2(M_0 \boxtimes M_0)$, whose initial projection is equal to p_k .

Proof. Let $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in Gr_k$; then $\langle \Psi_k(x_1 \otimes y_1^{op}), \Psi_k(x_2 \otimes y_2^{op}) \rangle$ is equal to

$$\sum_{v, w \in \Gamma_{+,k}} \sqrt{\frac{t_k(v)t_w(k)}{n_k(v)n_w(k)}} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: A complex diagram with boxes } x_1, x_2^*, y_1, y_2^* \text{ and } q_k(v), q_w(k) \text{ with star symbols. A dashed box encloses } x_1, x_2^*, y_1, y_2^* \text{ and } \sum TL \text{ boxes.} \end{array}$$

Let x, y^{op} denote the elements of P_{2k}, P_{2k}^{op} represented by the dashed boxes above. Since $q_k(v)$ and $q_w(k)$ are central, the terms in the sum above for which $v \neq w$ are equal to zero. But applying Lemma 3.4 to x, y , we see that the term corresponding

to $v = w$ is equal to $\langle p_k(v) \cdot (x_1 \otimes y_1^{op}), x_2 \otimes y_2^{op} \rangle$. So we have proved that

$$\langle \Psi_k(x_1 \otimes y_1^{op}), \Psi_k(x_2 \otimes y_2^{op}) \rangle = \langle p_k \cdot (x_1 \otimes y_1^{op}), x_2 \otimes y_2^{op} \rangle,$$

from which the result follows. $\square$

From this we can deduce the following corollary, which is due to Ocneanu [13] in the finite-depth case, and Popa [16] in the general case.

Corollary 3.6. *We have*

$$_{M_0 \otimes M_0^{op}} L^2(M_0 \boxtimes M_0)_{M_0 \otimes M_0^{op}} \simeq \bigoplus_{v \in \Gamma_+} X_v \otimes \overline{X_v}.$$

Proof. Let Ξ_k denote the range of Ψ_k , which is a closed subspace of $L^2(M_0 \boxtimes M_0)$ invariant under the left and right actions of $M_0 \otimes M_0^{op}$. By Theorem 3.5, Ξ_k is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{v \in \Gamma_{+,k}} X_v \otimes \overline{X_v}$$

as an $M_0 \otimes M_0^{op}$ -bimodule. It follows that $\bigcup_{k \geq 0} \Xi_k$ is isomorphic as an $M_0 \otimes M_0^{op}$ -bimodule to

$$\bigoplus_{v \in \Gamma_+} X_v \otimes \overline{X_v}.$$

Now if $x \in V_{0,0}(2s, 2t)$, then $x = \Psi_t(x_t)$, where $x_t \in M_t \otimes M_t^{op}$ is defined by

$$x_t = \sum_{v \in \Gamma_{+,t}} \sqrt{\frac{n_k(v)}{t_k(v)}} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \boxed{x} \\ \boxed{q_t(v) \star} \end{array}$$

So $\bigcup_{k \geq 0} \Xi_k$ contains $Gr_0 \boxtimes Gr_0$ and is therefore dense in $L^2(M_0 \boxtimes M_0)$, which completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.7. Note that it follows from the proof of Corollary 3.6 that Ψ_k is surjective if the depth of $\mathcal{P}$ is less than or equal to $2k$, i.e. if $\Gamma_+ = \Gamma_{+,k}$.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $k \geq 0$. Then if Γ_+ is finite the index $[M_k \boxtimes M_k : M_k \otimes M_k^{op}]$ is equal to the global index*

$$I = \sum_{v \in \Gamma_+} \dim_{M_0}(X_v)^2.$$

Otherwise $[M_k \boxtimes M_k : M_k \otimes M_k^{op}] = \infty$.

Proof. For $k = 0$ this is clear from the previous corollary. The result then follows for $k \geq 1$ from Lemma 3.2 and the fact that the global index of $\mathcal{P}^{op}$ (the planar algebra obtained from $\mathcal{P}$ by reversing the shading) is the same as that of $\mathcal{P}$. $\square$

4. DERIVATIONS ON PLANAR ALGEBRA FACTORS

Throughout this section we will denote $A = Gr_0(\mathcal{P})$ and $M = M_0(\mathcal{P})$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is a subfactor planar algebra. Define

$$\Phi_o = \bigoplus_{s,t \geq 0} V_{1,0}^-(2s+1, 2t), \quad \Phi_e = \bigoplus_{s,t \geq 0} V_{1,0}^+(2s, 2t+1), \quad \Phi = \Phi_o \oplus \Phi_e.$$

Observe that Φ has a natural right $A \otimes A^{op}$ -module structure.

Given $Q \in \Phi_o$ and $x \in P_n$, define $\tilde{\delta}_Q(x) \in A \boxtimes A$ by

$$\tilde{\delta}_Q(x) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq 2n \\ k \text{ even}}} \text{Diagram}$$

Extend $\tilde{\delta}_Q$ linearly to obtain a map $\tilde{\delta}_Q : A \rightarrow A \boxtimes A$. If $Q \in \Phi_e$ we define $\tilde{\delta}_Q : A \rightarrow A \boxtimes A$ likewise, except that we sum over odd instead of even k in the formula above. We then extend $Q \mapsto \tilde{\delta}_Q$ linearly to Φ .

Proposition 4.1. *If $Q \in \Phi$, then $\tilde{\delta}_Q$ is a derivation from A into $A \boxtimes A$. The assignment $Q \mapsto \tilde{\delta}_Q$ is an $A \otimes A^{op}$ -linear map from Φ into $\text{Der}(A, A \boxtimes A)$.*

Proof. For the first statement, it suffices to consider $Q \in \Phi_o$ or $Q \in \Phi_e$. In either case the result is clear from drawing the relevant diagram. The second statement is obvious. $\square$

Let $E_{M \otimes M^{op}}$ denote the unique trace-preserving conditional expectation from $M \boxtimes M$ onto $M \otimes M^{op}$, which is given by the orthogonal projection $L^2(M \boxtimes M) \rightarrow L^2(M \otimes M^{op})$. We will now show that this projection may also be computed with respect to the orthogonal basis of Section 2.1. Let $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$ denote the inner product on $A \boxtimes A$ induced by δ^{-2k} times the restriction of Tr' .

Lemma 4.2. *Let P denote the orthogonal projection of $A \boxtimes A$ onto $A \otimes A^{op}$ with respect to $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$. If $Q \in A \boxtimes A$, then*

$$E_{M \otimes M^{op}}(Q) = P(Q).$$

Proof. SGM If $Q \in A \boxtimes A$ and $a \otimes b \in A \otimes A^{op}$ we have

$$\langle Q, a \otimes b \rangle = \text{Diagram} = \langle P(Q), a \otimes b \rangle,$$

so that $P(Q)$ is equal to the orthogonal projection of Q onto $M \otimes M^{op}$ in $L^2(\tau_k \boxtimes \tau_k)$. $\square$

Corollary 4.3.

- (1) $E_{M \otimes M^{op}}(V_{0,0}^+(2s, 2t)) \subset P_s \otimes P_t$; in particular, $E_{M \otimes M^{op}}(A \boxtimes A) \subset A \otimes A^{op}$.
- (2) Suppose that $Q \in A \boxtimes A \cap (A \otimes A^{op})^\perp$. Write

$$Q = \sum_{s,t \geq 0} Q_{s,t},$$

- where $Q_{s,t} \in V_{0,0}^+(2s, 2t)$ are non-zero for finitely many $s, t \geq 0$. Then $Q_{s,t} \in (A \otimes A^{op})^\perp$ for all $s, t \geq 0$.
- (3) If $Q \in V_{0,0}^+(2s, 2t) \cap (A \otimes A^{op})^\perp$, then

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \boxed{Q} = 0.$$

Proof. (1) and (2) are immediate from the previous lemma. Let Q be as in (3). Then if $x \in P_t$ we have

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \boxed{Q} \text{---} \boxed{x} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \boxed{P(Q)} \text{---} \boxed{x} = 0,$$

from which the result follows. $\square$

Given $Q \in \Phi$, define $\delta_Q = E_{M \otimes M^{op}} \circ \tilde{\delta}_Q$. Note that the range of δ_Q is contained in $A \otimes A^{op}$ by the previous corollary. Since $E_{M \otimes M^{op}}$ commutes with the left and right actions of $A \otimes A^{op}$, it is clear that $\delta_Q \in \text{Der}(A, A \otimes A^{op})$ and that the assignment $Q \mapsto \delta_Q$ is $A \otimes A^{op}$ -linear.

We will now determine the kernel of the mapping $Q \mapsto \delta_Q$. Define

$$\Omega_o = \bigoplus_{s,t \geq 0} V_{0,0}^-(2s+1, 2t+1), \quad \Omega_e = \bigoplus_{s,t \geq 0} V_{0,0}^+(2s, 2t), \quad \Omega = \Omega_o \oplus \Omega_e.$$

Observe that $\Omega_e = A \boxtimes A$; in particular, $A \otimes A^{op} \subset \Omega$. The orthogonal complement of $A \otimes A^{op}$ in Ω is $\Omega_o \oplus (A \otimes A^{op})^\perp \cap A \boxtimes A$.

Given $R \in \Omega_o$, define $\rho(R) \in \Phi$ by

$$\rho(R) = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \boxed{R} - \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \boxed{R}$$

Likewise if $R \in \Omega_e$ we define $\rho(R) \in \Phi$ by the same formula, but note that the shading of the “corners” must be reversed. We then extend ρ to Ω linearly.

Proposition 4.4. *The restriction of ρ to $\Omega \cap (A \otimes A^{op})^\perp$ is an $A \otimes A^{op}$ -linear isomorphism onto the kernel of the mapping $Q \mapsto \delta_Q$.*

Proof. If $R \in \Omega_o$, then it is immediate from the defining formula that $\tilde{\delta}_{\rho(R)} = 0$. On the other hand, if $R \in \Omega_e$, then it is easy to see that $\tilde{\delta}_{\rho(R)}(x) = [x, R]$, and therefore $\delta_{\rho(R)}(x) = [x, E_{M \otimes M^{op}}(R)]$. So we have $\delta_{\rho(R)} = 0$ if $R \perp A \otimes A^{op}$. In fact since M is diffuse, any Hilbert-Schmidt operator commuting with A must be zero, and so we have $\delta_{\rho(R)} = 0$ if and only if $R \perp A \otimes A^{op}$.

It remains to show that if $Q \in \Phi$ is such that $\delta_Q = 0$, then $Q = \rho(R)$ for a unique $R \in \Omega$. Write

$$Q = \sum_{s,t \geq 0} Q_{s,t},$$

where $Q_{s,t} \in V_{1,0}^{(-1)^s}(s,t)$ are non-zero for finitely many s,t . For $s,t \geq 1$ with $s+t$ even, define $R_{s,t} \in V_{0,0}^{(-1)^s}(s,t)$ by

$$R_{s,t} = \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{s,t+1\}} \text{diagram with } (k-1) \text{ vertical lines on the left, a box labeled } Q_{s+k,t+1-k} \text{ on the right, and a cap on top with } k \text{ lines.}$$

Note that $R_{s,t} = 0$ for all but finitely many s,t , and set $R = \sum_{s,t} R_{s,t} \in \Omega$. We claim that $Q = \rho(R)$; note that it will then follow from the discussion above that $R \in (A \otimes A^{op})^\perp$.

We need to show that for any $s,t \geq 0$ we have

$$Q_{s,t} = \text{diagram with } s \text{ vertical lines on the left, a box labeled } R_{s-1,t} \text{ on the right, and a cap on top with } s \text{ lines} - \text{diagram with } s \text{ vertical lines on the left, a box labeled } R_{s,t-1} \text{ on the right, and a cap on top with } s \text{ lines}$$

We will prove this by induction on s . First suppose that $s = 0$; we claim that $Q_{s,t} = 0$ for any $t \geq 0$. Indeed, this follows from $\delta_Q(\cup) = 0$ and (2) of Corollary 4.3.

So assume that $s \geq 1$. Let x be the following Temperley-Lieb element with $2(s+1)$ boundary points:

$$x = \text{diagram with } 2(s+1) \text{ vertical lines, a cap on top with } s+1 \text{ lines, and a cup on the bottom with } s+1 \text{ lines.}$$

Since $\delta_Q(x) = 0$, applying (2) of Corollary 4.3 we find that

$$\sum_{k=1}^s \text{diagram with } (k-1) \text{ vertical lines on the left, a box labeled } Q_{s-k,t+k} \text{ on the right, and a cap on top with } k \text{ lines} + \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{s,t\}} \text{diagram with } k \text{ vertical lines on the left, a box labeled } Q_{s+k,t-k} \text{ on the right, and a cap on top with } k \text{ lines} \in (A \otimes A^{op})^\perp,$$

where the number of parallel lines appearing at left is determined by the condition that each term is in $V_{0,0}^+(2s, t+s+1)$.

Applying induction to the first sum and simplifying, we are left with

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\min\{s,t\}} \text{diagram with } k \text{ vertical lines on the left, a box labeled } Q_{s+k,t-k} \text{ on the right, and a cap on top with } k \text{ lines} - (s+1) \text{diagram with } s+1 \text{ vertical lines on the left, a box labeled } R_{s-1,t} \text{ on the right, and a cap on top with } s+1 \text{ lines} \in (A \otimes A^{op})^\perp.$$

By (3) of Corollary 4.3, we have

$$\text{diagram with } s \text{ vertical lines on the left, a box labeled } Q_{s,t} \text{ on the right, and a cap on top with } s \text{ lines} + \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{s,t\}} \text{diagram with } k \text{ vertical lines on the left, a box labeled } Q_{s+k,t-k} \text{ on the right, and a cap on top with } k \text{ lines} - (s-1) \text{diagram with } s-1 \text{ vertical lines on the left, a box labeled } R_{s-1,t} \text{ on the right, and a cap on top with } s-1 \text{ lines} = 0.$$

After rotating s strings clockwise from bottom to top, and one more from the bottom to the left, we obtain the desired result.

Finally, if $Q = \rho(R')$ and R is defined in terms of Q as above, it is straightforward to check that $R = R'$, which proves that ρ is injective. Since ρ is clearly linear over $A \otimes A^{op}$, this completes the proof. $\square$

We now compute the “conjugate variable” $\delta_Q^*(1 \otimes 1)$. This is closely related to the Schwinger-Dyson equation from [9, Lemma 12].

Proposition 4.5. *Let $Q \in V_{1,0}^{(-1)^s}(s, t)$, and view δ_Q as a densely defined unbounded operator $\delta_Q : L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(M \otimes M^{op})$. Then $1 \otimes 1 \in \mathfrak{D}(\delta_Q^*)$, and*

$$(\delta_Q^*(1 \otimes 1))^* = \text{Diagram 1} - \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq s \\ k+t \in 2\mathbb{Z}}} \text{Diagram 2} - \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq t \\ k+s \in 2\mathbb{Z}}} \text{Diagram 3}$$

Proof. Fix $x \in A$ and look at

Consider the string on the left side of Q . For a fixed term in the sum over TL diagrams there are three possibilities: this string is connected to the top of Q , to the bottom of Q , or to x . It follows that the inner product of x with the element in the statement of the proposition is equal to the sum of the terms above in which the string on the left side of Q is connected to x . But it is clear that this is equal to $(\tau_k \boxtimes \tau_k) \widetilde{\delta}_Q(x) = \langle \delta_Q(x), 1 \otimes 1 \rangle$, which completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 4.6. *If $Q \in \Phi$, then δ_Q is closable as an unbounded operator $L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(M \otimes M^{op})$.*

Proof. This follows from $1 \otimes 1 \in \mathfrak{D}(\delta_Q^*)$ by [20]. $\square$

Note that Φ is identified with $(p_{1,+} + p_{1,-})Vp_{0,+}$, and so may be completed to obtain a Hilbert space $\overline{\Phi} \simeq (p_{1,+} + p_{1,-})L^2(\mathfrak{M})p_{0,+}$. Likewise Ω may be completed to obtain a Hilbert space $\overline{\Omega} \simeq (p_{0,+} + p_{0,-})L^2(\mathfrak{M})p_{0,+}$.

Proposition 4.7. *As right $M \otimes M^{op}$ modules, we have*

$$\dim_{M \otimes M^{op}}(\overline{\Phi}) = 2\delta I$$

and

$$\dim_{M \otimes M^{op}}(\overline{\Omega}) = 2I.$$

Proof. Let $c \in V_{0,1}^-(1, 0)$ be the following element:

$$c = \text{Diagram 4}$$

It follows from the same argument as in Lemma 3.2 that $L^2(M \boxtimes M) \simeq q \cdot \overline{\Phi_o}$ as right $M \otimes M^{op}$ -modules, where $q = c^*(cc^*)^{-1}c$ is the initial projection of c^*c . Note that there is an obvious inclusion of M_1 into the commutant of the right action of $M \otimes M^{op}$ on $\overline{\Phi_o}$. It follows from the uniqueness of the trace on M_1 that the trace of q in the commutant of $M \otimes M^{op}$ is equal to its trace in M_1 , which is δ^{-1} . By Corollary 3.8 we then have

$$\dim_{M \otimes M^{op}} \overline{\Phi_o} = \delta \cdot I.$$

Likewise we have $\dim_{M \otimes M^{op}} \overline{\Phi_e} = \delta \cdot I$, so that $\dim_{M \otimes M^{op}} \overline{\Phi} = 2\delta I$.

Clearly we have $\overline{\Omega_e} \simeq L^2(M \boxtimes M^{op})$, and hence $\dim_{M \otimes M^{op}} \overline{\Omega_e} = I$. On the other hand, let $c' \in V_{0,1}^+(1,0)$ be as c above but with the shading reversed, and set $q' = c'^*(cc'^*)^{-1}c'$. Then by the same argument as in Lemma 3.2, we have $\overline{\Omega_o} \simeq q' \cdot \overline{\Phi_e}$, and hence $\dim_{M \otimes M^{op}} \overline{\Omega_o} = I$. $\square$

Remark 4.8. For a family $X_1, \dots, X_n$ of self-adjoint operators which generate a II_1 factor M , Voiculescu has defined the *free entropy dimension* $\delta_0(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. If M is isomorphic to an interpolated free group factor $L\mathbb{F}_t$, it is generally expected (but not proven) that $\delta_0(X_1, \dots, X_n) = t$.

Beginning with the work of Connes-Shlyakhtenko on L^2 -Betti numbers [2], there have been a number of recent results relating Voiculescu's free entropy dimension to the Murray-von Neumann dimension of certain spaces of derivations. In particular, it is reasonable to expect that in “nice” situations, we should have the following equality:

$$\dim_{M \otimes M^{op}} \overline{\text{Der}_c(A, A \otimes A^{op})}^{(L^2(M \otimes M^{op}))^n} = \delta_0(X_1, \dots, X_n),$$

where $A = \mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle$ and $\text{Der}_c(A, A \otimes A^{op})$ denotes the module of closable derivations $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A^{op}$, which is embedded into $(L^2(M \otimes M^{op}))^n$ via $\delta \mapsto (\delta(X_1), \dots, \delta(X_n))$. Note in particular that if $X_1, \dots, X_n$ are free semi-circular random variables, then both sides of the equation are indeed equal to n .

Combining the results above, we have constructed an $A \otimes A^{op}$ -linear map from $D = \{\delta_Q : Q \in \Phi\}$ onto a dense subset of the orthogonal complement in $\overline{\Phi}$ of $\rho(\overline{\Omega})$. It follows that

$$\dim_{M \otimes M^{op}} \overline{D}^{\overline{\Phi}} = \dim_{M \otimes M^{op}} \overline{\Phi} - \dim_{M \otimes M^{op}} \rho(\overline{\Omega} \ominus L^2(M \otimes M^{op})).$$

One can show that the extension of ρ to $\overline{\Omega}$ is still injective, but the proof is rather tedious and so we omit it. Assuming this, we are led to the equation

$$\dim_{M \otimes M^{op}} \overline{D}^{\overline{\Phi}} = 2\delta I - (2I - 1) = 1 + 2I(\delta - 1) = r_0.$$

Now if we have $D = \text{Der}_c(A, A \otimes A^{op})$, then in light of the remark above this “explains” the formula for r_0 from [8]. Similar reasoning may of course be applied to the parameters r_k , $k \geq 1$.

So we are led to the question of whether every closable derivation $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A^{op}$ is equal to δ_Q for some $Q \in \Phi$. First note that it is not the case that every (algebraic) derivation $A \rightarrow A \otimes A^{op}$ is of this form. For example, when $\mathcal{P}$ is the tensor planar algebra on $\mathbb{C}^n$, then $A \simeq \mathbb{C}\langle t_1, \dots, t_{n^2} \rangle$ is the free algebra generated by P_1 . There are then the free difference quotient derivations $\partial_i : A \rightarrow A \otimes A^{op}$ defined by

$$\partial_i(t_j) = \delta_{ij} \cdot 1 \otimes 1.$$

Note that ∂_i takes the n graded component of A into the $n - 1$ graded component of $A \otimes A^{op}$. But it is clear that the derivations δ_Q never decrease the grading, and so we cannot have $\partial_i = \delta_Q$.

On the other hand, we will now show that any “sufficiently smooth” derivation is equal to some δ_Q . Here “sufficiently smooth” will mean that the derivation can be extended to the semi-finite algebra from [8]. As we will discuss below, we believe that this condition should be equivalent to closability.

We will use the construction from [8]; the reader is referred there for details. To avoid conflict with the notation of the current paper, we will use $\mathcal{A}_+, \mathcal{V}_+$ to denote the algebras A_+, V_+ from [8]. Note that we have an identification $A = e_0 \mathcal{V}_+ e_0$.

it follows from the formulas above that

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{s,t} = & \sum_{k=1}^s \text{Diagram 1} + \sum_{k=0}^s \text{Diagram 2} \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^{p/2} \text{Diagram 3} + \sum_{k=1}^{p/2} \text{Diagram 4}
 \end{aligned}$$

The diagrams are as follows:

- Diagram 1:** A box labeled y with an incoming line from the top-left labeled $\bar{p}$ and an outgoing line to the top-right labeled $2k-1$. It is connected to a box labeled a by a horizontal line. Below a is a box labeled b with an incoming line from the top-left labeled $q-2k$ and an outgoing line to the top-right.
- Diagram 2:** Similar to Diagram 1, but the line from y to a is labeled $2k$, and the line from b to a is labeled $q-2k+1$.
- Diagram 3:** A box labeled y with an incoming line from the bottom-left labeled $\bar{q}$ and an outgoing line to the bottom-right labeled $2k-1$. It is connected to a box labeled b by a horizontal line. Above b is a box labeled a with an incoming line from the bottom-left labeled $2k-2$ and an outgoing line to the bottom-right.
- Diagram 4:** Similar to Diagram 3, but the line from y to b is labeled $2k$, and the line from a to b is labeled $2k-1$.

Note that we have suppressed the subscripts on a and b , which are determined by the condition that each term has $p+2s$ upper strings and $q-1+t$ lower strings.

On the other hand, we also have

$$\tilde{\delta}(y) = \tilde{\delta} \left(\text{Diagram with } y \text{ and labels } \bar{p+1}, \bar{q-1} \right).$$

It then follows by similar computations that

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{s,t} = & \sum_{k=1}^s \text{Diagram 5} + \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \text{Diagram 6} \\
 & + \sum_{k=0}^{p/2} \text{Diagram 7} + \sum_{k=1}^{p/2} \text{Diagram 8}
 \end{aligned}$$

The diagrams are as follows:

- Diagram 5:** Similar to Diagram 1, but the line from y to a is labeled $2k-1$, and the line from b to a is labeled $q-2k-1$.
- Diagram 6:** Similar to Diagram 2, but the line from y to a is labeled $2k$, and the line from b to a is labeled $q-2k-2$.
- Diagram 7:** Similar to Diagram 3, but the line from y to b is labeled $2k$, and the line from a to b is labeled $2k$.
- Diagram 8:** Similar to Diagram 4, but the line from y to b is labeled $2k$, and the line from a to b is labeled $2k-1$.

Setting these two expressions for $R_{s,t}$ equal to each other and cancelling out common terms, we obtain the desired formula.

By the same argument, if $p, q \geq 1$ are odd and $p+q=2n$, then for any $y \in P_n$ and any odd s and even t we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Diagram 9} = \text{Diagram 10} \\
 & \text{Diagram 9: } \text{Box } y \text{ with } \bar{q} \text{ and } a_{q,s} \text{ with } b_{q-1,t} \text{ below it.} \\
 & \text{Diagram 10: } \text{Box } y \text{ with } \bar{p} \text{ and } b_{p,t} \text{ to its right.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now for $s \geq 1$ odd and $t \geq 0$ even, define $Q_{s,t} \in V_{1,0}^-(s,t)$ by

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \boxed{Q_{s,t}} \\ \text{---} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \boxed{a_{s+2,s}} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \boxed{b_{s+1,t}} \\ \text{---} \end{array}$$

We claim that

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \boxed{a_{2n+1,s}} \\ \text{---} \\ \boxed{b_{2n,t}} \\ \text{---} \end{array} = E_{M \otimes M^{op}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \boxed{2n} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \text{---} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \boxed{Q_{s,t}} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right]$$

Indeed, using the relation above we see that for $x \in V_{0,2n+1}(s,0)$ and $y \in V_{t,0}(2n,0)$ we have:

$$\begin{array}{c} \boxed{a_{2n+1,s}} \quad x \\ \boxed{b_{2n,t}} \quad y \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{a_{s+2,s}} \\ \text{---} \boxed{b_{s+1,t}} \quad y \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{Q_{s,t}} \quad x \\ \quad y \end{array}$$

The result then follows from Lemma 4.2.

Likewise, for $s \geq 0$ even and $t \geq 1$ odd, define

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \boxed{Q_{s,t}} \\ \text{---} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \boxed{a_{s,s}} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \boxed{b_{s+1,t}} \\ \text{---} \end{array}$$

A similar argument shows that

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \boxed{a_{2n,s}} \\ \text{---} \\ \boxed{b_{2n+1,t}} \\ \text{---} \end{array} = E_{M \otimes M^{op}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \boxed{2n-1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \text{---} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \boxed{Q_{s,t}} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right]$$

Now set $Q = \sum_{s,t} Q_{s,t}$; we claim that $\delta = \delta_Q$, where δ is the restriction of $\tilde{\delta}$ to $A = e_0 \mathcal{V}_+ e_0$. Indeed, for $x \in P_n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x) &= \tilde{\delta} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \boxed{\text{---}} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right) = \sum_{\substack{s,t \\ s \text{ odd} \\ t \text{ even}}}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \begin{array}{c} \boxed{2k-1} \\ \text{---} \boxed{a_{2(n-k)+1,s}} \\ \text{---} \boxed{b_{2(n-k),t}} \end{array} + \sum_{\substack{s,t \\ s \text{ even} \\ t \text{ odd}}}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{2k} \\ \text{---} \boxed{a_{2(n-k),s}} \\ \text{---} \boxed{b_{2(n-k)+1,t}} \end{array} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{s,t \\ s \text{ odd} \\ t \text{ even}}}^n \sum_{k=1}^n E_{M \otimes M^{op}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \boxed{2k-1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \text{---} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \boxed{Q_{s,t}} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right] + \sum_{\substack{s,t \\ s \text{ even} \\ t \text{ odd}}}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E_{M \otimes M^{op}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \boxed{2k} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \text{---} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \boxed{Q_{s,t}} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right] = \delta_Q(x), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 4.10. As mentioned above, we expect that every closable derivation $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A^{op}$ has an extension to a derivation $\tilde{\delta} : \mathcal{V}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_+ e_0 \otimes e_0 \mathcal{V}_+^{op}$ which vanishes on $\mathcal{A}_+$. To show this it would be enough to find a system of matrix units $\{e_{ij}\}$ in the completion of $\mathcal{V}_+$ such that $e_{11} = e_0$, and which are smooth enough that $e_{1i} \mathcal{V}_+ e_{j1}$ is contained in a holomorphic closure of A . Once we have such a system, we can define $\tilde{\delta}$ by

$$\tilde{\delta}(a) = \sum_{i,j} e_{i1} \bar{\delta}(e_{1i} a e_{j1}) e_{1j},$$

where $\bar{\delta}$ denotes the closure and we use crucially that $e_{1i}ae_{j1} \in \mathfrak{D}(\bar{\delta})$ for any $a \in \mathcal{V}_+$.

The existence of such a system of matrix units should in principle follow from a more careful analysis of the arguments in [8], and of the work of Dykema [4], [5]. Since we are only aiming in this section to provide some intuition for the parameter r_0 , and its relation to derivations on A , we will avoid such technical questions. But let us point out that we do have natural extensions of the derivations δ_Q to $\mathcal{V}_+$ as follows.

Proposition 4.11. *If $Q \in \Phi$, then there is a derivation $\tilde{\delta} : \mathcal{V}_+ \rightarrow V_+ e_0 \otimes e_0 \mathcal{V}_+^{op}$ such that $\tilde{\delta}|_{A_+} = 0$ and $\tilde{\delta}|_A = \delta_Q$.*

Proof. Let $Q = \sum_{s,t \geq 0} Q_{s,t}$, where $Q_{s,t} \in V_{1,0}^{(-1)^s}(s, t)$. Define $\tilde{\delta}$ by $\tilde{\delta}|_{\mathcal{A}_+} = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\delta}(c_n) &= \sum_{\substack{s \text{ even} \\ t \text{ odd}}} a_{2n,s} \otimes b_{2n+1,t}^{op}, \\ \tilde{\delta}(c_n^*) &= \sum_{\substack{s \text{ odd} \\ t \text{ even}}} a_{2n+1,s} \otimes b_{2n,t}^{op},\end{aligned}$$

where $a_{m,s} \otimes b_{m,t}^{op} \in V_{m,0}^{(-1)^s}(s,0) \otimes V_{0,m}^+(t,0)$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Diagram 1: A vertical stack of four boxes labeled } a_{2n+1,s}, b_{2n,t}, a_{2n,s}, b_{2n+1,t} \text{ from top to bottom. The top box has an incoming line from above, and the bottom box has an outgoing line to below. There are also internal connections between adjacent boxes.} \\
& = E_{M_0 \otimes M_0^{op}} \left[\text{Diagram 2: A vertical line with a box labeled } 2n \text{ on it, connected to a box labeled } Q_{s,t} \text{ via a curved line.} \right], \\
& = E_{M_0 \otimes M_0^{op}} \left[\text{Diagram 3: A vertical line with a box labeled } 2n-1 \text{ on it, connected to a box labeled } Q_{s,t} \text{ via a curved line.} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

It then follows from the proof of Proposition 4.9 that $\tilde{\delta}$ is a well-defined derivation, and that its restriction to A is δ_Q . $\square$

Remark 4.12. In Voiculescu’s free analysis [21], [22], the central objects are derivations $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ which are *coassociative*, i.e.

$$(\delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta = (\text{id} \otimes \delta) \circ \delta.$$

Unfortunately, there do not appear to be any natural coassociative derivations among the δ_Q which we have considered in this section.

There is however a natural way of defining coassociative derivations on A as follows. Given $Q \in P_1$, define $\partial_Q : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ by

$$\partial_Q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (\text{id} \otimes \text{op}) E_{M \otimes M^{\text{op}}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: A box labeled } x \text{ connected to a box labeled } Q \text{ via a curved line with a } 2k \text{ label.} \end{array} \right]$$

It is easy to see that ∂_Q is coassociative, so that (A, ∂_Q) forms a (graded) *infinitesimal bialgebra* [21], [1]. However these derivations do not behave well with respect to the Voiculescu trace; in particular, they do not appear to be closable.

REFERENCES

- [1] Marcelo Aguiar, *Infinitesimal Hopf algebras*, New trends in Hopf algebra theory (La Falda, 1999), Contemp. Math., vol. 267, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 1–29, DOI 10.1090/conm/267/04262. MR1800704 (2001k:16066)
- [2] Alain Connes and Dimitri Shlyakhtenko, *L^2 -homology for von Neumann algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **586** (2005), 125–168, DOI 10.1515/crll.2005.2005.586.125. MR2180603 (2007b:46104)
- [3] P. Di Francesco, O. Golinelli, and E. Guitter, *Meanders and the Temperley-Lieb algebra*, Comm. Math. Phys. **186** (1997), no. 1, 1–59, DOI 10.1007/BF02885671. MR1462755 (99f:82028)
- [4] Ken Dykema, *Free products of hyperfinite von Neumann algebras and free dimension*, Duke Math. J. **69** (1993), no. 1, 97–119, DOI 10.1215/S0012-7094-93-06905-0. MR1201693 (93m:46071)
- [5] Ken Dykema, *Interpolated free group factors*, Pacific J. Math. **163** (1994), no. 1, 123–135. MR1256179 (95c:46103)
- [6] Frederick M. Goodman, Pierre de la Harpe, and Vaughan F. R. Jones, *Coxeter graphs and towers of algebras*, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, vol. 14, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989. MR999799 (91c:46082)
- [7] A. Guionnet, V. F. R. Jones, and D. Shlyakhtenko, *Random matrices, free probability, planar algebras and subfactors*, Quanta of maths, Clay Math. Proc., vol. 11, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, pp. 201–239. MR2732052 (2012g:46094)
- [8] A. Guionnet, V. Jones, and D. Shlyakhtenko, *A semi-finite algebra associated to a subfactor planar algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. **261** (2011), no. 5, 1345–1360, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2011.05.004. MR2807103 (2012j:46091)
- [9] A. Guionnet, V. F. R. Jones, D. Shlyakhtenko, and P. Zinn-Justin, *Loop Models, Random Matrices and Planar Algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **316** (2012), no. 1, 45–97, DOI 10.1007/s00220-012-1573-1. MR2989453
- [10] Vaughan Jones, Dimitri Shlyakhtenko, and Kevin Walker, *An orthogonal approach to the subfactor of a planar algebra*, Pacific J. Math. **246** (2010), no. 1, 187–197, DOI 10.2140/pjm.2010.246.187. MR2645882 (2011i:46075)
- [11] Vijay Kodiyalam and V. S. Sunder, *From subfactor planar algebras to subfactors*, Internat. J. Math. **20** (2009), no. 10, 1207–1231, DOI 10.1142/S0129167X0900573X. MR2574313 (2011d:46129)
- [12] Vijay Kodiyalam and V. S. Sunder, *On the Guionnet-Jones-Shlyakhtenko construction for graphs*, J. Funct. Anal. **260** (2011), no. 9, 2635–2673, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2011.01.018. MR2772347 (2012b:46134)
- [13] Adrian Ocneanu, *Quantized groups, string algebras and Galois theory for algebras*, Operator algebras and applications, Vol. 2, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 136, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1988, pp. 119–172. MR996454 (91k:46068)
- [14] Sorin Popa, *Symmetric enveloping algebras, amenability and AFD properties for subfactors*, Math. Res. Lett. **1** (1994), no. 4, 409–425. MR1302385 (95i:46095)

- [15] Sorin Popa, *An axiomatization of the lattice of higher relative commutants of a subfactor*, Invent. Math. **120** (1995), no. 3, 427–445, DOI 10.1007/BF01241137. MR1334479 (96g:46051)
- [16] Sorin Popa, *Some properties of the symmetric enveloping algebra of a subfactor, with applications to amenability and property T*, Doc. Math. **4** (1999), 665–744. MR1729488 (2001c:46116)
- [17] Dimitri Shlyakhtenko, *Free probability, planar algebras, subfactors and random matrices*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians. Volume III, Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2010, pp. 1603–1623. MR2827857 (2012g:46096)
- [18] Dimitri Shlyakhtenko, *Lower estimates on microstates free entropy dimension*, Anal. PDE **2** (2009), no. 2, 119–146, DOI 10.2140/apde.2009.2.119. MR2547131 (2012a:46129)
- [19] Dan Voiculescu, *Limit laws for random matrices and free products*, Invent. Math. **104** (1991), no. 1, 201–220, DOI 10.1007/BF01245072. MR1094052 (92d:46163)
- [20] Dan Voiculescu, *The analogues of entropy and of Fisher’s information measure in free probability theory. V. Noncommutative Hilbert transforms*, Invent. Math. **132** (1998), no. 1, 189–227, DOI 10.1007/s002220050222. MR1618636 (99d:46087)
- [21] Dan Voiculescu, *Free analysis questions. I. Duality transform for the coalgebra of $\partial_X: B$* , Int. Math. Res. Not. **16** (2004), 793–822, DOI 10.1155/S1073792804132443. MR2036956 (2005a:46140)
- [22] Dan-Virgil Voiculescu, *Free analysis questions II: the Grassmannian completion and the series expansions at the origin*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **645** (2010), 155–236, DOI 10.1515/CRELLE.2010.063. MR2673426 (2012b:46144)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90095

E-mail address: `curransr@math.ucla.edu`

Current address: The D. E. Shaw Group, New York, New York 10036

E-mail address: `Stephen.Curran@deshaw.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

E-mail address: `vfr@math.berkeley.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90095

E-mail address: `shlyakht@math.ucla.edu`