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#### Abstract

In this article, a classification of continuous, linearly intertwining, symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke $(p>1)$ valuations is established as an extension of Haberl's work on Blaschke valuations. More precisely, we show that for dimensions $n \geq 3$, the only continuous, linearly intertwining, normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation is the normalized $L_{p}$-curvature image operator, while for dimension $n=2$, a rotated normalized $L_{p}$-curvature image operator is the only additional one. One of the advantages of our approach is that we deal with normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations, which makes it possible to handle the case $p=n$. The cases where $p \neq n$ are also discussed by studying the relations between symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations and normalized ones.


## 1. Introduction

A valuation is a function $Z: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow\langle\mathcal{G},+\rangle$ defined on a class of subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with values in an Abelian semigroup $\langle\mathcal{G},+\rangle$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(K \cup L)+Z(K \cap L)=Z K+Z L \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $K, L, K \cup L, K \cap L \in \mathcal{Q}$. In recent years, important new results on the classification of valuations on the space of convex bodies have been obtained. The starting point for a systematic investigation of general valuations was Hadwiger's [11] fundamental characterization of the linear combinations of intrinsic volumes as the continuous valuations that are rigid motion invariant (see [1]-3,22] for recent important variants). Its beautiful applications in integral geometry and geometric probability are described in Hadwiger's book [10] and Klain and Rota's recent book [12.

Excellent surveys on the history of valuations from Dehn's solution of Hilbert's third problem up to approximately 1990 are in McMullen and Schneider 32 or McMullen (31.

First results on convex body valued valuations were obtained by Schneider 39 in the 1970s, where the addition of convex bodies in (1.1) is the Minkowski sum. In recent years, the investigations of convex and star body valued valuations gained momentum through a series of articles by Ludwig [18/-21] (see also [4, 8, 34, 35, 41, [43,44). A very recent development in this area explores the connections between these valuations and the theory of isoperimetric inequalities (see, e.g., 9, 36, 42).
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Assuming compatibility with the general linear group, Ludwig [20] obtained a complete classification of $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuations, i.e., valuations where the addition in (1.1) is the $L_{p}$-Minkowski sum. Her results establish simple characterizations of fundamental operators like the projection or centroid body operator. Haberl [6] established a classification of all continuous symmetric Blaschke valuations, where addition in (1.1) is the Blaschke sum "\#", compatible with the general linear group. For $n \geq 3$, the only two examples of such valuations are a scalar multiple of the curvature image operator and the Blaschke symmetrical $Z K=K \#(-K)$. For $n=2$, Blaschke sum coincides with Minkowski sum; a classification is provided by Ludwig's results 20.

In this paper, we extend Haberl's [6] results in the context of the $L_{p}$-BrunnMinkowski theory when $p>1$ for $n \geq 2$. To treat the case that $p=n$ when $n$ is not even at the same time as the case for general $p>1$, we deal with normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations (that is, the addition in (1.1) is the normalized $L_{p}$-Blaschke sum). For $n \geq 3$, the only example (up to a dilation) of a continuous, linearly intertwining, normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation is the normalized $L_{p}$-curvature image operator. For $n=2$, the rotation of the normalized $L_{p}$-curvature image operator by an angle $\pi / 2$ is the only additional example. As by-products, by the relationship between symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations and the corresponding normalized case, we also classify continuous, linearly intertwining, symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations for $p \neq n$.

Since the classification of $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations is based on Ludwig's results [20], some other classifications of Minkowski valuations should be remarked upon here. Schneider and Schuster 41 and Schuster [43] classified some rotation covariant Minkowski valuations. Schuster and Wannerer [44] classified $G L(n)$ contravariant Minkowski valuations without any restrictions on their domain. Very recently, Haberl [7] showed that the homogeneity assumptions of $p=1$ in Ludwig 20] are not necessary, and Parapatits [34,35] showed that the homogeneity assumptions of $p>1$ in Ludwig [20] are also not necessary. But the homogeneity assumptions are still needed in this paper.

In order to state the main result, we collect some notation. Let $\mathcal{K}^{n}$ be the space of convex bodies, i.e., nonempty, compact, convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, endowed with Hausdorff metric. We denote by $\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$ the set of $n$-dimensional convex bodies which contain the origin, and by $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n}$ the set of convex bodies which contain the origin. The set of $n$-dimensional origin-symmetric convex bodies is denoted by $\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$.

We will always assume that $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p>1$ in this paper, unless noted otherwise.
In [26], Lutwak introduced the notion of the $L_{p}$-surface area measure $S_{p}(K, \cdot)$ and posed the even $L_{p}$-Minkowski problem: given an even Borel measure $\mu$ on the unit sphere $S^{n-1}$, does there exist an $n$-dimensional convex body $K$ such that $\mu=S_{p}(K, \cdot)$ ? An affirmative answer was given, if $p \neq n$ and if $\mu$ is not concentrated on any great subsphere. For $p \neq n$, using the uniqueness of the even $L_{p}$-Minkowski problem on $\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$, the $L_{p}$-Blaschke sum $K \#_{p} L \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$ of $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$ was defined by $S_{p}\left(K \#_{p} L, \cdot\right)=S_{p}(K, \cdot)+S_{p}(L, \cdot)$. Thus $\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$ endowed with the $L_{p}$-Blaschke sum is an Abelian semigroup which we denote by $\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, \#_{p}\right\rangle$.

The volume-normalized even $L_{p}$-Minkowski problem, for which the case $p=n$ can be handled as well, was introduced and solved by Lutwak, Yang, and Zhang [30. If $\mu$ is an even Borel measure on the unit sphere $S^{n-1}$, then there exists a
unique $n$-dimensional origin-symmetric convex body $\widetilde{K}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S_{p}(\widetilde{K}, \cdot)}{V(\widetilde{K})}=\mu \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $\mu$ is not concentrated on any great subsphere, where $V(\widetilde{K})$ is the volume of $\widetilde{K}$.

The volume-normalized even $L_{p}$-Minkowski problem also suggests the following composition of bodies in $\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$. For $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$, we define the normalized $L_{p}$-Blaschke $\operatorname{sum} K \widetilde{\#}_{p} L \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$ by

$$
\frac{S_{p}\left(K \widetilde{\#}_{p} L, \cdot\right)}{V\left(K \widetilde{\#}_{p} L\right)}=\frac{S_{p}(K, \cdot)}{V(K)}+\frac{S_{p}(L, \cdot)}{V(L)}
$$

Obviously the existence and uniqueness of $K \widetilde{\#}_{p} L$ are guaranteed by relation (1.2). Also $\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$ endowed with the normalized $L_{p}$-Blaschke sum is an Abelian semigroup which we denote by $\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, \widetilde{\#}_{p}\right\rangle$.

We call a valuation $Z: \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, \#_{p}\right\rangle$ a symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation, and a valuation $Z: \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, \widetilde{\#}_{p}\right\rangle$ a normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation.

A convex body $K$, which contains the origin in its interior, is said to have an $L_{p}$-curvature function $f_{p}(K, \cdot): S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if $S_{p}(K, \cdot)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to spherical Lebesgue measure $\sigma$, and

$$
\frac{d S_{p}(K, \cdot)}{d \sigma(\cdot)}=f_{p}(K, \cdot)
$$

almost everywhere with respect to $\sigma$.
For $p \geq 1$ and $p \neq n$, the symmetric $L_{p}$-curvature image $\Lambda_{c}^{p} K$ of $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$ is defined as the unique body in $\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$ such that

$$
f_{p}\left(\Lambda_{c}^{p} K, \cdot\right)=\frac{1}{2} \rho(K, \cdot)^{n+p}+\frac{1}{2} \rho(-K, \cdot)^{n+p}
$$

where $\rho_{K}(\cdot)=\rho(K, \cdot): S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the radial function of $K$, i.e., $\rho(K, u)=$ $\max \{\lambda>0: \lambda u \in K\}$. When $p=1$, this is the classical curvature image operator, a central notion in the affine geometry of convex bodies; see e.g., [15, 16, 23, 25, 27. When $p>1$, it should be noticed that the definition of the $L_{p}$-curvature image operator here differs from the definition of Lutwak [28].

For $p \geq 1$, the normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-curvature image $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K$ of $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$ is defined as the unique body in $\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$ such that

$$
\frac{f_{p}\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K, \cdot\right)}{V\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K\right)}=\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho(K, \cdot)^{n+p}+\frac{1}{2} \rho(-K, \cdot)^{n+p}\right)
$$

Remark. By the uniqueness of the even $L_{p}$-Minkowski problem and the volumenormalized even $L_{p}$-Minkowski problem, if $p \geq 1$ and $p \neq n$, it follows that

$$
V\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K\right)^{1 /(p-n)} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K=\Lambda_{c}^{p} K
$$

An operator $Z: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathfrak{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right),+\right\rangle$, where $\mathfrak{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ denotes the power set of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, is called $S L(n)$ covariant if

$$
Z(\phi K)=\phi Z K
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $\phi \in S L(n)$. It is called $S L(n)$ contravariant if

$$
Z(\phi K)=\phi^{-t} Z K
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $\phi \in S L(n)$. Here, $\phi^{-t}$ denotes the inverse of the transpose of $\phi$. We call $Z$ homogeneous of degree $q \in \mathbb{R}$ if

$$
Z(\lambda K)=\lambda^{q} Z K
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $\lambda>0$, and we call $Z$ homogeneous if it is homogeneous of some degree $q \in \mathbb{R}$. If $Z$ is homogeneous and $S L(n)$ covariant or contravariant, then we call it linearly intertwining.

Our main results are the following two theorems.
Theorem 1.1. Let $n \geq 2$. For $p>1$ and $p$ not an even integer, the operator $Z: \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, \widetilde{\#}_{p}\right\rangle$ is a continuous, homogeneous, $S L(n)$ contravariant valuation if and only if there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
Z K=c \widetilde{\Lambda}{ }_{c}^{p} K
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$.
Theorem 1.2. Let $n \geq 3$. For $p>1$ and $p$ not an even integer, there are no continuous, homogeneous, $S L(n)$ covariant normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations on $\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$.

For $p>1$ and $p$ not an even integer, the operator $Z: \mathcal{K}_{o}^{2} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{2}, \widetilde{\#}_{p}\right\rangle$ is a continuous, homogeneous, $S L(2)$ covariant valuation if and only if there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
Z K=c \psi_{\pi / 2} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{2}$. Here $\psi_{\pi / 2}$ is the rotation by an angle $\pi / 2$.
Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 establish a classification of continuous, linearly intertwining, normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations on $\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$ when $p>1$ and $p$ is not an even integer. For $p=1$, Haberl [6] obtained a complete classification of continuous, linearly intertwining symmetric Blaschke valuations and we can easily get the corresponding results in the normalized case by reversing the process of Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.4. Therefore we state the results here only for $p>1$.

In Section 2, some preliminaries are given. The aim of Section 3 is to derive the characterizing properties (stated in Theorem 1.1) of the normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-curvature image operator $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p}$. In Section 4, Lemma 4.1-Lemma 4.5 generate a homogeneous, $S L(n)$ covariant $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation on $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n}$ by a continuous, homogeneous, $S L(n)$ contravariant normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation on $\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$. Using properties of the support set of the $L_{p}$-projection bodies established in Lemma 4.6 and characterization theorems of $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuations [20, we classify continuous, homogeneous, $S L(n)$ contravariant normalized symmetric $L_{p^{-}}$ Blaschke valuations. In a similar way, we also classify continuous, homogeneous, $S L(n)$ covariant normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations. In Section 5, from the relationship between normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations and symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations (Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2), we also classify continuous, linearly intertwining, symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations on $\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$ for $p \neq n$ (see Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.4).

## 2. Preliminaries

We work in Euclidean $n$-space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $n \geq 2$. Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}, i=1, \cdots, n$, be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The usual scalar product of two vectors $x$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ shall be denoted by $x \cdot y$. For $u \in S^{n-1}, u^{-}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: x \cdot u \leq 0\right\}, u^{+}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: x \cdot u \geq 0\right\}$ and $u^{\perp}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: x \cdot u=0\right\}$. The convex hull of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ will be denoted by $[A]$. To shorten the notation we write $\left[A, \pm x_{1}, \cdots, \pm x_{m}\right]$ instead of $\left[A \cup\left\{x_{1},-x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m},-x_{m}\right\}\right]$ for $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. In $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, we write $\psi_{\pi / 2}$ for the rotation by an angle $\pi / 2$.

The Hausdorff distance of two convex bodies $K, L$ is defined as $d(K, L)=$ $\max _{u \in S^{n-1}}\left|h_{K}(u)-h_{L}(u)\right|$, where $h_{K}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the support function of $K \in \mathcal{K}^{n}$, i.e., $h_{K}(x)=\max \{x \cdot y: y \in K\}$. Sometimes we also write $h_{K}(\cdot)$ as $h(K, \cdot)$. If $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a sublinear function (i.e., $f(\lambda x)=\lambda f(x)$ for every $\lambda \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$; $f(x+y) \leq f(x)+f(y)$ for every $\left.x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then there exists a unique convex body $K$ such that $f=h_{K}$.

Let $S(K, \cdot)$ be the classical surface area measure of a convex body $K$. If $K$ contains the origin in its interior, the Borel measure $S_{p}(K, \cdot)=h_{K}(\cdot)^{1-p} S(K, \cdot)$ on $S^{n-1}$ is the $L_{p}$-surface area measure of $K$.

For $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^{n}$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ (not both 0 ), the Minkowski linear combination $\alpha K+\beta L$ is defined by $\alpha K+\beta L=\{\alpha x+\beta y: x \in K, y \in L\}$. For $K, L \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n}$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, the $L_{p}$-Minkowski linear combination $\alpha \cdot K+{ }_{p} \beta \cdot L$ (not both 0 ) is defined by $h\left(\alpha \cdot K+_{p} \beta \cdot L, u\right)^{p}=\alpha h(K, u)^{p}+\beta h(L, u)^{p}$ for every $u \in S^{n-1}$. Note that "." rather than ". $p$ " is written for $L_{p}$-Minkowski scalar multiplication. This should create no confusion. Also note that the relationship between $L_{p}$-Minkowski and Minkowski scalar multiplication is $\alpha \cdot K=\alpha^{1 / p} K$.

For $p \geq 1$, the $L_{p}$-mixed volume $V_{p}(K, L)$ of the convex bodies $K, L$ containing the origin in their interiors was defined in 26$]$ by

$$
\frac{n}{p} V_{p}(K, L)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{V\left(K+{ }_{p} \varepsilon \cdot L\right)-V(K)}{\varepsilon}
$$

where the existence of this limit was demonstrated in [26]. Obviously, for each $K$, $V_{p}(K, K)=V(K)$. It was also shown in [26] that the $L_{p}$-mixed volume $V_{p}$ has the following integral representation:

$$
V_{p}(K, L)=\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h(L, u)^{p} d S_{p}(K, u)
$$

For $p \geq 1$, the $L_{p}$-cosine transform of a finite, signed Borel measure $\mu$ on $S^{n-1}$ is defined by

$$
C_{p} \mu(x)=\int_{S^{n-1}}|x \cdot v|^{p} d \mu(v), x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

Similarly, the $L_{p}$-cosine transform of a Borel measurable function $f$ on $S^{n-1}$ is defined by

$$
\left(C_{p} f\right)(x)=\int_{S^{n-1}}|x \cdot v|^{p} f(v) d \sigma(v), x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

where $\sigma$ is the spherical Lebesgue measure. An important property of this integral transform is the following injectivity behavior. If $p$ is not an even integer and $\mu$ is a signed finite even Borel measure, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S^{n-1}}|u \cdot v|^{p} d \mu(v)=0 \text { for all } u \in S^{n-1} \Rightarrow \mu=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see, e.g., Koldobsky [13,14, Lonke [17], Neyman [33], and Rubin [37, 38]).
For $p \geq 1$, the $L_{p}$-projection body, $\Pi_{p} K$, of a convex body $K$ containing the origin in its interior is the origin-symmetric convex body whose support function is defined by

$$
h\left(\Pi_{p} K, u\right)^{p}=\int_{S^{n-1}}|u \cdot v|^{p} d S_{p}(K, v)
$$

for every $u \in S^{n-1}$. The notion of the $L_{p}$-projection body (with a different normalization) was introduced by Lutwak, Yang, and Zhang [29].

It is proved in [29 that

$$
\Pi_{p} \phi K=|\operatorname{det} \phi|^{1 / p} \phi^{-t} \Pi_{p} K
$$

for every $\phi \in G L(n)$. Then we immediately get

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{p} S_{p}(\phi K, \cdot)(x)=|\operatorname{det} \phi| C_{p} S_{p}(K, \cdot)\left(\phi^{-1} x\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{p} \frac{S_{p}(\phi K, \cdot)}{V(\phi K)}(x)=C_{p} \frac{S_{p}(K, \cdot)}{V(K)}\left(\phi^{-1} x\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The notion of the $L_{p}$-centroid body was introduced by Lutwak, Yang, and Zhang [29]: For each compact star-shaped (about the origin) $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for $p \geq 1$, the $L_{p}$-centroid body $\Gamma_{p} K$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\Gamma_{p} K, u\right)=\left(\frac{1}{c_{n, p} V(K)} \int_{K}|x \cdot u|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $u \in S^{n-1}$, where the constant $c_{n, p}$ is chosen so that $\Gamma_{p} B=B$. For $p=2$, the $\Gamma_{2}$-centroid body is the Legendre ellipsoid of classical mechanics. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{p} \phi K=\phi \Gamma_{p} K \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\phi \in G L(n)$. We also can rewrite relation (2.4) for the $L_{p}$-cosine transform:

$$
\begin{align*}
h\left(\Gamma_{p} K, u\right)^{p} & =\frac{1}{(n+p) c_{n, p} V(K)}\left(C_{p} \rho_{K}^{n+p}\right)(u) \\
& =\frac{1}{(n+p) c_{n, p} V(K)}\left(C_{p}\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_{K}^{n+p}+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{-K}^{n+p}\right)\right)(u) . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Curvature images

In this section, we will show that the normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-curvature image operator $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p}$ is a continuous, homogeneous, $S L(n)$ contravariant normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation.

We remark that a valuation $Z: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathfrak{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right),+\right\rangle$ is $S L(n)$ covariant and homogeneous of degree $q$ if and only if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\phi K)=(\operatorname{det} \phi)^{\frac{q-1}{n}} \phi Z K \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $\phi \in G L(n)$ with positive determinant. Similarly, a valuation $Z$ is $S L(n)$ contravariant and homogeneous of degree $q$ if and only if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\phi K)=(\operatorname{det} \phi)^{\frac{q+1}{n}} \phi^{-t} Z K \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $\phi \in G L(n)$ with positive determinant.

To prove that $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p}$ is a continuous valuation, we will first show the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1. If $K_{i}, K \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, i=1,2, \cdots$, such that $\frac{S_{p}\left(K_{i}, \cdot\right)}{V\left(K_{i}\right)} \rightarrow \frac{S_{p}(K, \cdot)}{V(K)}$ weakly, then $K_{i} \rightarrow K$.

Proof. First, we want to show that $\left\{K_{i}\right\}$ has a subsequence, $\left\{K_{i_{j}}\right\}$, converging to an origin-symmetric convex body containing the origin in its interior (the proof is similar to [30, Theorem 2]).

Define $f_{K}(u)$ by

$$
f_{K}(u)^{p}=\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}}|u \cdot v|^{p} \frac{d S_{p}(K, v)}{V(K)} .
$$

Thus $f_{K}(u)$ is a support function of some convex body. Since $\frac{S_{p}(K, \cdot)}{V(K)}$ is not concentrated on any great subsphere, $f_{K}(u)>0$ for every $u \in S^{n-1}$. By the continuity of $f_{K}(u)$ on the compact set $S^{n-1}$, there exist two constants $a, b>0$, such that $\frac{1}{2} a \geq f_{K}(u) \geq 2 b$ for every $u \in S^{n-1}$. Since $\frac{S_{p}\left(K_{i}, \cdot\right)}{V\left(K_{i}\right)} \rightarrow \frac{S_{p}\left(K_{, \cdot}\right)}{V(K)}$ weakly, we get $f_{K_{i}}(u) \rightarrow f_{K}(u)$. The convergence is uniform in $u \in S^{n-1}$ by [40, Theorem 1.8.12]. Hence $a \geq f_{K_{i}} \geq b$ for sufficiently large $i$ uniformly.

In order to show that $K_{i}$ is uniformly bounded, define real numbers $M_{i}$ and vectors $u_{i} \in S^{n-1}$ by

$$
M_{i}=\max _{u \in S^{n-1}} h\left(K_{i}, u\right)=h\left(K_{i}, u_{i}\right) .
$$

Now, $M_{i}\left[-u_{i}, u_{i}\right] \subset K_{i}$. Hence $M_{i}\left|u_{i} \cdot v\right| \leq h\left(K_{i}, v\right)$ for every $v \in S^{n-1}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{i}^{p} b^{p} & \leq M_{i}^{p} \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}}\left|u_{i} \cdot v\right|^{p} \frac{d S_{p}\left(K_{i}, v\right)}{V\left(K_{i}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h\left(K_{i}, v\right)^{p} \frac{d S_{p}\left(K_{i}, v\right)}{V\left(K_{i}\right)}=\frac{V_{p}\left(K_{i}, K_{i}\right)}{V\left(K_{i}\right)}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

for sufficiently large $i$. Hence $K_{i}$ is uniformly bounded. By the Blaschke selection theorem, there exists a subsequence $\left\{K_{i_{j}}\right\}$ converging to a convex body, say $K^{\prime}$. Since $K_{i_{j}}$ are origin-symmetric, $K^{\prime}$ is origin-symmetric. Define real numbers $m_{i}$ and vectors $u_{i}^{\prime} \in S^{n-1}$ by

$$
m_{i}=\min _{u \in S^{n-1}} h\left(K_{i}, u\right)=h\left(K_{i}, u_{i}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

The property $a \geq f_{K_{i}}$ for sufficiently large $i$ uniformly, together with Jensen's inequality, shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & \geq\left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}}\left|u_{i}^{\prime} \cdot v\right|^{p} \frac{d S_{p}\left(K_{i}, v\right)}{V\left(K_{i}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=\left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}}\left(\frac{\left|u_{i}^{\prime} \cdot v\right|}{h\left(K_{i}, v\right)}\right)^{p} \frac{h\left(K_{i}, v\right) d S\left(K_{i}, v\right)}{V\left(K_{i}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{\left|u_{i}^{\prime} \cdot v\right|}{h\left(K_{i}, v\right)} \frac{h\left(K_{i}, v\right) d S\left(K_{i}, v\right)}{V\left(K_{i}\right)}=\frac{2 V\left(K_{i} \mid\left(u_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}\right)}{n V\left(K_{i}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $K_{i}$ is contained in the right cylinder $K_{i} \mid\left(u_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\perp} \times m_{i}\left[-u_{i}^{\prime}, u_{i}^{\prime}\right]$, we have $2 m_{i} V\left(K_{i} \mid\left(u_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}\right) \geq V\left(K_{i}\right)$. Thus,

$$
a \geq \frac{2 V\left(K_{i} \mid\left(u_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}\right)}{n V\left(K_{i}\right)} \geq \frac{1}{n m_{i}},
$$

which shows $m_{i} \geq \frac{1}{n a}$ for sufficiently large $i$. Hence

$$
\frac{1}{n a} B \subseteq K^{\prime}
$$

where $B$ is the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Thus, $K^{\prime}$ contains the origin in its interior. The first step is complete.

Next, we argue the assertion by contradiction. Assume $K_{i} \nrightarrow K$; then there exists a subsequence, $\left\{K_{i_{j}}\right\}$, such that $d\left(K_{i_{j}}, K\right) \geq \varepsilon$ for a suitable $\varepsilon>0$. Since $\left\{K_{i_{j}}\right\}$ also satisfies the condition of this lemma, from the conclusion above, there exists a subsequence of $\left\{K_{i_{j}}\right\}$, say $\left\{K_{i_{j_{k}}}\right\}$, converging to an origin-symmetric convex body, say $K^{\prime}$, containing the origin in its interior. Thus, $\frac{S_{p}\left(K_{i_{j_{k}}} \cdot \cdot\right)}{V\left(K_{i_{k}}\right)} \rightarrow \frac{S_{p}\left(K^{\prime}, \cdot\right)}{V\left(K^{\prime}\right)}$ weakly. By the uniqueness of weak convergence and the normalized even $L_{p}$-Minkowski problem, we get $K_{i_{j_{k}}} \rightarrow K^{\prime}=K$, which is a contradiction.

Theorem 3.2. The normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-curvature image operator $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p}: \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow$ $\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, \widetilde{\#}_{p}\right\rangle$ is a continuous, $S L(n)$ contravariant valuation which is homogeneous of degree $-\frac{n}{p}-1$. Moreover, $\psi_{\pi / 2} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p}: \mathcal{K}_{o}^{2} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{2}, \widetilde{\#}_{p}\right\rangle$ is a continuous, $S L(2)$ covariant valuation which is homogeneous of degree $-\frac{2}{p}-1$.

Proof. To prove that $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p}$ is a normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation, we just need to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S_{p}\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p}(K \cup L), \cdot\right)}{V\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p}(K \cup L)\right)}+\frac{S_{p}\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p}(K \cap L), \cdot\right)}{V\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p}(K \cap L)\right)}=\frac{S_{p}\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K, \cdot\right)}{V\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K\right)}+\frac{S_{p}\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} L, \cdot\right)}{V\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} L\right)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $K, L, K \cup L, K \cap L \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(K \cup L, \cdot)^{n+p}+\rho(K \cap L, \cdot)^{n+p} & =\rho(K, \cdot)^{n+p}+\rho(L, \cdot)^{n+p}, \\
\rho(-(K \cup L), \cdot)^{n+p}+\rho(-(K \cap L) \cdot \cdot)^{n+p} & =\rho(-K, \cdot)^{n+p}+\rho(-L, \cdot)^{n+p}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $K, L, K \cup L, K \cap L \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$, it follows from the definition of $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p}$, that the relation (3.3) is true. Hence the valuation property is established.

To prove homogeneity and $S L(n)$ contravariance of $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p}$, by relation (3.2), we need to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} \phi K=(\operatorname{det} \phi)^{-1 / p} \phi^{-t} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\phi \in G L(n)$ with positive determinant. Indeed, the definition of $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p}$, the relations (2.5) and (2.6), together with (2.3), imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} \phi K, \cdot\right)}{V\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} \phi K\right)}(u) & =\left(C_{p}\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\phi K}^{n+p}+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{-\phi K}^{n+p}\right)\right)(u) \\
& =(n+p) c_{n, p} V(\phi K) h\left(\Gamma_{p} \phi K, u\right)^{p} \\
& =|\operatorname{det} \phi|(n+p) c_{n, p} V(K) h\left(\Gamma_{p} K, \phi^{t} u\right)^{p} \\
& =|\operatorname{det} \phi| C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K, \cdot\right)}{V\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K\right)}\left(\phi^{t} u\right) \\
& =C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(|\operatorname{det} \phi|^{-1 / p} \phi^{-t} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K, \cdot\right)}{V\left(|\operatorname{det} \phi|^{-1 / p} \phi^{-t} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K\right)}(u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The injectivity property (2.1) and the uniqueness of the volume-normalized even $L_{p}$-Minkowski problem now imply relation (3.4).

If $K_{i} \rightarrow K$, then $\rho\left(K_{i}, \cdot\right) \rightarrow \rho(K, \cdot)$ almost everywhere with respect to spherical Lebesgue measure (see [6, Lemma 1]). Hence

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho\left(K_{i}, \cdot\right)^{n+p}+\frac{1}{2} \rho\left(-K_{i}, \cdot\right)^{n+p}\right) \rightarrow\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho(K, \cdot)^{n+p}+\frac{1}{2} \rho(-K, \cdot)^{n+p}\right)
$$

almost everywhere. Since $\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho\left(K_{i}, \cdot\right)^{n+p}+\frac{1}{2} \rho\left(-K_{i}, \cdot\right)^{n+p}\right)$ are uniformly bounded, $\frac{S_{p}\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K_{i}, \cdot\right)}{V\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K_{i}\right)} \rightarrow \frac{S_{p}\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K, \cdot\right)}{V\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K\right)}$ weakly. Hence, by Lemma 3.1] we get $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K_{i} \rightarrow \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K$. Thus, $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K$ is a continuous valuation.

If $\phi \in S L(2)$, we have $\psi_{\pi / 2} \phi^{-t} \psi_{-\pi / 2}=\phi$. Then we get

$$
\psi_{\pi / 2} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} \phi K=\psi_{\pi / 2} \phi^{-t} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K=\psi_{\pi / 2} \phi^{-t} \psi_{-\pi / 2} \psi_{\pi / 2} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K=\phi \psi_{\pi / 2} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$. Since the operator $\psi_{\pi / 2}$ is continuous, we obtain that $\psi_{\pi / 2} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p}$ is continuous. Moreover, it is easy to verify that $\psi_{\pi / 2} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p}$ is a normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation which is homogeneous of degree $-\frac{2}{p}-1$. Hence, $\psi_{\pi / 2} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p}$ is a continuous, $S L(2)$ covariant normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation which is homogeneous of degree $-\frac{2}{p}-1$.

## 4. Normalized $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations

In this section, for the contravariant and covariant case, respectively, we establish our classification results for continuous, linearly intertwining, normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations.

We remark first the fact that the $S L(n)$ covariance (or contravariance) and homogeneity of a valuation $Z: \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathfrak{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right),+\right\rangle$ are completely determined by the restriction of $Z$ to $n$-dimensional convex bodies if the Abelian semigroup $\left\langle\mathfrak{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right),+\right\rangle$ has the cancellation property. (Actually this property is generalized from Lemma 4 and Lemma 9 of Haberl [6, and the proof of this property is almost the same as Haberl's.)
Lemma 4.1. If $Z: \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathfrak{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right),+\right\rangle$ is a valuation which is $S L(n)$ covariant (or contravariant) and homogeneous of degree $q$ on $n$-dimensional convex bodies, and $\left\langle\mathfrak{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right),+\right\rangle$ has the cancellation property, then $Z$ is $S L(n)$ covariant (or contravariant respectively) and homogeneous of degree $q$ on $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n}$.
Proof. In the covariant case, we have to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z \phi K=(\operatorname{det} \phi)^{\frac{q-1}{n}} \phi Z K \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $K \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n}$ and $\phi \in G L(n)$ with positive determinant. Let $\operatorname{dim} K=n-k$, where $0 \leq k \leq n$. We prove our assertion by induction on $k$. Indeed, (4.1) is true for $k=0$ by assumption. Assume that (4.1) holds for $(n-k)$-dimensional convex bodies and $\operatorname{dim} K=n-(k+1)$. Choose $u \notin \operatorname{lin} K$, where lin $K$ denotes the linear hull of $K$. Clearly $[K, u],[K,-u],[K, u,-u], \phi[K, u], \phi[K,-u], \phi[K, u,-u]$ are of dimension $n-k$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[K, u] \cup[K,-u]=[K, u,-u],[K, u] \cap[K,-u]=K,} \\
\phi[K, u] \cup \phi[K,-u]=\phi[K, u,-u], \phi[K, u] \cap \phi[K,-u]=\phi K .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $Z$ is a valuation,

$$
Z \phi K+Z \phi[K, u,-u]=Z \phi[K, u]+Z \phi[K,-u] .
$$

With the induction assumption, we get

$$
Z \phi K+(\operatorname{det} \phi)^{\frac{q-1}{n}} \phi Z[K, u,-u]=(\operatorname{det} \phi)^{\frac{q-1}{n}} \phi Z[K, u]+(\operatorname{det} \phi)^{\frac{q-1}{n}} \phi Z[K,-u] .
$$

So,

$$
(\operatorname{det} \phi)^{-\frac{q-1}{n}} \phi^{-1} Z \phi K+Z[K, u,-u]=Z[K, u]+Z[K,-u] .
$$

By the cancellation property of $\left\langle\mathfrak{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right),+\right\rangle$, combined with the relation

$$
Z K+Z[K, u,-u]=Z[K, u]+Z[K,-u],
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\operatorname{det} \phi)^{-\frac{q-1}{n}} \phi^{-1} Z \phi K=Z K . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This immediately proves that (4.1) holds for bodies of dimension $n-k-1$.
The contravariant case is proved similarly to the covariant case.
Since $\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$ endowed with $L_{p}$-Minkowski sum is an Abelian semigroup which has the cancellation property, we immediately get the following.
Lemma 4.2. If $Z: \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n},+_{p}\right\rangle$ is a $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation which is $S L(n)$ covariant (or contravariant) and homogeneous of degree $q$ on $n$-dimensional convex bodies, then $Z$ is $S L(n)$ covariant (or contravariant respectively) and homogeneous of degree $q$ on $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n}$.
4.1. The contravariant case. First, we reduce the possible degrees of homogeneity of continuous, $S L(n)$ contravariant normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations.

Lemma 4.3. If $Z: \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, \widetilde{\#}_{p}\right\rangle$ is a continuous, $S L(n)$ contravariant valuation which is homogeneous of degree $q$, then $q \leq-1$.

Proof. Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$ is an arbitrary convex body and that $K \cap e_{n}^{+}$and $K \cap e_{n}^{-}$ are $n$-dimensional. For every positive $s$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[K \cap e_{n}^{+}, \pm s e_{n}\right] \cup\left[K \cap e_{n}^{-}, \pm s e_{n}\right]=\left[K, \pm s e_{n}\right],} \\
{\left[K \cap e_{n}^{+}, \pm s e_{n}\right] \cap\left[K \cap e_{n}^{-}, \pm s e_{n}\right]=\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s e_{n}\right] .}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $Z$ is a normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s e_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s e_{n}\right]\right)}\left(e_{1}\right) \\
= & C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{+}, \pm s e_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{+}, \pm s e_{n}\right]\right)}\left(e_{1}\right)+C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{-}, \pm s e_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{-}, \pm s e_{n}\right]\right)}\left(e_{1}\right) \\
& -C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K, \pm s e_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K, \pm s e_{n}\right]\right)}\left(e_{1}\right) . \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Define a linear map $\phi$ by

$$
\phi e_{i}=e_{i}, i=1, \cdots, n-1, \phi e_{n}=s e_{n} .
$$

From the $S L(n)$ contravariance and homogeneity of $Z$ as well as relations (3.2) and (2.3), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s e_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s e_{n}\right]\right)}\left(e_{1}\right) & =C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(s^{\frac{q+1}{n}} \phi^{-t} Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm e_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(s^{\frac{q+1}{n}} \phi^{-t} Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm e_{n}\right]\right)}\left(e_{1}\right) \\
& =s^{\frac{-(q+1) p}{n}} C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm e_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm e_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\phi^{t} e_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|e_{1} \cdot u\right|>0$ for all $u \in S^{n-1} \backslash e_{1}^{\perp}$, and the $L_{p}$-surface area measure of $n$ dimensional bodies is not concentrated on any great sphere, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm e_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm e_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\phi^{t} e_{1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm e_{n}\right]\right)} \int_{S^{n-1}}\left|e_{1} \cdot u\right|^{p} d S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm e_{n}\right], u\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left[K \cap e_{n}^{+}, \pm s e_{n}\right] & =K \cap e_{n}^{+} \\
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left[K \cap e_{n}^{-}, \pm s e_{n}\right] & =K \cap e_{n}^{-} \\
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left[K, \pm s e_{n}\right] & =K
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the continuity of $Z$ and volume, together with the weak continuity of $L_{p^{-}}$ surface area measures, imply that the right side of (4.3) converges to a finite number as $s \rightarrow 0^{+}$. This implies $\frac{-(q+1) p}{n} \geq 0$, so $q \leq-1$.

In the next two lemmas, we will show how to generate a homogeneous, $S L(n)$ covariant $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation on $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n}$ by a continuous, $S L(n)$ contravariant normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation which is homogeneous of degree $q$ on $\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$, where $q \leq-1$.

Lemma 4.4. Let $Z: \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, \widetilde{\#}_{p}\right\rangle$ be a continuous, $S L(n)$ contravariant valuation which is homogeneous of degree $q=-1$. Define the map $\bar{Z}_{1}: \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n},+{ }_{p}\right\rangle$ by

$$
h\left(\bar{Z}_{1} K, x\right)^{p}= \begin{cases}C_{p} \frac{S_{p}(Z K, \cdot)}{V(Z K)}(x), & \operatorname{dim} K=n, \\ C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\pi_{K} x\right), & \operatorname{dim} K=k<n,\end{cases}
$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where the $b_{k+1}, \cdots, b_{n}$ are an orthonormal basis of the orthogonal complement of lin $K$ and $\pi_{K}$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\operatorname{lin} K$. Then $\bar{Z}_{1}$ is an $S L(n)$ covariant $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation which is homogeneous of degree 1 .

Proof. In order to show that $\bar{Z}_{1}$ is well defined, suppose that $\operatorname{dim} K=k<n$ and $b_{k+1}, \cdots, b_{n}$ as well as $c_{k+1}, \cdots, c_{n}$ are two different orthonormal bases of $(\operatorname{lin} K)^{\perp}$. Fix an orthonormal basis $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{k}$ of lin $K$. Denote by $\theta$ a proper rotation with $\theta b_{i}=b_{i}, i=1, \cdots, k$, and $\theta b_{i} \in\left\{ \pm c_{i}\right\}, i=k+1, \cdots, n$. Then the contravariance
of $Z$ and relation (2.3) induce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K, \pm c_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm c_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K, \pm c_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm c_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\pi_{K} x\right) & =C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z \theta\left[K, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z \theta\left[K, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\pi_{K} x\right) \\
& =C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(\theta Z\left[K, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(\theta Z\left[K, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\pi_{K} x\right) \\
& =C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\theta^{-1} \pi_{K} x\right) \\
& =C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\pi_{K} x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\bar{Z}_{1}$ is well defined.
Next, we show that $\bar{Z}_{1}$ is an $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation. Suppose that $K, L \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n}$ such that $K \cup L \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n}$ and let $k$ be an integer not larger than $n$. If $\operatorname{dim}(K \cup L)=k$, then one of the following four cases is valid:
$\left(1_{k}\right) \operatorname{dim} K=k, \operatorname{dim} L=k, \operatorname{dim} K \cap L=k, 0 \leq k \leq n$,
$\left(2_{k}\right) \operatorname{dim} K=k, \operatorname{dim} L=k, \operatorname{dim} K \cap L=k-1,1 \leq k \leq n$,
$\left(3_{k}\right) \operatorname{dim} K=k, \operatorname{dim} L=k-1,1 \leq k \leq n$,
( $4_{k}$ ) $\operatorname{dim} K=k-1, \operatorname{dim} L=k, 1 \leq k \leq n$.
The valuation property trivially holds true for the cases $\left(3_{k}\right)$ and $\left(4_{k}\right)$, since we have $L \subset K$ and $K \subset L$ respectively in these situations. Therefore it suffices to prove

$$
h_{\bar{Z}_{1}(K \cup L)}^{p}+h_{\bar{Z}_{1}(K \cap L)}^{p}=h_{\bar{Z}_{1} K}^{p}+h_{\bar{Z}_{1} L}^{p}
$$

for the cases $\left(1_{k}\right), 0 \leq k \leq n$, and $\left(2_{k}\right), 1 \leq k \leq n$.
Let us start with the easy case $\left(1_{n}\right)$. The valuation property of $Z$ implies

$$
\frac{S_{p}(Z(K \cup L), \cdot)}{V(Z(K \cup L))}+\frac{S_{p}(Z(K \cap L), \cdot)}{V(Z(K \cap L))}=\frac{S_{p}(Z K, \cdot)}{V(Z K)}+\frac{S_{p}(Z L, \cdot)}{V(Z L)},
$$

and thus

$$
C_{p} \frac{S_{p}(Z(K \cup L), \cdot)}{V(Z(K \cup L))}+C_{p} \frac{S_{p}(Z(K \cap L), \cdot)}{V(Z(K \cap L))}=C_{p} \frac{S_{p}(Z K, \cdot)}{V(Z K)}+C_{p} \frac{S_{p}(Z L, \cdot)}{V(Z L)} .
$$

Hence the definition of $\bar{Z}_{1}$ immediately proves the assertion. Next we deal with the case $\left(1_{k}\right), 0 \leq k<n$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[K, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right] \cup\left[L, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]=\left[K \cup L, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]} \\
& {\left[K, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right] \cap\left[L, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]=\left[K \cap L, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\operatorname{lin} K=\operatorname{lin} L=\operatorname{lin}(K \cup L)=\operatorname{lin}(K \cap L)$, we have $\pi_{K} x=\pi_{L} x=\pi_{(K \cup L)} x=$ $\pi_{(K \cap L)} x$. With the valuation property of case $\left(1_{n}\right)$ proved above, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cup L, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cup L, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}(x)+C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap L, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap L, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}(x) \\
& =C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}(x)+C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[L, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[L, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Changing $x$ to $\pi_{K} x$, we get the positive assertion of the case $\left(1_{k}\right)$.

Now we consider the case $\left(2_{k}\right), 1 \leq k \leq n$. It is enough to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\bar{Z}_{1} K}^{p}+h_{\bar{Z}_{1}\left(K \cap u^{\perp}\right)}^{p}=h_{\bar{Z}_{1}\left(K \cap u^{+}\right)}^{p}+h_{\bar{Z}_{1}\left(K \cap u^{-}\right)}^{p} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\operatorname{dim} K=k$ and a unit vector $u \in \operatorname{lin} K$ such that $K \cap u^{+}, K \cap u^{-}$are both $k$-dimensional. Notice that if $k=n$, then $\pi_{K} x=x$. So we will prove the case $\left(2_{k}\right)$ without distinguishing between $k=n$ and $k<n$. Let $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $\operatorname{lin} K=\operatorname{lin}\left\{b_{1}, \cdots, b_{k}\right\}$, and $u=b_{k}$. With the valuation property of case $\left(1_{k}\right)$ proved above, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K, \pm s b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K, \pm s b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\pi_{K} x\right) \\
& \quad+C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{k}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{k}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\pi_{K} x\right) \\
& =C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{k}^{+}, \pm s b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{k}^{+}, \pm s b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\pi_{K} x\right) \\
& \quad+C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{k}^{-}, \pm s b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{k}^{-}, \pm s b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\pi_{K} x\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

for sufficiently small $s>0$. Define a linear map $\phi$ by

$$
\phi b_{k}=s b_{k}, \phi b_{i}=b_{i}, i=1, \cdots, k-1, k+1, \cdots, n .
$$

Note that det $\phi$ is independent of the choice of orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, so $\operatorname{det} \phi=s$. The contravariance of $Z$ and relations (3.2) as well as (2.3) give

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{k}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{k}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\pi_{K} x\right) \\
= & C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z \phi\left[K \cap b_{k}^{\perp}, \pm b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z \phi\left[K \cap b_{k}^{\perp}, \pm b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\pi_{K} x\right) \\
= & C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(s^{\frac{q+1}{n}} \phi^{-t} Z\left[K \cap b_{k}^{\perp}, \pm b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(s^{\frac{q+1}{n}} \phi^{-t} Z\left[K \cap b_{k}^{\perp}, \pm b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\pi_{K} x\right) \\
= & s \frac{-(q+1) p}{n} C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{k}^{\perp}, \pm b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{k}^{\perp}, \pm b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\phi^{t} \pi_{K} x\right) . \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \phi^{t} \pi_{K} x=\pi_{K \cap b_{k}^{\perp}} x$. Since $q=-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{k}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{k}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\pi_{K} x\right) \\
& =C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{k}^{\perp}, \pm b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{k}^{\perp}, \pm b_{k}, \pm b_{k+1}, \cdots, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\pi_{K \cap b_{k}} x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So if $s$ tends to zero in (4.5), then we immediately obtain (4.4). Hence we have proved that $\bar{Z}_{1}$ is an $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation. Moreover, it is easy to calculate that $\bar{Z}_{1}$ is an $S L(n)$ covariant $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation which is homogeneous of degree 1 on $n$-dimensional convex bodies. Lemma 4.2 implies that $\bar{Z}_{1}$ is an $S L(n)$ covariant $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation which is homogeneous of degree 1 on $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n}$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $Z: \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, \widetilde{\#}_{p}\right\rangle$ be a continuous, $S L(n)$ contravariant valuation which is homogeneous of degree $q<-1$. Define the map $\bar{Z}_{2}: \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n},+_{p}\right\rangle$ by

$$
h\left(\bar{Z}_{2} K, x\right)^{p}= \begin{cases}C_{p} \frac{S_{p}(Z K, \cdot)}{V(Z K)}(x), & \operatorname{dim} K=n, \\ 0, & \operatorname{dim} K=k<n,\end{cases}
$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then $\bar{Z}_{2}$ is an $S L(n)$ covariant $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation which is homogeneous of degree $r=-q$.

Proof. We use the notation of Lemma 4.4. Since the case $\left(1_{n}\right)$ is the same as in Lemma 4.4, and the cases $\left(1_{k}\right), 0 \leq k<n,\left(2_{k}\right), 1 \leq k<n$, are trivially true, we just need to consider the case $\left(2_{n}\right)$.

Hence we need to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\bar{Z}_{2} K}^{p}+h_{\bar{Z}_{2}\left(K \cap u^{\perp}\right)}^{p}=h_{\bar{Z}_{2}\left(K \cap u^{+}\right)}^{p}+h_{\bar{Z}_{2}\left(K \cap u^{-}\right)}^{p} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\operatorname{dim} K=n$ and a unit vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $K \cap u^{+}, K \cap u^{-}$are both $n$-dimensional. Let $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $u=b_{n}$. Comparing with the proof of Lemma 4.4, we just need to show the relation (4.6) of the case $k=n$ tends to zero for $q<-1$ when $s$ tends to zero. Actually, the relation (4.6) of the case $k=n$ is

$$
C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{n}\right]\right)}(x)=s^{\frac{-(q+1) p}{n}} C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\phi^{t} x\right),
$$

where $\phi$ is a linear map defined by $\phi b_{n}=s b_{n}, \phi b_{i}=b_{i}, i=1, \cdots, n-1$. Since $q<-1$,

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{n}\right]\right)}(x)=0 .
$$

Hence $\bar{Z}_{2}$ is an $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation. Moreover, it is easy to calculate that $\bar{Z}_{2}$ is an $S L(n)$ covariant $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation which is homogeneous of degree $r=-q$.

For $p>1$, the following lemma shows that every support set of an $L_{p}$-projection body consists of precisely one point. It will help to rule out the existence of continuous, normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations which are homogeneous of degree -1 (see Theorem 4.8 and Theorem 4.13 for more details). A similar result for $p=1$ can be found in Schneider 40, Lemma 3.5.5].

For $K \in \mathcal{K}^{n}, e \in S^{n-1}$, write $K_{e}:=\{x \in K \mid x \cdot e=h(K, e)\}$.
Lemma 4.6. For $p>1$, if the support function of the convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}^{n}$ is given by

$$
h(K, u)=\left(\int_{S^{n-1}}|u \cdot v|^{p} d \mu(v)\right)^{1 / p}
$$

for $u \in S^{n-1}$, with an even signed measure $\mu$, then, for $e \in S^{n-1}$,

$$
h\left(K_{e}, u\right)=v_{e} \cdot u
$$

for $u \in S^{n-1}$, where $v_{e}=2\left(\int_{S^{n-1}}|e \cdot v|^{p} d \mu(v)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \int_{e^{+}}(e \cdot v)^{p-1} v d \mu(v)$.

Proof. The assertion of the lemma is true for $u= \pm e$, since $h\left(K_{e}, \pm e\right)= \pm h(K, e)$. Hence we may assume that $u$ and $e$ are linearly independent. Note that $h\left(K_{e}, u\right)=$ $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{h(K, e+s u)-h(K, e)}{s}$ (see Schneider [40, Theorem 1.7.2]). Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{s} & :=\left\{v \in S^{n-1} \mid e \cdot v>0,(e+s u) \cdot v>0\right\}, \\
B_{s} & :=\left\{v \in S^{n-1} \mid e \cdot v \leq 0,(e+s u) \cdot v>0\right\}, \\
C_{s} & :=\left\{v \in S^{n-1} \mid e \cdot v>0,(e+s u) \cdot v \leq 0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(K_{e}, u\right)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{h(K, e+s u)-h(K, e)}{s} \\
& =\frac{1}{p}\left(\int_{S^{n-1}}|e \cdot v|^{p} d \mu(v)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{s}\left(\int_{S^{n-1}}|(e+s u) \cdot v|^{p} d \mu(v)-\int_{S^{n-1}}|e \cdot v|^{p} d \mu(v)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{s}\left(\int_{S^{n-1}}|(e+s u) \cdot v|^{p} d \mu(v)-\int_{S^{n-1}}|e \cdot v|^{p} d \mu(v)\right) \\
& =2 \lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{s}\left(\int_{A_{s} \cup B_{s}}((e+s u) \cdot v)^{p} d \mu(v)-\int_{A_{s} \cup C_{s}}(e \cdot v)^{p} d \mu(v)\right) \\
& =2 p \lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{A_{s} \cup B_{s}}(e \cdot v)^{p-1}(u \cdot v) d \mu(v) \\
& \quad+\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{A_{s} \cup B_{s}} p(p-1)(e \cdot v)^{p-2}(u \cdot v)^{2} s+o(s) d \mu(v) \\
& \quad+2 \lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{s} \int_{B_{s}}(e \cdot v)^{p} d \mu(v)-2 \lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{s} \int_{C_{s}}(e \cdot v)^{p} d \mu(v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu_{+}(E)=\sup \left\{\mu(A) \mid A \subset E \text { and } A \text { is a Borel set of } S^{n-1}\right\}, \\
\mu_{-}(E)=-\inf \left\{\mu(A) \mid A \subset E \text { and } A \text { is a Borel set of } S^{n-1}\right\}, \\
\mu^{\prime}(E)=\mu_{+}(E)+\mu_{-}(E)
\end{gathered}
$$

for every Borel set $E$ of $S^{n-1}$. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{A_{s} \cup B_{s}} p(p-1)(e \cdot v)^{p-2}(u \cdot v)^{2} s+o(s) d \mu(v)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \int_{S^{n-1}}\left|p(p-1)(e \cdot v)^{p-2}(u \cdot v)^{2} s+o(s)\right| d \mu^{\prime}(v) \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $v \in B_{s}$, we have $|e \cdot v| \leq c s$ with a constant $c$ independent of $s$. Writing

$$
B_{s}^{\prime}:=\left\{v \in S^{n-1} \mid e \cdot v<0,(e+s u) \cdot v>0\right\},
$$

we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{1}{s} \int_{B_{s}}(e \cdot v)^{p} d \mu(v)\right|=\left|\frac{1}{s} \int_{B_{s}^{\prime}}(e \cdot v)^{p} d \mu(v)\right| \leq c^{p} s^{p-1} \mu\left(B_{s}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since (in the set-theoretic sense) $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} B_{s}^{\prime}=\emptyset$, we have $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \mu^{\prime}\left(B_{s}^{\prime}\right)=0$. With $p>1$, we get

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{s} \int_{B_{s}}(e \cdot v)^{p} d \mu(v)=0
$$

From $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} C_{s}=\emptyset$, we similarly find

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{s} \int_{C_{s}}(e \cdot v)^{p} d \mu(v)=0
$$

Further, if $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} A_{s}=e^{+} \backslash e^{\perp}, \lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} B_{s}=\left\{v \in S^{n-1} \mid e \cdot v=0, u \cdot v>0\right\}$, and $p>1$, we get

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{A_{s} \cup B_{s}}(e \cdot v)^{p-1}(u \cdot v) d \mu(v)=\int_{e^{+}}(e \cdot v)^{p-1}(u \cdot v) d \mu(v) .
$$

Finally, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(K_{e}, u\right) & =2\left(\int_{S^{n-1}}|e \cdot v|^{p} d \mu(v)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \int_{e^{+}}(e \cdot v)^{p-1}(u \cdot v) d \mu(v) \\
& =\left(2\left(\int_{S^{n-1}}|e \cdot v|^{p} d \mu(v)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \int_{e^{+}}(e \cdot v)^{p-1} v d \mu(v)\right) \cdot u
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.
To classify continuous, homogeneous, $S L(n)$ contravariant normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations, we need the following results from Ludwig [20].

For $-1 \leq \tau \leq 1$, define $M_{p}^{\tau}: \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n}$ by

$$
h^{p}\left(M_{p}^{\tau} K, v\right)=\int_{K}(|v \cdot x|+\tau(v \cdot x))^{p} d x
$$

for $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. In particular, $M_{p}^{0} K$ is a dilate of the $L_{p}$-centroid body, if $V(K)>0$.
A polytope is the convex hull of finitely many points in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{o}^{n}$ be the set of $n$-dimensional polytopes which contain the origin and $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{n}$ the set of polytopes which contain the origin. Let $\xi_{o}(P)$ denote the set of edges of a polytope $P$ which contain the origin.

Lemma 4.7 ([20]). Let $Z: \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n},{ }_{p}\right\rangle, n \geq 3$, be an $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation, $p>1$, which is $S L(n)$ covariant and homogeneous of degree $r$. If $r=n / p+1$, then there are constants $a \geq 0$ and $-1 \leq \tau \leq 1$ such that

$$
Z P=a M_{p}^{\tau} P
$$

for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{n}$. If $r=1$, then there are constants $a, b \geq 0$ such that

$$
Z P=a P+{ }_{p} b(-P)
$$

for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{n}$. In all other cases, $Z P=\{o\}$ for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{n}$.
Let $Z: \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{2} \rightarrow\left\langle\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{2},+_{p}\right\rangle$ be an $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation, $p>1$, which is $S L(2)$ covariant and homogeneous of degree $r$. If $r=2 / p+1$, then there are constants $a \geq 0$ and $-1 \leq \tau \leq 1$ such that

$$
Z P=a M_{p}^{\tau} P
$$

for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{2}$. If $r=1$, then there are constants $a_{0}, b_{0} \geq 0$ and $a_{i}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a_{0}+a_{i}, b_{0}+b_{i} \geq 0, i=1,2$, such that

$$
Z P=a_{0} P+{ }_{p} b_{0}(-P)+{ }_{p} \sum^{p}\left(a_{i} E_{i}+b_{p}\left(-E_{i}\right)\right)
$$

for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{2}$, where $\sum^{p}$ denotes the $L_{p}$-Minkowski sum, and the sum is taken over $E_{i} \in \xi_{o}(P)$. If $r=2 / p-1$, then there are constants $a \geq 0$ and $-1 \leq \tau \leq 1$ such that

$$
Z P=a \psi_{\pi / 2} \hat{\Pi}_{p}^{\tau} P
$$

for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{2}$. Here $\hat{\Pi}_{p}^{\tau} P$ is defined by the relation (4.16). In all other cases, $Z P=\{o\}$ for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{2}$.

Now we can classify continuous, homogeneous, $S L(n)$ contravariant normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations.

Theorem 4.8. Let $n \geq 2, p>1$ and $p$ not an even integer. If $Z: \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, \widetilde{\#}_{p}\right\rangle$ is a continuous, homogeneous, $S L(n)$ contravariant valuation, then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
Z K=c \widetilde{\Lambda_{c}^{p}} K
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$.
Proof. Let $q$ be the degree of homogeneity of $Z$. Lemma 4.3 shows that $q \leq-1$.
If $q=-1$, then $\bar{Z}_{1}$, introduced in Lemma 4.4, is an $S L(n)$ covariant $L_{p^{-}}$ Minkowski valuation which is homogeneous of degree 1. If $n \geq 3$, from Lemma 4.7. we derive that there are constants $a, b \geq 0$ such that

$$
\bar{Z}_{1} P=a P+_{p} b(-P)
$$

for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{n}$. If $n=2$, from Lemma 4.7, we derive that there are constants $a_{0}, b_{0} \geq 0$ and $a_{i}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a_{0}+a_{i}, b_{0}+b_{i} \geq 0, i=1,2$, such that

$$
\bar{Z}_{1} P=a_{0} P+{ }_{p} b_{0}(-P)+{ }_{p} \sum^{p}\left(a_{i} E_{i}+{ }_{p} b_{i}\left(-E_{i}\right)\right)
$$

for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{n}$, where the sum is taken over $E_{i} \in \xi_{o}(P)$. For $P_{0}=\left[ \pm e_{1}, \cdots, \pm e_{n}\right]$, we have

$$
\frac{\Pi_{p} Z P_{0}}{V\left(Z P_{0}\right)^{1 / p}}=c P_{0}
$$

for a suitable $c \geq 0$ when $n \geq 2$. The assumption that $Z$ does not contain $\{o\}$ in its range gives $c>0$. For $p>1$, every support set of an $L_{p}$-projection body consists of precisely one point (Lemma 4.6). However, $P_{0}$ has the support set $\left[e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right.$ ] which does not consist of precisely one point. This is a contradiction.

If $q=-n / p-1$, then $\bar{Z}_{2}$, introduced in Lemma 4.5, is an $S L(n)$ covariant $L_{p^{-}}$ Minkowski valuation which is homogeneous of degree $n / p+1$. For $n \geq 2$, from Lemma 4.7, we infer the existence of constants $a \geq 0$ and $-1 \leq \tau \leq 1$ such that

$$
\bar{Z}_{2} P=a M_{p}^{\tau} P
$$

for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{n}$. The assumption that $Z$ does not contain $\{o\}$ in its range gives $a>0$. Since $\bar{Z}_{2} P$ is origin-symmetric, we deduce that $\tau=0$. Thus, $\frac{\Pi_{p} Z P}{V(Z P)^{1 / p}}=$ $a M_{p}^{0} P$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}_{o}^{n}$. Since the operators $\frac{\Pi_{p} Z}{V^{1 / p}}$ and $\Gamma_{p}$ are continuous on $\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$, and $\mathcal{P}_{o}^{n}$ is dense in $\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$, we obtain

$$
\frac{\Pi_{p} Z K}{V(Z K)^{1 / p}}=a M_{p}^{0} K
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$. By rewriting this in terms of the $L_{p}$-cosine transforms (via relation (2.6) and $\left.\left(c_{n, p} V(K)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma_{p} K=M_{p}^{0} K\right)$, we get

$$
C_{p} \frac{S_{p}(Z K, \cdot)}{V(Z K)}=b C_{p}\left(\rho_{K}(\cdot)^{n+p}\right)=b C_{p}\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_{K}(\cdot)^{n+p}+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{-K}(\cdot)^{n+p}\right)
$$

for a suitable constant $b>0$. Since $S_{p}(Z K, \cdot)$ is an even measure, the injectivity property (2.1) and the definition of the normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-curvature image operator finally shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z K=c \widetilde{\Lambda_{c}^{p}} K \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a suitable constant $c>0$.
If $q=-2 / p+1$ and $n=2$, then $\bar{Z}_{2}$, introduced in Lemma 4.5, is an $S L(n)$ covariant $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation which is homogeneous of degree $2 / p-1$. By Lemma 4.7 there are constants $a \geq 0$ and $-1 \leq \tau \leq 1$ such that

$$
\bar{Z}_{2} P=a \hat{\Pi}_{p}^{\tau} P
$$

for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{n}$. $\hat{\Pi}_{p}^{\tau}$ is not continuous on $\mathcal{P}_{o}^{n}$, while $\frac{\Pi_{p} Z}{V^{1 / p}}$ is continuous on $\mathcal{P}_{o}^{n}$. Thus, this is a contradiction.

In all other cases, $\bar{Z}_{2}$, introduced in Lemma 4.5, is an $S L(n)$ covariant $L_{p^{-}}$ Minkowski valuation which is homogeneous of degree $r$, where $r \neq 1, r \neq n / p+1$ for $n \geq 2$, and $r \neq 2 / p-1$ as an addition for $n=2$. By Lemma 4.7 $\bar{Z}_{2} P=\{o\}$ for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{n}$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\bar{Z}_{2} P}(x)^{p}=C_{p} \frac{S_{p}(Z P, \cdot)}{V(Z P)}(x)=0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{P}_{o}^{n} . S_{p}(Z P, \cdot)$ is an even measure since $Z P$ is an origin-symmetric convex body. Thus, by relation (2.1), we have $S_{p}(Z P, \cdot)=0$. This is a contradiction.

Hence Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 4.8 directly imply Theorem 1.1
4.2. The covariant case. The following Lemma 4.9 Lemma 4.10, and Lemma 4.11 are the counterparts of Lemma 4.3 Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5, respectively.

Lemma 4.9. If $Z: \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, \widetilde{\#}_{p}\right\rangle$ is a continuous, $S L(n)$ covariant valuation which is homogeneous of degree $q$, then $q \leq-n+1$.
Proof. Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$ and $s>0$. As in the proof of Lemma4.3, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s e_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s e_{n}\right]\right)}\left(e_{n}\right) \\
= & C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{+}, \pm s e_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{+}, \pm s e_{n}\right]\right)}\left(e_{n}\right)+C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{-}, \pm s e_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{-}, \pm s e_{n}\right]\right)}\left(e_{n}\right) \\
& -C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K, \pm s e_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K, \pm s e_{n}\right]\right)}\left(e_{n}\right), \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

and thus $C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s e_{n}\right], .\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\left.\left.\frac{1}{n}, \pm s e_{n}\right]\right)}\right.\right.}\left(e_{n}\right)$ must converge to a finite number as $s \rightarrow 0^{+}$. (The difference between relation (4.3) and relation (4.10) is that the independent variable of the $L_{p}$-cosine transform is changed from $e_{1}$ to $e_{n}$.) Define the linear map $\phi$ as before by

$$
\phi e_{i}=e_{i}, i=1, \cdots, n-1, \phi e_{n}=s e_{n} .
$$

From the $S L(n)$ covariance and homogeneity of $Z$ as well as relations (3.1) and (2.3), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s e_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s e_{n}\right]\right)}\left(e_{n}\right) & =C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(s^{\frac{q-1}{n}} \phi Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm e_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(s^{\frac{q-1}{n}} \phi Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm e_{n}\right]\right)}\left(e_{n}\right) \\
& =s^{\frac{-(q-1) p}{n}} C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm e_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm e_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\phi^{-1} e_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|e_{n} \cdot u\right|>0$ for all $u \in S^{n-1} \backslash e_{n}^{\perp}$ and the $L_{p}$-surface area measure of $n$ dimensional bodies is not concentrated on any great sphere, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s^{p} C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm e_{n}\right] \cdot \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm e_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\phi^{-1} e_{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm e_{n}\right]\right)} \int_{S^{n-1}}\left|e_{n} \cdot u\right|^{p} d S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap e_{n}^{\perp}, \pm e_{n}\right], u\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\frac{-(q-1) p}{n}-p \geq 0$, so $q \leq-n+1$.
Lemma 4.10. Let $Z: \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, \widetilde{\#}_{p}\right\rangle$ be a continuous, $S L(n)$ covariant valuation which is homogeneous of degree $q=-n+1$. Define the map $\bar{Z}_{1}: \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n},+_{p}\right\rangle$ by

$$
h\left(\bar{Z}_{1} K, x\right)^{p}= \begin{cases}C_{p} \frac{S_{p}(Z K, \cdot)}{V(Z K)}(x), & \operatorname{dim} K=n, \\ C_{p} \frac{S_{p}(Z[K, \pm v], \cdot)}{V(Z[K, \pm v])}((x \cdot v) v), & \operatorname{dim} K=n-1, \\ 0, & \operatorname{dim} K \leq n-2,\end{cases}
$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $v$ is a unit vector perpendicular to $\operatorname{lin} K$. Then $\bar{Z}_{1}$ is a $S L(n)$ contravariant $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation which is homogeneous of degree $n-1$.

Proof. Obviously, the definition of $\bar{Z}_{1}$ is independent of the choice of $v$, so it is well defined. Next, we show that $\bar{Z}_{1}$ is an $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation. We still use the notation of the proof of Lemma 4.4. The case $\left(1_{n}\right)$ is the same as and the case $\left(1_{n-1}\right)$ is similar to (change $\pi_{K} x$ to $(x \cdot v) v$ ) the corresponding parts in the proof of Lemma 4.4. The cases $\left(1_{k}\right), 0 \leq k \leq n-2$, and $\left(2_{k}\right), 1 \leq k \leq n-2$, are trivial.

Now we consider the case $\left(2_{n}\right)$. It is enough to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\bar{Z}_{1} K}^{p}+h_{\bar{Z}_{1}\left(K \cap u^{\perp}\right)}^{p}=h_{\bar{Z}_{1}\left(K \cap u^{+}\right)}^{p}+h_{\bar{Z}_{1}\left(K \cap u^{-}\right)}^{p} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\operatorname{dim} K=n$ and a unit vector $u \in \operatorname{lin} K$ such that $K \cap u^{+}, K \cap u^{-}$are both $n$-dimensional. Let $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $u=b_{n}$. With the valuation property of case $\left(1_{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K, \pm s b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K, \pm s b_{n}\right]\right)}(x)+C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{n}\right]\right)}(x) \\
& =C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{+}, \pm s b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{+}, \pm s b_{n}\right]\right)}(x)+C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{-}, \pm s b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{-}, \pm s b_{n}\right]\right)}(x) \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

for sufficiently small $s>0$. Define a linear map $\phi$ by

$$
\phi b_{n}=s b_{n}, \phi b_{i}=b_{i}, \quad i=1, \cdots, n-1 .
$$

The covariance of $Z$ and relations (3.1) as well as (2.3) give

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{n}\right]\right)}(x) & =s^{\frac{-(q-1) p}{n}} C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\phi^{-1} x\right) \\
& =s^{\frac{-(q-1) p}{n}-p} C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(s \phi^{-1} x\right) . \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} s \phi^{-1} x=\left(x \cdot b_{n}\right) b_{n}$. Since $q=-n+1$,

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{n}\right]\right)}(x)=C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\left(x \cdot b_{n}\right) b_{n}\right)
$$

So if $s$ tends to zero in (4.12), then we immediately obtain (4.11).
The case $\left(2_{n-1}\right)$ is similar to the case $\left(2_{n}\right)$. We will show the relation (4.11) is still true for $\operatorname{dim} K=n-1$ and a unit vector $u \in \operatorname{lin} K$ such that $K \cap u^{+}, K \cap u^{-}$ are both $(n-1)$-dimensional. Let $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that lin $K=\operatorname{lin}\left\{b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n-1}\right\}$ and $u=b_{n-1}$. Thus, choose $v=b_{n}$. With the valuation property of case ( $1_{n-1}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K, \pm s b_{n-1}, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K, \pm s b_{n-1}, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\left(x \cdot b_{n}\right) b_{n}\right) \\
& \quad \quad+C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n-1}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{n-1}, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n-1}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{n-1}, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\left(x \cdot b_{n}\right) b_{n}\right) \\
& =C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n-1}^{+}, \pm s b_{n-1}, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n-1}^{+}, \pm s b_{n-1}, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\left(x \cdot b_{n}\right) b_{n}\right) \\
& \quad  \tag{4.14}\\
& \quad+C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n-1}^{-}, \pm s b_{n-1}, \pm b_{n}\right] \cdot \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n-1}^{-}, \pm s b_{n-1}, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\left(x \cdot b_{n}\right) b_{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for sufficiently small $s>0$. Define a linear map $\phi$ by

$$
\phi b_{n-1}=s b_{n-1}, \phi b_{i}=b_{i}, i \neq n-1 .
$$

The covariance of $Z$ and relations (3.1) as well as (2.3) give

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n-1}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{n-1}, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n-1}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{n-1}, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\left(x \cdot b_{n}\right) b_{n}\right) \\
& =s^{\frac{-(q-1) p}{n}} C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\phi^{-1}\left(x \cdot b_{n}\right) b_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \phi^{-1}\left(x \cdot b_{n}\right) b_{n}=\left(x \cdot b_{n}\right) b_{n}$. Since $q=-n+1$,

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n-1}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{n-1}, \pm b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n-1}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{n-1}, \pm b_{n}\right]\right)}\left(\left(x \cdot b_{n}\right) b_{n}\right)=0
$$

So if $s$ tends to zero in (4.14), then we immediately obtain (4.11). Hence we have proved that $\bar{Z}_{1}$ is an $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation.

Moreover, it is easy to calculate that $\bar{Z}_{1}$ is an $S L(n)$ contravariant $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation which is homogeneous of degree $n-1$ on $n$-dimensional convex bodies. Lemma 4.2 implies that $\bar{Z}_{1}$ is an $S L(n)$ contravariant $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation which is homogeneous of degree $n-1$.

Lemma 4.11. Let $Z: \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, \widetilde{\#}_{p}\right\rangle$ be a continuous, $S L(n)$ covariant valuation which is homogeneous of degree $q<-n+1$. Define the map $\bar{Z}_{2}: \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n},+_{p}\right\rangle$ by

$$
h\left(\bar{Z}_{2} K, x\right)^{p}= \begin{cases}C_{p} \frac{S_{p}(Z K, \cdot)}{V(Z K)}(x), & \operatorname{dim} K=n, \\ 0, & \operatorname{dim} K=k<n\end{cases}
$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then $\bar{Z}_{2}$ is an $S L(n)$ contravariant $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation which is homogeneous of degree $r=-q$.
Proof. To prove that $\bar{Z}_{2}$ is an $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation, as in the proof of Lemma 4.5. we just need to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{n}\right], \cdot\right)}{V\left(Z\left[K \cap b_{n}^{\perp}, \pm s b_{n}\right]\right)}(x)=0 \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Actually, since $q<-n+1$, by the relation (4.13), we immediately get the conclusion.
Moreover, it is easy to calculate that $\bar{Z}_{2}$ is an $S L(n)$ covariant $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation which is homogeneous of degree $r=-q$.

As in the contravariant case, we also need the following results from [20] to classify $S L(n)$ covariant normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations.

For $-1 \leq \tau \leq 1$, define $\Pi_{p}^{\tau}$ on the set of all convex bodies containing the origin in their interiors by

$$
h\left(\Pi_{p}^{\tau} K, v\right)^{p}=\int_{S^{n-1}}(|v \cdot u|+\tau(v \cdot u))^{p} d S_{p}(K, u)
$$

for $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. In particular, $\Pi_{p}^{0} K$ is the $L_{p}$-projection body of $K$. To extend the operator $\Pi_{p}^{\tau}$ to polytopes that contain the origin in their boundaries, for $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{n}$, the set of polytopes which contain the origin, define $\hat{\Pi}_{p}^{\tau} P$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\hat{\Pi}_{p}^{\tau} P, v\right)^{p}=\int_{S^{n-1} \backslash \omega_{o}(P)}(|v \cdot u|+\tau(v \cdot u))^{p} d S_{p}(P, u), \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{o}(P)$ is the set of outer unit normal vectors to facets of $P$ that contain the origin.

Lemma 4.12 (20]). Let $Z: \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n},+_{p}\right\rangle$ be an $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation, $\left.p\right\rangle$ $1, n \geq 3$, which is $S L(n)$ contravariant and homogeneous of degree $r$. If $r=n / p-1$, then there are constants $a \geq 0$ and $-1 \leq \tau \leq 1$ such that

$$
Z P=a \hat{\Pi}_{p}^{\tau} P
$$

for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{n}$. In all other cases, $Z P=\{o\}$ for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{n}$.
Let $Z: \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{2} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{o}^{2},+_{p}\right\rangle$ be an $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation, $p>1$, which is $S L(2)$ contravariant and homogeneous of degree $r$. If $r=2 / p+1$, then there are constants $a \geq 0$ and $-1 \leq \tau \leq 1$ such that

$$
Z P=a \psi_{\pi / 2} M_{p}^{\tau} P
$$

for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{2}$. If $r=1$, then there are constants $a_{0}, b_{0} \geq 0$ and $a_{i}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a_{0}+a_{i}, b_{0}+b_{i} \geq 0, i=1,2$, such that

$$
Z P=\psi_{\pi / 2}\left(a_{0} P+{ }_{p} b_{0}(-P)+{ }_{p} \sum^{p}\left(a_{i} E_{i}+b_{p} b_{i}\left(-E_{i}\right)\right)\right)
$$

for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{2}$, where $\sum^{p}$ denotes the $L_{p}$-Minkowski sum which is taken over $E_{i} \in \xi_{o}(P)$. If $r=2 / p-1$, then there are constants $a \geq 0$ and $-1 \leq \tau \leq 1$ such that

$$
Z P=a \hat{\Pi}_{p}^{\tau} P
$$

for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{2}$. In all other cases, $Z P=\{o\}$ for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{2}$.
Now we classify continuous, homogeneous, $S L(n)$ covariant normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations.

Theorem 4.13. Let $n \geq 3, p>1$ and $p$ not an even integer. Then there exist no continuous, homogeneous, $S L(n)$ covariant normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations on $\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$.

Let $p>1$ and $p$ not an even integer. If $Z: \mathcal{K}_{o}^{2} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{2}, \widetilde{\#}_{p}\right\rangle$ is a continuous, homogeneous, $S L(2)$ covariant valuation, then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
Z K=c \psi_{\pi / 2} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{2}$.
Proof. Assume that $Z: \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, \widetilde{\#}_{p}\right\rangle$ is a continuous, $S L(n)$ covariant valuation which is homogeneous of degree $q$. Lemma 4.9 shows that $q \leq-n+1$.

We first consider the cases $n \geq 3$. If $q<-n+1$, then $\bar{Z}_{2}$, introduced in Lemma 4.11 is an $S L(n)$ contravariant $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation which is homogeneous of degree $r>n-1$. By Lemma 4.12, we have $\bar{Z}_{2} P=\{o\}$ for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{n}$. If $q=-n+1, \bar{Z}_{1}$, introduced in Lemma 4.10 is an $S L(n)$ contravariant $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation which is homogeneous of degree $n-1$. By Lemma 4.12, $\bar{Z}_{1} P=\{o\}$ for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{n}$.

Combined with the injectivity relation of the $L_{p}$-cosine transform (2.1), all cases $q \leq-n+1$ imply that

$$
\frac{S_{p}(Z P, \cdot)}{V(Z P)}=0
$$

for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{n}$. This is a contradiction to the existence of continuous, homogeneous, $S L(n)$ covariant normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations on $\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$.

Next we consider the case $n=2$. If $q<-1, q \neq-2 / p-1$, then $\bar{Z}_{2}$, introduced in Lemma 4.11 is an $S L(2)$ contravariant $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation which is homogeneous of degree $r>1, r \neq 2 / p+1$. By Lemma 4.12, we have $\bar{Z}_{2} P=\{o\}$ for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{2}$. Combined with the injectivity relation of the $L_{p}$-cosine transform (2.1), we get $\frac{S_{p}(Z P, \cdot)}{V(Z P)}=0$. This is a contradiction.

If $q=-2 / p-1$, then $\bar{Z}_{2}$, introduced in Lemma 4.11 is an $S L(2)$ contravariant $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation which is homogeneous of degree $2 / p+1$. By Lemma 4.12, there are constants $a \geq 0$ and $-1 \leq \tau \leq 1$ such that

$$
\bar{Z}_{2} P=a \psi_{\pi / 2} M_{p}^{\tau} P
$$

for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{2}$. Thus, $\psi_{-\pi / 2} \bar{Z}_{2} P=a M_{p}^{\tau} P$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}_{o}^{2}$. The assumption that $Z$ does not contain $\{o\}$ in its range gives $a>0$. Since $\bar{Z}_{2} P$ is origin-symmetric, we get $\tau=0$. Thus, $\psi_{-\pi / 2}\left(\frac{\Pi_{p} Z P}{V(Z P)^{1 / p}}\right)=a M_{p}^{0} P$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}_{o}^{2}$. Since the
operators $\psi_{-\pi / 2}, \frac{\Pi_{p} Z}{V^{1 / p}}$ and $\Gamma_{p}$ are continuous on $\mathcal{K}_{o}^{2}$, and $\mathcal{P}_{o}^{2}$ is dense in $\mathcal{K}_{o}^{2}$, we obtain

$$
\psi_{-\pi / 2}\left(\frac{\Pi_{p} Z K}{V(Z K)^{1 / p}}\right)=a M_{p}^{0} K
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{2}$. By rewriting this in terms of the $L_{p}$-cosine transforms (via relation (2.6) and $\left.\left(c_{n, p} V(K)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma_{p} K=M_{p}^{0} K\right)$, we get

$$
C_{p} \frac{S_{p}(Z K, \cdot)}{V(Z K)}\left(\psi_{\pi / 2} x\right)=b C_{p}\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_{K}(\cdot)^{n+p}+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{-K}(\cdot)^{n+p}\right)(x)
$$

for a suitable constant $b>0$. Since

$$
C_{p} \frac{S_{p}\left(\psi_{-\pi / 2} Z K, \cdot\right)}{V\left(\psi_{-\pi / 2} Z K\right)}(x)=C_{p} \frac{S_{p}(Z K, \cdot)}{V(Z K)}\left(\psi_{\pi / 2} x\right)
$$

(by relation (2.3)), the injectivity property (2.1) and the definition of the normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-curvature image operator finally show

$$
\psi_{-\pi / 2} Z K=c \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K
$$

for a suitable constant $c>0$. Hence

$$
Z K=c \psi_{\pi / 2} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{2}$
If $q=-1, \bar{Z}_{1}$, introduced in Lemma 4.10, is an $S L(2)$ contravariant $L_{p}$-Minkowski valuation which is homogeneous of degree 1. By Lemma 4.12 there are constants $a_{0}, b_{0} \geq 0$ and $a_{i}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a_{0}+a_{i}, b_{0}+b_{i} \geq 0, i=1,2$, such that

$$
\bar{Z}_{1} P=\psi_{\pi / 2}\left(a_{0} P+{ }_{p} b_{0}(-P)+{ }_{p} \sum^{p}\left(a_{i} E_{i}+{ }_{p} b_{i}\left(-E_{i}\right)\right)\right)
$$

for every $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{o}^{2}$, where $\sum^{p}$ denotes the $L_{p}$-Minkowski sum, and the sum is taken over $E_{i} \in \xi_{o}(P)$. For $P_{0}=\left[ \pm e_{1}, \pm e_{2}\right]$, we have

$$
\frac{\Pi_{p} Z P_{0}}{V\left(Z P_{0}\right)^{1 / p}}=c \psi_{\pi / 2} P_{0}
$$

for a suitable $c \geq 0$. The assumption that $Z$ does not contain $\{o\}$ in its range gives $c>0$. For $p>1$, every support set of an $L_{p}$-projection body consists of precisely one point (Lemma4.6). However, $\psi_{\pi / 2} P_{0}$ has a support set $\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]$ which does not consist of precisely one point. This is a contradiction.

Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 4.13 now directly imply Theorem 1.2

## 5. $L_{p}$-BLASCHKE VALUATIONS

We first give the relationship between normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations and symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations.
Lemma 5.1. If $Z: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, \#_{p}\right\rangle$ is a symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation, then $\widetilde{Z}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, \widetilde{\#}_{p}\right\rangle$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S_{p}(\widetilde{Z} K, \cdot)}{V(\widetilde{Z} K)}=S_{p}(Z K) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{Q}$, is a normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation. Moreover, $\widetilde{Z}$ is continuous if $Z$ is continuous, $\widetilde{Z}$ is $S L(n)$ covariant (or contravariant) if $Z$ is
$S L(n)$ covariant (or contravariant respectively), and $\widetilde{Z}$ is homogeneous of degree $q(p-n) / p$ if $Z$ is homogeneous of degree $q$.
Proof. Since $Z$ is a symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation,

$$
S_{p}(Z(K \cup L), \cdot)+S_{p}(Z(K \cap L), \cdot)=S_{p}(Z K, \cdot)+S_{p}(Z L, \cdot),
$$

whenever $K, L, K \cup L, K \cap L \in \mathcal{Q}$. By the definition of $\widetilde{Z}$ and the normalized $L_{p}$-Blaschke sum, $\widetilde{Z}$ is a normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation.

We can prove continuity of $\widetilde{Z}$ in a similar way to showing continuity of the normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-curvature image. But because of the existence of $Z K$, we can prove it in an easier way (without using Lemma 3.1).

By the uniqueness of the volume-normalized even $L_{p}$-Minkowski problem, we can rewrite relation (5.1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{Z} K=V(Z K)^{-1 / p} Z K \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}^{n}$. Since $V(Z K)>0$, if $Z K_{i} \rightarrow Z K$,

$$
\widetilde{Z} K_{i}=V\left(Z K_{i}\right)^{-1 / p} Z K_{i} \rightarrow V(Z K)^{-1 / p} Z K=\widetilde{Z} K
$$

Thus, if $Z$ is continuous, then $\widetilde{Z}$ is continuous.
If $Z(\lambda K)=\lambda^{q} Z K$, for every $\lambda>0$, then

$$
\widetilde{Z}(\lambda K)=V(Z \lambda K)^{-1 / p} Z \lambda K=\lambda^{q(p-n) / p} V(Z K)^{-1 / p} Z K=\lambda^{q(p-n) / p} \widetilde{Z} K
$$

Thus, if $Z$ is homogeneous of degree $q, \widetilde{Z}$ is homogeneous of degree $q(p-n) / p$.
The proof of covariance or contravariance of $\widetilde{Z}$ is similar to the proof of homogeneity.

Lemma 5.1]introduces a map from the space of symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations to the space of normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations, and the continuity, homogeneity, or $S L(n)$ covariance (or contravariance) of symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations are inherited by the corresponding normalized cases. For $p \neq n$, the relation (5.1) can also be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\widetilde{Z} K)^{1 /(p-n)} \widetilde{Z} K=Z K \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then we get the following lemma in a similar way. Hence the map is a bijection and these properties are also transferred by the inverse map.

Lemma 5.2. If $\widetilde{Z}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, \tilde{\#}_{p}\right\rangle$ is a normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation, $p \neq n$, then $Z: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, \#_{p}\right\rangle$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z K=V(\widetilde{Z} K)^{1 /(p-n)} \widetilde{Z} K \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{Q}$, is a symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation. Moreover, $Z$ is continuous if $\widetilde{Z}$ is continuous, $Z$ is $S L(n)$ covariant (or contravariant) if $\widetilde{Z}$ is $S L(n)$ covariant (or contravariant respectively), and $Z$ is homogeneous of degree $q p /(p-n)$ if $\widetilde{Z}$ is homogeneous of degree $q$.

Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 together with Theorem 1.1 (or Theorem 3.2 as well as Theorem 4.8) provide a classification of continuous, homogeneous $S L(n)$ contravariant symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations on $\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$.

Theorem 5.3. For $n \geq 2, p>1, p \neq n$ and $p$ not an even integer, a map $Z: \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, \#_{p}\right\rangle$ is a continuous, homogeneous, $S L(n)$ contravariant symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation if and only if there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
Z K=c \Lambda_{c}^{p} K
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$.
Proof. Since $Z$ is a continuous, homogeneous $S L(n)$ contravariant symmetric $L_{p^{-}}$ Blaschke valuation, $\widetilde{Z}$ defined in Lemma 5.1 is a continuous, homogeneous $S L(n)$ contravariant normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation. Theorem 4.8 implies that there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\widetilde{Z} K=c \widetilde{\Lambda_{c}^{p}} K
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$. Note that $\Lambda_{c}^{p} K=V\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K\right)^{1 /(p-n)} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K$. By relation (5.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z K=V(\widetilde{Z} K)^{1 /(p-n)} \widetilde{Z} K=V\left(c \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K\right)^{1 /(p-n)} c \widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K=c^{p /(p-n)} \Lambda_{c}^{p} K \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$.
On the other hand, Theorem 3.2 implies that $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{c}^{p} K$ is a continuous, homogeneous $S L(n)$ contravariant normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation. Then, $\Lambda_{c}^{p} K$ is a continuous, homogeneous, $S L(n)$ contravariant symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation by Lemma 5.2 .

Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 together with Theorem 1.2 (or Theorem 3.2 as well as Theorem (4.13) imply the following theorem.

Theorem 5.4. Let $n \geq 3, p>1$ and $p$ not an even integer. Then there exist no continuous, homogeneous, $S L(n)$ covariant symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations on $\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$.

Let $p>1$ and $p$ not an even integer. If $Z: \mathcal{K}_{o}^{2} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{c}^{2}, \#_{p}\right\rangle$ is a continuous, homogeneous, $S L(2)$ covariant symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation, then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
Z K=c \psi_{\pi / 2} \Lambda_{c}^{p} K
$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{2}$.
Proof. For $n \geq 3$, we argue by contradiction. Assume that $Z$ is a continuous, homogeneous, $S L(n)$ covariant symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation and $\widetilde{Z}$ defined in Lemma 5.1 is a continuous, homogeneous, $S L(n)$ covariant normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuation. But Theorem 4.13 implies that there are no continuous, homogeneous, $S L(n)$ covariant normalized symmetric $L_{p}$-Blaschke valuations on $\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$. This is a contradiction.

For $n=2$, the proof is almost the same as in Theorem 5.3.
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